Insert here your thesis' task.



Master's thesis

${\bf Simple Object Machine\ implementation}$

Bc. Rudolf Rovňák

Department of Theoretical Computer Science Supervisor: Ing. Petr Máj

Acknowledgements THANKS (remove entirely in case you do not with to thank anyone)

Declaration

I hereby declare that the presented thesis is my own work and that I have cited all sources of information in accordance with the Guideline for adhering to ethical principles when elaborating an academic final thesis.

I acknowledge that my thesis is subject to the rights and obligations stipulated by the Act No. 121/2000 Coll., the Copyright Act, as amended, in particular that the Czech Technical University in Prague has the right to conclude a license agreement on the utilization of this thesis as a school work under the provisions of Article 60 (1) of the Act.

Czech Technical University in Prague Faculty of Information Technology © 2021 Rudolf Rovňák. All rights reserved.

This thesis is school work as defined by Copyright Act of the Czech Republic. It has been submitted at Czech Technical University in Prague, Faculty of Information Technology. The thesis is protected by the Copyright Act and its usage without author's permission is prohibited (with exceptions defined by the Copyright Act).

Citation of this thesis

Rovňák, Rudolf. SimpleObjectMachine implementation. Master's thesis. Czech Technical University in Prague, Faculty of Information Technology, 2021.

A	h	C	.	' 2	k	t
\boldsymbol{H}	IJ	3	LI	а	N	L

V několika větách shrňte obsah a přínos této práce v českém jazyce.

Klíčová slova Replace with comma-separated list of keywords in Czech.

Abstract

Summarize the contents and contribution of your work in a few sentences in English language.

Keywords Replace with comma-separated list of keywords in English.

Contents

T	Intr	oducti	.on	1
2	Ana	dysis a	and design	3
	2.1	SOM o	design and features	3
		2.1.1	Grammar	
		2.1.2	Class definition	5
		2.1.3	Methods and messages	5
		2.1.4	Classes	7
		2.1.5	Class hierarchy	7
		2.1.6	Syntax	8
	2.2	Interp	${\rm retation} \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ $	8
		2.2.1	AST interpretation	9
		2.2.2	Bytecode interpretation	9
	2.3	Optim	ization	9
	2.4	Virtua	d Machine	10
		2.4.1	Garbage collection	10
3	Rea	lisatio	n	11
Co	onclu	sion		13
Bi	bliog	graphy		15
A	Acr	onyms		17
R	Con	tonts o	of anclosed CD	10

	ist	Ωf	Fig	HI	29
_	131	UI	ı ıg	u	CS

2.1	Railroad	diagram for	classDefinition rule.							Ę

CHAPTER 1

Introduction

In the last decades, a trend of dynamic programming languages ¹ has been on the rise. As opposed to static programming languages (usually compiled) dynamic ones offer a higher level of abstraction and allow faster and less errorprone development. Dynamic languages move a lot of actions traditionally done during compile-time to run-time. This creates the need for another layer, a runtime environment.

My goal in this diploma thesis is to implement a process virtual machine for a programming language called SOM, or Simple Object Machine. It is a dynamic, object-oriented programming language based on Smalltalk. It was originally implemented at University of Arhus in Denmark to teach object oriented VMs [1]. There are several implementations in various programming languages, ranging in speed, optimizations etc.

My main focus in my work will be the clarity of implementation over performance.

¹Not to be confused with dynamically typed programming languages.

Analysis and design

2.1 SOM design and features

Simple Object Machine (SOM) is a minimal Smalltalk dialect used primarily for teaching construction of virtual machines. Key characteristics according to official website ([1]) are:

- clarity of implementation over performance,
- common language features such as: objects, classes, closures, non-local returns
- interpreter optimizations, threading, garbage collectors are different across various implementations.

