# FMFP - Lecture Notes Week 3

Ruben Schenk, ruben.schenk@inf.ethz.ch ${\it March~16,~2022}$ 

#### 0.0.1 Patterns

Pattern matching has two main purposes:

- checks if an argument has the proper form
- binds values to variables

```
Example: (x : xs) matches with [2, 3, 4] and binds:

x = 2
xs = [3, 4]
```

Patterns are *inductively* defined:

```
• Constants: -2, '1', True, []
```

```
• Variables: x, foo
```

- Wild card: \_
- Tuples: (p1, p2,..., pk), where p\_i are patterns
- Non-empty list: (p1 : p2), where p\_i are patterns

Moreover, patterns require to be linear, this means that each variable can occur at most once.

### 0.0.2 Advice on Recursion

Defining a recursion is best done by obeying the following simple steps:

- Step 1: Define the type of the function
- Step 2: Enumerate all different cases
- Step 3: Define the most simple cases
- Step 4: Define the remaining cases
- Step 5: Generalize and simplify

**Example:** The following code snippet shows an example of how we implement *insertion sort* recursively in Haskell:

**Example:** The following code snippet shows how we can implement *quicksort* recursively in Haskell:

```
qsort [] = []
qsort (x : xs) =
    qsort (lesseq x xs) ++ [x] ++ qsort (greater x xs)
    where
    lesseq _ [] = []
    lesseq x (y : ys)
    | (y <= x) = y : lesseq x ys
    | otheriwse = lesseq x ys
    greater _ [] = []
    greater x (y : ys)
    | (y > x) = y : greater x ys
    | otherwise = greater x ys
```

## 0.0.3 List Comprehensions

**List comprehension** is a notation for sequential processing of list elements. It is analogous to set comprehension in set theory, i.e.  $\{2 \cdot x \mid x \in X\}$ . In Haskell, this is equivalent to  $[2 * x \mid x \leftarrow xs]$ .

List comprehensions are very powerful! The following code snippet, again, implements quicksort as shown previously:

```
q[] = []

q(p:xs) = q[x | x <-xs, x <= p] ++ [p] ++ q[x | x <-xs, x > p]
```

#### 0.0.4 Induction over Lists

How are elements in [T] constructed? [] :: [T] and (y : ys) :: [T] if y :: T and ys :: [T]. This corresponds to the following rule:

- Proof by induction: to prove P for all xs in [T]
- Base case: prove  $P[xs \to []]$
- Step case: prove  $\forall y :: T, ys :: [T].P[xs \to ys] \to P[xs \to y : ys]$ , i.e.
  - Fix arbitrary: y :: T and ys :: [T] (both not free in P)
  - Induction hypothesis:  $P[xs \rightarrow ys]$
  - To prove:  $P[xs \rightarrow y : ys]$