# The Hausdorff Measure

In geometric measure theory, we like to work with outer measures so much that we just call them measures.

**Definition 1.** A(n) (outer) measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a function  $\mu : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to [0, +\infty]$  such that, for  $\{A_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  a countable collection of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and any  $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_i$ , we have

$$\mu(A) \le \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(A_i).$$

A set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is **measurable** if, for all  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\mu(E \cap A) + \mu(E \cap A^C) = \mu(E).$$

#### The Hausdorff Measure

Some notation:

• The *diameter* of a set S is denoted by diam(S) and is given by the following formula:

$$diam(S) = \sup\{|x - y| : x, y \in S\}.$$

• The Lebesgue measure of the closed unit ball  $\mathbb{B}^m(\mathbf{0},1)\subseteq\mathbb{R}^m$  is denoted by  $\alpha_m$  and is given by the following formula

$$\alpha_m = \frac{\pi^{m/2}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{m}{2} + 1\right)}.$$

**Definition 2.** For any  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  define the  $(\delta, m)$ -Hausdorff measure  $\mathcal{H}^m_{\delta}(A)$  as

$$\mathcal{H}^m_{\delta}(A) = \inf_{\substack{A \subset \bigcup S_j \\ \operatorname{diam}(S_j) \leq \delta}} \sum \alpha_m \left(\frac{\operatorname{diam}(S_j)}{2}\right)^m.$$

The m-dimensional Hausdorff measure is defined as  $\mathcal{H}^m(A) = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \mathcal{H}^m_{\delta}(A)$ .

**Observation 1.** Let  $\mathcal{H}^m$  be the m-dimensional Hausdorff measure over  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

- (1)  $\mathcal{H}^m$  is countably subadditive.
- (2) All Borel sets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  are  $\mathcal{H}^m$ -measurable.
- (3) For all  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  there exists a Borel subset  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$\mathcal{H}^m(A) = \mathcal{H}^m(B).$$

Note: Conditions (2) and (3) give that  $\mathcal{H}^m$  is a **Borel regular measure**.

Proof.

(1) Let  $\{A_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a countable collection of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and A any subset of  $\bigcup_{i\in\mathbb{N}}A_i$ . Then,

$$\mathcal{H}_{\delta}^{m}(A) = \inf_{\substack{A \subseteq \bigcup S_j \\ \operatorname{diam}(S_j) \le \delta}} \sum \alpha_m \left(\frac{\operatorname{diam}(S_j)}{2}\right)^m$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{\delta}^{m}(\bigcup A_i) = \inf_{\substack{\bigcup A_i \subseteq \bigcup S_j \\ \operatorname{diam}(S_i) \le \delta}} \sum \alpha_m \left(\frac{\operatorname{diam}(S_j)}{2}\right)^m$$

Now, any countable covering of  $\bigcup_{i\in\mathbb{N}} A_i$  is also countable covering of A. Therefore,

$$\left\{\{S_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}: \bigcup_{i\in\mathbb{N}}A_i\subseteq \bigcup_{j\in\mathbb{N}}S_j \text{ and } \operatorname{diam}(S_j)\leq \delta\right\}\subseteq \left\{\{S_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}: A\subseteq \bigcup_{j\in\mathbb{N}}S_j \text{ and } \operatorname{diam}(S_j)\leq \delta\right\}.$$

Recall that  $A \subseteq B \implies \inf A \ge \inf B$ . Hence,

$$\mathcal{H}^m_\delta\left(\bigcup A_i\right) \ge \mathcal{H}^m_\delta(A).$$

Passing to the limit as  $\delta \to 0$  gives the result.

