

Improving the Interoperability of gbXML Data Model through Redefining Data Mapping Rules of HVAC Systems

Ruiji Sun

Student Member ASHRAE

Zhizhang Hu

Krishnan Gowri

Fellow ASHRAE

Weili Xu, PhD

Associate Member ASHRAE

ABSTRACT

Green Building XML (gbXML) is one of the most prevalent data models used for Building Information Modeling (BIM). It enables interoperability between BIM and Building Energy Modeling (BEM). BEM is often used in building performance analysis software tools. However, through interviewing engineers and building energy modeling professionals in the industry, it turned out that most gbXML files are only used for importing and exporting building geometry information. Information such as Heating, Ventilation, and Air-conditioning (HVAC) systems and internal loads are rarely handled due to the lack of functionality in current BIM software. Taking account of more than 15% of the total energy consumption in the US is used by HVAC systems (DOE 2011), it is crucial to enable seamless HVAC data exchange between BIM software and BEM software.

This paper researched the definition rules of HVAC systems in the current gbXML schema 6.01 version. Firstly, through a detailed data mapping of ASHRAE baseline HVAC system from IDF data model (EnergyPlus version 9.0) to current gbXML schema (version 6.01), interoperability issues were discovered and were concluded into three categories: missing components, overlapping components, and complex data mapping rules. Secondly, through redefining data mapping rules of current gbXML schema in terms of HVAC systems, the ASHRAE baseline HVAC system is able to be coded as a gbXML file. Finally, the gbXML file will be validated through a translation case study, focusing on primary HVAC system and boiler data. Revit 2020.1 Architecture is used in this study as the BIM tool. OpenStudio 2.8.1 and EnergyPlus 9.1.0 are used as the BEM tools. They are open-source and cross-platform, being adopted by lots of mainstream building performance analysis software.

Based on the result of this study, current gbXML schema version 6.01 is capable of defining HVAC systems data, but the data mapping rules need to be documented and presented. Missing components and overlapping components issue could be solved by updating the schema. Interoperability improvement will eliminate the duplicate generation of HVAC data and allows a bidirectional information update between BIM and BEM software tools, supporting a more accurate and efficient building performance analysis process.

INTRODUCTION

BIM-based building performance analysis

Building information model (BIM) is a digital representation of a building. It serves as a shared knowledge resource for communication in architecture, engineering, construction (AEC) and facility management (FM) industries (Eastman, C. et al. 2011). The first generations of data exchange models only include building geometry data,

Ruiji Sun and **Zhizhang Hu** are M.S. students in the Center for Building Performance and Diagnostics (CBPD) at Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA. **Weili Xu** is the co-founder of BuildSimHub, Inc., Pittsburgh, PA. **Krishnan Gowri** is a senior consultant at Intertek Building Science Solutions, Inc., Seattle, WA.

transferring traditional 2-D drawings to 3-D object-based models. However, with the development of sustainable architecture, material properties, HVAC equipment, and electrical product data have been considered in current BIM data models. Green Building XML (gbXML) and Industry Foundation Class (IFC) are the two prevalent ones. (Dong B. et al. 2007). The new BIM-based performance analysis workflow makes the analysis process less expensive and labor-intensive and increases the accuracy of the performance simulation results. Especially during design phases, the value of BIM and performance analysis can be maximized (Moon, H. J. et al. 2011).

Interoperability of gbXML between BIM and BEM

Building energy modeling (BEM) is a subset of BIM. It allows more information inputs for building energy simulation such as HVAC systems data, operation schedules, envelope materials. BEM is mainly used for sustainable architecture design, HVAC systems design and operation, and building performance rating. The interoperability of gbXML between BIM and BEM should be seamless model translations or data exchange among disparate building design and performance analysis software tools. However, by interviewing more than twenty energy modelers, engineers, software developers, and other stakeholders, the current gbXML workflow only enables geometry data transformation. HVAC systems have to be manually created in BEM tools. In addition to the interviews, eleven current mainstream BIM and BEM software and tools are investigated in the gbXML interoperability from different aspects, shown in Table1.

