9.1.10 Solved Problems

Problem 1

Let $X \sim N(0,1)$. Suppose that we know

$$Y \mid X = x \sim N(x, 1).$$

Show that the posterior density of X given Y=y, $f_{X\mid Y}(x\mid y)$, is given by

$$X \mid Y = y \quad \sim \quad N\left(rac{y}{2},rac{1}{2}
ight).$$

Solution

Our goal is to show that $f_{X|Y}(x|y)$ is normal with mean $\frac{y}{2}$ and variance $\frac{1}{2}$. Therefore, it suffices to show that

$$f_{X|Y}(x|y) = c(y) \expiggl\{-iggl(x-rac{y}{2}iggr)^2iggr\},$$

where c(y) is just a function of y. That is, for a given y, c(y) is just the normalizing constant ensuring that $f_{X|Y}(x|y)$ integrates to one. By the assumptions,

$$f_{Y|X}(y|x) = rac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \mathrm{exp}iggl\{-rac{(y-x)^2}{2}iggr\},$$

$$f_X(x) = rac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \mathrm{exp} iggl\{ -rac{x^2}{2} iggr\}.$$

Therefore,

$$egin{aligned} f_{X|Y}(x|y) &= rac{f_{Y|X}(y|x)f_X(x)}{f_Y(y)} \ &= (ext{a function of } y) \cdot f_{Y|X}(y|x)f_X(x) \ &= (ext{a function of } y) \cdot \exp\left\{-rac{(y-x)^2+x^2}{2}
ight\} \ &= (ext{a function of } y) \cdot \exp\left\{-\left(x-rac{y}{2}
ight)^2 + rac{y^2}{4}
ight\} \ &= (ext{a function of } y) \cdot \exp\left\{-\left(x-rac{y}{2}
ight)^2
ight\}. \end{aligned}$$

Problem 2

We can generalize the result of <u>Problem 9.1</u> using the same method. In particular, assuming

$$X \sim N(\mu, \tau^2)$$
 and $Y \mid X = x \sim N(x, \sigma^2)$,

it can be shown that the posterior density of X given Y = y is given by

$$X \mid Y=y \quad \sim \quad N\left(rac{y/\sigma^2 + \mu/ au^2}{1/\sigma^2 + 1/ au^2}, rac{1}{1/\sigma^2 + 1/ au^2}
ight).$$

In this problem, you can use the above result. Let $X \sim N(\mu, au^2)$ and

$$Y \mid X = x \quad \sim \quad N(x, \sigma^2).$$

Suppose that we have observed the random sample Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n such that the Y_i 's are i.i.d. and have the same distribution as Y.

a. Show that the posterior density of X given \overline{Y} (the sample mean) is

$$X \mid \overline{Y} \quad \sim \quad N\left(rac{n\overline{Y} \left/\sigma^2 + \mu/ au^2
ight.}{n/\sigma^2 + 1/ au^2}, rac{1}{n/\sigma^2 + 1/ au^2}
ight).$$

b. Find the MAP and the MMSE estimates of X given \overline{Y} .

Solution

a. Since $Y \mid X = x \quad \sim \quad N(x, \sigma^2)$, we conclude

$$\overline{Y} \mid X = x \quad \sim \quad N\left(x, rac{\sigma^2}{n}
ight).$$

Therefore, we can use the posterior density given in the problem statement (we need to replace σ^2 by $\frac{\sigma^2}{n}$). Thus, the posterior density of X given \overline{Y} is

$$X \mid \overline{Y} \quad \sim \quad N\left(rac{n\overline{Y} \left/\sigma^2 + \mu/ au^2
ight.}{n/\sigma^2 + 1/ au^2}, rac{1}{n/\sigma^2 + 1/ au^2}
ight).$$

b. To find the MAP estimate of X given \overline{Y} , we need to find the value that maximizes the posterior density. Since the posterior density is normal, the maximum value is obtained at the mean which is

$$\hat{X}_{MAP} = rac{n\overline{Y}/\sigma^2 + \mu/ au^2}{n/\sigma^2 + 1/ au^2}.$$

