Summary for Introduction to Machine Learning 2019

General

P-Norm: $||x||_p = (\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ Frobenious Norm: $||A||_F = \sqrt{\sum_{i,j} a_{ij}^2}$

Derivation rules: Chain rule:

D(f(g(x))) = Df(g(x)) * Dg(x)positive definiteness: A is p.s.d., then A is a real symmetric matrix and $x^T Ax \ge 0$ for all x

Joint distribution: X, Y are RVs $F_{X,Y}(x,y) = \mathbb{P}(X \leq x, Y \leq y)$

Joint density: $f_{X,Y}(x,y) = \frac{\delta^2 F}{\delta x \delta y}(x,y)$

Conditional Probability: $\mathbb{P}(A|B) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(A \cap B)}{\mathbb{P}(B)}$ Law of total probability:

 $\mathbb{P}(B) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}(B|A_i) \mathbb{P}(A_i)$

Regression: Predict real valued labels

Linear Regression

$$\begin{split} f(x) &= w_1 x_1 + \dots + w_d x_d + w_0 = \widetilde{w}^T \widetilde{x} \text{ with } \\ \widetilde{w} &= [w_1 \cdots w_d, \ w_0] \text{ and } \widetilde{x} = [x_1 \cdots x_d, \ 1] \\ \text{Residual: } r_i &= y_i - w^T x_i, \ x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ y_i \in \mathbb{R} \\ \text{Cost / Objective function (is convex):} \\ \widehat{R}(w) &= \sum_{i=1}^n r_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - w^T x_i)^2 \\ \text{Optimal weights:} \end{split}$$

 $w^* = \underset{w}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - w^T x_i)^2$

Closed form solution: $w^* = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y$ Gradient: $\nabla_w \hat{R}(w) = \left[\frac{\delta}{\delta w_1} \hat{R}(w) \cdots \frac{\delta}{\delta w_d} \hat{R}(w)\right] = -2 \sum_{i=1}^n r_i x_i^T$

Non-linear functions: $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{D} w_i \phi_i(x)$

Convex function

$$f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$$
 is convex $\Leftrightarrow x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d, \lambda \in [0, 1]:$
 $f(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2) \le \lambda f(x_1) + (1 - \lambda)f(x_2)$

Gradient Descent

1. Start at an arbitrary $w_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$

2. For t = 1, 2, ... do $\hat{w}_{t+1} = w_t - \eta_t \nabla \hat{R}(w_t)$

Gaussian/Normal Distribution

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} exp(-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2})$$

Multivariate Gaussian

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{|\Sigma|}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)}$$
$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & \sigma_{12} \\ \sigma_{21} & \sigma_2^2 \end{pmatrix}, \ \mu = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Empirical risk minimization

Assumption: Data set generated iid from unknown distribution P: $(x_i, y_i) \sim P(X, Y)$. True risk: $R(w) = \int P(x, y)(y - w^T x)^2 dx dy = \mathbb{E}_{x,y}[(y - w^T x)^2]$ Empirical risk: $\hat{R}_D(w) = \frac{1}{|D|} \sum_{(x,y) \in D} (y - w^T x)^2$

Generalization error: $|R(w) - \hat{R}_D(w)|$ Uniform convergence:

 $\sup_{w} |R(w) - \hat{R}_{D}(w)| \to 0 \text{ as } |D| \to 0$

In general, it holds that: $\mathbb{E}_D[\hat{R}_D(\hat{w}_D)] \leq \mathbb{E}_D[R(\hat{w}_D)], \text{ where } \hat{w}_D = \operatorname{argmin} \hat{R}_D(w).$

Cross-validation

For each model m

For i = 1:k

1. Split data: $D = D_{train}^{(i)} \uplus D_{val}^{(i)}$

2. Train model: $\hat{w}_{i,m} = \operatorname{argmin} \hat{R}_{train}^{(i)}(w)$

3. Estimate error: $\hat{R}_{m}^{(i)} = \hat{R}_{val}^{(i)}(\hat{w}_{i,m})$ After all iterations, select model: $\hat{m} = \underset{m}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \hat{R}_{m}^{(i)}$

