

# Solent University Coursework Assessment Brief

## **Assessment Details**

Module Title:	Drogramming for Droblem Solving
	Programming for Problem Solving
Module Code:	COM728
Module Leader:	Jarutas Andritsch
Level:	7
Assessment Title:	The Software Project
Assessment Number:	AE1
Assessment Type:	Software Artefact and documentation
Restrictions on Time/Word Count:	Documentation No more than 2000 words (excluding Table of contents, Table of Figures, Index of Tables)
Consequence of not meeting time/word count limit:	It is essential that assignments keep within the time/word count limit stated above. Any work beyond the maximum time/word length permitted will be disregarded and not accounted for in the final grade.
Individual/Group:	Individual
If a group	-
Assessment Weighting:	60%
Issue Date:	25 <sup>th</sup> September 2023
Hand In Date:	9 <sup>th</sup> January 2024 by 4:00 pm.
Planned Feedback Date:	Within 4 working weeks
Mode of Submission:	Online via SOL
	Only FINAL submissions will be accepted. DRAFT submissions
	will not be considered an attempt and will not be marked.
Number of copies to be submitted:	- 1 copy of a zip file containing the source codes: main
	program as Jupyter notebook (.ipynb), own-defined
	module as .py) and dataset for your software artefact
	- 1 copy of a software document in PDF format. This
	should not be included in the zip file but instead
	submitted as a separate file.
Anonymous Marking	This assessment is exempt from anonymous marking.

## **Assessment Task**

You are required to develop a software application that addresses the problem scenario using Python and the tools specified in this assessment brief. You must document software implementation which provide a concise and critical discussion of your solution. You should discuss how your solution has been implemented with suitable justifications.

#### Introduction

PhoneDB website, which is the information website for smartphones, tablets, PDAs, and mobile devices. PhoneDB offers a comprehensive collection of data and various services that harness the potential of this valuable resource to aid users in finding the most suitable mobile device.



In this assessment, you will process, manage, and analyse device features extracted from the PhoneDB website. You will work with this real-world data set which is provided to you in the form of a CSV file. The data file, device\_features.csv, contains 48 columns. Each row in the file represents a single record for a device. The data set contains complete data for all columns for each record in the file. This means that there are no missing values. Some columns may contain multiple values within the same column. It is recommended that you familiarise yourself with the content of the data file before attempting the remainder of this assessment.

### Requirements

The requirements for the system are as follows:

- a) The system will allow the user to retrieve data from a CSV file using the csv module and fundamental python (control structure and file processing) to perform the following:
  - Load the data from a CSV file into memory using the csv reader function. The path to the file will be specified by the user then use these loaded data to perform following tasks:
    - a1. Retrieve the model name, manufacturer, weight, price, and price unit for the device(s) based on the oem\_id.
    - a2. Retrieve the brand, model name, RAM capacity, market regions, and the date when the information was added for device(s) associated with a specified code name.
    - a3. Retrieve the oem\_id, release date, announcement date, dimensions, and device category of the device(s) based on a specified RAM capacity.
    - a4. Retrieve information from your chosen columns and apply a specific condition that relates to an individual device. Please select at least three columns and one condition that differs from previous requirements.
- b) The system will allow the user to analyse/query data using the pandas module to perform the following:
  - Load data from a CSV file into memory using the pandas module. Use the file path received from task a) for this purpose. After loading the data, proceed with the following tasks.
    - b1. Identify the top 5 regions where a specific band of devices was sold.
    - b2. Analyse the average price of devices within a specific band, all in the same currency.
    - b3. Analyse the average mass for each manufacturer and display the list of average mass for all manufacturers.
    - **b4.** Analyse the data to derive meaningful insights based on your unique selection, distinct from the previous requirements.
- c) The system will allow the user to visualise the data using the matplotlib module as follows:
  - Load data from a CSV file into memory. Use the file path received from task a) for this purpose.
     After loading the data, proceed with the following tasks.
    - c1. Create a chart to visually represent the proportion of RAM types for devices in the current market.
    - c2. Create a chart to visually compare the number of devices for each USB connector type
    - c3. Create separate charts illustrating the monthly average price trends (in GBP) for devices released in each year from 2020 to 2023. Each chart should focus on a specific year.
    - **c4.** Create a visualisation of your selection to showcase information related to device features that can reveal trends, behaviours, or patterns, ensuring it is distinct from previous requirements.

