



USC Viterbi
School of Engineering


Lexicon & Text Normalization





Overview of these Slides

- These slides are focused on the issue of how a search engine creates its database of documents.
- In particular how the search engine determines what words go into its inverted index, the **lexicon**
- we begin with a discussion of word frequency and determining the number of unique words (the **vocabulary**)
- then we look at some specific operations for refining the vocabulary
 - Stemming (mentioned earlier)
 - stop words (mentioned earlier)
 - Tokenization (parsing)
 - Capitalization (important or not?)
 - case folding (converting to all uppercase or lowercase)
 - synonyms (thesaurus)
 - similar sounding words





Power Laws and Zipf's Law

- An equation of the form $y = kx^c$ is called a power law
 - k and c are constants
- Zipf's law is a power law with $c = -1$
 - George Zipf noticed the rule while examining word frequencies in text
 - His rule states that the frequency of any word is inversely proportional to its rank in the frequency table
 - Thus the most frequent word will occur approximately twice as often as the second most frequent word, three times as often as the third most frequent word, etc
- On a log-log plot, power laws give a straight line with slope c .

$$\log(y) = \log(kx^c) = \log k + c \log(x)$$



Some Power Law Examples

- ***Population of U.S. states:*** if we order states in the US by population and let y be the population of the x -th most populous state, then x and y obey Zipf's law approximately.
- ***Book sales at Amazon.com;*** let x represent the rank of books by sales and let y be the number of sales of the x^{th} best selling book over some period of time. According to Amazon the best seller sold 1,000,000 copies and the 10^{th} best sold 10,000 copies, and the 100^{th} best selling book sold 100 copies
- ***Node degrees in the web graph:*** order all pages by the number of in-links to that page. Let x be the position of a page in this ordering and let y be the number of in-links to the x^{th} page. Then y is a power law function of x




Other Power Law Examples

- **Frequency of accesses to web pages**
 - For example: the access counts on Wikipedia pages
- **Words in the English language**
 - for instance, in Shakespeare's play Hamlet with c approximately 0.5
- **Sizes of settlements**
- **Income distributions among individuals**
- **Size of earthquakes**
- **Notes in musical performances**

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Zipf's_law

http://www.cut-the-knot.org/do_you_know/zipfLaw.shtml





Statistical Properties of Text

- **We can ask two questions about words in a set of documents**
 1. How is the frequency of different words distributed?
 2. How fast does vocabulary size grow with the size of a set of documents?
- **Such factors affect the performance of information retrieval and can be used to select appropriate term weights and other aspects of an IR system.**



Word Frequency

- **A few words are very common.**
 - Two very frequent words (e.g. “the”, “of”) can account for about 10% of word occurrences.
- **Most words are very rare.**
 - Half the words in a corpus appear only once, called *hapax legomena* (Greek for “read only once”)
- **The above phenomenon is called a “heavy tailed” distribution, since most of the probability mass is in the “tail”**
- **See https://en.wiktionary.org/wiki/Wiktionary:Frequency_lists#**
- Here are the top 100 words from Project Gutenberg texts in alphabetical order:
- a · about · after · all · an · and · any · are · as · at · be · been · before · but · by · can · could · did · do · down · first · for · from · good · great · had · has · have · he · her · him · his · I · if · in · into · is · it · its · know · like · little · made · man · may · me · men · more · Mr · much · must · my · no · not · now · of · on · one · only · or · other · our · out · over · said · see · she · should · so · some · such · than · that · the · their · them · then · there · these · they · this · time · to · two · up · upon · us · very · was · we · were · what · when · which · who · will · with · would · you · your



Sample Word Frequency Data (from B. Croft, UMass)

Frequent Word	Number of Occurrences	Percentage of Total
the	7,398,934	5.9
of	3,893,790	3.1
to	3,364,653	2.7
and	3,320,687	2.6
in	2,311,785	1.8
is	1,559,147	1.2
for	1,313,561	1.0
The	1,144,860	0.9
that	1,066,503	0.8
said	1,027,713	0.8

Frequencies from 336,310 documents in the 1GB TREC Volume 3 Corpus
125,720,891 total word occurrences; 508,209 unique words

