

CATestSeries.org (Since 2015)

CA Final | CA Inter | CA IPCC | CA Foundation Online Test Series

| Answer Pa | per |
|-------------------|--------------|
| SCMPE | Duration: 65 |
| Details: Test – 3 | Marks: 35 |

Instructions:

- All the questions are compulsory
- Properly mention test number and page number on your answer sheet, Try to upload sheets in arranged manner.
- In case of multiple choice questions, mention option number only Working notes are compulsory wherever required in support of your solution in the support of your solution.
- Do not copy any solution from any material. Attempt as much as you know to fairly judge your performance.

<u>Legal</u>: Material provided by catestseries.org is subject to copyright. No part of this publication may be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted in any form or by any means, including photocopying, recording, or other electronic or mechanical methods, without the prior written permission of the publisher. For permission requests, write to the publisher, addressed "Attention: Permissions Coordinator," at **exam@catestseries.org**. If any person caught of copyright infringement, strong legal action will be taken. For more details check legal terms on the website: catestseries.org

ANS 1:-

(i) Variable cost per unit that will be affected by learning and experience curve is Rs. 2,200 (Rs. 4,400 - 50% of Rs. 4,400).

Let 'r' be the learning curve rate.

| No. of batch (x) | Cumulative Average Cost per unit (y) |
|-------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1 | 2,200 |
| 2 | 2,200 r |
| 4 | 2,200 r ² |

If 2,200 r^2 = Rs. 1,920 (Rs. 4,120 – 50% of Rs. 4,400)

 $r^2 = 0.8727$

r= 0.934

Therefore, Learning Curve Effect = 93% (rounded off)

Achieving Excellence Together

(ii) Calculation of Optimum Price

| Price per unit (Rs.) | Demand (units) | Variable Cost per unit * [W.N.] (Rs.) | Variable cost per unit ** (Rs.) | Total Variable Cost per unit (Rs.) | Contribu tion per unit (Rs.) | Total Contribution (Rs.) |
|-------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 11,100.00 | 1,000 | 2,200.00 | 2,200.00 | 4,400.00 | 6,700.00 | 67,00,000 |
| 10,700.00 | 2,000 | 2,046.00 | 2,200.00 | 4,246.00 | 6,454.00 | 1,29,08,000 |

| 9,600.00 | 3,000 | 1960.86 | 2,200.00 | 4,160.86 | 5,439.14 | 1,63,17,420 |
|----------|-------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------------|
| 8,700.00 | 4,000 | 1,902.78 | 2,200.00 | 4,102.78 | 4,597.22 | 1,83,88,880 |

- (*) This represents variable cost part which is affected by the learning and experience curve effect.
- (**) This represents variable cost part which is not affected by the learning and experience curve effect.

Working Note [W.N.] Variable Cost per unit

| Output in Batches (x) | Average Cost of the First Unit (a) | x ^{-0.1047} | Cummulative Average Cost per unit (y) |
|-----------------------|--|----------------------|--|
| 1 | 2,200 | 1.0000 | 2,200.00 |
| 2 | 2,200 | 0.9299 | 2,046.00 |
| 3 | 2,200 _{hievin} | 0.8913 Togethe | 1,960.86 |
| 4 | 2,200 | 0.8649 | 1,902.78 |

y= ax^b

Where,

y= Cummulative average unit costs

a= Average cost of the first unit

x= Cummulative number of batches

b= Log of learning ratio ÷ Log of 2

 $= \log 0.93 \div \log 2$

 $= -0.0315 \div 0.3010$

= -0.1047

ANS 2:-

As per the statement given in the problem, Flight GP-022 incurs a net (loss) of Rs. 158,100. This is the net result of revenue less costs. Revenue is entirely variable depending upon passenger occupancy. Costs are both variable and fixed nature. To analyze the impact of dropping flight GP-022, we need to re-compute net gain/(loss) that Golden Pacific earns when it operates the flight based on relevant costing principles.

