Implementation

首先根據 implementation 的第一點 TSQueue, 我們找到了第一個 TODO 坐落在 TSQueue 的 constructor 裡。因為傳入 constructor 的參數只有 buffer_size, 所以我們再依據 class 裡已經定義好的 private 變數,將未初始化的進行初始化。

```
// the maximum buffer size
int buffer_size;
// the buffer containing values of the queue
T* buffer;
// the current size of the buffer
int size;
// the index of first item in the queue
int head;
// the index of last item in the queue
int tail;

// pthread mutex lock
pthread_mutex_t mutex;
// pthread conditional variable
pthread_cond_t cond_enqueue, cond_dequeue;
```

```
template <class T>
TSQueue<T>::TSQueue(int buffer_size) : buffer_size(buffer_size) {
    // TODO: implements TSQueue constructor
    pthread_mutex_init(&mutex, NULL);
    buffer = new T[buffer_size];
    pthread_cond_init(&cond_enqueue, NULL);
    pthread_cond_init(&cond_dequeue, NULL);
    size = 0;
    head = 0;
    tail = -1;
}
```

在此處值得注意的地方是,tail 我們初始化為-1,因為此時 queue 裡還沒有任何的 item 存在,所以以 index -1 代表目前無法存取 dequeue 位置,我們在操作 tail 這個 index 的時候會先將其+1 所以並不會有超出 boundary 的情形。而 mutex 和 condition variable (以下簡稱 CV)的初始化都是依照講義上的範例實作出來的。

```
template <class T>
TSQueue<T>::~TSQueue() {
    // TODO: implements TSQueue destructor
    pthread_mutex_destroy(&mutex);
    pthread_cond_destroy(&cond_enqueue);
    pthread_cond_destroy(&cond_dequeue);
    delete buffer;
}
```

接下來便是 destructor 的實作,這一部分很簡單,只是將 mutex 和 CV 呼叫各自的 destroy function,以及把 buffer 給 delete 掉而已。

```
template <class T>
void TSQueue<T>::enqueue(T item) {
    // TODO: enqueues an element to the end of the queue
    pthread_mutex_lock(&mutex);

    while(size == buffer_size) pthread_cond_wait(&cond_enqueue, &mutex);
    tail = (tail + 1) % buffer_size;
    size++;
    buffer[tail] = item;
    pthread_cond_signal(&cond_dequeue);

    pthread_mutex_unlock(&mutex);
}
```

再往下便是這次作業的重頭戲——TSQueue 的 enqueue 和 dequeue。我們需要操作 mutex 和 CV 將 TSQueue 這個 shared variable 給保護好。在閱讀完講義後,我們知道 CV 也為 shared variable,所以在操作 CV 時也需要用 mutex 去包住,即 critical section。進入 critical section後,先判斷目前 queue 的 size 是否等於 buffer_size,若為 True 則代表現在 queue 是滿的,無法再 enqueue 一個新的 item 進去,所以此時我們呼叫 cond_wait,將該 thread block 並將 mutex 釋放掉,好讓其他人可以拿到 mutex 進入 critical section,而這種使 thread 進入 sleep 的方法正是 spec 要求的 non-busyWaiting 的做法。

若 while 迴圈的條件不滿足,即 queue 現在可以再塞 item 進去,或是 return from cond_wait,則會繼續執行下面的 statement,我們便先操作 queue 的 tail 讓其前進一位,此處因為我們使用的是 circular queue 的實作方法,所以在將 tail+1 後需要去 mod buffer_size 確保沒有超出 boundary。而後因為要新增一個 item 進來,所以我們將 buffer 目前的 size+1,最後把 item 放入 queue 裡,並呼叫 cond_signal和 release mutex 結束 enqueue

```
template <class T>
T TSQueue<T>::dequeue() {
    // TODO: dequeues the first element of the queue
    pthread_mutex_lock(&mutex);

    while(size == 0) pthread_cond_wait(&cond_dequeue, &mutex);
    int old_head = head;
    head = (head + 1) % buffer_size;
    size--;
    T item = buffer[old_head];
    // buffer[old_head] = NULL;
    pthread_cond_signal(&cond_enqueue);

    pthread_mutex_unlock(&mutex);

    return item;
}
```

再來是 dequeue,相同的,先利用 mutex 包出一個 critical section,隨後在裡面檢查目前 queue 的 size 是否為 0,若為 True 則代表目前沒有 item 在 queue 裡,無法 dequeue,則我們呼叫 cond_wait 使 thread 被 block 住;若為 False 或 return from cond_wait,則我們繼續以下 statement,操作 queue 的 index 取得一個 item,並將 size-1,最後呼叫 cond_signal 和 release mutex 並將取得的 item return 便結束 dequeue。

```
template <class T>
int TSQueue<T>::get_size() {
    // TODO: returns the size of the queue
    pthread_mutex_lock(&mutex);
    int to_return = size;
    pthread_mutex_unlock(&mutex);
    return to_return;
}
```