#### Software documentation

The software documentation conveys a comprehensive grasp of software implementation while furnishing substantiating evidence pertaining to the identification of legal, social, ethical, and



professional aspects associated with software development. This documentation should encompass the following key topics:

- Overview: the aim and objectives of the project and brief discussion of the dataset
- Self-reported requirement completion
- Project Implementation: Project Structure and self-created module/functions (technically explain how the module/function implemented)

## **Expectations**

The assessment must be completed individually. You must not share, in part or whole, your assessment with another party other than the module tutor and for the purpose of submission to the university. You must ensure that the University's academic misconduct guidelines are followed in their entirety.

It is expected that you will develop a software application that meets the stated requirements. You have been provided with a CSV file that contains data. Your application will need to appropriately load the data contained in this file, process the loaded data, query the loaded data, and visualise suitable information from these loaded data. You should appropriately test your implemented functionality.

You are required to evidence your work throughout your assessment. You should create a suitable private Git repository. Please ensure you regularly commit your implementation to your repository with clear and descriptive commit messages as you work on your solution. As part of the project and demonstration for this assessment, you will be asked to document and show your project's repository. You should ensure that your submission complies with academic misconduct guidelines, is your own work and any external sources have been appropriately referenced. Failure to provide a Git commit history or unsuitable commit history will result in a potential cap on your overall mark, limiting it to a passing grade.

Note: If you have any special requirement or disability, please discuss this with your tutor.

## **Environment**

You are required to use the following tools:

- Jupyter Notebook as your development environment
- Python3.9 or above as the standard python library
- Additionally, the following libraries/modules/function may be imported and utilised:
  - o os to retrieve or check file paths
  - random to generate random numbers
- The COM728 environment from the class session.
- Git Tools and GitHub for version control

No other python libraries or modules should be used other than the specified.



# **Assessment criteria**

Learning	UPPER	FIRST	FIRST A3 – A4		UPPER SECOND		LOWER SECOND		THIRD D1 - D3		FAIL F1 – F3					
Outcomes	A1 – A2				B1 – B3 (High)		C1 - C3 (Good)		(Competent)		(Incomplete		ete/Poor)			
	Exceed		Substantially		Meet learning		Meet learning		Me	Meet learning		Fails to meet learning outcome		rning outcomes		
	expectations in		exceeds		outcomes and		outcomes and		outcomes							
	many aspects		expectations		exceeds		sometimes exceeds									
			expectations		s in	expectations										
			severa		eral aspe	ects										
SOLENT GRADE	A1	A2	A3	A4	B1	B2	В3	C1	C2	C3	D1	D2	D3	F1	F2	F3
Design computer	The text-b	ased u <mark>ser</mark>	The text	:-based	The text-based		The text-based		The system utilises		No	user	Usability aspects			
programs in a	interf	ace is	user inte	erface is	user interface is		user interface is		a basic user		interfa	ice, no	are largely			
logical and	exception	ally well-	expe	ertly	competently		cor	competently		in	interaction		user int	eraction	overlooked,	
structured way	structur	ed as a	develop	ed as a	crafted as a		deve	developed as a		message, usability		message has		resulting in		
using	standalon	e module,	standa	dalone standalone		sta	standalone		consi	considerations are		been		poorly		
appropriate	seam	lessly	mod	ule,	r	module,		module, although		minimal keeping all		implemented. All		structured		
techniques and	integrated into the		effect	ively	successfully		integration into the		the c	the code within a		attempt coding		structures and		
principles	main prog	gram and	integrated	into the	integrated into the		main program and		single file. It		use static data or		functions for			
	other mo	dules for	main prog	gram and	main program and		other modules		presents results		initialis	se data	user			
	displaying results.		other m	odules.	other modules.		could be improved.		plainly in a				interactions.			
	Usability is a central		Usability	is a key	Usability		Usability aspects		straightforward				Results may lack			
	focus, w	ith user	priority, f	eaturing	considerations are		are somewhat		layou	ıt or foı	mat.			clarity, and error		
	interactio	ns driven	well-org	ganised	evider	nt, with	well-	addressed, with		There are instances				messages are		
	by meticulously		structui	es and	О	rganised	t	modestly organised		where static data is				often unhelpful,		
	designed s	structures	functions	for user	stru	ictures a	and	structures and		employed in the				leading to a		
	and fund	tions. It	interact	ions. It	funct	ions for	user	functi	ons for	user	coding process to				frustrating and	
	presents results		consis	tently	inte	ractions	s. It	inte	ractions	s. It	meet specific				suboptimal user	
	flawlessly with		presents	results	gener	ally pre	sents	typica	ally pres	sents	req	uireme	nts.			experience.
	clear, ir	ntuitive	with clear	prompts		s with c	•	result	s and o	ffers						
	prompts, and offers		and pr	ovides	and o	ffers he	lpful	basic error								
	insightful error		helpfu	error		r messa		messages, r		ulting						
	messages when		mess	_		ulting ir		in an acceptable								
	necessary, ensuring		contribu	_	-	/ smoot		but not fully								
	an unpa		highly sm		use	er-frienc	lly	pol	polished user							
	smooth and user-		user-fr	iendly	ex	perienc	e.	ex	perienc	e.						
	friendly experience.		experi	ence.												