Ridge regression

Regularization (corresponds to MAP estimation): $\min_{w} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - w^T x_i)^2 + \lambda ||w||_2^2 = \operatorname{argmax} P(w) \Pi_i P(y_i | x_i w)$ Sparse regression (L1, convex) encourages coefficients to be exactly 0 - automatic feature selection

Closed form solution: $\hat{w} = (X^T X + \lambda I)^{-1} X^T y$ Gradient: $\nabla_w (\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - w^T x_i)^2 + \lambda ||w||_2^2) = \nabla_w \hat{R}(w) + 2\lambda w$

Standardization

Goal: each feature: $\mu = 0$, $\sigma^2 = 1$: $\tilde{x}_{i,j} = \frac{(x_{i,j} - \hat{\mu}_j)}{\hat{\sigma}_j}$ $\hat{\mu}_j = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_{i,j}$, $\hat{\sigma}_j^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_{i,j} - \hat{\mu}_j)^2$

Dimension Reduction in unsupervised learning

Principal Component Analysis (linear)

Given $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, $1 \le k \le d$, $\Sigma = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i x_i^T$, $\mu = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i x_i = 0$ (data is centered) $(W, z_1, ..., z_n) = \underset{i=1}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=1}^n ||Wz_i - x_i||_2^2$ where $W \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$ is orthogonal $z_1, ... z_n \in \mathbb{R}^k$ is given by $W = (v_1|...|v_k)$ and $z_i = W^T x_i = f(x)$ where $\Sigma = \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i v_i v_i^T$ where $\lambda_1 \ge ... \ge \lambda_d \ge 0$ The projection is chosen to minimize the reconstruction error, choose k such that most of the variance is explained (like k-means)

Kernel PCA (nonlinear)

For k = 1: Kernel PCA $\alpha^* = \underset{\alpha^T K \alpha = 1}{\operatorname{argmax}} \alpha^T K^T K \alpha$ $\alpha^* = \underset{\alpha^T K \alpha = 1}{\operatorname{min}} \lambda_i v_i v_i^T (\lambda_1 \ge \dots \ge \lambda_d \ge 0)$ With $K = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i v_i^T$ ($\lambda_1 \ge \dots \ge \lambda_d \ge 0$) $\alpha^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} v_1$ For general k: Kernel PCA The kernel principal components are given by $\alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ $\alpha^{(i)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}} \text{ with } K = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i v_i^T$ A new point x is projected as z, $z_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j^{(i)} k(x, x_j)$

Kernel-PCA corresponds to applying PCA in the feature space induced by the kernel k. centering a kernel: K' = K - KE - EK + EKE where $E = \frac{1}{n}[1, ..., 1][1, ...]^T$

- complexity grow with number of data points, requires data specified as kernel

Autoencoders

Goal: learn identity function $x \approx f(x; \theta)$ $f(x; \theta) = f_{dec}(f_{enc}(x; \theta_1); \theta_2)$ NN autoencoders are ANNs where one output unit for each of d input units, nr of hidden units smaller than nr of inputs. Optimize w s.t.

If activation func. is the identity, fitting NN autoencoder is equivalent to PCA.

Decision Theory

output agrees with input.

Bayesian Decision Theory

Given: P(y|x), set of actions A and cost function $C: Y \times A \to \mathbb{R}$ $a^* = \underset{a \in A}{\operatorname{argmin}} \mathbb{E}_y[C(y,a)|x]$ (cost for prediction a when true label is y) for logistic regression: $\operatorname{argmax} P(y|x) = sign(w^T x)$ (most

likely class)

Doubtful logistic regression is when we pick the most likely class only if we are confident enough.

MAP

- 1. choose likelihood function \rightarrow loss function
- 2. choose prior \rightarrow regularizer
- 3. optimize for MAP parameters, choose hyperparameters through cross-validation4. make predictions via Bayesian Decision Theory