Skills For Hire Atlantic

Cybersecurity - Assignment 1 (WO5477 Rudy Im)

[Google Colab Link]

https://colab.research.google.com/drive/1Cuoln6yx2bWOILDYaD7nCw1unQRvFDNd

[Question 1]

What would happen if we always used the same encryption key without an Initialization Vector (IV) when encrypting messages?

Even if you use the previous block to keep changing the key, the first block which doesn't have the previous block will be vulnerable. Also, without random vectors, some common data like long blank in text, or mono-colored background pixels in image, can easily expose the pattern.

What kind of attack could happen, and how does an Initialization Vector (IV) solve this problem?

If there is no initialization vector, the attacker can notice the pattern more easily, especially when the data is monolithic. Also, even if they could not figure out the encryption process, they can simply use pre-encrypted keywords to manipulate documents, if it is always encrypted to the same cipher.

Google Colab Code + Output Screenshot

```
import hashlib

password_file = 'hashed_passwords.txt'

def set_password():
    password = input('Enter a password: ')
    byte_password = password.encode()
    hashed_password = hashlib.sha256(byte_password)
    print('Hashed password:')
    print(hashed_password.hexdigest())
    with open(password_file, 'a') as file:
        file.write(hashed_password.hexdigest() + '\n')
set password()
```

```
!pip install cryptography
!pip install pycryptodome
```

```
from cryptography.hazmat.primitives.kdf.pbkdf2 import PBKDF2HMAC
from cryptography.hazmat.primitives import hashes
from cryptography.hazmat.backends import default backend
from Crypto.Cipher import AES
from Crypto.Random import get random bytes
import base64
password file = 'hashed passwords.txt'
message file = 'encrypted message.bin'
key len = 16
def get salt():
 return get_random_bytes(key_len)
def get password():
  with open(password file, 'r') as file:
    hashed passwords = file.readlines()
  return hashed passwords[-1].strip()
def get key(password, salt):
  kdf = PBKDF2HMAC(
      algorithm=hashes.SHA256(),
     length=key len,
     salt=salt,
     iterations=100000,
     backend=default backend()
  )
  return kdf.derive(password.encode())
def pad(text):
    pad_len = key_len - (len(text) % key_len)
    return text + chr(pad_len) * pad_len
def unpad(text):
    pad len = ord(text[-1])
    return text[:-pad_len]
def aes cbc encrypt(text, key, iv):
    cipher = AES.new(key, AES.MODE CBC, iv)
    return base64.b64encode(cipher.encrypt(pad(text).encode()))
def aes cbc decrypt(encrypted, key, iv):
    cipher = AES.new(key, AES.MODE CBC, iv)
    return unpad(cipher.decrypt(base64.b64decode(encrypted)).decode())
```

```
def encrypt message():
 message = input('Enter a message to encrypt: ')
 salt = get salt()
 key = get key(get password(), salt)
 encrypted message = aes cbc encrypt(message, key, salt)
 print('Encrypted message:', encrypted_message.decode())
 with open (message file, 'wb') as file:
   file.write(salt)
   file.write(encrypted message)
def decrypt_message():
 with open(message file, 'rb') as file:
   salt = file.read(key_len)
   encrypted message = file.read()
 key = get key(get password(), salt)
 print('Decrypted message:', aes cbc decrypt(encrypted message, key, salt))
encrypt message()
decrypt message()
```

```
Enter a message to encrypt: Hello, this is confidential!
Encrypted message: XpPcwuqYmBsfMYPF2qil8yjpev25qXal01vHITWrODQ=
Decrypted message: Hello, this is confidential!
```

[File Import]

!git clone https://github.com/rudy-im/SkillsForHire.git

```
Cloning into 'SkillsForHire'...
remote: Enumerating objects: 30, done.
remote: Counting objects: 100% (30/30), done.
remote: Compressing objects: 100% (24/24), done.
remote: Total 30 (delta 5), reused 0 (delta 0), pack-reused 0 (from 0)
Receiving objects: 100% (30/30), 9.10 KiB | 4.55 MiB/s, done.
Resolving deltas: 100% (5/5), done.
```

[Question 2]

What is a brute force attack?