Table 1. Data exchange as gbXML in BIM and BEM Software Tools

| Software Tools | Geometry | Material Properties | HVAC Systems | Internal Loads |
|------------------------------|----------|---------------------|--------------|----------------|
| BuildSimHub | Yes | Yes | No | No |
| Design Builder 6.1 | Yes | Yes | No | No |
| IES VE 2019 | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| OpenStuido 2.9.0 | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| HVAC Solution 9.5.1 | No | No | Yes | Yes |
| TRACE 700 6.3.4 | Yes | Yes | No | Yes |
| Revit 2020.1 Architecture | Yes | Yes | No | No |
| Revit 2020.1 MEP | Yes | Yes | No | No |
| Revit 2020.1 System Analysis | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Spider gbXML Viewer 0.17 | Yes | Yes | No | No |
| Talece BIMPort | Yes | No | No | No |

Same as the interview results, most BEM software tools in the industry facilitate the gbXML as a data exchange model. However, compared with geometry, material properties, and internal loads information, HVAC systems data was turned out to be the least handled one. Therefore, to improve the interoperability of gbXML, HVAC systems data exchange is supposed to be enabled. A survey has reported that 78% of contractors ranked interoperability as the top way to increase the business value of BIM (McGraw Hill, 2009). Currently, some researchers are working on developing translator tools from gbXML directly to EnergyPlus, which is critical to the gbXML interoperability improvement (Xu, W. et al. 2019).

gbXML as an XML-based green building schema

gbXML is an XML-based data schema representing building information. XML is an extensible markup language. It is already a mature and powerful data model. Elements are the key objects of this language. They start with the opening tag "<tag>" and end with the closing tag "</ tag>". The attributes included in open tags are used to distinguish elements "<tag attribute = 'something' />". The content between the opening and closing tags may be text, other elements, or a mixture of them (W3Schools, 2017). Due to the extensibility of the language, XML has many derived forms. For example, based on XML data structures, Hypertext Markup Language (HTML) has

developed a set of predefined tags and attributes to help display content on a website. Similarly, gbXML has the same XML data structure. It also has predefined tags and attributes that are specifically designed for green building information modeling (gbXML, 2017). Therefore, only XML files that follow the gbXML schema can be called gbXML. However, confusion occurs when the BIM model follows part of the gbXML schema and customizes some other elements and attributes. For example, in the Revit 2020.1 system analysis, the exported file follows the gbXML schema in the geometric data, but for HVAC system data, it adds two custom elements. For clarity, the customized gbXML is referred to as an XML file in this article.

METHODOLOGY

The objective of this research is improving the interoperability of gbXML. The study scope is limited to the ASHRAE baseline HVAC system seven, which is a Variable Air Volume (VAV) and reheat fan system. The validation method is a translation case study. Firstly, a research of current gbXML data schema was conducted through mapping the baseline HVAC system data one by one from the IDF data model to the gbXML schema. Three issues were found and analyzed. Secondly, based on the research result, the gbXML data mapping rules in terms of HVAC systems were redefined. A gbXML example file was created and was parsed to OpenStudio and EnergyPlus environment. Boiler data was selected as a typical HVAC equipment data. Finally, the translation result suggested the effectiveness of the redefined data mapping rules. The workflow of this study is shown in Figure 1.




Figure 1. gbXML interoperability improvement workflow

DATA MAPPING

HVAC systems data mapping from IDF to gbXML

Compared with the XML, the IDF data structure is flattened. There are only three levels in the IDF model: “class”, “field”, and “objective”. The “field” is used to define the characteristics of a “class”. The “objective” is for different objects, belonging to the same class. In the IDF data model of the ASHRAE baseline system type seven, HVAC related classes are “PlantLoop”, “CondenserLoop”, “ZoneHVAC: AirDistributionUnit”, “Boiler: HotWater”, etc. These classes have predefined IDF fields and each respective object has its customized value, following corresponding fields. Take the “Boiler: HotWater” class as an example to explain the data mapping process from IDF to gbXML in detail. IDF representation of the “Boiler: HotWater” is shown in Table 2.