TSQueue 最後一個 function get_size (), 簡單的將目前 queue 裡面所有的 item 數量回傳,即將 size 給 return,此處因為 TSQueue 本身為 shared variable,在操作任何有關該 class 的一切,我們都要以 mutex 包起來創造出 critical section 以達到 mutual exclusive。

再來便是 producer 與 consumer 的實作。在實作前,我們有先 trace 過 code structure 裡的 Reader,並搭配講義 pthead 的範例,得知如何創建一個 pthread 並讓其開始 運作。

```
void Producer::start() {
    // TODO: starts a Producer thread
    pthread_create(&t, 0, Producer::process, (void*)this);
}
```

在 producer 的 start(),我們呼叫 pthread_create(),將已經在 thread.hpp 宣告好的 pthread_t type 的 t 傳入,並一同傳入 thread 的 start routine,也就是 producer 自己的 process,這樣便可以成功創建出一個 pthread。

```
void* Producer::process(void* arg) {
    // TODO: implements the Producer's work
    Producer* producer = (Producer*)arg;
    while(1){
        Item* item = new Item;
        item = producer->input_queue->dequeue();
        unsigned long long new_val = 0;
        new_val = producer->transformer->producer_transform(item->opcode, item->val);
        Item* new_item = new Item(item->key, new_val, item->opcode);
        producer->worker_queue->enqueue(new_item);
        delete item;
    }
}
```

隨後的 process()是 thread 開始運作後的第一個 function,我們首先創建一個 producer 的 instance 接住 process 傳進來的 arg ,其中包含 input_queue ,worker_queue,transformer。隨後是一個無窮迴圈,讓 thread 可以一直運行。在 迴圈內我們要做的事情在 spec 裡已經給出步驟了,先從 input_queue 中取得一個 item ,所以呼叫 producer->input_queue->dequeue(),再呼叫 transformer 裡給 producer 轉換 value 使用的 function producer_transform 將新的 value 根據傳入的 opcode 和舊 value 轉換出來,最後再將這個新 value 和原本的 key 和 opcode 創建 出一個新 item ,將其 enqueue 到 worker queue 裡,刪除舊 item 便結束。

```
void Consumer::start() {
    // TODO: starts a Consumer thread
    pthread_create(&t, 0, Consumer::process, (void*)this);
}
```

在 consumer 的 start()裡,所做的事情與 producer 相同,皆是先創建出一個 pthread,只是在這裡要傳入的 start routine 要為 consumer 自己的 process function。

```
void* Consumer::process(void* arg) {
   Consumer* consumer = (Consumer*)arg;
   pthread_setcanceltype(PTHREAD_CANCEL_DEFERRED, nullptr);
   while (!consumer->is_cancel) {
       pthread_setcancelstate(PTHREAD_CANCEL_DISABLE, nullptr);
       // TODO: implements the Consumer's work
       Item* item = new Item;
       item = consumer->worker_queue->dequeue();
       unsigned long long new_val = 0;
       new_val = consumer->transformer->consumer_transform(item->opcode, item->val);
       Item* new_item = new Item(item->key, new_val, item->opcode);
       consumer->output_queue->enqueue(new_item);
       delete item;
       pthread_setcancelstate(PTHREAD_CANCEL_ENABLE, nullptr);
   delete consumer:
   return nullptr;
```

Consumer 的 process,一樣根據 spec 給出的步驟,先從 worker_queue 呼叫 dequeue 取得 item,並利用 consumer_transform 依據 opcode 和舊 value 轉換出新的 value,並再利用該新 value 和原本的 key 和 opcode 創建出一個新的 item,最後將新的 item enqueue 到 output_queue 裡並 delete 掉舊的 item 便結束

```
int Consumer::cancel() {
    // TODO: cancels the consumer thread
    is_cancel = true;
    return pthread_cancel(t);
}
```

在 consumer 的 class 裡有比 producer 多一個 function 即為 cancel(),稍微回憶了一下 process 的實作,在迴圈的判斷條件上面,使用的並不是與 producer 相同的無窮迴圈的寫法,而是去判斷 consumer->is_cancel 是否為 True,若為 true 的話則不會進入迴圈,便會直接 delete consumer。所以在 cancel()裡,我們將 is_cancel 設為 true,並在 return 時同時呼叫 pthread cancel 將 consumer thread 給 cancel 掉。

```
void ConsumerController::start() {
    // TODO: starts a ConsumerController thread
    pthread_create(&t, 0, ConsumerController::process, (void*)this);
}
```

