6.2.6 Solved Problems

Problem 1

Your friend tells you that he had four job interviews last week. He says that based on how the interviews went, he thinks he has a 20% chance of receiving an offer from each of the companies he interviewed with. Nevertheless, since he interviewed with four companies, he is 90% sure that he will receive at least one offer. Is he right?

Solution

Let A_i be the event that your friend receives an offer from the ith company, i=1,2,3,4. Then, by the union bound:

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{4} A_i\right) \le \sum P(A_i)$$
= 0.2 + 0.2 + 0.2 + 0.2
= 0.8

Thus the probability of receiving at least one offer is less than or equal to 80%.

Problem 2

An isolated edge in a network is an edge that connects two nodes in the network such that neither of the two nodes is connected to any other nodes in the network. Let C_n be the event that a graph randomly generated according to G(n,p) model has at least one isolated edge.

a. Show that

$$P(C_n) \leq \binom{n}{2} p (1-p)^{2(n-2)}$$

b. Show that, for any constant $b>\frac{1}{2}$, if $p=p_n=b\frac{\ln(n)}{n}$ then

$$\lim_{n o\infty}P(C_n)=0.$$

There are $\binom{n}{2}$ possible edges in the graph. Let E_i be the event that the ith edge is an isolated edge, then

$$P(E_i) = p(1-p)^{2(n-2)},$$

where p in the above equation is the probability that the ith edge is present and $(1-p)^{2(n-2)}$ is the probability that no other nodes are connected to this edge. By the union bound, we have

$$P(C_n) = P\left(\bigcup E_i\right) \ \leq \sum_i P(E_i) \ = \binom{n}{2} p (1-p)^{2(n-2)},$$

which is the desired result. Now, let $p=b\frac{\ln n}{n}$, where $b>\frac{1}{2}$.

Here, it is convenient to use the following inequality:

$$1-x \leq e^{-x}$$
, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

You can prove it by differentiating $f(x) = e^{-x} + x - 1$, and showing that the minimum occurs at x = 0.

Now, we can write

$$P(C_n) = \binom{n}{2} p (1-p)^{2(n-2)}$$

$$= \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \frac{b \ln n}{n} (1-p)^{2(n-2)}$$

$$\leq \frac{(n-1)b}{2} e^{-2p(n-2)} \quad \text{(using} \quad 1-x \leq e^{-x})$$

$$= \frac{(n-1)}{2} b e^{-2\frac{b \ln n}{n} (n-2)}.$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P(C_n) \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{(n-1)}{2} b e^{-2\frac{b \ln n}{n}(n-2)}$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{(n-1)}{2} b n^{-2b}$$

$$= \frac{b}{2} \lim_{n \to \infty} (n^{1-2b})$$

$$= 0 \quad \text{(since } b > \frac{1}{2}\text{)}.$$

Problem 3

Let $X \sim Exponential(\lambda)$. Using Markov's inequality find an upper bound for $P(X \geq a)$. Compare the upper bound with the actual value of $P(X \geq a)$.

Solution

If $X \sim Exponential(\lambda)$, then $EX = \frac{1}{\lambda}$, using Markov's inequality

$$P(X \ge a) \le \frac{EX}{a} = \frac{1}{\lambda a}.$$

The actual value of $P(X \geq a)$ is $e^{-\lambda a}$, and we always have $\frac{1}{\lambda a} \geq e^{-\lambda a}$.

Problem 4

Let $X \sim Exponential(\lambda)$. Using Chebyshev's inequality find an upper bound for $P(|X - EX| \ge b)$.

Solution

a. We have $EX = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ and $VarX = \frac{1}{\lambda^2}$. Using Chebyshev's inequality, we have

$$P(|X - EX| \ge b) \le \frac{Var(X)}{b^2} = \frac{1}{\lambda^2 b^2}.$$

Problem 5

Let $X \sim Exponential(\lambda)$. Using Chernoff bounds find an upper bound for $P(X \geq a)$, where a > EX. Compare the upper bound with the actual value of $P(X \geq a)$.

Solution

If $X \sim Exponential(\lambda)$, then

$$M_X(s) = rac{\lambda}{\lambda - s}, \quad ext{for} \quad s < \lambda.$$

Using Chernoff bounds, we have

$$egin{aligned} P\left(X \geq a
ight) & \leq \min_{s>0} \left[e^{-sa}M_X(s)
ight] \ & = \min_{s>0} \left[e^{-sa}rac{\lambda}{\lambda-s}
ight]. \end{aligned}$$

If $f(s) = e^{-sa} rac{\lambda}{\lambda - s}$, to find $\min_{s > 0} f(s)$ we write

$$\frac{d}{ds}f(s) = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$s^* = \lambda - \frac{1}{a}$$
.