Net Gain/(Loss) = Revenue earned from flight operations less Variable costs of operation

Revenue earned is the ticket revenue earned from flight operations of GP-02, this is entirely variable. Variable costs of flight operations are those expenses that would be incurred only when the flight is operated. These include variable expenses per passenger, salaries flight assistants, overnight costs for flight crew and assistants, fuel for aircraft, a third portion of flight insurance that is specifically related to this flight sector and flight promotion expense. These are expenses that will not be incurred if the flight is not operated. Hence, relevant for decision making.

Other expenses like salaries of flight crew and hanger parking fees for aircraft are fixed expenses that will be incurred even if the flight does not operate. Loading and flight preparation expenses is an allocated cost that will continue to be incurred even flight GP-022 does not operate. Depreciation of aircraft and liability insurance expense (2/3rd portion not related to a specific flight sector) are sunk costs. These expenses have already been incurred

and hence are irrelevant to decision making. Therefore, these fixed, allocated and sunk expenses are ignored while analyzing the decision whether to continue operating flight GP-022.

| Flight GP-022 Statement Sowing Net Gain/(Loss) | | | | | |
|--|----------|----------|--|--|--|
| | Rs | Rs. | | | |
| Contribution Margin if the flight is continued | | 5,88,000 | | | |
| Less: Flight Costs | | 1 | | | |
| Flight Promotion | 28,000 | | | | |
| Fuel for Aircraft | 2,38,000 | | | | |
| Liability Insurance (1/3 × Rs. 1,47,000) | 49,000 | 3 | | | |
| Salaries, Flight Assistants | 31,500 | | | | |
| Overnight Costs for Flight Crew and Assistants | 12,600 | 3,59,100 | | | |
| Net Gain/ (Loss) | | 2,28,900 | | | |

If Golden Pacific Airlines Ltd. discontinues flight GP-02, profits will reduce by Rs. 2,28,900/-. The statement showing loss in operations of Rs. 158,100 is misleading for decision making purpose because it accounts for costs that are fixed and irrelevant. However, since flight GP-022 yields a net gain of Rs. 2,28,900/-, flight operations should continue.

(5 Marks)

ANS 3:-

(i) Contribution Margin per unit

| Particulars | Super Grade (Rs.) | Good Grade (Rs.) | Normal Grade (Rs.) |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| Selling Price per unit | 3,600 | 3,400 | 3,000 |
| Less: Variable Conversion Cost per unit | 1,200 (Rs. 100 × 12hrs.) | 1,200 (Rs. 100 × 12hrs.) | 1,000 (Rs. 100 × 10 hrs.) |
| Less: Direct Material Cost per unit | 2,100 | 1,900 | 1,720 |
| Contribution Margin per unit | 300 | 300 | 280 |

(ii) The contribution margin per unit may give false signals when an organization has production bottlenecks. Instead, company should use the contribution margin per bottleneck hour to determine relative product profitability, as follows:

| Particulars | Super Grade (Rs.) | Good Grade(Rs.) | Normal Grade(Rs.) |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Contribution Margin per unit (Rs.) | 300 | 300 | 280 |
| Furnace Bottleneck hrs. per unit | 6 | 5 | 4 |
| Contribution Margin per furnace hour | 50 | 60 | 70 |

Analysis

The Super and Good Grade steel have the highest contribution margin per unit (Rs. 300); however, the normal grade has the highest contribution margin per furnace hour (Rs. 70). Thus, using production bottleneck analysis indicates that the Normal Grade is actually more profitable at a Rs. 70 contribution margin per furnace hour than Super Grade's Rs. 50 or Good Grade's Rs. 60 contribution margin per furnace hour.

Therefore, the company would want to sell product in the following preference order:

- I. Normal Grade
- II. Good Grade
- III. Super Grade

(iii) One way is to revise the pricing would be to increase the price to the point where all three products profitability equal to the highest profit product. This would be determined as follows:

Contribution Margin per furnace hour for Normal Grade =

Revised price of Super grade -Variable Cost per unit of Super Grade

Furnace Hours of Super Grade per unit

Or

Rs. 70 = $\frac{Revised \ pice \ of \ Super \ Grade - Rs.(1,200+2,100)}{6 \ hrs.}$ Excellence Together

Or, Rs. 420 = Revised Price of Super Grade – Rs. 3300

Super Grade steel would require a revised price of Rs. 3,720 in order to deliver the same contribution margin per bottleneck hour as does Normal Grade steel.

