Proposition If f, g & S(R), then (i) f + g & S(R) (ii) f * g = g * f(ii) $(f * g)(\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi) \hat{g}(\xi)$ Proof: (i) First observe that for any 120, we have $\sup_{x} |x|^{\ell} |g(x-y)| \leq A_{\ell} (1+|y|)^{\ell}$. We check this observation: $|x|^{\ell}|g(x-y)| = |x|^{\ell} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{\ell}} |x-y|^{\ell} |g(x-y)|$ < | sul 1 | Be , where Be sup (21 / g(2)) For |x1>2141. we have |x-41> 1x1-141 = 1x+ \frac{1}{2} |x| = \frac{1}{2} |x| |x| (g(x-y)) = [x1 / (= 1x))) B = 2 B, < 2 B, (1+1414) For |x | < 21/31, we have |x| |g(x-y)| < 2 / 1/31 / 1/2 (x-y) | < 2 / 1/4/ where C = sup |g(z)|, and thus |x| | |g(x-y)| = 210 9+ |y| e) Therefore there is always an Al such that sup |x1 (g(x-y) 15 Al(1+141)). From this we see that sup |xlf *g)(x)| \le A1 \int f(y) \le (1+1y1) \le by < co because f is rapidly decreasing, so that x (f*g)(x) is a bounded function for every 120. Now we claim, which will be proved later, that $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(f \star q)(x) = (f \star \frac{\partial}{\partial x} g)(x)$ and thus by iteration $\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{k}\left(f \star g\right)(x) = \left(f \star \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{k}g\right)(x)$

Since $(\frac{d}{dx})^k g \in S(R)$, the estimates above carry over to k-th derivatives of f * g by the above identity, and

thus (i) is proved.

(iii) Recall the definition of the convolution

$$\begin{aligned}
&(f * g)tx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y) g(x-y) dy \\
&\text{Let } x - y = u, \quad y = x - u \quad (\text{change of variables}) \\
&(f * g)(x) = \int_{x-u=-\infty}^{x-u=-\infty} f(x-u) g(u) d(x-u) \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x-u) g(u) du \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x-u) g(u) du \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x-u) g(u) du \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (f * g)(x) e^{-2\pi i x s} dx \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y) g(x-y) dy) e^{-2\pi i x s} dy) dx \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y) g(x-y) e^{-2\pi i x s} dy) dx \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y) g(x-y) e^{-2\pi i x s} dy) dx \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y) g(x-y) e^{-2\pi i x s} dx) dy \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y) g(x-y) e^{-2\pi i x s} dx) dy \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y) e^{-2\pi i y s} g(x-y) e^{-2\pi i (x-y) s} dx) dy \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y) e^{-2\pi i y s} (\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x-y) e^{-2\pi i (x-y) s} dx) dy
\end{aligned}$$

Now. by letting
$$t = x - y$$
, ranging from $-\infty$ to ∞

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x-y) e^{-2\pi i t} (x-y)^{\xi} dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(t) e^{-2\pi i t} dt$$

$$= \hat{g}(\xi)$$

and
$$(f * g)(\xi) = \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y)e^{-z\overline{n}iy\xi} dy\right) \cdot \hat{g}(\xi)$$

$$= \hat{f}(\xi) \hat{g}(\xi)$$

Next we verify the validity of changing the order of the iterated integral to complete the proof.

Since ge S(R), then g is of moderate decrease.

$$|g(x-y)| \leq \frac{C}{1+(x-y)^2}$$
 for some $C \geq 0$.

Noting that | |x1-|y1| \le |x-y1, we have

$$|g(x-y)| \le \frac{C}{1+(x_1-y_1)^2} = \frac{C}{1+x_2^2-2|x||y|+y^2}$$

leading to the discussion of two cases:

① $y^2 - 2|x||y| \ge 0$ $|y| \ge 2|x|$. then $|g(x-y)| \le \frac{C}{1+x^2}$ simmediately.

$$= \frac{C/(k-1)^{2}}{\frac{1}{(k-1)^{2}+\chi^{2}}} \leq \frac{C(k-1)^{2}}{1+\chi^{2}} \leq \frac{C(k-1)^{2}}{1+\chi^{2}} \leq \frac{C(k-1)^{2}}{1+\chi^{2}}$$

Therefore in both cases, we have $|F(x,y)| \le \frac{A}{(1+y^2)(1+x^2)}$ for some A > 0.

By the previous discussion in the multiplication

By the previous discussion in the multiplication formula, the order of the integration can be changed safely.

Hermitian inner product on the Schwartz space $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \overline{g(x)} dx$,

whose associate norm is $\|f\| = \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$

The Plancherel formula states that the Fourier transform is a unitary transformation on S(IR)

Theorem (Plancherel) If $f \in S(IR)$, then IIfII = IIfIIProof: If $f \in S(IR)$, define $f^b(x) = \overline{f(x)}$. Then $f^b(\xi) = \overline{\widehat{f}(\xi)}$. Now let $h = f * f^b$. Clearly we have (Convaluoion theorem)

 $\hat{h}(\xi) = \left| \hat{f}(\xi) \right|^2 \text{ and } h(0) = \int_{\infty}^{\infty} \left| f(x) \right|^2 dx$

The theorem now follows from the inversion formula applied with x = v, that is

 $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(\xi) d\xi = h(0)$

 $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi = ||\hat{f}||^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(x)|^2 dx = ||\hat{f}||^2$

Periodization

Given fc S(IR) on the real line, we can construct a new function on the circle:

$$\overline{h} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(x+n)$$

The sum converges absolutely and uniformly on every compact subset of R, so F1 i's continuous. and

$$F_1(x+1) = F_1(x)$$

The function F₁ is called periodization of f.

Let $\hat{f}(\xi)$ be the Fourier transform of f. Define $F_2(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(n) e^{2\pi i n x}$

The sum converges absolutely and uniformly, since \hat{f} belongs to the Schwartz space, hence Fz is continuous.

Moreover $\Gamma_2(x+1) = \Gamma_2(x)$

Theorem 3.1. (Poisson summation formula) If $f \in S(\mathbb{R})$, then

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} f(x+n) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(n) e^{2\pi i n x}$$

In particular, setting x=0 we have

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(n) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(n)$$

In other words, the Fourier coefficients of the periodization of f are given precisely by the values of the Fourier transform of f on the integers

Proof: It suffices to show that both sides (which is continuous) have the same Fourier coefficients (viewed as functions on the circle). Clearly, the m-th Fourier coefficient of the right-hand side is $\hat{f}(m)$, For the left-hand side we have

$$\int_{0}^{1} \left(\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(x+n)\right) e^{-2\pi i mx} dx = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} f(x+n) e^{-2\pi i mx} dx$$

$$= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{n+1} f(y) e^{-2\pi i my} dy$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y) e^{-2\pi i my} dy$$

$$= \hat{f}(y)$$

$$= \hat{f}(y)$$

where the interchange of the sum and the integral is permissible since f is rapidly decreasing.