The method to try many different compositions to find the password that works.

Why do multiple failed login attempts within a short time indicate a potential brute force attack?

It may mean that the person doesn't know the actual password, and is just trying possible passwords that might work.

What are real-world countermeasures to prevent brute force attacks?

You can limit maximum failed attempts, and lock the account after that.

Google Colab Code + Output Screenshot

```
import re, datetime
from collections import Counter
log file = '/content/SkillsForHire/CyberSecurity Assignment 1-2/access.log'
status categories = {
          '200': 'OK',
          '301': 'Moved Permanently',
          '302': 'Found',
          '400': 'Bad Request',
          '401': 'Unauthorized',
         '403': 'Forbidden',
         '404': 'Not Found'
sensitive_pages = ['/admin', '/wp-admin', '/phpmyadmin']
suspicious messages = {
         '404': 'Possible Vulnerability Scan',
         '200': 'Possible Unauthorized Access'
def get datetime instance(datetime str):
    return datetime.datetime.strptime(datetime str, '%d/%b/%Y:%H:%M:%S %z')
def log to dic(log):
   regex = re.compile(r'(?P < ip > d + ..d 
[(?P<datetime>\d+/.+/\d+:\d\d:\d\d \+\d+)] "(?P<method>[A-Z]+)
(?P<url>[/-\w\d.]+) (?P<protocol>[/-\w\d.]+)" (?P<status>\d+) "-"
"(?P<browser>.+)"')
   res = regex.search(log)
   if not res: return
    dic = res.groupdict()
    dic['datetime'] = get datetime instance(dic['datetime'])
   return dic
def get attempts while watching(datetimes, watching minutes):
    watching seconds = watching minutes * 60
    if len(datetimes) < 1: return 0
    timedeltas = []
    for i in range(len(datetimes)-1):
         timedeltas.append((datetimes[i+1]-datetimes[i]).total seconds())
    max attempts = 1
    for i in range(len(timedeltas)):
         watching = 0
         for j in range(i, len(timedeltas)):
             if watching + timedeltas[j] > watching seconds: break
             watching += timedeltas[j]
         if j-i+1 > max attempts: max attempts = j-i+1
    return max attempts
def analyze failed(failed):
    watching minutes = 5
    suspicious count = 3
    print('Suspicious IPs with failed logins:')
```

```
for ip, datetimes in failed.items():
    print('\n', ip, '-', len(datetimes), 'failed login attempts', end='')
    attempts = get attempts while watching(datetimes, watching minutes)
   if attempts < suspicious count: continue
   print(' ', attempts, 'attempts in', watching minutes, 'minutes - Possible Brute
Force Attack', end='')
 print('\n\n')
def analyze_suspicious(suspicious):
 print('Suspicious URL access attempts:')
  for log dic in suspicious:
   \label{eq:print('\n', log_dic['ip'], 'tried accessing', log_dic['url'], end=' - ')}
    if status categories.get(log dic['status']):
     print(status categories.get(log dic['status']), end=' ')
   print(f'({log dic["status"]})', end='')
    if suspicious messages.get(log dic['status']):
     print(' -', suspicious messages.get(log dic['status']), end='')
  print('\n\n')
def analyze log():
 failed = {}
  suspicious = []
  total requests = 0
 visitors counter = Counter()
  status counter = Counter()
 with open(log file, 'r') as file:
   for log in file:
     log_dic = log_to_dic(log)
     if not log_dic: continue
     if log_dic['status'] != '200':
       if not failed.get(log_dic['ip']): failed[log_dic['ip']] = []
       failed[log dic['ip']].append(log dic['datetime'])
      if list(filter(lambda sensitive: sensitive in log dic['url'], sensitive pages)):
       suspicious.append(log_dic)
      total requests += 1
     visitors counter.update([log dic['ip']])
      status counter.update([log dic['status']])