ConsumerController 的 start()與前面相同,只是改成傳入 ConsumerController 的 process。

在 Consumer Controller 的 process 裡,因為 program 開始後便會一直存在,所以我們同樣使用無窮迴圈的寫法,在迴圈內,我們首先利用 usleep 去掌控 check_period,因為在 main.cpp 裡 check_period 的定義是 micro seconds,且 usleep 接收的單位也是 microsecond,所以就直接將 check_period 丟進 usleep 就能達到讓 thread sleep的效果,該 thread 便會在這段 check_period 的時間內都是被 block 住,等到時間結束才會再回來執行下面 statement,符合 period 的實作。在可以執行後,我們需要根據此時 worker_queue 的容量狀況決定是否要對 consumer 進行增減。

若 worker_queue 的 size 大於 high_threshold,我們需要增加一個 consumer,則將一個 consumer 的 instance 透過傳入 worker_queue,writer_queue 和 transformer 建立出來,並呼叫其 start()讓 thread 開始運作,最後將該 consumer push 到 ConsumerController 管理的一個 consumers 的 vector 裡,並用 std::out print 出訊息。

而若此時 worker_queue 的 size 小於 low_threshold,並且 consumers 裡有兩個(含)以上的 consumer,我們才需要減少一個 consumer,因為如果只有剩下一個 consumer,將其 cancel 掉後便沒有人可以再去做事,也不符合 spec 裡的 at least one 的要求。在符合條件後,我們從 consumers 裡取出最後一個 consumer,並將 vector 裡刪掉該 consumer 的導向,並呼叫該 consumer 的 cancel()將其 delete 掉,最後 print 出改變的訊息。

```
void Writer::start() {
    // TODO: starts a Writer thread
    pthread_create(&t, 0, Writer::process, (void*)this);
}
```

在 Writer 的 start 裡傳入自己的 process 以創建 pthread。

```
void* Writer::process(void* arg) {
    // TODO: implements the Writer's work
    Writer* writer = (Writer*)arg;

    for(int i=0;i<writer->expected_lines;i++){
        Item* item = new Item;
        item = writer->output_queue->dequeue();
        writer->ofs << *item;
    }

    return nullptr;
}</pre>
```

在 Writer 自己的 process 裡,做的事情與 Reader 很像只是相反,根據 expected_lines 得到有多少個 item 要輸出後,利用 for loop 從 output_queue 中取出 item,並利用 operator<< 將其寫入 writer 當中。

```
int main(int argc, char** argv) {[
   assert(argc == 4);
   int n = atoi(argv[1]);
   std::string input_file_name(argv[2]);
   std::string output_file_name(argv[3]);
   ConsumerController* controller;
   TSQueue<Item*>* input_queue;
   TSQueue<Item*>* worker_queue;
   TSQueue<Item*>* output_queue;
   Transformer* transformer;
   Reader* reader;
   Writer* writer;
   Producer* p1;
   Producer* p2;
   Producer* p3;
   Producer* p4;
   transformer = new Transformer;
   input_queue = new TSQueue<Item*>(READER_QUEUE_SIZE);
   worker_queue = new TSQueue<Item*>(WORKER_QUEUE_SIZE);
   output_queue = new TSQueue<Item*>(WRITER_QUEUE_SIZE);
   controller = new ConsumerController(worker_queue,
                                       output_queue,
                                       transformer,
                                       WORKER_QUEUE_SIZE*CONSUMER_CONTROLLER_LOW_THRESHOLD_PERCENTAGE/100,
                                       WORKER_QUEUE_SIZE*CONSUMER_CONTROLLER_HIGH_THRESHOLD_PERCENTAGE/100);
   reader = new Reader(n, input_file_name, input_queue);
   writer = new Writer(n, output_file_name, output_queue);
   p1 = new Producer(input_queue, worker_queue, transformer);
   p2 = new Producer(input_queue, worker_queue, transformer);
   p3 = new Producer(input_queue, worker_queue, transformer);
   p4 = new Producer(input_queue, worker_queue, transformer);
```

在 main function 裡,首先將我們所需要的任何東西都做 initial,因為 spec 的要求 producer 需要四個,所以我們重複創建出 pl~p4 四個 producer。

```
reader->start();
p1->start();
p2->start();
p3->start();
p4->start();
controller->start();
writer->start();
reader->join();
writer->join();
delete reader:
delete p1;
delete p2;
delete p3;
delete p4;
delete controller;
delete writer;
delete input queue;
delete worker queue;
delete output queue;
return 0;
```

