# 8.5.5 Solved Problems

## **Problem 1**

Consider the following observed values of  $(x_i, y_i)$ :

$$(-1,6), (0,3), (1,2), (2,-1)$$

a. Find the estimated regression line

$$\hat{y} = \hat{eta_0} + \hat{eta_1} x,$$

based on the observed data.

b. For each  $x_i$ , compute the fitted value of  $y_i$  using

$${\hat y}_i = {\hat eta_0} + {\hat eta_1} x_i.$$

- c. Compute the residuals,  $e_i = y_i \hat{y}_i$ .
- d. Find *R*-squared (the coefficient of determination).

#### **Solution**

a. We have

$$\overline{x} = \frac{-1+0+1+2}{4} = 0.5,$$

$$\overline{y} = \frac{6+3+2+(-1)}{4} = 2.5,$$

$$s_{xx} = (-1-0.5)^2 + (0-0.5)^2 + (1-0.5)^2 + (2-0.5)^2 = 5,$$

$$s_{xy} = (-1-0.5)(6-2.5) + (0-0.5)(3-2.5) + (1-0.5)(2-2.5) + (2-0.5)(-1-2.5) = -11.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\hat{eta}_1 = rac{s_{xy}}{s_{xx}} = rac{-11}{5} = -2.2, \ \hat{eta}_0 = 2.5 - (-2.2)(0.5) = 3.6$$

The following MATLAB code can be used to obtain the estimated regression line

```
x=[-1;0;1;2];
x0=ones(size(x));
y=[6;3;2;-1];
beta = regress(y,[x0,x]);
```

b. The fitted values are given by

$$\hat{y}_i = 3.6 - 2.2x_i,$$

so we obtain

$$\hat{y}_1 = 5.8, \quad \hat{y}_2 = 3.6, \quad \hat{y}_3 = 1.4, \quad \hat{y}_4 = -0.8$$

c. We have

$$\begin{split} e_1 &= y_1 - \hat{y}_1 = 6 - 5.8 = 0.2, \\ e_2 &= y_2 - \hat{y}_2 = 3 - 3.6 = -0.6, \\ e_3 &= y_3 - \hat{y}_3 = 2 - 1.4 = 0.6, \\ e_4 &= y_4 - \hat{y}_4 = -1 - (-0.8) = -0.2 \end{split}$$

d. We have

$$s_{yy} = (6-2.5)^2 + (3-2.5)^2 + (2-2.5)^2 + (-1-2.5)^2 = 25.$$

We conclude

$$r^2 = rac{(-11)^2}{5 imes 25} pprox 0.968$$

## **Problem 2**

Consider the model

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + \epsilon$$
,

where  $\epsilon$  is a  $N(0,\sigma^2)$  random variable independent of X. Let also

$$\hat{Y}=eta_0+eta_1 X.$$

Show that

$$E[(Y - EY)^2] = E[(\hat{Y} - EY)^2] + E[(Y - \hat{Y})^2].$$

## **Solution**

Since X and  $\epsilon$  are independent, we can write

$$Var(Y) = \beta_1^2 Var(X) + Var(\epsilon)$$
 (8.10)

Note that,

$$\hat{Y} - EY = (\beta_0 + \beta_1 X) - (\beta_0 + \beta_1 EX)$$
  
=  $\beta_1 (X - EX)$ .

Therefore,

$$E[(\hat{Y}-EY)^2]=eta_1^2 \mathrm{Var}(X).$$

Also,

$$E[(Y-EY)^2] = \operatorname{Var}(Y), \quad E[(Y-\hat{Y})^2] = \operatorname{Var}(\epsilon).$$

Combining with Equation 8.10, we conclude

$$E[(Y - EY)^2] = E[(\hat{Y} - EY)^2] + E[(Y - \hat{Y})^2].$$

#### **Problem 3**

Show that, in a simple linear regression, the estimated coefficients  $\hat{\beta}_0$  and  $\hat{\beta}_1$  (least squares estimates of  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$ ) satisfy the following equations

$$\sum_{i=1}^n e_i = 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n e_i x_i = 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \hat{y_i} = 0,$$

where  $e_i=y_i-\hat{y_i}=y_i-\hat{\beta_0}-\hat{\beta_1}x$ . Hint:  $\hat{\beta_0}$  and  $\hat{\beta_1}$  satisfy Equation 8.8 and Equation 8.9. By cancelling the (-2) factor, you can write

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i-\hat{eta_0}-\hat{eta_1}x_i)=0,$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{eta}_0 - \hat{eta}_1 x_i) x_i = 0.$$

Use the above equations to show the desired equations.

