11.4.1 Brownian Motion as the Limit of a Symmetric Random Walk

Here, we introduce a construction of Brownian motion from a symmetric random walk. Divide the half-line $[0, \infty)$ to tiny subintervals of length δ as shown in Figure 11.30.

Figure 11.30 - Dividing the half-line $[0,\infty)$ to tiny subintervals of length δ .

Each subinterval corresponds to a time slot of length δ . Thus, the intervals are $(0,\delta]$, $(\delta,2\delta]$, $(2\delta,3\delta]$, \cdots . More generally, the kth interval is $\left((k-1)\delta,k\delta\right]$. We assume that in each time slot, we toss a fair coin. We define the random variables X_i as follows. $X_i=\sqrt{\delta}$ if the kth coin toss results in heads, and $X_i=-\sqrt{\delta}$ if the kth coin toss results in tails. Thus,

$$X_i = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} \sqrt{\delta} & ext{with probability } rac{1}{2} \ -\sqrt{\delta} & ext{with probability } rac{1}{2} \end{array}
ight.$$

Moreover, the X_i 's are independent. Note that

$$E[X_i] = 0, \ \mathrm{Var}(X_i) = \delta.$$

Now, we would like to define the process W(t) as follows. We let W(0)=0. At time $t=n\delta$, the value of W(t) is given by

$$W(t)=W(n\delta)=\sum_{i=1}^n X_i.$$

Since W(t) is the sum of n i.i.d. random variables, we know how to find E[W(t)] and Var(W(t)). In particular,

$$egin{aligned} E[W(t)] &= \sum_{i=1}^n E[X_i] \ &= 0, \ \operatorname{Var}(W(t)) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{Var}(X_i) \ &= n \operatorname{Var}(X_1) \ &= n \delta \ &= t. \end{aligned}$$

For any $t \in (0, \infty)$, as n goes to ∞ , δ goes to 0. By the central limit theorem, W(t) will become a normal random variable,

$$W(t) \sim N(0,t)$$
.

Since the coin tosses are independent, we conclude that W(t) has independent increments. That is, for all $0 \le t_1 < t_2 < t_3 \cdots < t_n$, the random variables

$$W(t_2) - W(t_1), W(t_3) - W(t_2), \dots, W(t_n) - W(t_{n-1})$$

are independent. Remember that we say that a random process X(t) has stationary increments if, for all $t_2 > t_1 \ge 0$, and all r > 0, the two random variables $X(t_2) - X(t_1)$ and $X(t_2 + r) - X(t_1 + r)$ have the same distributions. In other words, the distribution of the difference depends only on the length of the interval $(t_1, t_2]$, and not on the exact location of the interval on the real line. We now claim that the random process W(t), defined above, has stationary increments. To see this, we argue as follows. For $0 \le t_1 < t_2$, if we have $t_1 = n_1 \delta$ and $t_2 = n_2 \delta$, we obtain

$$W(t_1) = W(n_1\delta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} X_i, \ W(t_2) = W(n_2\delta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} X_i.$$

Then, we can write

$$W(t_2)-W(t_1)=\sum_{i=n_1+1}^{n_2} X_i.$$

Therefore, we conclude

$$egin{aligned} E[W(t_2)-W(t_1)] &= \sum_{i=n_1+1}^{n_2} E[X_i] \ &= 0, \ \operatorname{Var}(W(t_2)-W(t_1)) = \sum_{i=n_1+1}^{n_2} \operatorname{Var}(X_i) \ &= (n_2-n_1)\operatorname{Var}(X_1) \ &= (n_2-n_1)\delta \ &= t_2-t_1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for any $0 \le t_1 < t_2$, the distribution of $W(t_2) - W(t_1)$ only depends on the lengths of the interval $[t_1,t_2]$, i.e., how many coin tosses are in that interval. In particular, for any $0 \le t_1 < t_2$, the distribution of $W(t_2) - W(t_1)$ converges to $N(0,t_2-t_1)$. Therefore, we conclude that W(t) has stationary increments.

The above construction can be made more rigorous. The random process W(t) is called the standard *Brownian motion* or the standard *Wiener process*. Brownian motion has continuous sample paths, i.e., W(t) is a continuous function of t (See Figure 11.29). However, it can be shown that it is nowhere differentiable.