在 initial 完之後,便讓 reader、四個 producer、controller 和 writer 開始運作,call 個別的 start()。而因為此時會是一個同步執行的 program,判斷 program 是否結束會依據 reader 是否已經讀完,writer 是否已經寫完作為判斷,所以我們 call reader 的 join 和 writer 的 join 代表我們需要等到該兩個 thread 都全部執行完畢才可以繼續往下執行 statement,而該兩者執行完後,也代表整個 program 要結束了,所以我們便將剛剛 create 出來的 resource 全部 delete 掉,便結束整個 program。

Experiment

在每一項實驗中,對照組都是一樣的,所以我們先將對照組的結果寫在最前面, 這樣後面就不用一直重複:

```
#define READER_QUEUE_SIZE 200
#define WORKER_QUEUE_SIZE 200
#define WRITER_QUEUE_SIZE 4000
#define CONSUMER_CONTROLLER_LOW_THRESHOLD_PERCENTAGE 20
#define CONSUMER_CONTROLLER_HIGH_THRESHOLD_PERCENTAGE 80
#define CONSUMER_CONTROLLER_CHECK_PERIOD 10000000
```

`./main 200 ./tests/00.in ./tests/00.out`的對照組 : scale up 到 2 個 consumers 就結束, 總共 2 個 scale up, 1 個 scale down。

```
[os22team45@localhost NTHU-OS-Pthreads]$ ./main 200 ./tests/00.in ./tests/00.out
Scaling up consumers from 0 to 1
Scaling up consumers from 1 to 2
Scaling down consumers from 2 to 1
```

`./main 4000 ./tests/01.in ./tests/01.out` 的對照組: 最高會 scale up 到 10 個 consumers, 並且總共有 28 個 scale up, 18 個 scale down。

```
os22team45@localhost NTHU-OS-Pthreads]$ ./main 4000 ./tests/01.in ./tests/01.out
Scaling up consumers from 0 to
Scaling up consumers from 1 to
Scaling up consumers from 2 to
Scaling up consumers from 3 to 4
Scaling up consumers from 4 to 5
Scaling up consumers
                      from 5 to
Scaling up consumers from 6 to
Scaling up consumers from
Scaling up consumers from 8 to 9
Scaling up consumers from 9 to 10
Scaling down consumers from 10 to 9
Scaling down consumers from 9 to 8
Scaling down consumers from 8 to
Scaling down consumers from 7 to 6
Scaling down consumers from 6 to
Scaling down consumers from 5 to
Scaling down consumers from 4 to
Scaling down consumers from
Scaling down consumers from 2 to
Scaling up consumers from 1 to 2
Scaling up consumers from 2
                             to
Scaling up consumers from 3 to 4
Scaling up consumers from 4 to 5
Scaling up consumers from 5 to 6
Scaling up consumers from 6 to
Scaling up consumers from 7
Scaling up consumers from 8 to 9
Scaling up consumers from 9
Scaling down consumers from 10 to 9
Scaling down consumers from 9 to 8
Scaling down consumers from 8 to
Scaling down consumers from
                             7 to
Scaling down consumers from 6 to
Scaling down consumers from 5 to
Scaling down consumers from
Scaling down consumers from 3 to
Scaling down consumers from 2 to 1
Scaling up consumers from 1
                             to
Scaling up consumers from 2 to
Scaling up consumers from 3 to 4
Scaling up consumers from 4
                             to
Scaling up consumers from 5 to 6
Scaling up consumers from 6 to
Scaling up consumers from 7
                             to 8
Scaling up consumers from 8
Scaling up consumers
                      from
                           9
                             to
```

1. Different values of CONSUMER CONTROLLER CHECK PERIOD.

對照組:CONSUMER_CONTROLLER_CHECK_PERIOD = 1000000 實驗駔: CONSUMER_CONTROLLER_CHECK_PERIOD = 500000 在`./main 200 ./tests/00.in ./tests/00.out`的實驗組中,最高 scale up 到 3 個 consumer,總共有 3 個 scale up, 1 個 scale down。

```
[os22team45@localhost NTHU-OS-Pthreads]$ ./main 200 ./tests/00.in ./tests/00.out
Scaling up consumers from 0 to 1
Scaling up consumers from 1 to 2
Scaling up consumers from 2 to 3
Scaling down consumers from 3 to 2
```

