

Nearest Neighbor Search

William Jagels and Rushil Kumar

December 20, 2016

Abstract

In this paper, we set out to research and implement algorithms for Nearest Neighbor Search (NNS). Our implementation is able to make point clouds with arbitrary dimensional points. The nearest neighbor is also suited to work with these point clouds at any dimension. We discuss our testing results and compare our implementation to other common implementations

1. Problem

Given a target point, and a list of other points, find the closest point to the target. This problem appears in the everyday world, such as finding the nearest stores on a retailer's website or finding the closest airport for an aircraft in distress. Although many appearances of this problem are trivially solved by a linear search, sometimes a more efficient algorithm is needed.

2. k-Nearest Neighbors

We can observe that with the regular NNS problem, it is impossible to get any better than $\Theta(N)$ time unless we can make assumptions about the ordering of our point cloud. In the k-NNS problem, where we find the closest k neighbors, our naïve linear search will degenerate into a $\Theta(kN)$ time complexity algorithm. At larger values of k , it becomes clear that a linear search is no longer reasonable.

3. k-Dimensional Tree

One data structure commonly used to solve the NNS problem is a k-dimensional(k-d) tree. A k-d tree is a binary search tree that is sorted in multiple dimensions, allowing for a $O(\log n)$ search time. This data structure uses space partitioning to achieve its performance characteristics. At each level of a k-d tree, there is a specified axis that the left and right subtrees are divided by. As with a binary tree, it is important to have a balanced k-d tree to maintain $O(\log n)$ search time. Given an initial point cloud, the k-d tree was kept balanced by finding a value in the dimension such that the axis would partition the set of points evenly. To do this, for each set of points encountered, they were sorted with respect to the particular dimension in $O(n \log n)$ time. Then the value of the dimension being examined for the middle indexed point is used to split the points into two equal halves. The algorithm works by taking the initial point cloud of N points and partitioning it based on the first dimension. The left partition and right partition are then recursively made into k-d trees incrementing the dimension used by one until it rolls over and reaches back to the first dimension. At each partition, the node holds the dimension being partitioned along with the value used to partition it. In the recursive calls, once there is only one point left, that node is a leaf and holds that sole point. We implemented and used a k-d tree specifically for nearest neighbor search, but it can also be used for problems such as range searching.

4. k-d Tree NNS

We implemented a nearest neighbor search that works for our k-d tree implementation, and found that it could reliably find the near-

est neighbor to a point very quickly. The algorithm used a search similar to binary search along with the branch and bound technique. An initial point is searched for using a binary search with regards to the dimension partitioned for that particular level of the tree. For example, for 3D, the first level of the tree splits the points into two by the x-axis. In the next level, the points are split by the y-axis and then in the next level after that by the z-axis. Once the initial point is found, a possible shortest distance - SD is found to that point. After this, the algorithm continues to backtrack to partitions and checks the opposite side of the axis that was not originally checked. This SD is used to check for the branch and bound technique since points outside of a radius of distance SD away from the search point do not need to be examined. The shape formed by this radius is called the hypersphere. When looking at a partition of a particular axis, if the hypersphere does not cross that axis of the partition (called the hyperplane), then there is no need to check the side of the hyperplane the partition does not cross into. For example, with a search point (0, 0) a SD of 5, and a x-axis splitting the points by the value of $x=7$, the right side of the partition does not need to be checked. All points to the right of the hyperplane will be at a distance greater than 5 units away from the test point and therefore do not need to be checked. This reduces the number of nodes that are checked and leads to a $O(\log n)$ search time.

5. Results

Using $3 * 10^6$ points in 3 dimensions, linear search takes on the order of 10^{-2} s. On the same test, k-d tree construction takes on the order of 10^0 s, and NNS takes on the order of 10^{-6} s. It is important to note that the k-d tree construction only has to happen once per point cloud, and any additional nearest neighbor searches will take the same amount of time. Since the k-d tree construction is slower by a factor of 10^2 , if we perform any more than 10^2 searches, we should see a performance gain over the linear search.

10^2 searches may seem large, however, that is 0.0033% of the size of the point cloud.



6. Analysis

Our tests revealed that our NNS algorithm is very fast on an already created k-d tree, but the k-d tree construction is rather inefficient. This is due to the $O(n \log^2 n)$ behavior of the tree constructor. The NNS search is not deterministic in its running time as the point cloud and the search point are random, making it hard to measure well. However, the running time of the NNS algorithm is so small that there are also other factors at play, such as clock precision and other programs running on the system. When many NNS searches are required, using a k-d tree is a good way to cut down on runtime. Additionally, our NNS search is safe to run in parallel, meaning that a series of worker threads can be used to speed up large batches of searches on a multiprocessor system.

7. Code

The code provided is written in C++14, which requires a compiler with support for it.