False Positive Documentation

Kindly provide the answers for the following queries regarding the vulnerability.

| Name of the Application | |
|---|--|
| Credex Backup and Recovery | |
| | |
| 2. Package ID/Version ID/Listing ID | |
| Package ID :- 04t5i000000Ai33 , version :- 1.2 | |
| | |
| 3. Partner Name | |
| Credex Technology | |
| | |
| 4. Document Filing Date (YYYY-MM-DD) | |
| 2023-11-22 | |
| | |
| 5. Target URL (Optional) | |
| | |
| | |
| 6. Review Date (In Case if app already undergone security review) | |
| | |

7. Vulnerability Name

| Query: Async Future Method inside Loops | |
|---|--|

Meant for the Internal Salesforce Security Team

| Final Comment from Salesforce Team | |
|------------------------------------|--|
| Date of Review (YYYY-MM-DD) | |
| Result | |

8. Detected By

- Checkmarx
- PMD
- Security Review
- Others,

If case of others Please provide details :

9. Detailed explanation for considering False Positive (Elaborate as much as possible)

This was flagged in checkmarx report and is a false positive as we know that at most 50 future method callouts are allowed in a single transaction so in our code we have added limit of 50 in for loop, so it will not hit the governor limits.

10. Evidence (screenshots of the code, implementation, Command Output)

```
Object: getqueryjobids in file: classes/DataBackup.cls

L 56: DataBackupCallout.getQueryJobIds(objectBatch,'batch'+batchSize,fromDate,toDate);

Object: getqueryjobids in file: classes/DataBackupCallout.cls

L 14: public static void getQueryJobIds(List<String> ObjectApiNames,String batchName,String fromDate, String ToDate){
```

```
@auraEnabled(cacheable=true)
Public static String createDataBackup(List<String> ObjectApiNames,String credentials,String fromDate, String toDate){
        List<String> batches = new List<String>();
        if(ObjectApiNames.size()>50)
            double batchresult = (double)(ObjectApiNames.size())/50;
            double decimalPart = batchresult - (integer)batchresult;
            integer batchSize = (integer)batchresult;
            if(decimalpart>0){
                batchSize++;
            for(integer i=0;i<=ObjectApiNames.size();i+=50){</pre>
                List<String> objectBatch = new List<String>();
                for(integer j=i;j<50+i && j<ObjectApiNames.size();j++)</pre>
                    objectBatch.add(ObjectApiNames[j]);
                batches.add('batch'+batchSize);
                DataBackupCallout.getQueryJobIds(objectBatch,'batch'+batchSize,fromDate,toDate);
                batchSize-=1;
```

11. Vulnerability Name

| ApexSuggestUsingNamedCred | |
|---------------------------|--|
|---------------------------|--|

Meant for the Internal Salesforce Security Team

| Final Comment from Salesforce Team | |
|------------------------------------|--|
| Date of Review (YYYY-MM-DD) | |
| Result | |

12. Detected By

- Checkmarx
- <u>PMD</u>
- Security Review
- Others,

If case of others Please provide details :

13. Detailed explanation for considering False Positive (Elaborate as much as possible)

This was flagged in PMD report as we are getting the credentials from the UI dynamically, this is a false positive as we cannot update the named credentials as per user input from the UI so cannot use named credentials.

14. Evidence (screenshots of the code, implementation, Command Output)

15. Vulnerability Name

| ApexSuggestUsingNamedCred |
|---------------------------|
|---------------------------|

Meant for the Internal Salesforce Security Team

| Final Comment from Salesforce Team | |
|------------------------------------|--|
| Date of Review (YYYY-MM-DD) | |
| Result | |

16. Detected By

| • | PMD Security Review Others, |
|---|--|
| | If case of others Please provide details : |

17. Detailed explanation for considering False Positive (Elaborate as much as possible)

This was flagged in PMD report as we are getting the credentials from the UI dynamically, this is a false positive as we cannot update the named credentials as per user input from the UI so cannot use named credentials.

18. Evidence (screenshots of the code, implementation, Command Output)

```
/*
@description => This method is use to create Http request for Bulk api
*/
    private static HttpRequest CreateHttpRequest(String method){
        HttpRequest request = new HttpRequest();
        request.setMethod(method);
        request.setHeader('Authorization', 'Bearer ' + userinfo.getSessionId());
        request.setHeader('Content-Type', 'application/json');
        // request.setBody(requestBody);
        return request;
    }
```

19. Vulnerability Name

| ApexSuggestUsingNamedCred | |
|---------------------------|--|
|---------------------------|--|

Meant for the Internal Salesforce Security Team

| Final Comment from Salesforce Team | |
|------------------------------------|--|
| Date of Review (YYYY-MM-DD) | |
| Result | |

20. Detected By

- Checkmarx
- PMD
- Security Review
- Others,

If case of others Please provide details :

21. Detailed explanation for considering False Positive (Elaborate as much as possible)

This was flagged in PMD report as we are getting the credentials from the UI dynamically, this is a false positive as we cannot update the named credentials as per user input from the UI so cannot use named credentials.

22. Evidence (screenshots of the code, implementation, Command Output)

```
void createinsertJob(Map<String,Biob> objectWithData) {
List<DataRecovery.ObjectsJobIdCSVWrapper> ObjectsJobIdCSVWrapperList = new List<DataRecovery.ObjectsJobIdCSVWrapper>();
List<String> objectApiNames = new List<String>(objectWithData.keyset());
for(String objectApiName:objectApiNames)
    String endpoint = endpointURL;
   String requestBody = JSON.serialize(getJobRequestForInsert(objectApiName));
   HttpRequest request = new HttpRequest();
    request.setEndpoint(endpoint);
    request.setMethod('POST');
   request.setHeader('Authorization', 'Bearer ' + UserInfo.getSessionId());
request.setHeader('Content-Type', 'application/json');
    request.setBody(requestBody);
    HttpResponse response = new Http().send(request);
    if(response.getStatusCode() == 200 || response.getStatusCode() == 201)
        Map<String, Object> jobResponse = (Map<String, Object>) JSON.deserializeUntyped(response.getBody());
        DataRecovery.ObjectsJobIdCSVWrapper objWrapper = new DataRecovery.ObjectsJobIdCSVWrapper();
        objWrapper.objectName = objectApiName;
        objWrapper.jobId = (String)jobResponse.get('id');
        objWrapper.csvData = objectWithData.get(objectApiName);
        objWrapper.DmlType ='insert';
        ObjectsJobIdCSVWrapperList.add(objWrapper);
   }
```