在`./main 4000 ./tests/01.in ./tests/01.out`的實驗組中,最高 scale up 到 11 個 consumer,總共有 31 個 scale up, 21 個 scale down。

```
os22team45@localhost NTHU-OS-Pthreads]$ ./main 4000 ./tests/01.in ./tests/01.out
Scaling up consumers from 0 to 1
Scaling up consumers from 1 to 2
Scaling up consumers from 2 to 3
Scaling up consumers from 3 to
Scaling up consumers from 4 to 5
Scaling up consumers from 5 to 6
Scaling up consumers from 6 to
Scaling up consumers from 7 to 8
Scaling up consumers from 8 to 9
Scaling up consumers from 9 to 10
Scaling up consumers from 10 to 11
Scaling down consumers from 11 to 10
Scaling down consumers from 11 to 10 Scaling down consumers from 9 to 8 Scaling down consumers from 8 to 7
Scaling down consumers from 7 to 6
Scaling down consumers from 6 to
Scaling down consumers from 5 to 4
Scaling down consumers from 4 to 3
Scaling down consumers from 3 to 2
Scaling down consumers from 2 to 1
Scaling up consumers from 1 to 2
Scaling up consumers from 2 to 3
Scaling up consumers from 3 to 4
Scaling up consumers from 4 to 5
Scaling up consumers from 5 to 6
Scaling up consumers from 6 to
Scaling up consumers from 7 to
Scaling up consumers from 8 to 9
Scaling up consumers from 9 to 10
Scaling up consumers from 10 to 11
Scaling down consumers from 11 to 10
Scaling down consumers from 10 to 9
Scaling down consumers from 9 to 8
Scaling down consumers from 8 to 7
Scaling down consumers from 7 to 6
Scaling down consumers from 6 to 5
Scaling down consumers from 5 to 4
Scaling down consumers from 4 to
Scaling down consumers from 3 to 2
Scaling down consumers from
                                   2 to
Scaling up consumers from 1 to 2
Scaling up consumers from 2 to 3
Scaling up consumers from 3 to 4
Scaling up consumers from 4 to 5
Scaling up consumers from 5 to 6
Scaling up consumers from 6 to 7
Scaling up consumers from 7 to 8
Scaling up consumers from 8 to 9
Scaling up consumers from 9 to 10
Scaling up consumers from 10 to 11
Scaling down consumers from 11 to 10
```

由此可知,當檢查的間距變短後,在固定時間內會檢查的次數就變多了,因此就有可能會生產更多 consumers。

2. Different values of

CONSUMER_CONTROLLER_LOW_THRESHOLD_PERCENTAGE and CONSUMER_CONTROLLER_HIGH_THRESHOLD_PERCENTAGE.

對照組:

CONSUMER_CONTROLLER_LOW_THRESHOLD_PERCENTAGE = 20 實驗組:

CONSUMER_CONTROLLER_LOW_THRESHOLD_PERCENTAGE = 50 在`./main 200 ./tests/00.in ./tests/00.out`的實驗組中,跟對照組完全一樣。在`./main 4000 ./tests/01.in ./tests/01.out`的實驗組中,最高 scale up 到 10 個 consumer,總共有 28 個 scale up, 19 個 scale down。

```
os22team45@localhost NTHU-OS-Pthreads]$ ./main 4000 ./tests/01.in ./tests/01.out[
Scaling up consumers from 0 to 1
Scaling up consumers from 1 to 2
Scaling up consumers from 2 to 3
Scaling up consumers from 3 to
Scaling up consumers from 4 to 5
Scaling up consumers from 5 to 6
Scaling up consumers from 6 to 7
Scaling up consumers from 7 to 8
Scaling up consumers from 8 to 9
Scaling up consumers from 9
                            to 10
Scaling down consumers from 10 to 9
Scaling down consumers from 9 to 8
Scaling down consumers from 8 to
Scaling down consumers from 7 to 6
Scaling down consumers from 6 to
Scaling down consumers from 5
                              to
Scaling down consumers from 4 to 3
Scaling down consumers from 3 to
Scaling down consumers from 2 to
Scaling up consumers from 1 to 2
Scaling up consumers from 2
Scaling up consumers from 3 to 4
Scaling up consumers from 4 to 5
Scaling up consumers from 5 to
Scaling up consumers from 6 to 7
Scaling up consumers from 7 to 8
Scaling up consumers from 8
Scaling up consumers from 9 to 10
Scaling down consumers from 10 to 9
Scaling down consumers from 9 to 8
Scaling down consumers from 8 to
Scaling down consumers from 7 to
Scaling down consumers from 6
Scaling down consumers from 5 to
Scaling down consumers from 4 to
Scaling down consumers from 3 to
Scaling down consumers from 2 to
Scaling up consumers from 1 to 2
Scaling up consumers from 2
                            to
Scaling up consumers from 3 to 4
Scaling up consumers from 4 to 5
Scaling up consumers from 5 to 6
Scaling up consumers from 6 to
Scaling up consumers from 7
                            to 8
Scaling up consumers from 8 to 9
Scaling up consumers from 9 to 10
Scaling down consumers from 10 to 9
```

由此可以知道,當我們調高 low_threshold,代表 worker_queue 中 item 的數量更有可能低於 threshold,導致最後會刪除更多的 consumer。

