

Explainable & Faithful RAG for Financial QA: Citation-Disciplined Answers with Span-Level Verification

Anonymous ACL submission

Abstract

We propose a retrieval-augmented conversational assistant for finance that generates short, citation-disciplined answers grounded in SEC filings and FOMC texts. Our system enforces *attribution* via inline references and employs a *span-level verification* layer using natural language inference (NLI) to reduce hallucinations. We will evaluate answer correctness, faithfulness to evidence, attribution precision/recall, and refusal behavior when evidence is insufficient. We outline a realistic plan, datasets, baselines, ablations, and a midterm milestone.

1 Introduction & Motivation

Financial QA assistants must be *factual, attributable, and conservative* when evidence is weak. Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG; Lewis et al., 2020) improves factuality but often fails to (i) attach precise citations to atomic claims and (ii) decline when support is missing. In high-stakes domains (filings, earnings calls, central bank statements), these gaps erode trust. We target a modeling-first solution (no heavy serving work): (1) disciplined citation formatting; (2) automatic claim–evidence linking; (3) NLI-based support checks; (4) refusal or revision when contradictions/insufficiency are detected.

2 Relevant Literature & Key Takeaways

Retrieval-augmented generation. Lewis et al. (2020) demonstrated end-to-end RAG, while Izacard and Grave (2021a) showed that fusion-in-decoder improves evidence integration. We will adopt a modern dense retriever + reranker (e.g., Izacard and Grave, 2021b; Xiao et al., 2023; Nogueira and Lin, 2020) to raise recall and evidence quality.

Faithfulness, attribution, and hallucinations. Rashkin et al. (2023) formalize *Attributable to Identified Sources*, directly relevant to citation discipline. Manakul et al. (2023) and Min et al. (2023) present automatic faithfulness checks; we will operationalize faithfulness via sentence-level NLI on claim–evidence pairs (e.g., He et al., 2021). These works guide our metric design and refusal policy.

Financial QA datasets. Chen et al. (2021) (FinQA) and Zhu et al. (2021) (TAT-QA) capture numeric reasoning over reports/tables. We will start with text spans (sec. 4.1) and add light numeric grounding as a stretch. For multi-hop reasoning and evaluation ideas, we borrow structure from Yang et al. (2018).

What we adopt. From RAG/FiD: strong retrieval and compact evidence packing. From attribution work: explicit source-linked claims and conservative refusal. From faithfulness metrics: span-level NLI to quantify support and contradictions.

3 Planned Contribution & Innovation

Our novelty lies in **tying answer generation to verifiable spans**:

- 1. Citation discipline.** Answers must include inline refs [1][2] that map to an evidence list; we constrain decoding to *only* use retrieved text.
- 2. Span-level verification.** We decompose answers into atomic claims (simple clause splitter), align each to candidate sentences from cited passages, and apply NLI (*entail/contradict/neutral*). Unsupported claims trigger revision or refusal.
- 3. Refusal policy.** If no sentence entails a claim, the system returns “Insufficient evidence” and suggests what evidence would be needed (e.g., guidance section, period, or table).
- 4. (Stretch) Numeric grounding.** Regex/unit-aware copy of numbers from cited spans to