There are Many Lists of Stop Words

A stop list of 25 semantically non-selective words


a	an	and	are	as	at	be	by	for	from
Has	he	in	is	it	its	of	on	that	the
to	was	were	will	with					

- The MOST COMMONLY used list of stop words
- <https://nlp.stanford.edu/IR-book/html/htmledition/dropping-common-terms-stop-words-1.html>
- Using a stop list significantly reduces the number of postings that a system has to store;
- However stopwords can be important in phrases, e.g. “President of the United States”
- Using compression the added cost of including stopwords is kept in bounds and is generally included in search engines indexes



Vocabulary Growth

- **Our second question:** How does the size of the overall vocabulary (number of unique words) grow with the size of the corpus?
- **This determines how the size of the inverted index will scale with the size of the corpus.**
- **In practice a vocabulary is not really upper-bounded due to proper names, typos, etc.**





Heaps' Law


- If V is the size of the vocabulary and n is the number of words:

$$V = Kn^{\beta} \quad \text{with constants } K, 0 < \beta < 1$$

- Typical constants:
 - $K \approx 10 - 100$
 - $\beta \approx 0.4 - 0.6$ (approx. square-root)
- So for $n = 100,000,000$ and $\beta = 0.5, K=1$ the vocabulary size is $\approx 10,000$
- Heap's law describes the number of distinct words (V) in a set of documents as a function of the document length (n)

The law is named after Harold Stanley Heaps, but was originally discovered by Gustav Herdan

Heaps' Law Data: An Example




Here 40,000,000 words implies a 250,000 vocabulary further implying $k = 33$ and $b=0.5$



Heap's Law Conclusions


- 1. the dictionary size continues to increase with more documents in the collection, rather than a maximum vocabulary size being reached**
- 2. the size of the dictionary is quite large for large collections**
 - 1 and 2 imply that dictionary compression is important to do**
 - See section 5.2 of our textbook**





USC Viterbi
School of Engineering

Lexicon Construction



What is a Lexicon?

- **Definition:** A database of the vocabulary of a particular domain (or a language)
- It is more than a list of words/phrases
- Usually it includes some linguistic information
 - *Morphology* (manag- e/es/ing/ed → manage), (description of the structure of a language's units such as root words, parts of speech, intonations, stresses)
 - *Syntactic patterns* (such as Verb-Object or Subject-Verb-Object)
- **Often some semantic information is included:**
 - *Is-a hierarchy*, e.g.
 - lion is-a primate is-a mammal; corvette is-a Chevrolet is-a General Motors car
 - *Synonyms* (e.g. restaurant, café)
 - Numbers convert to normal form: Four → 4
 - Dates convert to normal form (month/day/year)
 - Alternative names converted to explicit form
 - Mr. Carr, Tyler, Presenter → Tyler Carr



Determining the Characters in a Document

- **Digital documents are typically bytes in a file. The first step of processing is to convert this byte sequence into a linear sequence of characters.**
 - Plain English text in ASCII encoding poses no problem
 - However, multi-byte encoding schemes, such as Unicode are more difficult to identify and process.
 - Determining the correct encoding is often handled by heuristic methods or by using provided document metadata such as:
 - `<html lang="en-US">`, `<html lang="fr">`, `<Q lang="he" dir="rtl">`
- **Unicode (Worldwide Character Standard) is a **character** encoding standard that stores letters and other **characters** by assigning a number for each one.**
- Unicode allows for **17** planes, each of **65,536** possible characters (or 'code points'). This gives a total of **1,114,112** possible characters. At present, only about 10% of this space has been allocated
- Unicode may be 8-bit, 16-bit or 32-bit
 - ASCII uses only one byte to represent each character, Unicode up to 4 bytes
- UTF-8 is a popular version of Unicode that uses 8-bit encoding
- There are 3,178 emojis in the Unicode standard as of 10/2019, see
- **<https://unicode.org/emoji/charts/full-emoji-list.html>**



Determining the Characters

- Alternatively, the characters may have to be decoded out of some binary representation like Microsoft Word DOC files and/or a compressed format such as zip files
- Even for plain text documents, additional decoding may need to be done. In *XML* document's character entities, such as &, need to be decoded to give the correct character
- Finally, the textual part of the document may need to be extracted out of other material, e.g. when handling postscript (PS) or PDF files
- **Solution:** *This problem is usually solved by licensing a software library that handles decoding document formats and character encodings.*



The Apache **Tika**TM toolkit detects and extracts metadata and text from over a thousand different file types (such as PPT, XLS, and PDF). All of these file types can be parsed through a single interface, making **Tika** useful for search engine indexing, content analysis, translation, and much more.



