

## 17 Option pricing with proportional transaction cost

Suppose that the price of the stock follows a GBM

$$dS_t = \alpha S_t dt + \sigma S_t dB_t. \quad (31)$$

In the presence of proportional transaction costs, the investor pays  $0 < \zeta < 1$  and  $0 < \mu < 1$  of the dollar value transacted on purchase and sale of the underlying stock, respectively. Let  $x_t$  denote the number of shares held in stock and  $y_t$  the dollar value of the investment in bond which pays a fixed risk-free rate  $r$ . The investor's position  $(x_t, y_t)$  in stock and bond is driven by:

$$dx_t = dL_t - dM_t, \quad (32)$$

$$dy_t = ry_t dt - aS_t dL_t + bS_t dM_t, \quad (33)$$

with  $a = 1 + \zeta$  and  $b = 1 - \mu$ , where  $L_t$  and  $M_t$  are nondecreasing and non-anticipating processes and represent the cumulative numbers of shares of stock bought or sold, respectively, within the time interval  $[0, t]$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq T$ . Denote the terminal settlement value of the stock, when there is no option position, by  $Z(S_T, x_T)$ , which is given by

$$Z(S, x) = xS(aI_{\{x < 0\}} + bI_{\{x \geq 0\}}). \quad (34)$$

The investor's terminal wealth in terms of the total hedging cost is

$$W_T := y_T e^{r(T-t)} - \left( a \int_{[t, T)} e^{r(T-u)} S_u dL_u - b \int_{[t, T)} e^{r(T-u)} S_u dM_u \right) + Z(S_T, x_T).$$

Let  $U : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the writer's utility, which is concave and increasing with  $U(0) = 0$ . We assume that the investor's goal is to maximize the expected utility of terminal wealth under the market model (31)-(33)

$$V(t, S, x, y) = \sup_{(L_t, M_t) \in \mathcal{T}(y_0)} E[W_T | S_t = S, x_t = x, y_t = y], \quad (35)$$

Suppose that the writer's utility  $U(z)$  has the negative exponential utility function  $U(z) = 1 - e^{-\gamma z}$ , in which  $\gamma$  is the constant absolute risk aversion parameter. Then

$$V(t, S, x, y) = 1 - \exp\{-\gamma y e^{r(T-t)}\} H(t, S, x), \quad (36)$$

and  $H(t, S, x)$  solves the following free-boundary problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} + \alpha S \frac{\partial H}{\partial S} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 S^2 \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial S^2} = 0 & x \in [X_b(t, S), X_s(t, S)], \\ \frac{\partial H}{\partial x} + a\gamma S e^{r(T-t)} H = 0 & x \leq X_b(t, S), \\ \frac{\partial H}{\partial x} + b\gamma S e^{r(T-t)} H = 0 & x \geq X_s(t, S), \\ H(T, S, x) = e^{-\gamma Z(S, x)}, \end{cases} \quad (37)$$

in which  $X_b(t, S)$  and  $X_s(t, S)$  are the unknown buy and sell boundaries, respectively.