Note since $a > EX = \frac{1}{\lambda}$, then $\lambda - \frac{1}{a} > 0$. Thus,

$$P(X \ge a) \le e^{-s^*a} rac{\lambda}{\lambda - s^*} = a\lambda e^{1-\lambda a}.$$

The real value of $P\left(X\geq a\right)$ is $e^{-\lambda a}$ and we have $e^{-\lambda a}\leq a\lambda e^{1-\lambda a}$, or equivalently, $a\lambda e\geq 1$, which is true since $a>\frac{1}{\lambda}$.

Problem 6

Let X and Y be two random variables with EX=1, Var(X)=4, and EY=2, Var(Y)=1. Find the maximum possible value for E[XY].

Solution

Using $ho(X,Y) \leq 1$ and $ho(X,Y) = rac{\mathit{Cov}(X,Y)}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y}$, we conclude

$$\frac{EXY - EXEY}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y} \le 1.$$

Thus

$$EXY \le \sigma_X \sigma_Y + EXEY$$

= $2 \times 1 + 2 \times 1$
= 4 .

In fact, we can achieve EXY = 4, if we choose Y = aX + b.

Solving for a and b, we obtain

$$a = \frac{1}{2}, \quad b = \frac{3}{2}.$$

Note that if you use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality directly, you obtain:

$$|EXY|^2 \le EX^2 \cdot EY^2$$

= 5 \times 5.

Thus

$$EXY \leq 5$$
.

But EXY = 5 cannot be achieved because equality in the Cauchy-Schwarz is obtained only when $Y = \alpha X$. But here this is not possible.

Problem 7 (Hölder's Inequality) Prove

$$E\left[\left|XY
ight|
ight] \leq E\left[\left|X
ight|^{p}
ight]^{rac{1}{p}}E\left[\left|Y
ight|^{q}
ight]^{rac{1}{q}},$$

where $1 < p,q < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Note that, for $p = q = \frac{1}{2}$, Hölder's ineqality becomes the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. *Hint:* You can use Young's inequality [4] which states that for nonnegative real numbers α and β and integers p and q such that $1 < p,q < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, we have

$$lphaeta \leq rac{lpha^p}{p} + rac{eta^q}{q},$$

with equality only if $\alpha^p = \beta^q$.

Solution

Using Young's inequality, we conclude that for random variables U and V we have

$$|E|UV| \le rac{|E|U|^p}{p} + rac{|E|V|^q}{q}.$$

Choose
$$U=rac{|X|}{\left(E|X|^p
ight)^{rac{1}{p}}}$$
 and $V=rac{|Y|}{\left(E|Y|^q
ight)^{rac{1}{q}}}.$ We obtain
$$rac{E|XY|}{\left(E|X|^p
ight)^{rac{1}{p}}\left(E|Y|^q
ight)^{rac{1}{q}}} \leq rac{E|X|^p}{pE|X|^p} + rac{E|Y|^q}{qE|Y|^q}$$

$$= rac{1}{p} + rac{1}{q}$$

$$= 1.$$

Problem 8

Show that if $h: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex and non-decreasing, and $g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex, then h(g(x)) is a convex function.

Solution

Since g is convex, we have

$$g(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) \le \alpha g(x) + (1 - \alpha)g(y)$$
, for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

Therefore, we have

$$h(g(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y)) \le h(\alpha g(x) + (1 - \alpha)g(y))$$
 (h is non-decreasing)
 $\le \alpha h(g(x)) + (1 - \alpha)h(g(y))$ (h is convex).

Problem 9

Let X be a positive random variable with EX=10. What can you say about the following quantities?

a.
$$E\left[\frac{1}{X+1}\right]$$

b.
$$Eig[e^{rac{1}{X+1}}ig]$$

c.
$$E[\ln \sqrt{X}]$$

Solution

a.
$$g(x) = rac{1}{x+1},$$
 $g''(x) = rac{2}{(1+x)^3} > 0, \quad ext{for } x > 0.$

Thus g is convex on $(0, \infty)$

$$E\left[\frac{1}{X+1}\right] \geq \frac{1}{1+EX}$$
 (Jensen's inequality)
$$= \frac{1}{1+10}$$

$$= \frac{1}{11}.$$

b. If we let $h(x)=e^x, g(x)=\frac{1}{1+x}$ then h is convex and non-decreasing and g is convex thus by problem 8, $e^{\frac{1}{x+1}}$ is a convex function, thus

$$E\left[e^{rac{1}{1+X}}
ight] \geq e^{rac{1}{1+EX}} \quad ext{(by Jensen's inequality)} \ = e^{rac{1}{11}}.$$

c. If $g(x)=\ln\sqrt{x}=\frac{1}{2}\ln x$, then $g^{'}(x)=\frac{1}{2x}$ for x>0 and $g^{''}(x)=-\frac{1}{2x^2}$. Thus g is concave on $(0,\infty)$. We conclude

$$E\left[\ln\sqrt{X}\right] = E\left[\frac{1}{2}\ln X\right]$$

 $\leq \frac{1}{2}\ln EX$ (by Jensen's inequality)
 $= \frac{1}{2}\ln 10.$