Table 2. IDF Representation of a Boiler

| Class | Field | Units | Object1 |
|------------------|--|-------|--------------------------|
| Boiler: HotWater | Name | - | Boiler1 |
| Boiler: HotWater | Fuel Type | - | NaturalGas |
| Boiler: HotWater | Nominal Capacity | W | autosize |
| Boiler: HotWater | Nominal Thermal Efficiency | - | 0.8 |
| Boiler: HotWater | Efficiency Curve Temperature Evaluation Variable | - | LeavingBoiler |
| Boiler: HotWater | Normalized Boiler Efficiency Curve Name | - | Boiler Efficiency Curve |
| Boiler: HotWater | Design Water Flow Rate | m3/s | autosize |
| Boiler: HotWater | Minimum Part Load Ratio | - | 0 |
| Boiler: HotWater | Maximum Part Load Ratio | - | 1.1 |
| Boiler: HotWater | Optimum Part Load Ratio | - | 1 |
| Boiler: HotWater | Boiler Water Inlet Node Name | - | Boiler1 HW Inlet |
| Boiler: HotWater | Boiler Water Outlet Node Name | - | Boiler1 HW Outlet |
| Boiler: HotWater | Water Outlet Upper Temperature Limit | C | 100 |
| Boiler: HotWater | Boiler Flow Mode | - | LeavingSetpointModulated |
| Boiler: HotWater | Parasitic Electric Load | W | 0 |
| Boiler: HotWater | Sizing Factor | - | 1.25 |
| Boiler: HotWater | End-Use Subcategory | - | - |

All fields of the “Boiler: HotWater” class in IDF are mapped to elements and attributes in gbXML data schema. To define the boiler as a “HydronicloopEquipemt”, its parent element “HydronicLoop” needs to be defined. In Table 3, gbXML defines HVAC systems in a hierarchy way. “AirLoop” and “HydronicLoop” are two main elements for primary system data. “AirLoopEquipemt” and “HydronicloopEquipemt” are used to define the secondary system data or equipment data. “Zone” and “Space” are used to assign HVAC systems to specific areas.

Table 3. gbXML Representation of a Boiler

| 1st Element | 2nd Element | 3rd Element | 4th Element | Attributes | Object1 |
|-------------|--------------|-----------------------|-------------|----------------|------------|
| gbXML | HydronicLoop | - | - | loopType | HotWater |
| gbXML | HydronicLoop | - | - | fluidType | Water |
| gbXML | HydronicLoop | - | - | id | DHW1 |
| gbXML | HydronicLoop | HydronicLoopEquipment | - | id | DHW1-B1 |
| gbXML | HydronicLoop | HydronicLoopEquipment | - | equipmentType | Boiler |
| gbXML | HydronicLoop | HydronicLoopEquipment | Name | - | Boiler1 |
| gbXML | HydronicLoop | HydronicLoopEquipment | Temp | unit | C |
| gbXML | HydronicLoop | HydronicLoopEquipment | Temp | tempType | Max |
| gbXML | HydronicLoop | HydronicLoopEquipment | Power | unit | Watt |
| gbXML | HydronicLoop | HydronicLoopEquipment | Power | useType | Heating |
| gbXML | HydronicLoop | HydronicLoopEquipment | Power | powerType | NaturalGas |
| gbXML | HydronicLoop | HydronicLoopEquipment | Efficiency | efficiencyType | BoilerEff |
| gbXML | HydronicLoop | HydronicLoopEquipment | Control | controlType | Boiler |
| gbXML | HydronicLoop | HydronicLoopEquipment | Control | minPowerRatio | 0 |
| gbXML | HydronicLoop | FlowControl | DesignFlow | unit | LPerSec |