  analyze failed(failed)
  analyze suspicious (suspicious)
 print("Log Summary:\n")
 print(' Total Requests:', total requests)
 print(' Unique Visitors:', len(visitors counter))
 print(' Status Code Counts:')
  for status, count in status counter.items():
   print(' -', status, end='')
   if status_categories.get(status): print(' ' + status_categories.get(status),
end='')
   print(':', count)
 print('\n\n')
analyze log()
```

```
Suspicious IPs with failed logins:

172.16.0.55 - 10 failed login attempts
203.0.113.15 - 11 failed login attempts
10.0.0.33 - 7 failed login attempts
192.168.1.23 - 6 failed login attempts
198.51.100.42 - 14 failed login attempts
192.168.1.10 - 6 failed login attempts
192.168.1.77 - 6 failed login attempts
192.168.1.45 - 10 failed login attempts
3 attempts in 5 minutes - Possible Brute Force Attack
```

```
Suspicious URL access attempts:
 203.0.113.15 tried accessing /admin - Forbidden (403)
10.0.0.33 tried accessing /admin - Forbidden (403)
 198.51.100.42 tried accessing /admin - Forbidden (403)
 172.16.0.55 tried accessing /admin - Forbidden (403)
 198.51.100.42 tried accessing /phpmyadmin - Not Found (404) - Possible Vulnerability Scan
 192.168.1.23 tried accessing /admin - Forbidden (403)
 192.168.1.10 tried accessing /admin - Forbidden (403)
 198.51.100.42 tried accessing /admin - Forbidden (403)
 10.0.0.33 tried accessing /admin - Forbidden (403)
 192.168.1.10 tried accessing /admin - Forbidden (403)
 198.51.100.42 tried accessing /phpmyadmin - Not Found (404) - Possible Vulnerability Scan
 198.51.100.42 tried accessing /wp-admin - Not Found (404) - Possible Vulnerability Scan
 192.168.1.77 tried accessing /wp-admin - Not Found (404) - Possible Vulnerability Scan
 192.168.1.45 tried accessing /wp-admin - Not Found (404) - Possible Vulnerability Scan
 192.168.1.77 tried accessing /wp-admin - Not Found (404) - Possible Vulnerability Scan
 198.51.100.42 tried accessing /admin - Forbidden (403)
 203.0.113.15 tried accessing /phpmyadmin - Not Found (404) - Possible Vulnerability Scan
 192.168.1.45 tried accessing /phpmyadmin - Not Found (404) - Possible Vulnerability Scan
 198.51.100.42 tried accessing /admin - Forbidden (403)
 192.168.1.23 tried accessing /wp-admin - Not Found (404) - Possible Vulnerability Scan
 203.0.113.15 tried accessing /admin - Forbidden (403)
 192.168.1.10 tried accessing /admin - Forbidden (403)
 198.51.100.42 tried accessing /wp-admin - Not Found (404) - Possible Vulnerability Scan
 192.168.1.45 tried accessing /wp-admin - Not Found (404) - Possible Vulnerability Scan
 192.168.1.45 tried accessing /phpmyadmin - Not Found (404) - Possible Vulnerability Scan
 192.168.1.45 tried accessing /admin - Forbidden (403)
 192.168.1.45 tried accessing /phpmyadmin - Not Found (404) - Possible Vulnerability Scan
 172.16.0.55 tried accessing /admin - Forbidden (403)
 203.0.113.15 tried accessing /wp-admin - Not Found (404) - Possible Vulnerability Scan
 198.51.100.42 tried accessing /phpmyadmin - Not Found (404) - Possible Vulnerability Scan
 203.0.113.15 tried accessing /phpmyadmin - Not Found (404) - Possible Vulnerability Scan
 192.168.1.45 tried accessing /wp-admin - Not Found (404) - Possible Vulnerability Scan
 192.168.1.10 tried accessing /phpmyadmin - Not Found (404) - Possible Vulnerability Scan
 192.168.1.10 tried accessing /phpmyadmin - Not Found (404) - Possible Vulnerability Scan
 203.0.113.15 tried accessing /admin - Forbidden (403)
 198.51.100.42 tried accessing /phpmyadmin - Not Found (404) - Possible Vulnerability Scan
 192.168.1.45 tried accessing /phpmyadmin - Not Found (404) - Possible Vulnerability Scan
 10.0.0.33 tried accessing /admin - Forbidden (403)
```

