

## Exercise 13

## Chapter 2.

(a) Lemma: (Abel summation by parts, Exercise 7).

$$\sum_{k=1}^n a_k b_{k+1} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} S_k(b_k - b_{k+1}) + S_n b_n$$

$$\text{where } S_k = \sum_{l=1}^k a_l$$

Using summation by parts we find that

$$\sum_{n=1}^N c_n r^n = (1-r) \sum_{n=1}^N S_n r^n + S_N r^{N+1}$$

Without loss of generality we may assume  $\sum_{n=1}^N c_n = S_N \rightarrow S = 0$ .

or we replace  $S_N$  by  $S_N - S$ .

$$\text{Letting } N \rightarrow \infty, \sum_{n=1}^N c_n r^n = (1-r) \sum_{n=1}^N S_n r^n + S_N r^{N+1}$$

$$\rightarrow (1-r) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n r^n$$

Since  $S_n \rightarrow 0$ , there exists a  $k$  such that when  $n \geq k+1$ ,  $S_n \leq 1-r$

$$\begin{aligned} (1-r) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n r^n &\leq (1-r) \left( \sum_{n=1}^k S_n r^n + \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} S_n r^n \right) \\ &= (1-r)(A + r^{k+2}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Letting } r \rightarrow 1, (1-r) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n r^n \rightarrow 0$$

(b) There exist series which are Abel summable, but those do not converge.

$$\text{Let } C_n = (-1)^n \quad \sum_{n=1}^N C_n = \begin{cases} -1 & N \text{ is odd} \\ 0 & N \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

which does not converge.

The Abel means  $A(r) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n r^n$  converges because

$$A(r) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n r^n = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^N (-1)^n r^n = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r((-r)^N - 1)}{r+1} = -\frac{r}{r+1}$$

when  $0 \leq r < 1$

$$\text{Letting } r \rightarrow 1, \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} A(r) = -\frac{1}{2}$$

Therefore the series  $\{(-1)^n\}$  is Abel summable but that does not converge.

(c) There exists a series that is Abel summable but not Cesàro summable. We note that if  $\sum c_n$  is Cesàro summable, then  $C_n/n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . In fact, let  $S_N = \sum_{n=1}^N c_n$

$$O_n = \frac{S_1 + S_2 + \dots + S_n}{n}$$

$$O_{n-1} = \frac{S_1 + \dots + S_{n-1}}{n-1} \Rightarrow O_n - O_{n-1} = (S_1 + \dots + S_{n-1}) \left( \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n-1} \right) + \frac{S_n}{n} = \frac{S_1 + \dots + S_{n-1}}{n(n-1)} + \frac{S_n}{n}$$

This is because  $O_n, O_{n-1} \rightarrow s$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for some  $s$  and thus  $O_n - O_{n-1} \rightarrow 0$ .

Now  $\frac{S_1 + \dots + S_n}{n(n-1)} \rightarrow 0$  follows from the fact that  $\frac{S_1 + \dots + S_{n-1}}{n-1} \rightarrow s$  and  $\frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0$ . Then we get  $\frac{S_n}{n} \rightarrow 0$ .  $\frac{S_n}{n} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{C_k}{n} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{C_k}{n} + \frac{C_n}{n}$

$$\frac{S_n}{n} = \frac{S_{n-1}}{n} + \frac{C_n}{n} \text{ Since } S_n/n \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \frac{S_{n-1}}{n-1} \rightarrow 0, S_{n-1} = O(n-1) = o(n)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{C_n}{n} = \frac{S_n}{n} - \frac{S_{n-1}}{n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

Now let  $c_n = (-1)^{n-1} n$ .  $\left\{\frac{c_n}{n}\right\}$  fails to tend to zero because  $\{(-1)^{n-1}\}$  is oscillating, therefore not Cesàro summable.

Consider the Abel sums  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n r^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} n r^n$ , the  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n$  is Abel summable when  $0 \leq r < 1$ , with  $A(r) = \frac{r}{(r+1)^2}$  ( $0 \leq r < 1$ ) and  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} A(r) = 0$ .

Therefore  $\{(-1)^{n-1} n\}$  is Abel summable but not Cesàro summable.