More Complications: Format/Language

- Documents being indexed can include items from **many different** languages
 - A single index may contain terms from many languages.
- **Sometimes a single document or its components can contain multiple language formats, e.g.**
 - French email with a German pdf attachment.
 - French email with quoted clauses from an English-language contract
 - French email with Arabic or Hebrew phrases (right-to-left)
- Google recommends **using different URLs for each language version of a page** rather than using cookies or browser settings to adjust the content language on the page.
 - If you use different URLs for different languages, use `hreflang` annotations to help Google search results link to the correct language version of a page
 - `<link rel="alternate" hreflang="en" href=http://www.example.com />`
 - **<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8ce9jv91beQ&t=23s>**



Tokenization

- **Definition:** *tokenization*: The task of chopping a document unit into pieces, called tokens, and possibly throwing away certain characters
- A *token* is an instance of a sequence of characters in some particular document that are grouped together as a useful semantic unit
- A *term* is a (possibly normalized) type that is included in the lexicon
- A **tokenizer** breaks a stream of text into tokens,
- A **lexer** is basically a **tokenizer**, but it usually attaches extra context to the tokens – e.g. this token is a number, that token is a string literal, this other token is an equality operator.
- **Simple tokenization algorithm:** “chop on whitespace and throw away punctuation characters”, but consider these examples:
 - “Mr. O’Neill thinks that the boys’ stories about Chile’s capital aren’t amusing”
 - O’Neill: is the proper token, but according to our rule above it might be treated as one of these five possibilities: neill, oneill, o’neill, o’ neill, o neill?
 - aren’t: is the proper token, but according to our rule above it might be treated as one of these four possibilities: aren’t, arent, are n’t, aren t?
- So the simple strategy of splitting on all non-alphanumeric characters can and does fail and one needs a more powerful rule.



Token Normalization

- *Token normalization* is the process of canonicalizing tokens so that matches occur despite superficial differences in the character sequences of the tokens.
- The **standard** way to normalize is to implicitly create *equivalence classes*, which are normally named after one member of the set; this is often called **stemming**;
 - *stemming* is the process for reducing inflected (or sometimes derived) words to their stem, base or root form – generally a written word form
 - A stemmer reduces the words "fishing", "fished", "fish", and "fisher" to the root word, "fish".
 - Later slides discuss stemming in more detail
- An **alternative** to creating equivalence classes is to maintain relations between unnormalized tokens. This method can be extended to hand-constructed lists of synonyms such as *car* and *automobile*.
- One way to perform the expansion is during index construction. When the document contains automobile, we index it under car as well (and, usually, also vice-versa)



Handling Unusual Specific Tokens

- A tokenizer should recognize as a single token:
 - email addresses (jblack@mail.yahoo.com),
 - web URLs (http://stuff.big.com/new/specials.html),
 - numeric IP addresses (142.32.48.231),
 - package tracking numbers (1Z9999W99845399981)
 - E.g. aircraft names like B-52,
 - Unusual TV show name such as M*A*S*H
 - phone numbers (800) 234-2333
 - dates (Mar 11, 1983).
- In English, *hyphenation* is used for various purposes ranging from splitting up vowels in words (*co-education*) to joining nouns as names (*Hewlett-Packard*) to a copyediting device to show word grouping (*the hold-him-back-and-drag-him-away maneuver*).
- See our textbook, *Introduction to Information Retrieval* for more details, Section 2.2