Issue one: missing components

During the data mapping process from the IDF data model to the gbXML schema. It turned out that some IDF fields do not have corresponding elements or attributes in gbXML. Take the “Boiler: HotWater” IDF class as an example. In the IDF data model, the “Maximum Part Load Ratio”, “Optimum Part Load Ratio”, “Boiler Flow Mode”, and “Sizing Factor” are clearly defined and they critical to boiler performance as well as building energy simulation. But in current gbXML schema, they can not be defined using existing elements or attributes, unless custom elements or attributes are created. Some other missing components are unnecessary for gbXML data exchange due to the

hierarchy structure, such as “Boiler Water Inlet Node Name”, “Boiler Water Outlet Node Name” and “End-Use Subcategory”. They can be defined by order of “HydronicLoopEquipment” elements.

Issue two: overlapping components at loop intersections

HVAC engineering consists of various equipment and system loops. The equipment at the intersections between two different loops could raise inconsistent issues. For example, “Coil” can be defined as an “equipmentType” attribute of the “AirLoopEquipment” element, but it can also be defined as an “equipmentType” attribute of the “HydronicLoopEquipment” element. Therefore, if two software map the “Coil” differently, then the data will not be decoded or encoded coincidentally, thus incurring interoperability issues. The same situations also occur at intersections between the supply air loop and return air loop, primary and secondary chilling water loops, etc. Figure 2 shows some typical interactions in an HVAC system.




Figure 2. Hydronic loops and air loops

Issue three: complex data mapping rules

In IDF, HVAC systems data are categorized into different classes. But in the gbXML schema, data is not independent of each other. Most elements have complicated reference relationships, and the gbXML documentation doesn’t explain this well. For example, a zone is made up of one space or multiple spaces and is served by one HVAC system (McDowall, R. 2007). In the IDF, the “ZoneHVAC: AirDistributionUnit” class is named by zones. But in gbXML schema, HVAC systems and equipment are identified by “id” attributes. A primary system connects with a zone, the “AirLoop” and “HydronicLoop” elements have a “controlZoneIdRef” attribute. At the same time, the “Zone” element also has “AirLoopId” and “HydronicLoopId” children elements. However, for HVAC equipment, the “AirLoopEquipment” and “HydronicLoopEquipment” elements don’t have a zone or space reference. Instead, “Space” elements in gbXML are connected with HVAC equipment and they have “AirLoopEquipmentId” and “HydronicLoopEquipmentId” children elements. The complex relationships are shown in Figure 3. They would cause missed connections between zones or spaces with HVAC equipment, raising interoperability issues.

REDEFINING DATA MAPPING RULES

Compared with missing components, decoding performance curves, the problem of complex data mapping rules is the most critical issue and needs to be redefined. The rule of thumb for defining HVAC systems in gbXML schema is bottom-up. First of all, defining “HydronicLoopEquipment”, “AirLoopEquipment”, “AirLoop” and “HydronicLoop” in order. Secondly, connecting spaces and HVAC equipment by “HydronicLoopEquipmentId” and “AirLoopEquipmentId” in “Space” element; connecting zones and primary system by “HydronicLoopId” and

“AirLoopId” in “Zone” element. Additionally, connecting spaces and zones by “zoneIdRef” in the “Space” element. In this way, zones are connected with their spaces’ equipment indirectly. For example, there are three spaces in a zone, but only one of them has a VAV box. Then this zone is connected with the VAV box. Figure 4 shows redefined data mapping rules, which are more effective and efficient than the relationships shown in Figure 3.




