

## What corrections did you have to make?

- Make loops for suggestions, cards, and stories.
- Adjust colors for the wave report's contrast
- Rename html tags and adjust display property for wave tags/structure (h1, header etc)
- Add id for input labels (wave warning)
- Add jinja conditions

## Given that the photos and captions rely on user-generated content, do you think that the accessibility problems are all solvable? Why or why not?

Even that the photos and captions rely on user-generated content, there are limits and optimizations we can add to enforce accessibility (like the filters on reddit to cover flashing images or sensitive content).

## Do you think your website is usable if you turn off the CSS? Why or why not?

It would be usable. But the images/icons really messes with the flow, and the lack of parallel display really haults usability.

## Do you think your website is usable if you turn off the images? Why or why not?

It would be useable. The images have alt texts which could still provide guidance for user clicking, but it would be really painful.

## Submit a screenshot of your Wave report

The screenshot displays a Wave accessibility evaluation tool report for a website titled "Photo App". The report is powered by WebAIM. The summary section shows 0 errors, 0 contrast errors, 0 alerts, and 79 features. It also indicates 4 structural elements and 55 ARIA attributes. The website content includes a header with "h1 Photo App", a main section with a grid of user avatars and names (greenjeffrey, anthonymo, cjohnson, hspears, rebecca90, john66), and a section for "michaelstewart" with a large image of autumn leaves and several "follow" buttons. The report also includes a "Summary" tab and a "View details" button.