Also, the MMSE estimate of X given \overline{Y} is

$$\hat{X}_M = E[X|\overline{Y}^{\,}] = rac{n\overline{Y}^{\,}/\sigma^2 + \mu/ au^2}{n/\sigma^2 + 1/ au^2}.$$

Problem 3

Let \hat{X}_M be the MMSE estimate of X given Y. Show that the MSE of this estimator is

$$MSE = E[Var(X|Y)].$$

Solution

We have

$$\operatorname{Var}(X|Y) = E[(X - E[X|Y])^2|Y]$$
 (by definition of $\operatorname{Var}(X|Y)$)
= $E[(X - \hat{X}_M)^2|Y]$.

Therefore.

$$E[Var(X|Y)] = E[E[(X - \hat{X}_M^2)|Y]]$$

= $E[(X - \hat{X}_M)^2]$ (by the law of iterated expectations)
= MSE (by definition of MSE).

Problem 4

Consider two random variables X and Y with the joint PMF given in <u>Table 9.1.</u>

Table 9.1: Joint PMF of *X* and *Y* for Problem 4

	Y=0	Y=1
X=0	$\frac{1}{5}$	$\frac{2}{5}$
X=1	$\frac{2}{5}$	0

- a. Find the linear MMSE estimator of X given Y, (\hat{X}_L) .
- b. Find the MMSE estimator of X given Y, (\hat{X}_M) .
- c. Find the MSE of \hat{X}_M .

Solution

Using the table we find out

$$P_X(0) = rac{1}{5} + rac{2}{5} = rac{3}{5},$$
 $P_X(1) = rac{2}{5} + 0 = rac{2}{5},$
 $P_Y(0) = rac{1}{5} + rac{2}{5} = rac{3}{5},$
 $P_Y(1) = rac{2}{5} + 0 = rac{2}{5}.$

Thus, the marginal distributions of X and Y are both $Bernoulli(\frac{2}{5})$. Therefore, we have

$$EX = EY = \frac{2}{5},$$
 $Var(X) = Var(Y) = \frac{2}{5} \cdot \frac{3}{5} = \frac{6}{25}.$

a. To find the linear MMSE estimator of X given Y, we also need $\mathrm{Cov}(X,Y)$. We have

$$EXY = \sum x_i y_j P_{XY}(x,y) = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$Cov(X,Y) = EXY - EXEY$$
$$= -\frac{4}{25}.$$

The linear MMSE estimator of X given Y is

$$\hat{X}_L = rac{ ext{Cov}(X,Y)}{ ext{Var}(Y)}(Y - EY) + EX$$

$$= rac{-4/25}{6/25} \left(Y - rac{2}{5}\right) + rac{2}{5}$$

$$= -rac{2}{3}Y + rac{2}{3}.$$

Since Y can only take two values, we can summarize \hat{X}_L in the following table.

Table 9.2: The linear MMSE estimator of X given Y for Problem 4

	Y=0	Y=1
\hat{X}_L	$\frac{2}{3}$	0

b. To find the MMSE estimator of X given Y, we need the conditional PMFs. We have

$$egin{aligned} P_{X|Y}(0|0) &= rac{P_{XY}(0,0)}{P_{Y}(0)} \ &= rac{rac{1}{5}}{rac{3}{5}} = rac{1}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$P_{X|Y}(1|0) = 1 - rac{1}{3} = rac{2}{3}.$$

We conclude

$$X|Y=0 \sim Bernoulli\left(rac{2}{3}
ight).$$

Similarly, we find

$$P_{X|Y}(0|1) = 1,$$

 $P_{X|Y}(1|1) = 0.$

Thus, given Y=1, we have always X=0. The MMSE estimator of X given Y is

$$\hat{X}_M = E[X|Y].$$

We have

$$E[X|Y = 0] = \frac{2}{3},$$

 $E[X|Y = 1] = 0.$

Thus, we can summarize \hat{X}_M in the following table.