Stop Words Can be Critical to Meaning

- **Removal:** for the query: “how to implement the BM25 retrieval formula” it is best to remove stop words “how”, “to” and “the” and to focus on “implement BM25 retrieval formula” see https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Okapi_BM25
- **Exceptions: phrase searches**
 - The meaning of “flights *to* London” is likely to be lost if the word *to* is stopped out.
 - A search for Vannevar Bush's article “As we may think” will be difficult if the first three words are stopped out, and the system searches simply for documents containing the word think.
 - Some song titles and well known pieces of verse consist entirely of words that are commonly on stop lists (To be or not to be, Let It Be, I don't want to be, ...).
- **Exceptions: sentiment determination**
 - “I told you she was not happy” removing “not” totally changes the sentiment of the sentence



Capitalization/Case-Folding

- *case-folding* is reducing all letters to either all upper case or lower case.
- Often this is a good idea:
 - *automobile* will match *Automobile*
 - *ferrari* will match *Ferrari*
- On the other hand, such case folding can equate words that might better be kept apart. Here are some Proper Nouns that when converted to lower case become something different:
 - *General Motors*,
 - *The Associated Press*
 - *the Fed* vs. *fed*
 - person names such as *Bush*, *Black*
- ***So search engines retain the capitalization as they find it***



Stemming and Lemmatization

- *Stemming* usually refers to a crude heuristic process that chops off the ends of words in the hope of achieving a common base correctly most of the time
- *Lemmatization* refers to the use of a vocabulary and morphological analysis of words, normally aiming to remove inflectional endings only and to return the base or dictionary form of a word, which is known as the *lemma*
- **morphology** is the study of **words**, how they are formed, and their relationship to other **words**
 - It analyzes the structure of **words** and parts of **words**, such as stems, root **words**, prefixes, and suffixes.

Form	Suffix	Stem
studies	-es	studi
study	-ing	study
niñas	-as	niñ
niñez	-ez	niñ

Stemming example; English and Spanish

Form	Morphological information	Lemma
studies	Third person, singular number, present tense of the verb study	study
studying	Gerund of the verb study	study
niñas	Feminine gender, plural number of the noun niño	niño
niñez	Singular number of the noun niñez	niñez

Lemmatization Example



USC Viterbi
School of Engineering

JavaScript Porter Stemmer Online

Javascript Porter Stemmer Online

[View the source \(minified\)](#)

Find out more about the Porter Stemming algorithm at the [official site](#).

Example:

Do you really think it is weakness that yields to temptation? I tell you that there are terrible temptations which it requires strength, strength and courage to yield to - Oscar Wilde

Stem the above content

Overlay

Example Do you really think it is weakness that yields to temptation I tell you that there are terrible temptations which it requires strength strength and courage to yield to Oscar Wilde

Stemmed

Examp Do you realli think it is weak that yield to temptat I tell you that there ar terribl temptat which it requir strength strength and courag to yield to Oscar Wild

•A stemmer written by Martin Porter has become the de-facto standard algorithm used for English stemming

•The website to the left implements Porter's stemmer algorithm in JavaScript

•Example: "to be or not to be" is not changed at all

Example: Since the department's founding in 1968, our faculty have made pioneering contributions to fundamental and interdisciplinary fields of computing."


Since -> Sinc
department -> depart
founding -> found
pioneering -> pioneer
contributions -> contribut
fundamental -> fundament

The Porter Stemming Algorithm

- The official site is located at <http://tartarus.org/~martin/PorterStemmer/>
- The site offers versions of the algorithm in multiple languages including C, Perl, Java, JavaScript, PHP

Original paper
written in
1979;
JavaScript version can
be found at
<http://tartarus.org/~martin/PorterStemmer/js.txt>

The JavaScript is very easy
to follow



The screenshot shows a web browser window with the title "The Porter Stemming Algorithm". The address bar shows the URL "tartarus.org/~martin/PorterStemmingAlgorithm". The browser's bookmark bar includes links to "Apps", "CSCI 572 Home Page", "CSCI 571 Home Page", "CSCI 351 Home Page", "Ellis Horowitz Home", "Computer Science", and "Other Bookmarks". The page content includes a revision notice, a description of the algorithm, a history section, and references.

The Porter Stemming Algorithm

This page was completely revised Jan 2006. The earlier edition is [here](#).