Log Summary:

Total Requests: 100 Unique Visitors: 8 Status Code Counts: - 200 OK: 30 - 401 Unauthorized: 7 - 403 Forbidden: 42 - 404 Not Found: 21

[Question 3]

What are the limitations of YARA and signature-based malware detection?

If the attacker modifies the file or signature to negate the known rule, it will not be detected.

How do modern attackers bypass signature-based detection?

They can use salt-based encryption to encrypt the file differently every time. Also, they can modify the code by adding meaningless operations, reordering functions, or change it to equivalent but different code. Or they can even make it fileless and inject malicious code to legitimate software. Nowadays, Al can help it even more sophisticatedly.

What other cybersecurity techniques can be used to detect malware more effectively?

Instead of checking the file, you can check the behavior or access log. Looking for suspicious traits by heuristic analysis can be another way. Or you can run the program in an isolated environment called a sandbox, which is like a virtual system, to see how it operates. Just like attackers do, security agents can use AI to detect suspicious behavior, or share information globally to learn attackers better.

Google Colab Code + Output Screenshot

```
!apt-get update
!apt-get install -y libyara-dev
!pip install yara-python
!cp /usr/lib/x86 64-linux-gnu/libyara.so /usr/lib/libyara.so
```

```
import datetime, os
import yara

project_dir = '/content/SkillsForHire/CyberSecurity Assignment 1-3'
yara_rule = yara.compile(filepath = project_dir + '/code/malware_rule.yar')
report_file = project_dir + '/code/security_report.txt'

def yara_scan(file):
   print('Scanning ' + file.split('/')[-1] + '...', end=' ')
   if yara_rule.match(filepath = file):
        print('WARNING: Malware detected!')
        return True
   else:
```

```
print('No threats detected.')
    return False
def gen report(dic):
 with open(report file, 'a') as report:
    \verb"now = datetime.datetime.now().strftime("%Y-%m-%d %H:%M:%S")
    report.write('\n\nMalware Detection Report - [' + now + ']\n\n')
    report.write('Files Scanned:\n')
    count = 1
    for file, result in dic.items():
     report.write(str(count) + '. ' + file + ' \rightarrow ')
      count += 1
     if result:
       report.write('WARNING: Malware detected!\n')
      else:
        report.write('No threats detected.\n')
def scan dir(folder):
  if not os.path.isdir(folder):
    print('Not a valid path!!')
    return
  print('\n\n======= Start Scan =======\n')
  result = {}
  for item in os.listdir(folder):
    path = os.path.join(folder, item)
    if os.path.isfile(path):
      result[item] = yara_scan(path)
  gen_report(result)
  print('\n===== Report Generated: ' + report file + ' ====\n')
scan dir(project dir)
```

```
Scanning benign_program.exe... No threats detected.
Scanning document2.pdf... No threats detected.
Scanning suspicious_file.exe... WARNING: Malware detected!
Scanning document.pdf... No threats detected.
Scanning benign_file.txt... No threats detected.
Scanning document3.pdf... No threats detected.
===== Report Generated: /content/SkillsForHire/CyberSecurity Assignment 1-3/code/security_report.txt =====
```