GenAI: Best Practices

Release 1.0

Wenqiang Feng, Di Zhen and Wenyun Wang

December 24, 2024

CONTENTS

Welcome to our **GenAI: Best Practices**!!! For each chapter, we provide detailed Colab notebooks Open in Colab that you can open and run directly in Google Colab. The PDF version can be downloaded from HERE.

CHAPTER

ONE

PREFACE

1.1 About

1.1.1 About this book

This is the book for our Generative AI: Best practics [GenAI]. The PDF version can be downloaded from HERE. **You may download and distribute it. Please beaware, however, that the note contains typos as well as inaccurate or incorrect description.**

In this book, we aim to demonstrate best practices for Generative AI through detailed demo code and practical examples. For each chapter, we provide detailed Colab notebooks 

that you can open and run directly in Google Colab.

1.1.2 About the authors

- **Authors**
  - **Wenqiang Feng**
    - Sr. Mgr Data Enginner and PhD in Mathematics
    - University of Tennessee at Knoxville
    - Webpage: https://github.com/runawayhorse001
    - Email: von198@gmail.com
  - **Di Zhen**
    - Sr. Analyst - Data Science and M.S. in Computational Biology
    - Harvard University
    - Email: dizhen318@gmail.com
  - **Wenyun Wang**
    - Ph.D. candidate in Applied Physics
    - Harvard University
    - Email: wenyunw08@gmail.com
- **Biography**

  - **Wenqiang Feng** is the Senior Manager of Data Engineering and former Director of AI Engineering/Data Science at American Express (AMEX). Before his tenure at AMEX, Dr. Feng served as a Senior Data Scientist in the Machine Learning Lab at H&R Block and as a Data Scientist at Applied Analytics Group, DST (now SS&C). Throughout his career, Dr. Feng has focused on equipping clients with cutting-edge skills and technologies, including Big Data analytics, advanced modeling techniques, and data enhancement strategies.

    Dr. Feng brings extensive expertise in data mining, analytic systems, machine learning algorithms, business intelligence, and the application of Big Data tools to solve complex, cross-functional industry challenges. Prior to his role at DST, Dr. Feng was an IMA Data Science Fellow at the Institute for Mathematics and its Applications (IMA) at the University of Minnesota. In this capacity, he collaborated with startups to develop predictive analytics solutions that informed strategic marketing decisions.

    Dr. Feng holds a Ph.D. in Computational Mathematics and a Master's degree in Statistics from the University of Tennessee, Knoxville. He also earned a Master's degree in Computational Mathematics from Missouri University of Science and Technology (MST) and a Master's degree in Applied Mathematics from the University of Science and Technology of China (USTC).

  - **Di Zhen** is a Senior Data Science Analyst at American Express, where she drives impactful business decisions by leveraging advanced analytics and cutting-edge technologies. Her expertise spans causal inference, predictive modeling, natural language processing, and generative AI, with a focus on empowering sales enablement through data-driven insights.

    Di earned her Master of Science in Computational Biology and Quantitative Genetics from Harvard University in 2023, where she developed a robust foundation in computation and statistical analysis. Passionate about solving complex, real-world problems, she combines technical precision with innovative thinking to deliver actionable solutions that enhance business performance and customer experiences. Dedicated to continuous learning, Di is committed to staying at the fore front of data science advancements to unlock new possibilities.

  - **Wenyun Wang** is currently a Ph.D. candidate in Applied Physics at Harvard University. She also holds a Master's degree in Computational Science and Engineering from Harvard University. Her research interests lie at the intersection of data science, machine learning, and generative AI, with a focus on solving practical problems in scientific research and real-world applications. She is passionate about leveraging advanced computational techniques to extract insights from complex data and drive innovation across diverse domains.

- **Declaration**

The work of Wenqiang Feng was supported by the IMA, while working at IMA. However, any opinion, finding, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of the IMA, UTK and DST.

Warning

ChatGPT has been extensively used in the creation of this book. If you notice that your work has not been cited or has been cited incorrectly, please notify us.