Develop	The software	The software	The software	The software	The software	The software	The software
computer	artefacts	artefacts exhibit	artefacts showcase	artefacts display	artefacts	artefacts exhibit	artefacts
programs aligned	unequivocally	an excellent level	a high level of	proficiency in	competently	limited	demonstrate
to appropriate	demonstrate	of proficiency,	competence,	addressing	execute a majority	proficiency in	limited
programming	flawless realisation	confidently	effectively	problem scenario	of the problem	implementing	implementation
standards and	of all problem	addressing all	implementing a	requirements (60-	scenario	select problem	of problem
code	scenario	problem scenario	significant portion	80%), maintaining	requirements (50-	scenario	scenario
conventions	requirements,	requirements (90-	of the problem	correctness, minor	60%), with	requirements	requirements
	achieving a perfect	100%) with	scenario	errors. The code	satisfactory	(30-50%), with	(less than 30%),
	100% correctness	excellent	requirements (80-	exhibits	correctness,	noticeable	with substantial
	and containing no	correctness, only	90%) with high	modularity,	occasional errors.	correctness	correctness
	errors. The code is	minor errors. The	correctness, only	predominantly	Code modularity is	issues and	challenges and
	exceptionally well-	code	occasional errors.	employing user-	evident, with a	errors. Code	frequent errors.
	modularised,	demonstrates	The code is highly	defined functions.	preference for	modularity is	Code lacks
	expertly combining	excellent	modular,	Comments are	user-defined	limited,	modularity,
	user-defined and	modularity,	effectively utilising	generally clear,	functions.	predominantly	primarily relying
	built-in functions	effectively	both user-defined	contributing to	Comments, while	relying on built-	on built-in
	that operate	incorporating both	and built-in	code readability.	existent, may	in functions.	functions.
	precisely as	user-defined and	functions in	Coding standards	require	Comments, if	Comments, if
	intended and	built-in functions,	adherence to best	are consistently	improvement in	present, may	available, may
	adhere to	aligned with best	practices.	followed, including	terms of clarity and	lack clarity and	lack clarity and
	established best	practices.	Comments are	proper indentation	consistency.	consistency,	consistency,
	practices. Clear and	Comments are	consistently clear	and consistent	Coding standards	hindering code	making it
	meticulously	consistently clear	and well-	variable/function	are sporadically	understanding.	difficult to
	structured	and well-	structured,	naming.	adhered to,	Coding standards	understand the
	comments are	structured,	enhancing overall		impacting code	are	code. Coding
	seamlessly	contributing to	readability. Coding		readability to some	inconsistently	standards are
	integrated	excellent code	standards are		extent.	followed,	largely ignored,
	throughout the	readability. Coding	diligently followed,			diminishing code	severely
	code, greatly	standards are	with good			readability.	impacting code
	enhancing overall	consistently	indentation and				readability.
	readability. This	upheld, with	uniform				
	clarity is further	impeccable	variable/function				
	enhanced through	indentation and	naming.				
	steadfast	uniform					