Figure 3. Current gbXML data mapping rules of HVAC systems




Figure 4. Redefined gbXML data mapping rules of HVAC systems

VALIDATION

gbXML file preparation

A corresponding building model was created in Revit 2020.1, and zones were assigned by the core-perimeter method. Therefore, building geometry data can be exported as a gbXML file from Revit. Then, HVAC systems data is manually added to this gbXML file by the redefined data mapping rules, following current gbXML schema. Though not all HVAC equipment is covered, a primary HVAC system and boiler data is sufficient enough to prove the effectiveness of the redefined rules. Figure 5 shows boiler data in the gbXML file. “Sizing Factor” and other critical parameters were not handled due to the missing components issue of the current schema. Instead of adding a new customized XML tag, “Sizing Factor” value will be added in BEM tools to be translated to IDF.

```
<!-- Whole Building, hot water loop -->
<HydronicLoop id="aim1111" loopType="HotWater" fluidType="Water">
  <Name>HW1</Name>
  <HydronicLoopEquipment id="aim1112" equipmentType="Boiler">
    <Name>HW1-Boiler1</Name>
    <Temp tempType="HighTempLockout">100</Temp>
    <Temp tempType="HeatDesign">82</Temp>
    <Temp tempType="Range">28</Temp>
    <Power unit="Watt" powerType="NaturalGas" useType="Heating" />
    <Efficiency efficiencyType="ThermalEff">0.8</Efficiency>
    <Control controlType="Boiler" minPowerRatio=0.0 />
  </HydronicLoopEquipment>
</HydronicLoop>
```

Figure 5. Boiler information added in gbXML

OpenStudio manipulation

The gbXML file can be imported into OpenStudio by applying customized measures. It becomes an OSM file. Figure 6 shows an example Ruby code that converts the boiler data in gbXML to OpenStudio. OpenStudio uses a built-in “ForwardTranslator” to translate the OSM model to an IDF model. Information such as the climate, schedules, internal loads, etc. is added in the IDF model by a python script and is kept the same as the original IDF model of the ASHRAE baseline HVAC system.

```
def add_boiler
  boiler = OpenStudio::Model::BoilerHotWater.new(self.model)
  boiler.setName("#{self.name} Boiler")
  boiler.setEfficiencyCurveTemperatureEvaluationVariable('LeavingBoiler')
  boiler.setFuelType(self.powerType)
  boiler.setDesignWaterOutletTemperature(self.design_loop_exit_temp)
  boiler.setNominalThermalEfficiency(self.thermal_efficiency)
  boiler.setMinimumPartLoadRatio(0)
  boiler.setMaximumPartLoadRatio(1.1)
  boiler.setOptimumPartLoadRatio(1)
  boiler.setSizingFacotr(1.25)
  boiler.setWaterOutletUpperTemperatureLimit(self.upper_temp_limit)
  boiler.setBoilerFlowMode('LeavingSetpointModulated')
  boiler
end
```

Figure 6. Ruby code for converting boiler data in gbXML

Translation results

Successful import of the primary HVAC system and the boiler data suggested the effectiveness of the redefined data mapping rules of gbXML for HVAC systems. Figure 7 shows a graphical layout of the converted primary HVAC system in OpenStudio, and Figure 8 shows the converted boiler data in EnergyPlus IDF editor. Both HVAC system-level information and equipment-level information are the same as the information in the original IDF model of the ASHRAE baseline HVAC system type seven. Due to time constraints, the energy simulation of the converted IDF model cannot be performed until the HVAC system is fully mapped to the current gbXML schema. It should be the same as the original IDF model of the ASHRAE HVAC system.