**Solution** 

We have

$$egin{split} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{eta_0} - \hat{eta_1} x_i) &= 0, \ \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{eta_0} - \hat{eta_1} x_i) x_i &= 0. \end{split}$$

Since  $e_i = y_i - \hat{eta_0} - \hat{eta_1} x$ , we conclude

$$\sum_{i=1}^n e_i = 0, \ \sum_{i=1}^n e_i x_i = 0.$$

Moreover,

$$egin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \hat{y_i} &= \sum_{i=1}^n e_i (\hat{eta_0} + \hat{eta_1} x_i) \ &= \hat{eta_0} \sum_{i=1}^n e_i + \hat{eta_1} \sum_{i=1}^n e_i x_i \ &= 0 + 0 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

## **Problem 4**

Show that the coefficient of determination can also be obtained as

$$r^2 = rac{\sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{y_i} - \overline{y})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \overline{y})^2}.$$

## **Solution**

We know

$$\hat{y}_i = eta_0 + eta_1 x_i, \ \overline{y} = eta_0 + eta_1 \overline{x}.$$

Therefore,

$$egin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{y}_i - \overline{y})^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n (eta_1 x_i - eta_1 \overline{x})^2 \ &= eta_1^2 \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})^2 \ &= eta_1^2 s_{xx}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\hat{y}_i - \overline{y})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \overline{y})^2} &= \frac{\beta_1^2 s_{xx}}{s_{yy}} \\ &= \frac{s_{xy}^2}{s_{xx} s_{yy}} \quad \text{(since } \beta_1 = \frac{s_{xy}}{s_{xx}} \text{)} \\ &= r^2. \end{split}$$

#### **Problem 5**

(**The Method of Maximum Likelihood**) This problem assumes that you are familiar with the maximum likelihood method discussed in <u>Section 8.2.3</u>. Consider the model

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \epsilon_i,$$

where  $\epsilon_i$ 's are independent  $N(0, \sigma^2)$  random variables. Our goal is to estimate  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$ . We have the observed data pairs  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$ .

- a. Argue that, for given values of  $\beta_0$ ,  $\beta_1$ , and  $x_i$ ,  $Y_i$  is a normal random variable with mean  $\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ . Moreover, show that the  $Y_i$ 's are independent.
- b. Find the likelihood function

$$L(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n; \beta_0, \beta_1) = f_{Y_1 Y_2 \dots Y_n}(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n; \beta_0, \beta_1).$$

c. Show that the maximum likelihood estimates of  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$  are the same as the ones we obtained using the least squares method.

## **Solution**

- a. Given values of  $\beta_0$ ,  $\beta_1$ , and  $x_i$ ,  $c = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i$  is a constant. Therefore,  $Y_i = c + \epsilon_i$  is a normal random variable with mean c and variance  $\sigma^2$ . Also, since the  $\epsilon_i$ 's are independent, we conclude that  $Y_i$ 's are also independent random variables.
- b. By the previous part, for given values of  $\beta_0$ ,  $\beta_1$ , and  $x_i$ ,

$$f_{Y_i}(y;eta_0,eta_1) = rac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \mathrm{exp}igg\{-rac{1}{2}(y-eta_0-eta_1x_i)^2igg\}.$$

Therefore, the likelihood function is given by

$$egin{aligned} L(y_1,y_2,\cdots,y_n;eta_0,eta_1) &= f_{Y_1Y_2\cdots Y_n}(y_1,y_2,\cdots,y_n;eta_0,eta_1) \ &= f_{Y_1}(y_1;eta_0,eta_1)f_{Y_2}(y_2;eta_0,eta_1)\cdots f_{Y_n}(y_n;eta_0,eta_1) \ &= rac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{rac{n}{2}}} \mathrm{exp}igg\{ -rac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y-eta_0-eta_1x_i)^2 igg\}. \end{aligned}$$

c. To find the maximum likelihood estimates (MLE) of  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$ , we need to find  $\hat{\beta}_0$  and  $\hat{\beta}_1$  such that the likelihood function

$$L(y_1,y_2,\cdots,y_n;eta_0,eta_1) = rac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{rac{n}{2}}} \mathrm{exp}igg\{ -rac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y-eta_0-eta_1 x_i)^2 igg\}$$

is maximized. This is equivalent to minimizing

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (y-\beta_0-\beta_1 x_i)^2.$$

The above expression is the sum of the squared errors,  $g(\beta_0, \beta_1)$  (Equation 8.7). Therefore, the maximum likelihood estimation for this model is the same as the least squares method.