This is the 'official' home page for distribution of the Porter Stemming Algorithm, written and maintained by its author, [Martin Porter](#).

The Porter stemming algorithm (or 'Porter stemmer') is a process for removing the commoner morphological and inflexional endings from words in English. Its main use is as part of a term normalisation process that is usually done when setting up Information Retrieval systems.

History

The original [stemming algorithm paper](#) was written in 1979 in the Computer Laboratory, Cambridge (England), as part of a larger IR project, and appeared as Chapter 6 of the final project report,

C.J. van Rijsbergen, S.E. Robertson and M.F. Porter, 1980. *New models in probabilistic information retrieval*. London: British Library. (British Library Research and Development Report, no. 5587).

With van Rijsbergen's encouragement, it was also published in,

M.F. Porter, 1980, An algorithm for suffix stripping, *Program*, **14**(3) pp 130-137.

And since then it has been reprinted in

Karen Sparck Jones and Peter Willet, 1997, *Readings in Information Retrieval*, San Francisco: Morgan Kaufmann, ISBN 1-55860-454-4.

The original stemmer was written in [BCPL](#), a language once popular, but now defunct. For the first few years after 1980 it was distributed in its BCPL form, via the medium of punched paper tape. Versions in other languages soon began to appear, and by 1999 it was being widely used, quoted and adapted. Unfortunately there were numerous variations in functionality among these versions, and this web page was set up primarily to 'put the record straight' and establish a definitive version for distribution.



Typical Rules in Porter's algorithm

- Porter's algorithm has five phases of word reductions, applied sequentially
- Each phase has a set of conventions for how to select the transformation
- For a given set of rules only the rule with longest suffix match is applied; for example

- *sses* → *ss*
- *ies* → *i*
- *ational* → *ate*
- *tional* → *tion*


For Ex. CARESSES maps to CARESS since SSES is the longest match.

- Other possibilities are suffix stripping algorithms
 - *If the word ends in 'ed' remove the 'ed'*
 - *If the word ends in 'ing', remove the 'ing'*
 - *If the word ends in 'ly', remove the 'ly'*



Error Metrics

- **Two error measurements**
 - **Over-stemming:** two separate inflected words are stemmed to the same root, but should not have been, a *false positive*
 - **Under-stemming:** where two separate inflected words should be stemmed to the same root, but are not, a *false negative*
- **In the Porter stemmer**
 - Universal, university and universe are stemmed to univers, a case of over-stemming
 - alumnus goes to alumnu, alumni goes to alumni, alumna goes to alumna, but all should stem to the same root, under-stemming





One Last Algorithm

Soundex Algorithm

- Soundex is a phonetic algorithm *for indexing names by their sound* when pronounced in English.
- The basic aim is for names with the same pronunciation to be encoded to the same string so that matching can occur despite minor differences in spelling, e.g.
 - SMITH and SMYTH (the second option is pronounced like the first)
- Soundex is the most widely known of all phonetic algorithms, as it is a standard feature of MySQL, Microsoft SQL Server and Oracle
- E.g., *chebyshev* → *tchebycheff*
- It was developed by Robert Russell and Margaret Oldell in 1918



Soundex – Typical Algorithm

- Turn every token to be indexed into a 4-character reduced form
- Do the same with query terms
- Build and search an index on the reduced forms
 - (when the query calls for a soundex match)

Here are some good links that discuss the SoundEx Algorithm

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Soundex>

<https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/computer-science/soundex-algorithm>

<http://www.blackwasp.co.uk/soundex.aspx>



Soundex – Algorithm

- The algorithm mainly encodes consonants; a vowel will not be encoded unless it is the first letter.
- Every Soundex code consists of a letter and three numbers, e.g. W-252;
 - the letter is the first letter of the surname
 - The numbers are assigned to the remaining letters of the surname according to the Soundex guide
- Washington is coded W-252 (W, 2 for the S, 5 for the N, 2 for the G, and remaining letters disregarded)
- Lee is coded L-000 (L the leading letter and since the ee are dropped the zeros are used as padding)

A web page containing versions of Soundex in 36 different programming languages is here