Figure 7. Converted primary HVAC system in OpenStudio 2.8.1

| Field | Units | Obj |
|--|-------|--------------------|
| Name | | HW Boiler |
| Fuel Type | | NaturalGas |
| Nominal Capacity | W | autosize |
| Nominal Thermal Efficiency | | 0.8 |
| Efficiency Curve Temperature Evaluation Variable | | LeavingBoiler |
| Normalized Boiler Efficiency Curve Name | | |
| Design Water Flow Rate | m3/s | autosize |
| Minimum Part Load Ratio | | 0 |
| Maximum Part Load Ratio | | 1.1 |
| Optimum Part Load Ratio | | 1 |
| Boiler Water Inlet Node Name | | Node 11 |
| Boiler Water Outlet Node Name | | Node 16 |
| Water Outlet Upper Temperature Limit | C | 100 |
| Boiler Flow Mode | | LeavingSetpointMod |
| Parasitic Electric Load | W | 0 |
| Sizing Factor | | 1 |
| End-Use Subcategory | | General |

Figure 8. Converted boiler data in EnergyPlus 9.1.0 IDF editor

CONCLUSION

The current gbXML schema (Version 6.01) is rarely used for HVAC systems representation due to complex data mapping rules. It has been one of the most critical obstacles to gbXML interoperability improvement. Through detailed data mapping of the ASHRAE standard system type seven from the IDF model (Version 9.0) to current gbXML schema, gbXML should be able to define HVAC systems though three interoperability issues were figured out. The complex data mapping rules have been redefined, using four elements: “Space”, “Zone”, “AirLoop” and “HydronicLoop”. The “AirLoop” and “HydronicLoop” elements are used for primary HVAC system data. Their children elements “AirLoopEquipment” and “HydronicLoopEquipment” are used for HVAC equipment data. “Space” can be connected to the HVAC equipment by its children elements “HydronicLoopEquipmentId” and “AirLoopEquipmentId”. “Zone” can be connected to the HVAC primary system by its children elements “HydronicLoopId” and “AirLoopId”. In terms of the other two interoperability problems: missing components and overlapping components. They could be handled by updating the gbXML schema.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This study was funded through the research project 1810 of the American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air-Conditioning Engineers (ASHRAE). The authors acknowledge the support of employees at BuildSimHub. Inc. and faculties at Carnegie Mellon University for this research. Finally, Sun R. wants to thank, in particular, the invaluable support received from Zhang C. over the months.

REFERENCES

- DOE, U. (2011). 2011 Building energy data book. *US Department of Energy*.
- Dong, B., Lam, K. P., Huang, Y. C., & Dobbs, G. M. 2007. A comparative study of the IFC and gbXML informational infrastructures for data exchange in computational design support environments. In *Tenth International IBPSA Conference* (pp. 1530-1537).
- Eastman, C., Teicholz, P., Sacks, R., & Liston, K. 2011. *BIM handbook: A guide to building information modeling for owners, managers, designers, engineers and contractors*. Chapter. John Wiley & Sons.
- gbXML Current Schema 2017. http://www.gbxml.org/Schema_Current_GreenBuildingXML_gbXML
- McDowall, R. 2007. *Fundamentals of HVAC systems*, SI edition. Chapter 5, Zones. Academic Press.
- Seyam, Shaimaa. 2018. Types of HVAC Systems. In *HVAC System*. IntechOpen.
- McGraw Hill. 2009. The business value of BIM: Getting building information modeling to the bottom line. *Smart Market Report* (2009): 1-50.
- Moon, H. J., Choi, M. S., Kim, S. K., & Ryu, S. H. 2011. Case studies for the evaluation of interoperability between a BIM based architectural model and building performance analysis programs. In *Proceedings of 12th conference of international building performance simulation association* (Vol. 2011).
- W3Schools Online Web Tutorials. 2017. <https://www.w3schools.com/>
- Xu, W., Chong, A. & Lam, K. P. 2019. A new BIM to BEM framework: the development and verification of an open-Source gbXML to EnergyPlus translator for supporting building life cycle performance analysis. In *building simulation 2019 conference*.
- Zhang, Z., Chong, A., Pan, Y., Zhang, C., Lu, S., & Lam, K. P. (2018, September). A deep reinforcement learning approach to using whole building energy model for hvac optimal control. In *2018 Building Performance Analysis Conference and SimBuild*.