(C). We prove that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n r^n = (1-r)^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \sigma_n r^n.$$

For the finite case  $N$ .  $C_1 = S_1$ ,  $C_n = S_n - S_{n-1}$  ( $n \geq 2$ )

$$\sigma_n = \frac{S_1 + \dots + S_n}{n}, \quad S_1 = \sigma_1, \quad S_n = n\sigma_n - (n-1)\sigma_{n-1} \quad (n \geq 2)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^N C_n r^n = \sum_{n=3}^N C_n r^n + C_1 r + C_2 r^2 = \sum_{n=3}^N C_n r^n + \sigma_1 r + (2\sigma_2 - \sigma_1) r^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^N C_n r^n &= \sum_{n=3}^N [n\sigma_n - (n-1)\sigma_{n-1} + (n-2)\sigma_{n-2}] r^n + \sigma_1 r + (2\sigma_2 - \sigma_1) r^2 \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N n\sigma_n r^n + \sum_{n=2}^N -2(n-1)\sigma_{n-1} r^n + \sum_{n=3}^N (n-2)\sigma_{n-2} r^n \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N n\sigma_n r^n - 2r \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} n\sigma_n r^n + r^2 \sum_{n=2}^{N-2} n\sigma_n r^n \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N n\sigma_n r^n - \left(2r \sum_{n=1}^N n\sigma_n r^n\right) + 2r(N\sigma_N r^N) \\ &\quad + \left(r^2 \sum_{n=1}^N n\sigma_n r^n\right) - r^2 \left((N-1)\sigma_{N-1} r^{N-1} + N\sigma_N r^N\right) \\ &= (1-r)^2 \sum_{n=1}^N n\sigma_n r^n + \left[(2r - r^2)N\sigma_N r^N - r^2(N-1)\sigma_{N-1} r^{N-1}\right] \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $N \rightarrow \infty$   $N\sigma_N r^N \rightarrow 0$  we find that.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n r^n = (1-r)^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\sigma_n r^n$$

Suppose  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n$  is Cesàro summable to  $\sigma$ .

Without loss of generality we may assume  $\sigma = 0$ .

Consider the finite sum  $(1-r)^2 \sum_{n=1}^N n \sigma_n r^n$ . Since  $\sigma_n \rightarrow \sigma = 0$ ,

there exists an integer  $k$  such that  $\sigma_n \leq (1-r)^2$  when  $n \geq k+1$ .

$$\sum_{n=1}^N n \sigma_n r^n \leq \sum_{n=1}^k n \sigma_n r^n + \sum_{n=k+1}^N n (1-r)^2 r^n$$

Let  $A = \sum_{n=1}^k n \sigma_n r^n$  denotes the partial sum.

$$\sum_{n=1}^N n \sigma_n r^n \leq A + (1-r)^2 \sum_{n=k+1}^N n r^n$$

Letting  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \sigma_n r^n &\leq A + (1-r)^2 \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} n r^n \\ &= A + r^{k+1} (k+1 - kr) \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $r \rightarrow 1$

$$(1-r) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \sigma_n r^n = (1-r) [A + r^{k+1} (k+1 - kr)] \rightarrow 0$$

Therefore  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n$  is Abel summable.

convergent  $\Rightarrow$  Cesàro summable  $\Rightarrow$  Abel summable

and none of the arrows can be reversed

### Exercise 14

### Chapter 2

(Theorem of Tauber) Under an additional condition on the coefficients  $c_n$ , the arrows in Exercise 13 can be reversed

(a) If  $\sum c_n$  is Cesàro summable to  $\sigma$  and  $c_n = o(\frac{1}{n})$  (that is  $nc_n \rightarrow 0$ ), then  $\sum c_n$  converges to  $\sigma$ .

(b) The above statement holds if we replace Cesàro summable by Abel summable.

Proof: (a) Let  $s_n = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k$  be the  $n$ -th partial sum of  $\sum c_n$ , and

$\sigma_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n s_k$  be the  $n$ -th Cesàro sum of  $\sum c_n$ , and

$$\text{then } s_n - \sigma_n = c_1 + \dots + c_n + \frac{n c_1 + \dots + c_n}{n} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n = \sigma$$

(Cesàro summable to  $\sigma$ )

$$= \frac{1}{n} [(n-1)c_n + (n-2)c_{n-1} + \dots + c_2]$$

$$\text{We assert that } s_n - \sigma_n = \frac{1}{n} [(n-1)c_n + (n-2)c_{n-1} + \dots + c_2] \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

Since  $nc_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n-1)c_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} nc_n \frac{n-1}{n} = 0$

thus there exists an  $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , such that  $|((k-1)c_k)| < \varepsilon/2$  whenever  $k > N$ .

$$\begin{aligned} |s_n - \sigma_n| &= \left| \frac{1}{n} [(n-1)c_n + \dots + (N+1)c_{N+2}] + \frac{1}{n} [(N+1)c_{N+1} + \dots + c_2] \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \left| (n-1)c_n + \dots + Nc_{N+1} \right| + \left| \frac{1}{n} [(N-1)c_N + \dots + c_2] \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n}(n-N)\varepsilon/2 + \frac{M}{n}, \quad \text{where } M = (N-1)c_N + \dots + c_2 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Now  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n-N) \cdot \frac{1}{n} = 1$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M}{n} = 0$ . There exists a

sufficiently large  $N$ ,  $\frac{M}{n} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  whenever  $n > N$ . Thus

$$|s_n - \sigma_n| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon \quad \text{whenever } n > N \text{ and } s_n - \sigma_n = 0.$$

Therefore  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n = \sigma$ , i.e.  $\sum c_n$  converges to  $\sigma$ .

