${\rm FMFP}$ - Lecture Notes Week 5

Ruben Schenk, ruben.schenk@inf.ethz.ch ${\it April~1,~2022}$

1 Typing

1.1 Overview

Type checking should prevent "dangerous expressions", such as 2 + True, [2] : [3], etc. Dangerous expressions lead to *runtime errors*.

The objectives for a type checker are as follows:

- Quick, decidable, static analysis
- Permit as much generality / re-usability as possible
- Prevent runtime errors

1.2 Mini-Haskell

1.2.1 Syntax

Programs are **terms** (for now, let variables \mathcal{V} and integers \mathcal{Z} be given):

```
\begin{split} t &:= \mathcal{V} \, | \, (\lambda x.t) \, | \, (t_1 \, t_2) \, | \\ & \quad True \, | \, False \, | \, (\text{iszero } t) \, | \\ & \quad \mathcal{Z} \, | \, (t_1 + t_2) \, | \, (t_1 * t_2) \, | \, (\text{if } t_0 \text{ then } t_1 \text{ else } t_2) \, | \\ & \quad (t_1, \, t_2) \, | \, (\text{fst } t) \, | \, (\text{snd } t) \end{split}
```

The core of Mini-Haskell is λ -calculus: variables, abstractions, and applications. Additional syntax and types can be easily added, e.g. &&, Strings, etc.

We employ some syntactic sugar, like omitting parenthesis (e.g. x y z instead of ((x y) z)).

1.2.2 Typing

We consider **types**, given \mathcal{V}_{τ} is a set of variables like a, b, etc., such that

$$\tau ::= \mathcal{V}_{\tau} \mid Bool \mid Int \mid (\tau, \tau) \mid (\tau \to \tau)$$

The type system notation is based on **typing judgements** of the following form:

$$\Gamma \vdash t :: \tau$$
,

where:

- Γ is a set of bindings $x_i : \tau_i$, mapping variables to types. Intuitively, Γ represents a kind of typing "symbol table".
- \bullet t is a term
- τ is a type

Example:

$$\begin{aligned} x: int \vdash x + 2 :: Int \\ x: Int, \ f: Bool \rightarrow Bool \nvdash f \ x :: Bool \end{aligned}$$

1.2.3 Proof System

Proof rules are formulated in terms of type judgements J:

$$\frac{J_1 \quad \cdots \quad J-n}{J}$$

For example, one rule could be, given $op \in \{+, *\}$, the BinOp rule:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 :: Int \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 :: Int}{\Gamma \vdash (t_1 \ op \ t_2) :: Int}$$

1.2.4 Rules For Core λ -Calculus

We introduce the following rules for the core λ -calculus:

Axiom :

$$\overline{\ldots,x: au,\ldots dash x: au}$$
 Var

Abstraction $(x \notin \Gamma)$:

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash t :: \tau}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x.\, t) :: \sigma \to \tau} \, \mathit{Abs}$$

Application :

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 :: \sigma \to \tau \qquad \Gamma \vdash t_2 :: \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash (t_1 \; t_2) :: \tau} \; \textit{App}$$

1.2.5 Further Typing Rules

1.3 Type Inference

Syntax-directed typing rules specify an algorithm for computing the type of expressions:

- 1. Start with judgement $\vdash t :: \tau_0$ with type variable τ_0 .
- 2. Build the derivation tree bottom-up by applying the available rules. Introduce fresh type variables and collect constraints if needed.
- 3. Solve constraints to get possible types.

Example:

1.4 Type Classes

1.4.1 Monomorphic vs. Polymorphic

We can distinguish between monomorphic and polymorphic functions. Some monomorphic functions:

```
xor x y = (x || y) && (not (x && y))
? :type xor
xor :: Bool -> Bool -> Bool
```

Others are **polymorphic**:

1.4.2 Type Classes - The Middle Way

Type classes allow for polymorphism to be restricted using class constraints. Example:

```
allEqual :: Eq a => a -> a -> a -> Bool allEqual x y z = (x == y) \&\& (y == z)
```

Functions for precisely those types a that belong to the **class** Eq. For example, the definition for the Eq class is given as follows:

```
class Eq a where
    (==) :: a -> a -> Bool
    (/=) :: a -> a -> Bool
    x /= y = not (x == y)
```

The definition includes:

1. Class name: Eq

2. Signature: List of function names and types

3. Default implementations (optional): Can be overwritten later

Elements of a class are called **instances.** instance builds instances by "interpreting" signature functions: