

---

## 8.4.5 Likelihood Ratio Tests

So far we have focused on specific examples of hypothesis testing problems. Here, we would like to introduce a relatively general hypothesis testing procedure called the *likelihood ratio test*. Before doing so, let us quickly review the definition of the likelihood function, which was previously discussed in [Section 8.2.3](#).

### Review of the Likelihood Function:

Let  $X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from a distribution with a parameter  $\theta$ . Suppose that we have observed  $X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, \dots, X_n = x_n$ .

- If the  $X_i$ 's are discrete, then the **likelihood function** is defined as

$$L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; \theta) = P_{X_1 X_2 \dots X_n}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; \theta).$$

- If the  $X_i$ 's are jointly continuous, then the likelihood function is defined as

$$L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; \theta) = f_{X_1 X_2 \dots X_n}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; \theta).$$

### Likelihood Ratio Tests:

Consider a hypothesis testing problem in which both the null and the alternative hypotheses are simple. That is

$$H_0: \theta = \theta_0,$$

$$H_1: \theta = \theta_1.$$

Now, let  $X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from a distribution with a parameter  $\theta$ . Suppose that we have observed  $X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, \dots, X_n = x_n$ . One way to decide between  $H_0$  and  $H_1$  is to compare the corresponding likelihood functions:

$$l_0 = L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; \theta_0), \quad l_1 = L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; \theta_1).$$

More specifically, if  $l_0$  is much larger than  $l_1$ , we should accept  $H_0$ . On the other hand if  $l_1$  is much larger, we tend to reject  $H_0$ . Therefore, we can look at the ratio  $\frac{l_0}{l_1}$  to decide between  $H_0$  and  $H_1$ . This is the idea behind *likelihood ratio tests*.

### Likelihood Ratio Test for Simple Hypotheses

Let  $X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from a distribution with a parameter  $\theta$ . Suppose that we have observed  $X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, \dots, X_n = x_n$ . To decide between two simple hypotheses

$$H_0: \theta = \theta_0,$$

$$H_1: \theta = \theta_1,$$

we define

$$\lambda(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \frac{L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; \theta_0)}{L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; \theta_1)}.$$

To perform a **likelihood ratio test (LRT)**, we choose a constant  $c$ . We reject  $H_0$  if  $\lambda < c$  and accept it if  $\lambda \geq c$ . The value of  $c$  can be chosen based on the desired  $\alpha$ .

Let's look at an example to see how we can perform a likelihood ratio test.

---

#### **Example 8.30**

Here, we look again at the radar problem ([Example 8.23](#)). More specifically, we observe the random variable  $X$ :

$$X = \theta + W,$$

where  $W \sim N(0, \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{9})$ . We need to decide between

$$H_0: \theta = \theta_0 = 0,$$

$$H_1: \theta = \theta_1 = 1.$$

Let  $X = x$ . Design a level 0.05 test ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ) to decide between  $H_0$  and  $H_1$ .

**Solution**

If  $\theta = \theta_0 = 0$ , then  $X \sim N(0, \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{9})$ . Therefore,

$$L(x; \theta_0) = f_X(x; \theta_0) = \frac{3}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{9x^2}{2}}.$$

On the other hand, if  $\theta = \theta_1 = 1$ , then  $X \sim N(1, \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{9})$ . Therefore,

$$L(x; \theta_1) = f_X(x; \theta_1) = \frac{3}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{9(x-1)^2}{2}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(x) &= \frac{L(x; \theta_0)}{L(x; \theta_1)} = \exp \left\{ -\frac{9x^2}{2} + \frac{9(x-1)^2}{2} \right\} \\ &= \exp \left\{ \frac{9(1-2x)}{2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we accept  $H_0$  if

$$\exp \left\{ \frac{9(1-2x)}{2} \right\} \geq c,$$

where  $c$  is the threshold. Equivalently, we accept  $H_0$  if

$$x \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{2}{9} \ln c \right).$$

Let us define  $c' = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{2}{9} \ln c \right)$ , where  $c'$  is a new threshold. Remember that  $x$  is the observed value of the random variable  $X$ . Thus, we can summarize the decision rule as follows. We accept  $H_0$  if

$$X \leq c'.$$

How to do we choose  $c'$ ? We use the required  $\alpha$ .

$$\begin{aligned} P(\text{type I error}) &= P(\text{Reject } H_0 \mid H_0) \\ &= P(X > c' \mid H_0) \\ &= P(X > c') \quad (\text{where } X \sim N\left(0, \frac{1}{3}\right)) \\ &= 1 - \Phi(3c'). \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $P(\text{type I error}) = \alpha$ , we obtain

$$c' = \frac{1}{3} \Phi^{-1}(1 - \alpha).$$

Letting  $\alpha = 0.05$ , we obtain

$$c' = \frac{1}{3} \Phi^{-1}(.95) = 0.548$$

As we see, in this case, the likelihood ratio test is exactly the same test that we obtained in [Example 8.23](#).

---

How do we perform the likelihood ratio test if the hypotheses are not simple? Suppose that  $\theta$  is an unknown parameter. Let  $S$  be the set of possible values for  $\theta$  and suppose that we can partition  $S$  into two disjoint sets  $S_0$  and  $S_1$ . Consider the following hypotheses:

$$H_0: \theta \in S_0,$$

$$H_1: \theta \in S_1.$$

The idea behind the general likelihood ratio test can be explained as follows: We first find the likelihoods corresponding to the most likely values of  $\theta$  in  $S_0$  and  $S_1$  respectively. That is, we find

$$\begin{aligned} l_0 &= \max\{L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; \theta) : \theta \in S_0\}, \\ l &= \max\{L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; \theta) : \theta \in S\}. \end{aligned}$$

(To be more accurate, we need to replace  $\max$  by  $\sup$ .) Let us consider two extreme cases. First, if  $l_0 = l$ , then we can say that the most likely value of  $\theta$  belongs to  $S_0$ . This indicates that we should not reject  $H_0$ . On the other hand, if  $\frac{l_0}{l}$  is much smaller than 1, we should probably reject  $H_0$  in favor of  $H_1$ . To conduct a likelihood ratio test, we choose a threshold  $0 \leq c \leq 1$  and compare  $\frac{l_0}{l}$  to  $c$ . If  $\frac{l_0}{l} \geq c$ , we accept  $H_0$ . If  $\frac{l_0}{l} < c$ , we reject  $H_0$ . The value of  $c$  can be chosen based on the desired  $\alpha$ .

### Likelihood Ratio Tests

Let  $X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from a distribution with a parameter  $\theta$ . Suppose that we have observed  $X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, \dots, X_n = x_n$ . Define

$$\lambda(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \frac{\sup\{L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; \theta) : \theta \in S_0\}}{\sup\{L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; \theta) : \theta \in S\}}.$$

To perform a **likelihood ratio test (LRT)**, we choose a constant  $c$  in  $[0, 1]$ . We reject  $H_0$  if  $\lambda < c$  and accept it if  $\lambda \geq c$ . The value of  $c$  can be chosen based on the desired  $\alpha$ .