

1. (a)

maximize $15x_1 + 25x_2$

subject to $3x_1 + 4x_2 \leq 100$

$2x_1 + 3x_2 \leq 70$

$x_1 + 2x_2 \leq 30$

$x_2 \geq 3$

$x_1 \geq 0$

which is

minimize $-15x_1 - 25x_2$

equivalent to

subject to $3x_1 + 4x_2 \leq 100$

$2x_1 + 3x_2 \leq 70$

$x_1 + 2x_2 \leq 30$

$-x_2 \leq -3$

$-x_1 \leq 0$

(1)

(2)

(3)

(4)

(5)

(b) First, we check whether the solution is feasible

$x_1 = 24, x_2 = 3$

(1) $3x_1 + 4x_2 = 84 < 100$

(2) $2x_1 + 3x_2 = 57 < 70$

(3) $x_1 + 2x_2 = 30$

(4) $-x_2 = -3$

(5) $-x_1 = -24 < 0$

$\Rightarrow x_1 = 24, x_2 = 3$ is a feasible solution

Next, we check the necessary and sufficient conditions

$-15 + 3\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 + \lambda_3 - \lambda_5 = 0$

$-25 + 4\lambda_1 + 3\lambda_2 + 2\lambda_3 - \lambda_4 = 0$

$\lambda_1(100 - 3x_1 - 4x_2) = 0$

$\lambda_2(70 - 2x_1 - 3x_2) = 0$

$\lambda_3(30 - x_1 - 2x_2) = 0$

$\lambda_4(-3 + x_2) = 0$

$\lambda_5 \cdot x_1 = 0$

$\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4, \lambda_5 \geq 0$

let $x_1 = 24, x_2 = 3$,

then $\lambda_1 = 0, \lambda_2 = 0, \lambda_5 = 0$

and $\begin{cases} -15 + \lambda_3 = 0 \\ -25 + 2\lambda_3 - \lambda_4 = 0 \end{cases}$

$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \lambda_3 = 15 > 0 \\ \lambda_4 = 5 > 0 \end{cases}$

Therefore, $x_1 = 24, x_2 = 3$ satisfy all the necessary and sufficient conditions

Thus, $(24, 3)$ is the best production level

The profit is $15 \times 24 + 25 \times 3 = 435$

Also, the objective function and constraints are all linear and convex

- (c)
- (1) For addition unit of skilled labor : $\lambda_1 = 0$
 - (2) For addition unit of unskilled labor : $\lambda_2 = 0$
 - (3) For addition unit of raw material : $\lambda_3 = 15$

(d) dual:

minimize $100u_1 + 70u_2 + 30u_3 - 3u_4$

subject to $3u_1 + 2u_2 + u_3 \geq 15$

$4u_1 + 3u_2 + 2u_3 - u_4 \geq 25$

$u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4 \geq 0$

The solution is $u_1 = \lambda_1 = 0, u_2 = \lambda_2 = 0$

$u_3 = \lambda_3 = 15, u_4 = \lambda_4 = 5$

$\therefore u = (0, 0, 15, 5)$

The objective function is $100 \times 0 + 70 \times 0 + 30 \times 15 - 3 \times 5 = 435$

which is the same with the maximum profit in (b)

2. KT conditions:

$$4x_1 + 2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 - \lambda_3 = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$-1 - \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 - \lambda_4 = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$\lambda_1(1 - 2x_1 + x_2) = 0 \quad (3)$$

$$\lambda_2(1 - x_1 - x_2) = 0 \quad (4)$$

$$\lambda_3 x_1 = 0 \quad (5)$$

$$\lambda_4 x_2 = 0 \quad (6)$$

$$\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4 \geq 0$$

If $\lambda_2 = 0$, from (2), $\lambda_1 + \lambda_4 = -1$, which contradicts $\lambda_1, \lambda_4 \geq 0$

Thus $\lambda_2 > 0$, $x_1 + x_2 = 1$

If $\lambda_3 = 0$, from (1), $4x_1 + 2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 0$, which contradicts $x_1 \geq 0, \lambda_1 \geq 0, \lambda_2 > 0$

Thus $\lambda_3 > 0$, $x_1 = 0 \Rightarrow x_2 = 1$

Therefore from (3) (4) (5) (6), $\lambda_1 = 0, \lambda_2 > 0, \lambda_3 > 0, \lambda_4 = 0$

$$\therefore \begin{cases} 4x_1 + \lambda_2 - \lambda_3 = 0 \\ -1 + \lambda_2 = 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \lambda_2 = 1 \\ \lambda_3 = 1 \end{cases}$$

The solution is $x_1 = 0, x_2 = 1$

condition.

Therefore, $x_1 = 0, x_2 = 1, \lambda_1 = 0, \lambda_2 = 1, \lambda_3 = 1, \lambda_4 = 0$ satisfy the KT necessary

Also, $2x_1^2$ is convex function and $(-x_2)$ is a convex function

Then $2x_1^2 - x_2$ is convex function

also all the constraints are linear and convex

Thus, the sufficient optimality conditions are also satisfied for $x = (0, 1)$

The optimal solution is $(0, 1)$

3. (a) The problem is equivalent to

$$\text{minimize } \ln(x_1 + 1) + x_2^2$$

subject to

$$x_1 + 2x_2 \leq 3$$

$$x_1 \geq 0$$

$$x_2 \geq 0$$

$x_1 + 2x_2 = 0 < 3$,
 $x_1 = 0, x_2 = 0$ is also feasible

Therefore $x_1 = 0, x_2 = 0$ satisfies KT conditions, and thus $x = (0, 0)$ is an optimal solution

(b) The solution is global solution.

$-\ln(x_1 + 1)$ reaches maximum 0 when $x_1 = 0$ given $x_1 \geq 0$

$-x_2^2$ reaches maximum 0 when $x_2 = 0$

Therefore, $-\ln(x_1 + 1) - x_2^2$ reaches maximum 0 when $x_1 = 0, x_2 = 0$

The solution in (a) is global solution

KT conditions:

$$\frac{1}{x_1 + 1} + \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = 0$$

$$2x_2 + 2\lambda_1 - \lambda_3 = 0$$

$$\lambda_1(3 - x_1 - 2x_2) = 0$$

$$\lambda_2 x_1 = 0$$

$$\lambda_3 x_2 = 0$$

$$\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \geq 0$$

because $\frac{1}{x_1 + 1} > 0, \lambda_1 \geq 0$
then $\lambda_2 > 0, x_1 = 0$

If $x_2 > 0, \lambda_3 = 0$, $2x_2 + 2\lambda_1 - \lambda_3 > 0$
which contradicts equation (2)
Thus $x_2 = 0, \lambda_3 > 0 \Rightarrow x = (x_1, x_2) = (0, 0)$

$$\therefore \begin{cases} \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 + 1 = 0 \\ 2\lambda_1 - \lambda_3 = 0 \\ \lambda_1 = 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \lambda_1 = 0 \\ \lambda_2 = 1 \\ \lambda_3 = 0 \end{cases}$$