對照組:

CONSUMER_CONTROLLER_HIGH_THRESHOLD_PERCENTAGE = 80 實驗組:

CONSUMER_CONTROLLER_HIGH_THRESHOLD_PERCENTAGE = 90 在`./main 200 ./tests/00.in ./tests/00.out`的實驗組中,最高 scale up 到 1 個 consumer,總共有 1 個 scale up, 0 個 scale down。

在`./main 4000 ./tests/01.in ./tests/01.out`的實驗組中,最高 scale up 到 10 個 consumer,總共有 27 個 scale up, 17 個 scale down。

```
[os22team45@localhost NTHU-OS-Pthreads]$ ./main 4000 ./tests/01.in ./tests/01.out
Scaling up consumers from 0 to 1
Scaling up consumers from 1 to
Scaling up consumers from 2 to 3
Scaling up consumers from
Scaling up consumers from 4 to
Scaling up consumers from 5 to 6
Scaling up consumers from
Scaling up consumers from 7
                             to 8
Scaling up consumers from 8 to 9
Scaling down consumers from 9 to 8
Scaling down consumers from 8 to
Scaling down consumers from 7
Scaling down consumers from 6 to
Scaling down consumers from
                             5 to
Scaling down consumers from 4 to
Scaling down consumers from 3 to 2
Scaling down consumers from
                             2 to
Scaling up consumers from 1 to 2
Scaling up consumers from 2 to 3
Scaling up consumers from 3 to
Scaling up consumers from 4
                             to
Scaling up consumers from 5 to 6
Scaling up consumers from 6 to
Scaling up consumers from
                           7
Scaling up consumers from 8 to 9
Scaling up consumers from 9 to 10
Scaling down consumers from 10
Scaling down consumers from 9 to 8
Scaling down consumers from 8 to
Scaling down consumers from
                             7 to
Scaling down consumers from 6 to
Scaling down consumers from 5 to 4
Scaling down consumers from 4 to
Scaling down consumers from 3 to
Scaling down consumers from 2 to
Scaling up consumers from 1 to 2
Scaling up consumers from 2
Scaling up consumers from 3
                             to
Scaling up consumers from 4 to 5
Scaling up consumers from
Scaling up consumers from 6
                            to
Scaling up consumers from 7 to 8
Scaling up consumers from 8 to 9
Scaling up consumers from
                           9
```

由此可以知道,當我們調高 high_threshold,代表 worker_queue 中 item 的數量更不可能高於 threshold,導致會有較少的 consumer 被生產。

3. Different values of WORKER QUEUE SIZE.

對照組: WORKER QUEUE SIZE = 200

實驗組: WORKER QUEUE SIZE = 150

在`./main 200 ./tests/00.in ./tests/00.out`的實驗組中,最高 scale up 到 3 個 consumer,總共有 3 個 scale up, 0 個 scale down。

```
[os22team45@localhost NTHU-OS-Pthreads]$ ./main 200 ./tests/00.in ./tests/00.out
Scaling up consumers from 0 to 1
Scaling up consumers from 1 to 2
Scaling up consumers from 2 to 3
```

在`./main 4000 ./tests/01.in ./tests/01.out`的實驗組中,跟對照組一樣。這裡可以看出 worker_queue_size 變小導致上下限都縮小,因此 scale up 變得更容易達成。

對照組: WORKER_QUEUE_SIZE = 200 實驗組: WORKER QUEUE SIZE = 300

在`./main 200 ./tests/00.in ./tests/00.out`的實驗組中,完全沒有任何動作,推測是因為 WORKER_QUEUE_SIZE 太大導致 worker_queue 中的 item 數量沒辦法超過 high threshold,所以沒有 consumer 被產生。

在`./main 4000 ./tests/01.in ./tests/01.out`的實驗組中,最高 scale up 到 10 個 consumer,總共有 26 個 scale up, 17 個 scale down。

```
team45@localhost NTHU-OS-Pthreads]$ ./main 4000 ./tests/01.in ./tests/01.out
              consumers
                           from 0
from 1
Scaling up consumers
Scaling up
              consumers
                           from
Scaling up consumers
                           from
Scaling up consumers
              consumers
                           from
Scaling up
Scaling up
Scaling up consumers from 7
Scaling up consumers from 8
Scaling down consumers from
Scaling down consumers from
Scaling down consumers from
Scaling down consumers
Scaling down consumers
                              from 5
from 4
Scaling down consumers
Scaling down consumers
Scaling down consumers
Scaling down consumers from
Scaling up consumers from 1
Scaling up consumers from 2
Scaling up consumers from 3
Scaling up consumers
Scaling up consumers
                           from
Scaling up
              consumers
                           from
Scaling up consumers
                           from
from
Scaling up consumers
Scaling up consumers from
                             from
from
from
Scaling down consumers
Scaling down consumers
Scaling down consumers
Scaling down consumers
Scaling
          down consumers
                              from
Scaling down consumers
Scaling down consumers
                              from
from
Scaling down consumers
Scaling down consumers from 
Scaling up consumers from
Scaling up consumers
                           from
from
Scaling up consumers
Scaling up consumers
              consumers
                          from 5
from 6
from 7
Scaling up
                            from
              consumers
Scaling up
Scaling up
              consumers
  aling
aling
              consumers
          up
```