1.2 Feedback and suggestions

Your comments and suggestions are highly appreciated. I am more than happy to receive corrections, suggestions or feedback through email (Wenqiang Feng: von198@gmail.com, Di Zhen: dizhen318@gmail.com and Wenyun Wang: wenyunw08@gmail.com) for improvements.

CHAPTER

TWO

PRELIMINARY

Chinese proverb

A journey of a thousand miles begins with a single step. – Lao Tzu

In this chapter, we will introduce some math and NLP preliminaries which are highly used in Generative AI.

Colab Notebook for This Chapter

- Math Preliminary: 

- Ollama in Colab: 

- BERT Tokenization: 

2.1 Math Preliminary

2.1.1 Vector

A vector is a mathematical representation of data characterized by both magnitude and direction. In this context, each data point is represented as a feature vector, with each component corresponding to a specific feature or attribute of the data.

```
import numpy as np
import gensim.downloader as api
# Download pre-trained GloVe model
glove_vectors = api.load("glove-twitter-25")

# Get word vectors (embeddings)
word1 = "king"
word2 = "queen"

# embedding
king = glove_vectors[word1]
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
queen = glove_vectors[word2]

print('king:\n', king)
print('queen:\n', queen)
```

```
king:
[-0.74501  -0.11992   0.37329   0.36847  -0.4472   -0.2288    0.70118
0.82872   0.39486  -0.58347   0.41488   0.37074  -3.6906   -0.20101
0.11472  -0.34661   0.36208   0.095679 -0.01765   0.68498  -0.049013
0.54049  -0.21005  -0.65397   0.64556 ]
queen:
[-1.1266   -0.52064   0.45565   0.21079  -0.05081  -0.65158   1.1395
0.69897  -0.20612  -0.71803  -0.02811   0.10977  -3.3089   -0.49299
-0.51375   0.10363  -0.11764  -0.084972  0.02558   0.6859   -0.29196
0.4594   -0.39955  -0.40371   0.31828 ]
```



Fig. 1: Vector

2.1.2 Norm

A norm is a function that maps a vector to a single positive value, representing its magnitude. Norms are essential for calculating distances between vectors, which play a crucial role in measuring prediction errors, performing feature selection, and applying regularization techniques in models.



Fig. 2: Geometrical Interpretation of Norm (source_1)

- Formula:

  The ℓ^p norm for $\vec{v} = (v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_n)$ is

  $$||\vec{v}||_p = \sqrt[p]{|v_1|^p + |v_2|^p + \cdots + |v_n|^p}$$

- ℓ^1 norm: Sum of absolute values of vector components, often used for feature selection due to its tendency to produce sparse solutions.

```
# l1 norm
np.linalg.norm(king,ord=1) #    max(sum(abs(x), axis=0))

### 13.188952
```

- ℓ^2 norm: Square root of the sum of squared vector components, the most common norm used in many machine learning algorithms.

```
# l2 norm
np.linalg.norm(king,ord=2)

### 4.3206835
```

- ℓ^∞ norm (Maximum norm): The largest absolute value of a vector component.

2.1.3 Distances

- Manhattan Distance (ℓ^1 Distance)

  Also known as taxicab or city block distance, Manhattan distance measures the absolute differences between the components of two vectors. It represents the distance a point would travel along grid lines in a Cartesian plane, similar to navigating through city streets.

For two vector $\vec{u} = (u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_n)$ and $\vec{v} = (v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_n)$, the Manhattan Distance distance $d(\vec{u}, \vec{v})$ is

$$d(\vec{u}, \vec{v}) = ||\vec{u} - \vec{v}||_1 = |u_1 - v_1| + |u_2 - v_2| + \cdots + |u_n - v_n|$$

- Euclidean Distance (ℓ^2 Distance)

  Euclidean distance is the most common way to measure the distance between two points (vectors) in space. It is essentially the straight-line distance between them, calculated using the Pythagorean theorem.