Contribution Margin per furnace hour for Normal Grade =

Revised price of Super grade -Variable Cost per unit of Super Grade

Furnace Hours of Super Grade per unit

Rs. 70 =
$$\frac{Revised\ pice\ of\ Super\ Grade\ -Rs.(1,200+1,900)}{5\ hrs.}$$

Good grade steel would require a revised price of 3,450 in order to deliver the same contribution margin per bottleneck hour as does Normal grade steel.

(8 Marks)

ANS 4:-

| Computation of labour and overhead rate | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|-------------------|----------|---------------------|--|--|
| Particulars | Core Making | Melting & pouring | Moulding | Cleaning & grinding | | |
| Labour & overheads (Rs.) | 18,000.00 | 26,000.00 | 9,000.00 | 6,500.00 | | |
| Labour & overheads per hour (Rs.) | 9.00 | 6.50 | 6.00 | 5.20 | | |
| No. of hours | 2,000.00 | 4,000.00 | 1,500.00 | 1,250.00 | | |
| Variable overhead per hour (Rs.) | 1.50 | 0.25 | 0.67 | 0.80 | | |
| Labour rate per hour (Rs.) | ng E _{5.00} llen | ce Together 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.60 | | |
| Hours required for new order | 1,350.00 | 2,250.00 | 900.00 | 900.00 | | |
| Labour cost required for order (Rs.) | 6,750.00 | 9,000.00 | 3,600.00 | 3,240.00 | | |
| Variable overhead cost for order (Rs.) | 1,620.00 | 563.00 | 600.00 | 270.00 | | |

| Revised monthly labour and overheads cost budget reflecting the additions of the order | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|-------------------|----------|---------------------|--------|--|
| Particulars | Core making | Melting & pouring | Moulding | Cleaning & grinding | Total | |
| | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | |
| Labour | 10,000 | 16,000 | 6,000 | 4,500 | | |
| Labour for the order | 6,750 | 9,000 | 3,600 | 3,240 | 1 | |
| | 16,750 | 25,000 | 9,600 | 7,740 | | |
| Variable overheads | 3,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 3 | |
| Variable overheads for the order | 1,620 | 563 | 600 | 270 | | |
| -444 | 4,620 | 1,563 | 1,600 | 1,270 | | |
| Fixed cost | 5,000 | 9,000 | 2,000 | 1,000 | | |
| Total | 26,37 <mark>0</mark> | 35,563 | 13,200 | 10,010 | 85,143 | |
| Add : additional fixed cost | biari | JA | gether | | 1,000 | |
| | | xcellence To | gen | 1 | | |

| Computation of total price for the order (Amount in Rs.) | | | | |
|--|---------------|-----------|--|--|
| Material | (15000x1) | 15,000.00 | | |
| Labour & overheads | (86143-59500) | 26,643.00 | | |
| | | 41,643.00 | | |

86,143

Total

| Total price for the order | (41643x6) | 249,858.00 |
|---------------------------|-----------|------------|
| | | |

(8 Marks)

ANS 5:-

(i) Calculation of area to be cultivated in respect of each crop to achieve the largest total profit

| | Potatoes Pea | | Carrots | Tomatoes | | |
|---|-----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|--|
| Boxes per hectare | 350 | 100 | 70 | 180 | | |
| (a) Market price | Rs. 30.76 | Rs. 31.74 | Rs. 36.80 | Rs. 44.55 | | |
| (b) variable costs: | | M | | | | |
| Direct material | 2.72* | 4.32 | 5.49 | 3.47 | | |
| Labour - Growing | 5.12* | 12.16 | 10.63 | 5.87 | | |
| Harvesting & Packing Achieving Excelle | 7.20 ence Toget | ner 6.56 | 8.80 | 10.40 | | |
| Transport per box | 10.40 | 10.40 | 8.00 | 19.20 | | |
| Total variable costs | 25.44 | 33.44 | 32.92 | 38.94 | | |
| (c) Contribution per box (a)-(b) | 5.32 | 1.70 | 3.88 | 5.61 | | |
| Contribution per hectare × Boxes per hectare (c) | 1862 | 170 | 271.60 | 1009.80 | | |
| Ranking | ı | II | Ш | IV | | |