(b) Suppose  $C_n$  is Abel summable. Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $N = N(\varepsilon)$  such that  $n|C_n| < \varepsilon$  whenever  $|n| > N'$

Consider  $r_n = 1 - \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 1^-$

$$\begin{aligned}|S_n - Ar_n| &= \left| \sum_{j=1}^n c_j - \sum_{j=1}^n c_j r_n^j \right| \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n |c_j|(1 - r_n^j) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n |c_j|(1 - r_n^j)\end{aligned}$$

We require  $N'$  large enough such that  $1 - r_n^j < r_n^j$  whenever  $n > N'$

$$\begin{aligned}|S_n - Ar_n| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{N'} |c_j|(1 - r_n^j) + \sum_{j=N'+1}^{\infty} r_n^j |c_j| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{N'} |c_j|(1 - r_n^j) + \left( \sum_{j=N'+1}^{\infty} \frac{r_n^j}{j} \right) \varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

For the first term of the finite sum, observe that

$\{n|C_n|\}$  bounded. Let  $|jk_j| \leq M$  for a constant  $M \geq 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=1}^{N'} j|c_j| \cdot \frac{1 - r_n^j}{j} &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{N'} M \frac{(1 - r_n^j)}{j} = M \sum_{j=1}^{N'} \frac{1 - r_n^j}{j} \\ &\leq M \sum_{j=1}^{N'} 1 - r_n^j\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1 - r_n^j = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ 1 - (1 - \frac{1}{n})^j \right] = 0$

then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{N'} 1 - r_n^j = 0$ . By definition, there exists an  $N''$  such that  $\left| \sum_{j=1}^{N'} |c_j|(1 - r_n^j) \right| < \varepsilon$  whenever  $n \geq N''$

For the second term, by the ratio test,  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{r_n^j}{j}$  converges, denote by  $K$  the infinite sum. Now take  $N = \max\{N', N''\}$  Then  $|S_n - Ar_n| \leq (M+K) \varepsilon$ . Therefore,  $S_n$  converges to the same limit of  $Ar_n$ .

The Weierstrass approximation theorem:

Let  $f$  be a continuous function on a closed and bounded interval  $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ . Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a polynomial  $P$  such that  $\sup_{x \in [a, b]} |f(x) - P(x)| < \varepsilon$

**Proof.** By Corollary 5.4 it is obvious that if we modify the condition  $[-\pi, \pi]$  to any bounded interval  $[x_1, x_2]$  where  $g(x_1) = g(x_2)$ , the conclusion holds for the trigonometric polynomial  $P(x) = \sum_{n=-N}^N c_n e^{2\pi i n x / L}$  where  $L = x_2 - x_1$ .

That is given any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a trigonometric polynomial  $Q(x) = \sum_{n=-N}^N c_n e^{2\pi i n x / L}$  such that

$$|g(x) - \sum_{n=-N}^N c_n e^{2\pi i n x / L}| < \varepsilon/2 \text{ for all } x_1 \leq x \leq x_2$$

Now observe the fact that  $e^{ix}$  can be approximated by polynomials uniformly on any interval. Since

$$e^{ix} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ix)^k}{k!} \quad \text{for } x \in [x_1, x_2]$$

and  $c_n \frac{2\pi i n x}{L} = c_n e^{ix \cdot \frac{2\pi i n}{L}} = c_n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ix \cdot \frac{2\pi i n}{L})^k}{k!} = c_n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{i z \pi n}{L}\right)^k x^k$

Therefore given any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a polynomial  $Q_n(x)$  such that  $|c_n \frac{2\pi i n x}{L} - Q_n(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2N+1}$

therefore  $|g(x) - \sum_{n=-N}^N P_n(x)| \leq |g(x) - \sum_{n=-N}^N c_n e^{2\pi i n x / L}| + \left| \sum_{n=-N}^N (c_n e^{2\pi i n x / L} - Q_n(x)) \right|$

(Note that  $\sum_{n=-N}^N Q_n(x)$  is a polynomial)

$$\leq \left| g(x) - \sum_{n=-N}^N C_n e^{2\pi i n x / L} \right| + \sum_{n=-N}^N |C_n e^{2\pi i n x / L} \cdot Q_n(x)|$$

$$< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } x \in [x_1, x_2]$$

For any closed and bounded interval  $[a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , choose  $[x_1, x_2] \supseteq [a, b]$  by taking  $x_1 \leq a < b \leq x_2$ .

Then taking  $f = g|_{[a, b]}$  and  $P_n = Q_n|_{[a, b]}$  thus the theorem is proved.