Prepared by: [Rubén Cruz]

### **Table of Contents**

- Table of Contents
- Protocol Summary
- Disclaimer
- Risk Classification
- Audit Details
  - Scope
  - Roles
- Findings
  - High
    - [H-1] Variables stored in storage-onchain are visible to everyone. The password is not really private.
    - [H-2] PasswordStore::setPassword has no access control, allowing a non-owner to change the password.
  - Informational
    - [I-1] The PasswordStore::getPassword function natspec indicates a parameter that does not exist, making it incorrect.

# **Protocol Summary**

PasswordStore is a simple contract dedicated to storage and retrieval of a user's password. It allows only the owner to change it.

## Disclaimer

Rubén Cruz makes all effort to find as many vulnerabilities in the code in the given time period, but holds no responsibilities for the findings provided in this document. A security audit by the team is not an endorsement of the underlying business or product. The audit was time-boxed and the review of the code was solely on the security aspects of the Solidity implementation of the contracts.

## Risk Classification

Severity	Number of issues found
High	2
Medium	0
Low	0
Info	1

Severity	Number of issues found
Total	3

We use the CodeHawks severity matrix to determine severity. See the documentation for more details.

## **Audit Details**

The findings described correspond to the following commit hash:

2e8f81e263b3a9d18fab4fb5c46805ffc10a9990

### Scope

./src/PasswordStore.sol

#### Roles

-Owner -Outsiders

# **Findings**

### High

[H-1] Variables stored in storage-onchain are visible to everyone. The password is not really private.

**Description:** All data stored on-chain is visible to anyne, and can be read directly form the blockchain.

PasswordStore::s\_password is intended to be private and only accessed through the PasswordStore::getPassword function, which is intended to be called only by the owner. We show one such method of reading any data on-chain below.

**Impact:** Anyone can read the "private" password.

**Proof of Concept:** This test below shows how anyone can read the password:

1. Create a locally running chain

make anvil

1. Deploy the contract

```
make deploy
```

1. Run the storage tool.

we use 1 because that is the storage slot for s\_password.

```
cast storage <CONTRACT_ADDRESS> 1 --rpc-url http://127.0.0.1:8545
```

You will get an output that looks like this:

Then, you can parse that hex to string with:

You will get mypassword.

**Recommended Mitigation:** Due to this, the overall architecture of the contract should be rethought. One could encrypt the password off-chain and store it on-chain, and only decrypt it when needed (with the requirement of another password off-chain to decrypt the password). However, you would also likely want to remove the view function, as you would not want the user to accidentally send a tx with the password that decrypts your password.

[H-2] PasswordStore::setPassword has no access control, allowing a non-owner to change the password.

**Description:** The function PasswordStore::setPassword does not check that the owner is the one setting the password, allowing a non-owner to change the password and breaking the protocol logic.

```
function setPassword(string memory newPassword) external {
  @> // @audit-issue: no access control.
    s_password = newPassword;
    emit SetNetPassword();
}
```

**Impact:** Anyone can set/change the password, severly breaking the intended functionality.

**Proof of Concept:** Add the following to the PasswordStore.t.sol contract:

▶ Details

```
function test_anyone_can_set_password(address randomAddress) public {
    vm.assume(randomAddress != owner);
    vm.prank(randomAddress);
    string memory expectedPassword = "myNewPassword";
    passwordStore.setPassword(expectedPassword);

    vm.prank(owner);
    string memory actualPassword = passwordStore.getPassword();
    assertEq(actualPassword, expectedPassword);
}
```

**Recommended Mitigation:** Add access control conditional to the PasswordStore::setPassword function. You can add this code:

```
if (msg.sender != s_owner) {
    revert PasswordStore__NotOwner();
}
```

#### Informational

[I-1] The PasswordStore::getPassword function natspec indicates a parameter that does not exist, making it incorrect.

#### **Description:**

```
/*
  * @notice This allows only the owner to retrieve the password.
@> * @param newPassword The new password to set.
  */

// @audit-issue: There is no parameter newPassword

function getPassword() external view returns (string memory) {
```

The PasswordStore::getPassword function signature is getPassword(). It should be getPassword(string).

**Impact:** Incorrect NATSpec.

**Recommended Mitigation:** Remove the natspec line:

```
- * @param newPassword The new password to set.
```

or:

add the parameter:

+ function getPassword() external view returns (string memory) {