*Cost per hectare : Boxes per hectare

Land available for all four vegetables = 340 hectares

Land available for peas and carrots = 140

Total land available = 480

Min. requirement of each variety = 5000 boxes

Max. requirement of each variety= 113750 boxes

Best cultivation plan: From 140 hectares for peas and carrots:

Peas: Minimum 500 boxes = 5000÷ 100 = 50 hectares

Carrots: Balance land 140 hectares – 50 hectare = 90 hectares (equivalent to 6300 boxes)

From 340 hectares all four vegetables:

(Since ranking for Peas & carrots is lowest & has already been allocated 140 hectares, no further land shall be allotted to these two products)

Tomatoes: Minimum 5000 boxes = 5000 ÷ 180= 28 hectares (in terms of complete hectares)

Potatoes: Balance of land i.e. 340-28 = 312 hectares (equivalent to 109200 boxes)

Area to be cultivated for each variety and total contribution

| | Potatoes | Peas | Carrots | Tomatoes |
|---------------------------|------------|------|---------|----------|
| Hectares | 312 | 50 | 90 | 28 |
| Contribution per hectares | Rs. 1862 | 170 | 271.60 | 1009.8 |
| Contribution | Rs. 580944 | 8500 | 24444 | 28274.40 |

| Total Contribution | | Rs.625162.40 |
|----------------------|--|--------------|
| Less: Fixed expenses | | 424000.00 |
| Profit | | 201162.40 |

(ii) Analysis to show whether land development should be undertaken

Carrot yield a lower contribution per hectare than Potatoes and Tomatoes, but it is grown in excess of the requirement of 5000 boxes or 72 hectares i.e. 5000 boxes/700. Therefore,18 hectares i.e.,90 hectares – 72 hectares can be made available for Potatoes and Tomatoes by land improvement.

After land improvement the contribution per hectare of Tomatoes will be follows:

| present contribution per hectare | Rs.1009.80 |
|--|------------|
| Saving per hectare after land improvement Rs. 2.60 × 180 boxes | 460 |
| | 1477.8 |

Achieving Excellence Together

| Allocation of 18 hectares available | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Crop | Maximum Sales (Boxes) | Present Production (Boxes) | Addl. Reqt. (Boxes) | Yield per hectare (Boxes) | Additional hectares to be allotted | |
| Potatoes | 113750 | 109200* | 4550 | 350 | 13 | |
| Tomatoes | 113750 | 5000 | 900 | 180 | 5(B.F.) | |

| Profit by revised Cultivation plan | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------|---------|----------|----------|-----------------------------|--|
| | Potatoes | Peas | Carrots | Tomatoes | Total | |
| Hectares | 325 | 50 | 72 | 33 | 480 | |
| Contribution per hectare | Rs.1862 | 170 | 271.60 | 1477.80 | 10 | |
| Total contribution | Rs.605150 | 8500 | 19555.20 | 48767.40 | 664972.60 | |
| Less: Fixed cost (revised)* | 13 | | 13£ | | 440200.00 | |
| profit | 777178 | | | | 2224772.60 | |
| *capital expenditure | | | | | 18 hectares × 6000 = 108000 | |
| Interest (108000 × 0.15) | | | | -4 | Rs. 16200 | |
| Existing fixed expenses | chieving Exc | ellence | Together | | 424000 | |
| | | 7 | | | 440200 | |

Conclusion: Since the profit after land development is greater, the company should implement the proposal to develop 18 hectares of land.

(8 Marks)