(2) Note that all Borel sets are measurable if and only if Cartheodory's criterion holds:

$$\mathcal{H}^m(A_1 \cup A_2) = \mathcal{H}^m(A_1) + \mathcal{H}^m(A_2)$$

for all  $A_1, A_2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  with

$$dist(A_1, A_2) := \inf\{|x - y| : x \in A_1, y \in A_2\} > 0.$$

So, we check Cartheodory's criterion for  $\mathcal{H}^m$  and pack our bags. So, let  $A_1, A_2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $\operatorname{dist}(A_1, A_2) > 0$ . Since we already know that

$$\mathcal{H}^m(A_1 \cup A_2) \leq \mathcal{H}^m(A_1) + \mathcal{H}^m(A_2)$$

by countable (which implies finite) subadditivity, it suffices to prove

$$\mathcal{H}^m(A_1) + \mathcal{H}^m(A_2) \le \mathcal{H}^m(A_1 \cup A_2).$$

We have,

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{H}^m_{\delta}(A_1) + \mathcal{H}^m_{\delta}(A_2) &= \inf_{\substack{A_1 \subseteq \bigcup S_j^1 \\ \operatorname{diam}(S_j^1) \leq \delta}} \sum \alpha_m \left(\frac{\operatorname{diam}(S_j^1)}{2}\right)^m + \inf_{\substack{A_2 \subseteq \bigcup S_j^2 \\ \operatorname{diam}(S_k^2) \leq \delta}} \sum \alpha_m \left(\frac{\operatorname{diam}(S_k^2)}{2}\right)^m \\ &= \inf_{\substack{A_1 \subseteq \bigcup S_j^1, A_2 \subseteq \bigcup S_k^2 \\ \operatorname{diam}(S_j^1) \leq \delta, \operatorname{diam}(S_k^2) \leq \delta}} \sum_{j,k} \alpha_m \left[\left(\frac{\operatorname{diam}(S_j^1)}{2}\right)^m + \left(\frac{\operatorname{diam}(S_k^2)}{2}\right)^m\right] \\ &\leq \inf_{\substack{A_1 \subseteq \bigcup S_j^1, A_2 \subseteq \bigcup S_k^2 \\ \operatorname{diam}(S_j^1) \leq \delta, \operatorname{diam}(S_k^2) \leq \delta}} \sum_{j,k} \alpha_m \left(\frac{\operatorname{diam}(S_j^1) + \operatorname{diam}(S_k^2)}{2}\right)^m \\ &\leq \inf_{\substack{A_1 \subseteq \bigcup S_j^1, A_2 \subseteq \bigcup S_k^2 \\ \operatorname{diam}(S_j^1) \leq \delta, \operatorname{diam}(S_k^2) \leq \delta}} \sum_{j,k} \alpha_m \left(\frac{\operatorname{diam}(S_j^1 \cup S_k^2)}{2}\right)^m \\ &\leq \inf_{\substack{A_1 \subseteq \bigcup S_j^1, A_2 \subseteq \bigcup S_k^2 \\ S_j^1 \cap S_k^2 = \emptyset}} \sum_{j,k} \alpha_m \left(\frac{\operatorname{diam}(S_j^1 \cup S_k^2)}{2}\right)^m \\ &\leq \inf_{\substack{A_1 \subseteq \bigcup S_j^1, A_2 \subseteq \bigcup S_k^2 \\ S_j^1 \cap S_k^2 = \emptyset}} \sum_{j,k} \alpha_m \left(\frac{\operatorname{diam}(S_j^1 \cup S_k^2)}{2}\right)^m \end{split}$$

For any covering  $\{S_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$  of  $A_1 \cup A_2$ , observe that by virtue of the fact  $\operatorname{dist}(A_1,A_2) > 0$ , we have  $\operatorname{diam}(S_j) \geq \operatorname{diam}(S_j \cap A_1) + \operatorname{diam}(S_j \cap A_2)$ .