Table 9.3: The MMSE estimator of X given Y for Problem Problem 4

	Y=0	Y=1
\hat{X}_{M}	$\frac{2}{3}$	0

We notice that, for this problem, the MMSE and the linear MMSE estimators are the same. In fact, this is not surprising since here, Y can only take two possible values, and for each value we have a corresponding MMSE estimator. The linear MMSE estimator is just the line passing through the two resulting points.

c. The MSE of \hat{X}_M can be obtained as

$$egin{aligned} MSE &= E[{ ilde{X}}^2] \ &= EX^2 - E[{\hat{X}}_M^2] \ &= rac{2}{5} - E[{\hat{X}}_M^2]. \end{aligned}$$

From the table for \hat{X}_M , we obtain $E[\hat{X}_M^2] = \frac{4}{15}.$ Therefore,

$$MSE = rac{2}{15}.$$

Note that here the MMSE and the linear MMSE estimators are equal, so they have the same MSE. Thus, we can use the formula for the MSE of \hat{X}_L as well:

$$\begin{split} MSE &= (1 - \rho(X, Y)^2) \mathrm{Var}(X) \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{\mathrm{Cov}(X, Y)^2}{\mathrm{Var}(X) \mathrm{Var}(Y)}\right) \mathrm{Var}(X) \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{(-4/25)^2}{6/25 \cdot 6/25}\right) \frac{6}{25} \\ &= \frac{2}{15}. \end{split}$$

Problem 5

Consider Example 9.9 in which X is an unobserved random variable with EX = 0, Var(X) = 4. Assume that we have observed Y_1 and Y_2 given by

$$Y_1 = X + W_1,$$

 $Y_2 = X + W_2,$

where $EW_1 = EW_2 = 0$, $Var(W_1) = 1$, and $Var(W_2) = 4$. Assume that W_1 , W_2 , and X are independent random variables. Find the linear MMSE estimator of X given Y_1 and Y_2 using the vector formula

$$\hat{\mathbf{X}}_L = \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{XY}} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{Y}}^{-1} (\mathbf{Y} - E[\mathbf{Y}]) + E[\mathbf{X}].$$

Solution

Note that, here, X is a one dimensional vector, and Y is a two dimensional vector

$$\mathbf{Y} = egin{bmatrix} Y_1 \ Y_2 \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} X + W_1 \ X + W_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We have

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{Y}} = egin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Var}(Y_1) & \operatorname{Cov}(Y_1,Y_2) \ \operatorname{Cov}(Y_2,Y_1) & \operatorname{Var}(Y_2) \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} 5 & 4 \ 4 & 8 \end{bmatrix},$$
 $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{XY}} = [\operatorname{Cov}(X,Y_1) & \operatorname{Cov}(X,Y_2)] = [4 & 4].$

Therefore,

$$egin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{X}}_L &= \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 4 \\ 4 & 8 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \left(\begin{bmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}
ight) + 0 \ &= \begin{bmatrix} rac{2}{3} & rac{1}{6} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \end{bmatrix} \ &= rac{2}{3} Y_1 + rac{1}{6} Y_2, \end{aligned}$$

which is the same as the result that we obtained using the orthogonality principle in <u>Example 9.9</u>.

Problem 6

Suppose that we need to decide between two opposing hypotheses H_0 and H_1 . Let C_{ij} be the cost of accepting H_i given that H_j is true. That is

 C_{00} : The cost of choosing H_0 , given that H_0 is true.

 C_{10} : The cost of choosing H_1 , given that H_0 is true.

 C_{01} : The cost of choosing H_0 , given that H_1 is true.

 C_{11} : The cost of choosing H_1 , given that H_1 is true.