2.1.1 Grammar

To implement a parser for the language, I decided to use ANTLR. I will demonstrate language features and design on the following ANTLR grammar for SOM. For the sake of brevity, I ommitted terminal symbols from the complete grammar as they are self-explanatory. All the terminal symbols in this grammar are named in uppercase letters.

```
grammar SOM;

classDefinition:
IDENTIFIER EQUALS superclass
instanceFields method*
(SEPARATOR classFields method*) ?
CLOSE_PAR
;
superclass: IDENTIFIER? OPEN_PAR;
```

```
instanceFields: (VBAR variable* VBAR)?;
classFields: (VBAR variable* VBAR)?;
method: pattern EQUALS methodBlock;
methodBlock: OPEN_PAR blockContents? CLOSE_PAR;
blockContents:
(VBAR localDefinitions VBAR)?
blockBody;
localDefinitions: variable*;
blockBody:
  RETURN result
| expression (PERIOD blockBody?)?;
result: expression PERIOD?;
expression: assignation | evaluation;
assignation: assignments evaluation;
assignments: assignment+;
assignment: variable ASSIGN;
evaluation: primary messages?;
primary: variable | nestedTerm | nestedBlock | literal;
 unaryMessage+ binaryMessage* keywordMessage?
| binaryMessage+ keywordMessage?
| keywordMessage;
unaryMessage: IDENTIFIER;
binaryMessage: binarySelector binaryOperand;
binaryOperand: primary unaryMessage*;
keywordMessage: (KEYWORD formula)+;
formula: binaryOperand binaryMessage*;
nestedTerm: OPEN_PAR expression CLOSE_PAR;
nestedBlock:
NEW_BLOCK blockPattern? blockContents? CLOSE_BLOCK;
blockPattern: blockArgs VBAR;
blockArgs: (COLON argument)+;
variable: IDENTIFIER;
pattern: unaryPattern | keywordPattern | binaryPattern;
unaryPattern: unarySelector;
unarySelector: IDENTIFIER;
binaryPattern: binarySelector argument;
keywordPattern: (KEYWORD argument)+;
binarySelector:
VBAR | PLUS | MINUS | EQUALS | MULT | DIV | MOD |
GREATER | GREATER EQ | LESS | LESS EQ;
argument: variable;
literal: literalNumber | literalString | literalArray | literalSymbol;
literalNumber: MINUS? (INTEGER | DOUBLE);
```

```
literalString: STRING;
literalArray: POUND NEW_BLOCK literal* CLOSE_BLOCK;
literalSymbol: POUND (STRING | selector);
selector: binarySelector | keywordSelector | unarySelector;
keywordSelector: KEYWORD+;
```

2.1.2 Class definition



Figure 2.1: Railroad diagram for classDefinition rule.

Syntax for class definition follows the official SOM grammar. The language supports single inheritance as apparent from the use of subclass token in the grammar. Not every class has explicitly specified superclass, therefore the actual identifier in the rule is optional.

Declaration of instance side fields follows, denoted by vertical bars. This token itself can be empty. Instance side methods definitions are next. Further details on *methods* and *messages* in SOM are discussed in TODO. Same syntax is used for class side fields and methods separated by a special token.

2.1.3 Methods and messages

As the SOM language is based on Smalltalk, the concept of messages (and the link to methods) is crucial to understand. "The only way to invoke a method is to send a message – which necessarily involves dynamic binding (by name) of message to method at runtime (and never at compile time). The internals of an object are not externally accessible, ever – the only way to access or modify an object's internal state is to send it a message [2].

The [3] defines a helpful terminology for message passing:

- A message is composed of the message *selector* and the optional message arguments.
- Every message must be sent to its receiver.
- Message and its receiver together will be referred to as message send.

There are three types of messages (as defined in other Smalltalk dialects, Pharo as an example of one).

Unary messages are sent to an object without any additional information (argument). In the following example, a unary message size is sent to a string object.

```
'hello' size "Evaluates to 5"
```

Binary messages are a special type of messages that require exactly one argument. The selector of a binary message can only consist of a sequence of one or more characters from the set: +, -, *, /, &, =, <, >, -, and @. A very simple example of usage of binary message are arithmetic operations.

```
3 + 4 "Evaluates to 7"
```

Keyword messages require one or more arguments. From the syntactic standpoint, they consist of multiple keywords, each ending in colon (:). When sending a message, each keyword is followed by an argument. Note, that a keyword message taking one argument is different to a binary message.

```
| numbers |
numbers := #(1 2 3 4 5). "Simple array"
"Sending a keyword message at:put: to an object of class Array"
numbers at: 1 put: 6 "numbers is now #(6 2 3 4 5)"
```

When composing messages of various types, there are precedence rules (as defined for Pharo in [3]):

- Unary messages are sent first, followed by binary messages. Keyword messages are sent last.
- Messages in parentheses are sent before other messages.
- Messages of the same kind are evaluated from left to right.

These simple rules permit a very natural way of sending messages, as demonstrated on the next example. First, a simple array is created. Then, a unary message last is evaluated, returning the last element of the array. After that, binary message + is evaluated (to 2 in this example). Finally, keyword message at:put: is sent to an array, putting number 5 on the second position in an array.

```
| numbers |
numbers := #(1 2 3 4 5).
numbers at: 1 + 1 put: numbers last.
"numbers at: (1 + 1) put: (numbers last)"
```

Next example demonstrates sending messages from left to right when all of them are of the same type.

```
| numbers |
numbers := #(1 2 3 4 5)
numbers last asString print
"This is equivalent to the following message sends"
((numbers last) asString) print
```

There is a downfall to the simplicity of these rules. Arithmethic operations are all just a simple binary message sends, therefore to ensure proper precedence, it is necessary to use parentheses.

2.1.4 Classes

Classes are the cornerstone of SOM - in Smalltalk, everything is an object and each object has its class. Classes can contain fields and methods. Only single inheritance is supported - given class can only extend one other class. Object methods are dispatched dynamically in SOM and there is a keyword to explicitly call a superclass' method.

SOM implements a non-local return from methods. This gives us the ability to exit the execution of a block (or a closure) to the place where the original method calling the block returns.