The collections  $\{S_j \cap A_1\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $\{S_j \cap A_2\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  form disjoint covers of  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$  respectively. So, when considering  $\mathcal{H}^m_\delta(A_1 \cup A_2)$ , it suffices to take the infimum over disjoint covers of  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ ... in fact, these infima are equal since we have reduced the size of our cover, and we use the fact that  $A \subseteq B \implies \inf(A) \ge \inf B$ , which forces the following equality:

$$\inf_{\substack{A_1 \subseteq \bigcup S_j^1, A_2 \subseteq \bigcup S_k^2 \\ \operatorname{diam}(S_j^1 \cup S_k^2) \le \delta}} \sum_{j,k} \alpha_m \left( \frac{\operatorname{diam}(S_j^1 \cup S_k^2)}{2} \right)^m = \inf_{\substack{(A_1 \cup A_2) \subseteq \bigcup S_j \\ \operatorname{diam}(S_j) \le \delta}} \sum \alpha_m \left( \frac{\operatorname{diam}(S_j)}{2} \right)^m.$$

The result follows by passing to the limit as  $\delta \to 0$ .

(3) Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be arbitrary, and note that for any  $S_i$  in a covering of A, we have

$$diam(S_j) = diam(\overline{S_j}).$$

Since  $\overline{S_j}$  is closed, it is Borel. We can write

$$\mathcal{H}^{m}(A) = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \inf_{\substack{A \subseteq \bigcup S_j \\ \operatorname{diam}(S_j) < \delta}} \sum \alpha_m \left( \frac{\operatorname{diam}(S_j)}{2} \right)^m = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \inf_{\substack{A \subseteq \bigcup \overline{S_j} \\ \operatorname{diam}(\overline{S_j}) < \delta}} \sum \alpha_m \left( \frac{\operatorname{diam}(\overline{S_j})}{2} \right)^m.$$

By a property of infimum, there exists a countable sequence of such Borel coverings  $\{S_j^{(k)}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  defining  $\mathcal{H}^m(A)$  (in the sense that their values when plugged into the summation above forms a non-increasing sequence of positive numbers tending to the value of  $\mathcal{H}^m(A)$ ). Hence, let

$$B = \bigcap_{k} \bigcup_{j} S_{j}^{(k)}$$

which has the same Hausdorff measure of A. Cool beans dude.

### **Hausdorff Measure Exercises**

**Exercise 1.** Let I be the unit interval [0,1] in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Prove that  $\mathcal{H}^1(I)=1$ .

**Exercise 2.** Prove that  $\mathcal{H}^n(\mathbb{B}^n(\mathbf{0},1)) < \infty$  just using the definition of Hausdorff measure.

**Exercise 3.** Let A be a nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . First, prove that if  $0 \leq m < k$  and  $\mathcal{H}^m(A) < \infty$ , then  $\mathcal{H}^k(A) = 0$ .

**Exercise 4.** Define a set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  as follows: Let  $A_0$  be a closed equilateral triangle of side 1. Let  $A_1$  be the three equilateral triangular regions of side 1/3 in the corners of  $A_0$ . In general, let  $A_{j+1}$  be the triangular regions, a third of the size, in the corners of the triangles of  $A_j$ . Let  $A = \bigcap A_j$ . Prove that  $\mathcal{H}^1(A) = 1$ .

## **The Hausdorff Dimension**

**Definition 3.** Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be nonempty. The **Hausdorff dimension** of A is defined as

$$\inf\{m \ge 0 : \mathcal{H}^m(A) < \infty\} = \inf\{m : \mathcal{H}^m(A) = 0\}$$
$$= \sup\{m : \mathcal{H}^m(A) > 0\}$$
$$= \sup\{m : \mathcal{H}^m(A) = \infty\}.$$

**Observation 2.** All four definitions of the Hausdorff dimension above are equivalent.

### **Numerical Implementations [Big WIP]**

- For diameter...
  - 1. Let S = f(D) for some  $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  and some function f.
  - 2. Sample random points (the more points sampled, the more accurate the method) and take their distances. A stored array would probably look like (for defining a surface)

$\mathbf{x}$	$\mathbf{y}$	$  (\mathbf{x}, f(\mathbf{x}) - (\mathbf{y}, f(\mathbf{y}))  $
(1, 2)	(3, 4)	0.1234
(4, 3)	(1, 8)	4.6512
:	:	:

3. Then, diam(S) is reported as the max in the third column. Let's do it.

**MATLAB 1.** diam(S) numerical implementation for surfaces.

Implementation. See the folder, will improve it later.