4. What happens if WRITER_QUEUE_SIZE is very small?

對照組: WRITER_QUEUE_SIZE = 4000

實驗組: WRITER QUEUE SIZE = 10

在`./main 200 ./tests/00.in ./tests/00.out`的實驗組中,跟對照組一樣在`./main 4000 ./tests/01.in ./tests/01.out`的實驗組中,跟對照組一樣

5. What happens if READER QUEUE SIZE is very small?

對照組: READER_QUEUE_SIZE = 200

實驗組: READER QUEUE SIZE = 10

在`./main 200 ./tests/00.in ./tests/00.out`的實驗組中,跟對照組一樣在`./main 4000 ./tests/01.in ./tests/01.out`的實驗組中,跟對照組一樣

由 4 跟 5 可以知道,writer_queue 跟 reader_queue 的大小上限並不會影響他們的行為,如果 queue 滿了,其他要把 item 丟進 queue 的 thread 便會等待到queue 有多的空間在繼續執行,所以 queue 的上限只會影響到 thread 執行的效率而已。

Additional experiment:

6. 對照組:

 $WORKER_QUEUE_SIZE = 200$

CONSUMER_CONTROLLER_LOW_THRESHOLD_PERCENTAGE=20 CONSUMER_CONTROLLER_HIGH_THRESHOLD_PERCENTAGE=80 實驗組:

WORKER QUEUE_SIZE = 400

CONSUMER_CONTROLLER_LOW_THRESHOLD_PERCENTAGE=10 CONSUMER_CONTROLLER_HIGH_THRESHOLD_PERCENTAGE=40

在`./main 200 ./tests/00.in ./tests/00.out`的實驗組中,跟對照組一樣

[os22team45@localhost NTHU-OS-Pthreads]\$./main 200 ./tests/00.in ./tests/00.out Scaling up consumers from 0 to 1 Scaling up consumers from 1 to 2 Scaling down consumers from 2 to 1

在`./main 4000 ./tests/01.in ./tests/01.out`的實驗組中,一開始會多 scale up 一個 consumer,最高 scale up 到 11 個 consumer,而在最後會多 scale down 一個 consumer。

```
2team45@localhost NTHU-OS-Pthreads]$ ./main 4000 ./tests/01.in ./tests/01.out
Scaling up consumers from 0 to
Scaling up consumers from
Scaling up consumers from 2 to
Scaling up consumers
                        from
Scaling up consumers
                        from 4 to
Scaling up consumers
                        from
Scaling up consumers from 6 to 7
Scaling up consumers from 7 to 8
Scaling up consumers from 8 to 9
Scaling up consumers from 9 to 10
Scaling up consumers from 10 to 11
Scaling down consumers from 11 to 10
Scaling down consumers from 10 to 9
Scaling down consumers from 9 to 8
Scaling down consumers from 8 to
Scaling down consumers from
                                  to
Scaling down consumers from 6 to
Scaling down consumers from
                                5 to
Scaling down consumers from 4 to
Scaling down consumers from
Scaling down consumers from
Scaling up consumers from 1
                                2 to
                                to 2
Scaling up consumers from 2
Scaling up consumers
                        from
Scaling up consumers
                        from
Scaling up consumers
                        from
Scaling up consumers
                        from
Scaling up consumers
Scaling up consumers from
Scaling up consumers from 9 to 10
Scaling up consumers from 11 to 10
Scaling down consumers from 11 to 10
Scaling down consumers from 10 to 9
Scaling up consumers from 10 to 11
Scaling down consumers from 9 to 8
Scaling down consumers from 8 to
Scaling down consumers from 7 to 6
                                6 to
Scaling down consumers from
Scaling down consumers from 5 to
Scaling down consumers from
                                4 to
Scaling down consumers from 3 to
Scaling down consumers from 2 to
Scaling up consumers from 1 to 2
Scaling up consumers from 2 to
Scaling up consumers from 3
                                to
Scaling up consumers from
                              4 to
                        from 5 to
Scaling up consumers
Scaling up consumers
                        from
                        from
Scaling up consumers
                                to
                        from 8
Scaling up consumers
                                to
Scaling up consumers from 9
                                to
Scaling down consumers from
```