2.1.5 Class hierarchy

As SOM is an object oriented language, everything is represented as an object. To enable more convenient work with classes and objects, every class is a subclass of Object. This enables som universal interface to be used.

Protocol of an Object class is:

- class returns the class of an object,
- = value equality comparison,
- == reference equality comparison,
- isNil check, if the object is nil,
- asString converts the object into a string,
- value evaluate (interesting for blocks),
- print, println prints the object,

- error: error reporting,
- subClassResponsibility can be used to indicate the method should be implemented in the subclass of a given class,
- doesNotUnderstand:arguments: can be used for error handling when a method is not implemented.

2.1.6 Syntax

Following example summarizes the syntax of SOM programming language:

```
MyClass = SuperClass (
  | field | "Instance side field"
  foo: arg = primitive "This method is implemented in the VM"
  examples = (
    | aMethodVariable |
    1234 "an integer".
    3.14 "a double".
    'a string'.
    "a comment".
    #aSymbol.
    aVariable := aVariable := 3 + 4.
    field select: [:e | e == #bar] "A message send with a closure"
    ↑ aVariable "Return"
  )
  | classField | "Class side field"
)
```

Some notable keywords or tokens are:

- self is used to reference the object the method is from, comparable to C++/Java this,
- super is used to reference the superclass of a class,
- := is used to assign value into a variable/field,
- ^ is used for return,
- quotes are used to delimit a comment.

2.2 Interpretation

Once the source code is parsed, the next step is executing it – this step is called *interpretation*. Interpretation is As per [4], an interpreter for a language L can

be defined as a mechanism for the direct execution of all programs from L. It executes each element of the program without reference to other elements.

It is however very rare that any language is interpreted directly. In most cases of non-trivial languages, the interpretation process is preceded by parsing or compiling into some form of *intermediate representation*. According to [4], this process removes lexical noise (comments, formating), elements can be abstracted/combined (into keywords, operations etc.) and reordered into execution order (for example operators in an algebraic expression).

The choice of intermediate representation is therefore vital. It can determine a lot of aspects of interpretation - from the way of distributing the interpreted program to time and space complexity of the interpreter.

2.2.1 AST interpretation

Abstract syntax tree (AST) is a tree representation of the source code of a computer program that conveys the structure of the source code. Each node in the tree represents a construct occurring in the source code [5].

As the name suggests, AST represents the source code in the form of a tree. During the transformation from the source code to AST, some information is ommitted. Information that is vital for AST's according to [5] is:

- variables their types, location of their definition/declaration,
- order of commands/operations,
- components of operators and their position (for example left and right operands for a binary operator),
- identifiers and corresponding values.

2.2.2 Bytecode interpretation

Using a form of bytecode. Effective, requires:

- designing the bytecode (instructions, bytecode file formats),
- AST to bytecode translation (AST -; bytecode instructions),
- actual bytecode interpretation.

Bytecode interpretation permits easier optimization.

2.3 Optimization

- dead code elimination,
- constant propagation,
- others...

2.4 Virtual Machine

Decide on memory hierarchy, garbage collection...

2.4.1 Garbage collection

The process of garbage collection performed by garbage collector (GC) is the process of allocating and freeing memory during application runtime. The main advantage of this mechanics is to prevent memory leaks – parts of a program that allocate memory without freeing it when it is not needed [6]. Most modern high-level programming languages implement some form of garbage collection.

Chapter 3

Realisation

Conclusion

Bibliography

- [1] SOM. SOM: A minimal Smalltalk for teaching and research on Virtual Machines. 2020, [cit. 2020-11-17]. Available from: https://somst.github.io/
- [2] Lovejoy, A. L. Smalltalk: Getting The Message. 2007, [cit. 2021-1-19]. Available from: http://devrel.zoomquiet.top/data/20080627141054/index.html
- [3] Ducasse, S.; Chloupis, D.; et al. Pharo By Example 5. 2017.
- [4] Wolczko, M. Execution mechanisms Part I: Interpretation. [online], 2015, [cit. 2020-10-31]. Available from: https://www.dropbox.com/s/lfav564dvx20qsw/2%20AST%20Interpretation.pdf
- [5] DeepSource Corp. Abstract Syntax Tree. [cit. 2020-11-4]. Available from: https://deepsource.io/glossary/ast/
- [6] Boersma, E. Memory leak detection How to find, eliminate, and avoid. January 2020, [cit. 2020-11-5]. Available from: https://raygun.com/blog/memory-leak-detection/

APPENDIX **A**

Acronyms

 \mathbf{AST} Abstract syntax tree

 ${f GC}$ Garbage collector

SOM Simple Object Machine

 \mathbf{VM} Virtual machine

APPENDIX B

Contents of enclosed CD

:	readme.txt	the file with CD contents description
_	exe	the directory with executables
	src	the directory of source codes
	wbdcm	implementation sources
	thesis	the directory of LATEX source codes of the thesis
	text	the thesis text directory
	thesis.pdf	the thesis text in PDF format
	thesis ns	the thesis text in PS format