<http://rosettacode.org/wiki/Soundex>

<http://www.archives.gov/research/census/soundex.html>



Soundex – Typical Algorithm

1. Retain the first letter of the word.
2. Change all occurrences of the following letters to '0' (zero):
'A', 'E', 'I', 'O', 'U', 'H', 'W', 'Y'.
3. Change letters to digits as follows:
 - B, F, P, V → 1
 - C, G, J, K, Q, S, X, Z → 2
 - D, T → 3
 - L → 4
 - M, N → 5
 - R → 6






Soundex Continued

4. Remove all pairs of consecutive digits.
5. Remove all zeros from the resulting string.
6. Pad the resulting string with trailing zeros and return the first four positions, which will be of the form <uppercase letter> <digit> <digit> <digit>.

E.g., *Herman* becomes H655

- The algorithm is straight forward to code and requires no backtracking or multiple passes over the input word






Soundex Example

- **Example 1: Zbygniewski has code Z125**
 - starts with Z, consonant in group 1 (the b), one in group 2 (the g), one in group 5 (the n), remainder is ignored
 - if Zbignyefsky is entered, the same code is produced
- **For some words using Soundex does not provide value, e.g.**
- **Example 2: disapont has code D215**
 - a spelling corrector in its database will retrieve all words with code D215 which includes the following 31 words: disband, disbands, disbanded, disbanding, disbandment, disbandments, dispense, dispenses, dispensed, dispensing, dispenser, dispensers, dispensary, dispensaries, dispensable, dispensation, dispensations, deceiving, deceivingly, despondent, despondently, disobeying, disappoint, disappoints, disappointed, disappointing, disappointedly, disappointingly, disappointment, disappointments, disavowing



Postscript: Lucene and Solr

- **Lucene**
 - a high-performance, full-featured text search engine library written entirely in Java.
 - suitable for any application that requires full-text search
 - an open source project available for free download
- **Solr**
 - HTTP-based Search Server
 - Uses XML for configuration
 - Many, many nice features that Lucene users need
 - Faceting, spell checking, highlighting
 - Caching, Replication, Distributed
- Download both from <http://lucene.apache.org>






Main Lucene Modules

- **Lucene is the underlying software that imports documents and creates an inverted index**
 - **Document Parsing and Analysis**
 - This section is in charge of tokenization
 - **Document Identification**
 - Where the Document ID is created
 - Date of Document is extracted
 - Title of document is extracted
 - **Indexing**
 - Provides access to indexes
 - Maintains indexes



Lucene in a search system





Lucene Tokenizers Specify How the Text in a Field is to be Indexed

- **Tokenizers in Lucene**
 - **WhitespaceTokenizer**
 - divides text at whitespace
 - **SimpleTokenizer**
 - divides text at non-letters
 - converts to lower case
 - **StopTokenizer**
 - SimpleAnalyzer
 - removes stop words
 - **StandardTokenizer (a combination of the above)**
 - good for most European Languages
 - removes stop words
 - convert to lower case
 - https://lucene.apache.org/core/3_0_3/api/core/org/apache/lucene/analysis/standard/StandardTokenizer.html
- **In Lucene you can create you own Tokenizers**



Example 1

Analysis example

- “The quick brown fox jumped over the lazy dog”
- **WhitespaceAnalyzer**
 - [The] [quick] [brown] [fox] [jumped] [over] [the] [lazy] [dog]
- **SimpleAnalyzer**
 - [the] [quick] [brown] [fox] [jumped] [over] [the] [lazy] [dog]
- **StopAnalyzer**
 - [quick] [brown] [fox] [jumped] [over] [lazy] [dog]
- **StandardAnalyzer**
 - [quick] [brown] [fox] [jumped] [over] [lazy] [dog]



Example 2

- **“XY&Z Corporation – xyz@example.com”**
- **WhitespaceAnalyzer**
 - **[XY&Z] [Corporation] [-] [xyz@example.com]**
- **SimpleAnalyzer**
 - **[xy] [z] [corporation] [xyz] [example] [com]**
- **StopAnalyzer**
 - **[xy] [z] [corporation] [xyz] [example] [com]**
- **StandardAnalyzer**
 - **[xy&z] [corporation] [xyz@example.com]**

