

COPENHAGEN BUSINESS SCHOOL

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS

Winter Semester, 2024

Final Ordinary Resit Exam, 22.1.2025

Econometrics

Time allowed: TWO hours

Students must answer all parts of the question.

General guidance:

You should not devote more than one hour to answer each of the questions.

DO NOT TURN THIS PAGE OVER UNTIL INSTRUCTED TO DO SO BY THE
INVIGILATOR

QUESTION

This question considers the estimation of the determinants of participation in private pension plans. The data are a random sample of 9,275 employees. The data comprise of the following variables:

| | |
|--------------|--|
| <i>inc</i> | = annual income in 1,000s of € |
| <i>marr</i> | = 1 if married (0 otherwise) |
| <i>male</i> | = 1 if male (0 otherwise) |
| <i>age</i> | = age in years |
| <i>fsize</i> | = number of family members |
| <i>pira</i> | = 1 if participating in a private pension insurance plan (0 otherwise) |
| <i>incsq</i> | = <i>inc</i> squared |
| <i>agesq</i> | = <i>age</i> squared |

The descriptive statistics are given in Table 1A.

TABLE 1A

| Variable | Obs | Mean | Std. Dev. | Min | Max |
|--------------|-------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|
| <i>inc</i> | 9,275 | 39.25464 | 24.09 | 10.008 | 199.041 |
| <i>marr</i> | 9,275 | .6285714 | .4832128 | 0 | 1 |
| <i>male</i> | 9,275 | .2044205 | .4032993 | 0 | 1 |
| <i>age</i> | 9,275 | 41.08022 | 10.29952 | 25 | 64 |
| <i>fsize</i> | 9,275 | 2.885067 | 1.525835 | 1 | 13 |
| <i>pira</i> | 9,275 | .2543396 | .4355128 | 0 | 1 |
| <i>incsq</i> | 9,275 | 2121.192 | 3001.469 | 100.1601 | 39617.32 |
| <i>agesq</i> | 9,275 | 1793.653 | 895.6488 | 625 | 4096 |

The dependent variable of the analysis is *pira*. The empirical analysis is conducted with R.

CONTINUED

Suppose that $y \in \{0,1\}$ has the probability density function

$$f(y|x_i, \beta) = [G(x_i\beta)]^y [1 - G(x_i\beta)]^{1-y},$$

where $E(y|x_i)=p(x_i)$ and $Var(y|x_i)=p(x_i)[1 - p(x_i)]$ with $p(x_i) = P(y = 1|x_i)$. x_i is $1 \times k$. G is a known function, while β is $k \times 1$ and unknown. There are $i=1, \dots, n$ observations (y_i, x_i) .

- (a) Explain why it would be suitable to apply conditional maximum likelihood estimation (CMLE).
- (b) What is the sample log-likelihood of CMLE?
- (c) What is the necessary condition for the log-likelihood to be maximised?
- (d) The following Table 1B presents regression output for the data described above.

CONTINUED

TABLE 1B

```
> reg1<-glm(pira ~ inc+incsq+age+agesq+marr+male+fsize, family = binomial(link=logit), data=pension)
> summary(reg1)

Call:
glm(formula = pira ~ inc + incsq + age + agesq + marr + male +
    fsize, family = binomial(link = logit), data = pension)

Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept) -5.866e+00  4.942e-01 -11.869  < 2e-16 ***
inc          6.310e-02  3.470e-03  18.183  < 2e-16 ***
incsq       -2.422e-04  2.525e-05  -9.595  < 2e-16 ***
age         9.694e-02  2.344e-02   4.136  3.53e-05 ***
agesq      -5.085e-04  2.629e-04  -1.934   0.0531 .
marr        1.432e-01  7.830e-02   1.829   0.0674 .
male       -8.300e-02  7.465e-02  -1.112   0.2662
fsize      -1.985e-01  2.430e-02  -8.167  3.17e-16 ***
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)

    Null deviance: 10518.8  on 9274  degrees of freedom
Residual deviance:  8757.7  on 9267  degrees of freedom
AIC: 8773.7

Number of Fisher scoring iterations: 5
```

Explain the econometric model that has been estimated in Table 1B. Use a latent variable model approach in your explanations.

- (e) What is the partial relationship of annual income and the dependent variable in the model in Table 1B? Explain.
- (f) More code is being executed and the output is shown in Output 1C.

OUTPUT 1C

```
> library(margins)
> lev<-data.frame(mean(pension$inc),mean(pension$inc)^2,mean(pension$age),mean(pension$age)^2,mean(pension$marr),mean(pension$male),mean(pension$fsize))
> names(lev)<-c("inc","incsq","age","agesq","marr","male","fsize")
> margins(reg1, at=lev)
Average marginal effects at specified values
glm(formula = pira ~ inc + incsq + age + agesq + marr + male + fsize, family = binomial(link = logit), data = pension)

      at(inc) at(incsq) at(age) at(agesq) at(marr) at(male) at(fsize)  inc  incsq  age  agesq  marr  male  fsize
      39.25    1541    41.08    1688    0.6286    0.2044    2.885 0.0116 -4.454e-05 0.01782 -9.348e-05 0.02633 -0.01526 -0.03649
```

Explain in detail what has been done in Output 1C? What is the role of income when it is 50,000\$?

- (g) Additional code gives the Output in Output 1D.

CONTINUED

OUTPUT 1D

```
> l_ur<-logLik(reg1)
> reg2<-glm(pira ~ inc+incsq+age+agesq+fsize, family = binomial(link=logit), data=pension)
> l_r <-logLik(reg2)
> lr=2*(l_ur-l_r)
> lr
'log Lik.' 6.057492 (df=8)
> 1-pchisq(lr, 2)
'log Lik.' 0.04837625 (df=8)
```

Explain in detail what has been done in Output 1D What do you conclude?

- (h) Define the pseudo-R-squared for maximum likelihood estimation. Why is this used instead of the conventional R-squared after maximum likelihood estimation?

END OF PAPER