由 consumer controller 要增減 consumer 的公式計算,對照組 worker queue size 為 200,low=200*20/100=40,high=200*80/100=160,而實驗組 worker queue size 為 400,low=400*10/100=40,high=400*40/100=160,計算的值會是一模一樣,所以理應在做增減 consumer 時的情況要是一樣,但我們發現執行出來的結果卻與對照組不相同,因此推測可能是因為 worker queue size 變大後,原本執行過程中會使得 worker queue 塞滿的情況在此時不會出現,使得可以一直塞入 worker queue 讓其維持較高的使用率以達到多一個 consumer。

7. 對照組:

CONSUMER_CONTROLLER_LOW_THRESHOLD_PERCENTAGE=20 CONSUMER_CONTROLLER_HIGH_THRESHOLD_PERCENTAGE = 80 實驗組:

CONSUMER CONTROLLER LOW THRESHOLD PERCENTAGE=0

CONSUMER CONTROLLER HIGH THRESHOLD PERCENTAGE = 80

```
[os22team45@localhost NTHU-OS-Pthreads]$ ./main 200 ./tests/00.in ./tests/00.out Scaling up consumers from 0 to 1 Scaling up consumers from 1 to 2
```

在`./main 200 ./tests/00.in ./tests/00.out`的實驗組中,如上圖所示,一開始與對照組一樣先 scale up 到 2 個 consumer,但之後因為 low threshold 為 0,要等到 worker queue 都沒有東西才會刪除 consumer,所以在執行過程中沒有出現這種情形,就會一直保持 2 個 consumer 直到程式結束。

```
[os22team45@localhost NTHU-OS-Pthreads]$ ./main 4000 ./tests/01.in ./tests/01.out Scaling up consumers from 0 to 1
Scaling up consumers from 1 to 2
Scaling up consumers from 2 to 3
Scaling up consumers from 3 to 4
Scaling up consumers from 4 to 5
Scaling up consumers from 5 to 6
Scaling up consumers from 6 to 7
Scaling up consumers from 7 to 8
Scaling up consumers from 8 to 9
Scaling up consumers from 9 to 10
```

在`./main 4000 ./tests/01.in ./tests/01.out`的實驗組中,如上圖所示,與`./main 200 ./tests/00.in ./tests/00.out`相同,只有 scale up 沒有 scale down。

8. 對照組:

CONSUMER_CONTROLLER_LOW_THRESHOLD_PERCENTAGE=20 CONSUMER_CONTROLLER_HIGH_THRESHOLD_PERCENTAGE = 80 實驗組:

CONSUMER_CONTROLLER_LOW_THRESHOLD_PERCENTAGE=20
CONSUMER CONTROLLER HIGH THRESHOLD PERCENTAGE=100

```
[os22team45@localhost NTHU-OS-Pthreads]$ ./main 200 ./tests/00.in ./tests/00.out
```

```
[os22team45@localhost NTHU-0S-Pthreads]$ ./main 200 ./tests/01.in ./tests/01.out
```

當 high threshold 設成 100 時,不論是`./main 200 ./tests/00.in ./tests/00.out`還是`./main 4000 ./tests/01.in ./tests/01.out`都不會有 consumer 產生,因為 consumer controller 要大於 threshold 才會產生 consumer,而不會有大於 queue size 的情況所以沒有 consumer 產生造成 program stuck。

Difficulty:

本次的作業整體難度上比起 scheduling 和 file system 來說是簡單不少,不會有那種無從下手的迷茫,也不會在眾多 file 裡不知道自己改了什麼或是還要改什麼,但也不是說此次作業就沒有難度,在 program 上需要考慮到多個 thread 同時存取 share data 時,要保證 data consistentcy。特別是在實作 TSQueue 時,我們一開始的 enqueue 和 dequeue 只有用 condition variable,但是忘記 condition variable 本身也是一個 shared variable,導致我們的結果錯誤,並且在 debug 時都沒想到是這裡會出錯,在其他 file 上面東改西改浪費了不少時間,直到我們在複習 pthread部分的講義時,才想起來 condition variable 需要搭配 mutex,這才解開困擾許久的問題。

心得:

這次的 pthread 有比之前的 assignment 簡單一些,由於很多函式都是已經定義好的,所以這次只要搞懂 mutex 跟 condition variable 需要被呼叫的時機就好。經過了這次的作業,我們也更了解有關 thread programming 的細節,把上課時學到的東西可以實際應用。這也是這學期的最後一個作業,很感謝我的隊友跟我一起努力完成每次的作業,整個學期下來互相督促及鼓勵。同時也很感謝助教跟老師都很有耐心地回答我們的問題,也幫助我們更順利的完成作業!