	adherence to	variable/function					
	coding rules and	naming.					
	conventions,						
	including						
	impeccable						
	indentation and						
	consistent						
	variable/function						
	naming.						
Utilise suitable	Extensive error	Well error	There is a good	There is some	There is a few	There is no	No evidence of
tools to design,	exception handling	exception	evidence of error	evidence of error	evidence of error	evidence of error	attempting
implement, test	and validation have	handling and	exception handling	handling or	handling	or exception	required
and evaluate	been used in the	validation have	and validation	validation but no		handling	threshold
solutions	code	been used in the		exception handling			
		code					



#### **Learning Outcomes**

This assessment will enable you to demonstrate in full or in part your fulfilment of the following learning outcomes identified in the Module Descriptor:

#### **Living CV**

As part of the University's Work Ready, Future Ready strategy, you will be expected to build a professional, Living CV as you successfully engage and pass each module of your degree.

The Living CV outputs evidenced on completion of this assessment are:

- 1. I can solve real-world problems by getting and analysing large amounts of data.
- 2. I can confidently write Python code to obtain, manipulate, and analyse real-world dataset.
- 3. I am experienced in using environment tool such as Jupyter notebook to design, implement, test and evaluate solutions.
- 4. I can conduct written and verbal presentations to share insights to audiences of varying levels of technical sophistication.

Please add these to your CV via the Living CV builder platform on Solent Futures Online Solent Futures Online

## **Important Information**

Solent University Academic Regulations 2023-24

#### **Late Submissions**

You are reminded that:

- i. If this assessment is submitted late i.e. within 7 calendar days of the submission deadline, the mark will be capped at 40% if a pass mark is achieved;
- ii. If this assessment is submitted <u>later</u> than 7 calendar days after the submission deadline, the work will be regarded as a non-submission and will be awarded a zero;
- iii. If this assessment is being submitted as a referred piece of work, then it <u>must</u> be submitted by the deadline date; <u>any</u> Refer assessment submitted late will be regarded as a non-submission and will be awarded a zero.

#### Assessment regulations

## **Extenuating Circumstances**

The University's Extenuating Circumstances (EC) procedure is in place if there are genuine short term exceptional circumstances that may prevent you submitting an assessment. You are able to self-certify for up to two assessment dates in any semester without supporting evidence for an extension of up to seven calendar days for coursework or to defer an exam to the resit period.

Alternatively, if you are not 'fit to study' (or you have used up your two self-certification opportunities), you can request:

- an extension to the submission deadline of 7 calendar days, or
- a request to submit the assessment at the next opportunity, i.e. the resit period (as a Defer without capping of the grade).

In both instances you must submit an EC application with relevant evidence. If accepted under the university regulations there will be no academic penalty for late submission or non-submission



dependent on what is requested. You are reminded that EC covers only short-term issues (20 working days) and that if you experience longer term matters that impact on your learning then you must contact the Student Hub for advice.

Please find a link to the EC policy below:

## **Extenuating Circumstances**

#### **Academic Misconduct**

Any submission must be your own work and, where facts or ideas have been used from other sources, these sources must be appropriately referenced. The University's Academic Regulations includes the definitions of all practices that will be deemed to constitute academic misconduct. You should check this link before submitting your work.

Procedures relating to student academic misconduct are given below:

## **Academic Misconduct**

#### **Ethics Policy**

The work being carried out must be in compliance with the university Ethics Policy. Where there is an ethical issue, as specified within the Ethics Policy, then you will need an ethics release or ethics approval prior to the start of the project.

The Ethics Policy is contained within Section 2S of the Academic Handbook:

## **Ethics Policy**

#### **Grade marking**

The University uses an alpha numeric grade scale for the marking of assessments. Unless you have been specifically informed otherwise your marked assignment will be awarded a letter/number grade. More detailed information on grade marking and the grade scale can be found on the portal and in the Student Handbook.

# **Grade Marking Scale**

**Guidance for online submission through Solent Online Learning (SOL)** 

Online Submission