Course Code	SSH124			
Course Name	Critical Thinking			
Credits	4			
Course Offered to	UG			
Carran Bananintian	This course introduces the learner to the fundamentals of critical thinking and informal logic. We will use a 'mixed' instructional methodology that includes lecture, Q&A, learning			
Course Description team activities, discussion of videos, slides, print articles and the text.				
Pre-requisites Pre-requisites				
Pre-requisite (Mandatory) Pre-requisite (Desirable) Pre-requisite(other)  None None None				
Note				
Post Conditions           CO1         CO2         CO3         CO4         CO5				
COI	CO2	CO3	CO4	'loaded' issues—political, economic,
Demonstrate the knowledge of underlying	Being able to apply the distinction between	Define and recognize the stereotypes, rationalization, prejudice The differences		religious, and philosophical. Why a single perspective analysis often lands us in circular
assumptions in all statements, positions and arguments.	perceptions, opinions, facts, values, knowledge.	and the overlap between these categories.	Practicing the art of active listening in any discussion.	arguments, dogma and an inability to register or
CO6	CO7	CO8	CO9	dogina and an mability to register or
000	Demonstrate the differences between		1003	
Uncovering common prejudices through the knowledge of semantic maps and territories.	Critical and Creative Thinking how and why they supplement each other.	Spotting common fallacies in arguments.	Spot the differences between formal and informal logic.	
Weekly Lecture Plan				
Week Number	Lecture Topic		COs Met	Assignment/Labs/Tutorial
1	Introduction to the course. What is Thinking? Distinction between Critical and Creative Thinking. Spot that assumption!		CO1, CO7	Formation of Learning Teams. Learning team activity preparation. (Present a video clip or newsprint article and tract it assumptions next week)
2	The art of meaningful discussion. Active Listening. Perception exercises, including Gestalt image flips. Learning team 'assumptions activity'		CO2, CO4	Peer critique of learning team activity. Reading assignment
3	Discussion of opinion formation. Learning team preparation and presentation: Examples of opinion formation. Karl Popper on scientific theory as an 'open' myth. (Conjectures and Reputations). Distinction between 'opinion' and 'bellef'. A large percentage of 'reality' and 'fact' constructs as opinion or bellef. Clip from 'The Matrix'		CO2	Learning team preparation and activity. Peer critique of learning team presentations. Reading assignment
	Tack constitutes as opinion of belief. Oil from The Maria		102	Researching samples of media bias.
				Learning team presentation. Peer critique
4	Is there a 'truth' or a 'fact'? Samples of media bias in reportage		CO3	and discussion
5	Stereotypes and over generalizations. Are limited generalizations okay? Brief discussion of inductive logic. Stereotypes and prejudices: overlap and similarities		CO3	Learning team preparation and presentation. Peer critique
6	David Hume on Induction and Inductive Logic. Answering Hume's skepticism		CO4	In class small group exercise
7	Multi-perspective thinking vs. single perspective thinking. Why thinking out of the 'box' implies critical and creative thinking		CO5, CO7	Reading assignment. Application of class discussion in individual presentations
8	General Semantics and its connection to Critical Thinking. The theories of Hayakawa and Korzybski		C06	Reading assignment. Learning team activity, applying concepts learned, to sample situations picked by each learning team
9	exercises		CO7	Reading and research assignment
10	De Bono and 'Po' thinking. Informal and formal logic. Preparation for research paper due by week 12		CO9	Learning team presentations. Peer critique
11	Following the lame calf vs. innovation and thinking for oneself.		CO5	Reading assignment. Learning team presentations. Peer critique
12	Can one be a consistent critical thinker? Research paper due		C07	Research paper submission. In class small group exercise
13	An analysis of common Fallacies. Basics of Deductive Logic		CO8, CO9	Hot seat exercise
Assessment Plan				
Type of Evaluation % Contribution in Grade				
Learning Team Projects	20			
Research Papers		20		
Mid-Term	20			
Class Attendance and Participation	attendance including atleast 5 funchbox philosophers' sessions)			
End-Sem	30			
Resource Material				
Type Title				
Textbook		The Art of Thinking, 10 th Ed. Longman. (Text.), Ruggiero, Vincent Ryan		
Reference	2012. (Reference.), Bassham et al.			
Reference	(Reference), Hayakawa, S.I			
Reference	How Real is Real? Vintage, 1977. (Reference.), Watzlawick, Paul			
Reference	Po-Beyond Yes and No. Penguin, 1990. (Reference.), Edward de Bono			
	1. 0 20,0.10 100 and 110.1 engain, 1990. (Ne	S. S. S. S. J. Lawara do Dono		