It is reasonable to assume that the associated cost to a correct decision is less than the cost of an incorrect decision. That is, $c_{00} < c_{10}$ and $c_{11} < c_{01}$. The average cost can be written as

$$C = \sum_{i,j} C_{ij} P(\text{choose } H_i | H_j) P(H_j)$$

= $C_{00} P(\text{choose } H_0 | H_0) P(H_0) + C_{01} P(\text{choose } H_0 | H_1) P(H_1)$
+ $C_{10} P(\text{choose } H_1 | H_0) P(H_0) + C_{11} P(\text{choose } H_1 | H_1) P(H_1).$

Our goal is to find the decision rule such that the average cost is minimized. Show that the decision rule can be stated as follows: Choose H_0 if and only if

$$f_Y(y|H_0)P(H_0)(C_{10}-C_{00}) \ge f_Y(y|H_1)P(H_1)(C_{01}-C_{11})$$
 (9.8)

Solution

First, note that

$$P(\text{choose } H_0|H_0) = 1 - P(\text{choose } H_1|H_0),$$

 $P(\text{choose } H_1|H_1) = 1 - P(\text{choose } H_0|H_1).$

Therefore.

$$\begin{split} C = & C_{00} \left[1 - P(\text{choose } H_1 | H_0) \right] P(H_0) + C_{01} P(\text{choose } H_0 | H_1) P(H_1) \\ & + C_{10} P(\text{choose } H_1 | H_0) P(H_0) + C_{11} \left[1 - P(\text{choose } H_0 | H_1) \right] P(H_1) \\ = & (C_{10} - C_{00}) P(\text{choose } H_1 | H_0) P(H_0) + (C_{01} - C_{11}) P(\text{choose } H_0 | H_1) P(H_1) \\ & + C_{00} p(H_0) + C_{11} p(H_1). \end{split}$$

The term $C_{00}p(H_0) + C_{11}P(H_1)$ is constant (i.e., it does not depend on the decision rule). Therefore, to minimize the cost, we need to minimize

$$D = P(\text{choose } H_1|H_0)P(H_0)(C_{10} - C_{00}) + P(\text{choose } H_0|H_1)P(H_1)(C_{01} - C_{11}).$$

The above expression is very similar to the average error probability of the MAP test (Equation 9.8). The only difference is that we have $p(H_0)(C_{10} - C_{00})$ instead of $P(H_0)$, and we have $p(H_1)(C_{01} - C_{11})$ instead of $P(H_1)$. Therefore, we can use a decision rule similar to the MAP decision rule. More specifically, we choose H_0 if and only if

$$f_Y(y|H_0)P(H_0)(C_{10}-C_{00}) \ge f_Y(y|H_1)P(H_1)(C_{01}-C_{11}).$$

Problem 7

Let

$$X \sim N(0,4)$$
 and $Y \mid X = x$ $\sim N(x,1)$.

Suppose that we have observed the random sample Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_{25} such that the Y_i 's are i.i.d. and have the same distribution as Y. Find a 95% credible interval for X, given that we have observed

$$\overline{Y} = \frac{Y_1 + Y_2 + \ldots + Y_n}{n} = 0.56$$

Hint: Use the result of Problem 9.2.

Solution

By part (a) of Problem 9.2, we have

$$egin{align} X \mid \overline{Y} & \sim & N\left(rac{25(0.56)/1+0/4}{25/1+1/4}, rac{1}{25/1+1/4}
ight) \ & = Nig(0.5545, 0.0396ig). \end{array}$$

Therefore, we choose the interval in the form of

$$[0.5545 - c, 0.5545 + c].$$

We need to have

$$P\bigg(0.5545 - c \le X \le 0.5545 + c|\overline{Y}| = 0.56\bigg) = \Phi\left(\frac{c}{\sqrt{0.0396}}\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{-c}{\sqrt{0.0396}}\right)$$
$$= 2\Phi\left(\frac{c}{\sqrt{0.0396}}\right) - 1 = 0.95$$

Solving for c, we obtain

$$c=\sqrt{0.0396}\Phi^{-1}(0.975)pprox 0.39$$

Therefore, the 95% credible interval for X is

$$\left[0.5545 - 0.39, 0.5545 + 0.39\right] \approx \left[0.1645, 0.9445\right].$$