076	curb numeric hallucinations.	119
077	4 Project Plan	120
078	4.1 Data & Acquisition	121
079	Primary corpora: (i) SEC filings (10-K/10-Q) for 5–8 companies (150–250 docs total), parsed to text and chunked (300–500 tokens, 20–40% overlap).	122
080	(ii) FOMC statements/minutes (3–5 years).	123
081	QA sets: (1) Curate 100–150 short factoid questions with gold answers and doc IDs; (2) import a text-only subset of FinQA and TAT-QA for external validation (no tables needed initially).	124
082		125
083		126
084		127
085		128
086		129
087	4.2 Models	130
088	Retrieval: Dense embeddings (e.g., bge-base/large) with FAISS; optional cross-encoder reranker (bge-reranker or MonoT5).	131
089	Generator: Open 7B–9B instruct model (Llama-3.1-8B, Mistral-7B, or Gemma-2-9B-it).	132
090	Verifier: NLI model (e.g., DeBERTa-v3-Large-MNLI) for claim–span entailment.	133
091	(Optional) PEFT: LoRA for citation obedience and terse style if needed.	134
092		135
093		136
094		137
095		138
096		139
097	4.3 Method	140
098	Pipeline: retrieve top- k → rerank top- m → pack evidence list → generate concise answer with inline refs → split into claims → select candidate evidence sentence per claim (BM25-over-sentences or highest sim) → NLI verify → (if unsupported) revise or refuse.	141
099		142
100		143
101		144
102		145
103		146
104	4.4 Evaluation	147
105	Automatic metrics:	148
106	• Answer accuracy: EM/F1 against gold.	149
107	• Faithfulness: % claims <i>entailed</i> by cited spans (NLI).	150
108		151
109	• Attribution P/R: precision/recall of citation ↔ claim alignment (does cited span actually support the tagged claim?).	152
110		153
111		154
112	• Hallucination rate: % answers with any unsupported claim.	155
113		156
114	• Refusal quality: precision of “Insufficient evidence” (no entailed spans exist) and false refusal rate.	157
115		158
116		159
117	Human eval (50–100 items): helpfulness, specificity, and citation adequacy on 3-point scales.	160
118		161
		162
		163
	4.5 Baselines & Ablations	
	Baselines: B0: No-RAG LLM; B1: Vanilla RAG (dense only); B2: RAG+Reranker.	
	Our method: RAG+Reranker+Citation discipline+Span-level NLI verification (with refusal).	
	Ablations: chunk size/overlap; top- k/m ; prompt variants (with/without refusal rule); sentence- vs. paragraph-level evidence packing; verifier on/off; numeric-copy on/off.	
	4.6 Milestone (Halfway Checkpoint)	
	By the midpoint:	
	• Ingested \geq 150 filings and 3–5 years of FOMC texts; FAISS index built.	
	• B0/B1/B2 implemented; first pass EM/F1 and retrieval recall.	
	• Citation formatting functional on dev set; preliminary faithfulness script working on 50 items.	
	4.7 Feasibility & Risks	
	Data availability. SEC and FOMC texts are publicly available; collection is straightforward. We will scope to a few tickers to keep indexing/eval tractable.	
	Evaluation realism. We will author 100–150 QA pairs tied to specific documents and validate with a subset from FinQA/TAT-QA; metrics follow prior work, and NLI-based checks provide scalable faithfulness estimates.	
	Baselines & ablations. Clearly defined (above) and feasible to run on a single GPU; no serving infrastructure required.	
	5 Deliverables	
	Reproducible code (train/eval/analyze scripts), a concise demo notebook (question → evidence → answer with citations → faithfulness report), and a final report with tables/plots showing accuracy, faithfulness, hallucinations, and ablation deltas.	
	What We Hope to Learn	
	(1) How much of the hallucination problem in financial QA can be mitigated by disciplined evidence packing + span-level verification; (2) which retrieval/rerank configurations most affect faithfulness; (3) when refusal improves overall utility.	

References

165 Wenhui Chen, Yufei Xie, Hongmin Zhang, Muhan Chen,
 166 and William Yang Wang. 2021. Finqa: A dataset for
 167 numerical reasoning over financial data. In *EMNLP*.

168 Pengcheng He, Jianfeng Gao, and Weizhu Chen. 2021.
 169 Deberta: Decoding-enhanced bert with disentangled
 170 attention. In *ICLR*.

171 Gautier Izacard and Edouard Grave. 2021a. Leveraging
 172 passage retrieval with generative models for open
 173 domain question answering. In *EACL*.

174 Gautier Izacard and Edouard Grave. 2021b. Unsuper-
 175 vised dense information retrieval with Contriever. In
 176 *NeurIPS*.

177 Patrick Lewis, Ethan Perez, Aleksandra Piktus, Fabio
 178 Petroni, Vladislav Karpukhin, Naman Goyal, Hein-
 179 rich Küttler, Mike Lewis, Wen-tau Yih, Tim Rock-
 180 täschel, Sebastian Riedel, and Douwe Kiela. 2020.
 181 Retrieval-augmented generation for knowledge-
 182 intensive nlp. In *Advances in Neural Information
 183 Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*.

184 Potsawee Manakul, Adian Liusie, and Mark Gales. 2023.
 185 Selfcheckgpt: Zero-resource black-box hallucina-
 186 tion detection for generative large language models.
 187 *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Lan-*
 188 *guage Processing*.

189 Sewon Min and 1 others. 2023. Factscore: Fine-grained
 190 evaluation of factual precision in long-form text gen-
 191 eration. In *EMNLP*.

192 Rodrigo Nogueira and Jimmy Lin. 2020. Docu-
 193 ment ranking with a pretrained sequence-to-sequence
 194 model. In *Findings of EMNLP*.

195 Hannah Rashkin and 1 others. 2023. Measuring attribu-
 196 tion in natural language generation. In *ACL*.

197 Biniao Xiao, Yidong Li, and 1 others. 2023. Bge
 198 embeddings: A lightweight general text embedding
 199 model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.02888*.

200 Zhilin Yang, Peng Qi, Saizheng Zhang, Yoshua Ben-
 201 gio, William W Cohen, Ruslan Salakhutdinov, and
 202 Christopher D Manning. 2018. Hotpotqa: A dataset
 203 for diverse, explainable multi-hop question answer-
 204 ing. In *EMNLP*.

205 Fengbin Zhu, Chenyan Xiong, Zhiyuan Yu, and Jiawei
 206 Han. 2021. Tat-q: A question answering benchmark
 207 on a hybrid of tabular and textual content in finance.
 208 In *ACL*.