

SMART CONTRACT SECURITY AUDIT

Plan: Simple Final report

SOLERIUM

May 2024

rugdog.net

■ the@rugdog.net





♦ CONTENTS

1. Introduction	3
2. Contracts checked	3
3. Audit Process	3
4. Overview of Relevance levels	4
5. Issues	4
5.1 High relevance issues	4
5.2 Medium relevance issues	4
5.3 Low relevance issues	5
6. Conclusion	6
7 Disclaimer	7

May 2024 Page 2 of 8



♦ INTRODUCTION

The report has been prepared for SOLERIUM.

Name SOLERIUM

Audit date 2024-05-06 - 2024-05-06

Language Rust

Network Solana

♦ CONTRACTS CHECKED

Name Address

SOLERIUM H2fjXJsDJq2ghbXzcYJzQ73sWj6A26qZouLtx6wmrd6

AUDIT PROCESS

The code was audited by the team according to the following order:

Automated analysis

- Scanning the project's smart contracts with several publicly available automated Solidity analysis tools
- Manual confirmation of all the issues found by the tools

Manual audit

- Thorough manual analysis of smart contracts for security vulnerabilities
- Smart contracts' logic check

May 2024 Page 3 of 8



♦ OVERVIEW OF RELEVANCE LEVELS

High relevance Issues of high relevance may lead to losses of users' funds as well as

changes of ownership of a contract or possible issues with the logic

of the contract.

High-relevance issues require immediate attention and a response

from the team.

Medium relevance While issues of medium relevance don't pose as high a risk as the

high-relevance ones do, they can be just as easily exploited by the team or a malicious user, causing a contract failure and damaging the project's reputation in the process. Usually, these issues can be

fixed if the contract is redeployed.

Medium-relevance issues require a response from the team.

Low relevance Issues of low relevance don't pose high risks since they can't cause

damage to the functionality of the contract. However, it's still

recommended to consider fixing them.

♦ ISSUES

High relevance issues

No high relevance issues found

Medium relevance issues

No medium relevance issues found

May 2024 Page 4 of 8



Low relevance issues

No low relevance issues found

May 2024 Page 5 of 8



♦ CONCLUSION

SOLERIUM SOLERIUM contract was audited. No relevance issues were found.

May 2024 Page 6 of 8



♦ DISCLAIMER

This report is subject to the terms and conditions (including without limitation, description of services, confidentiality, disclaimer and limitation of liability) set forth in the Services

Agreement, or the scope of services, and terms and conditions provided to the Company in connection with the Agreement. This report provided in connection with the Services set forth in the Agreement shall be used by the Company only to the extent permitted under the terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement. This report may not be transmitted, disclosed, referred to or relied upon by any person for any purposes without RugDog prior written consent.

This report is not, nor should be considered, an "endorsement" or "disapproval" of any particular project or team. This report is not, nor should be considered, an indication of the economics or value of any "product" or "asset" created by any team or project that contracts RugDog to perform a security assessment. This report does not provide any warranty or guarantee regarding the absolute bug-free nature of the technology analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technologies proprietors, business, business model or legal compliance.

This report should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. This report in no way provides investment advice, nor should be leveraged as investment advice of any sort. This report represents an extensive assessing process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code while reducing the high level of risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology.

The rights to publish the results of this audit are exclusively retained by RugDog.

May 2024 Page 7 of 8





WOOF!

rugdog.net

■ the@rugdog.net