  For two vector $\vec{u} = (u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_n)$ and $\vec{v} = (v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_n)$, the Euclidean Distance distance $d(\vec{u}, \vec{v})$ is

$$d(\vec{u}, \vec{v}) = ||\vec{u} - \vec{v}||_2 = \sqrt{(u_1 - v_1)^2 + (u_2 - v_2)^2 + \cdots + (u_n - v_n)^2}$$

- Minkowski Distance (ℓ^p Distance)

  Minkowski distance is a generalization of both Euclidean and Manhattan distances. It incorporates a parameter, p, which allows for adjusting the sensitivity of the distance metric.

- Cos Similarity

  Cosine similarity measures the angle between two vectors rather than their straight-line distance. It evaluates the similarity of two vectors by focusing on their orientation rather than their magnitude. This makes it particularly useful for high-dimensional data, such as text, where the direction of the vectors is often more significant than their magnitude.

  The Cos similarity for two vector $\vec{u} = (u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_n)$ and $\vec{v} = (v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_n)$ is

$$cos(\theta) = \frac{\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}}{||\vec{u}||||\vec{v}||}$$

  - 1 means the vectors point in exactly the same direction (perfect similarity).
  - 0 means they are orthogonal (no similarity).
  - -1 means they point in opposite directions (complete dissimilarity).

```
# Compute cosine similarity between the two word vectors
np.dot(king,queen)/(np.linalg.norm(king)*np.linalg.norm(queen))

### 0.92024213
```

```
# Compute cosine similarity between the two word vectors
similarity = glove_vectors.similarity(word1, word2)
print(f"Word vectors for '{word1}': {king}")
print(f"Word vectors for '{word2}': {queen}")
print(f"Cosine similarity between '{word1}' and '{word2}':
↪{similarity}")
```

```
Word vectors for 'king': [-0.74501  -0.11992   0.37329   0.36847  -
↪0.4472   -0.2288    0.70118
0.82872   0.39486  -0.58347   0.41488   0.37074  -3.6906   -0.20101
0.11472  -0.34661   0.36208   0.095679 -0.01765   0.68498  -0.049013
0.54049  -0.21005  -0.65397   0.64556 ]
Word vectors for 'queen': [-1.1266   -0.52064   0.45565   0.21079  -
↪0.05081  -0.65158   1.1395
0.69897  -0.20612  -0.71803  -0.02811   0.10977  -3.3089   -0.49299
-0.51375   0.10363  -0.11764  -0.084972  0.02558   0.6859   -0.29196
0.4594   -0.39955  -0.40371   0.31828 ]
Cosine similarity between 'king' and 'queen': 0.920242190361023
```

2.2 NLP Preliminary

2.2.1 Vocabulary

In Natural Language Processing (NLP), **vocabulary** refers to the complete set of unique words or tokens that a model recognizes or works with during training and inference. Vocabulary plays a critical role in text processing and understanding, as it defines the scope of linguistic units a model can handle.

- Types of Vocabulary in NLP

  1. **Word-level Vocabulary**: - Each word in the text is treated as a unique token. - For example, the sentence "I love NLP" would generate the vocabulary: `{I, love, NLP}`.

  2. **Subword-level Vocabulary**: - Text is broken down into smaller units like prefixes, suffixes, or character sequences. - For example, the word "loving" might be split into `{lov, ing}` using techniques like Byte Pair Encoding (BPE) or SentencePiece. - Subword vocabularies handle rare or unseen words more effectively.

  3. **Character-level Vocabulary**: - Each character is treated as a token. - For example, the word "love" would generate the vocabulary: `{l, o, v, e}`.

- Importance of Vocabulary

  1. **Text Representation**: - Vocabulary is the basis for converting text into numerical representations like one-hot vectors, embeddings, or input IDs for machine learning models.

  2. **Model Efficiency**: - A larger vocabulary increases the model's memory and computational requirements. - A smaller vocabulary may lack the capacity to represent all words effectively, leading to a loss of meaning.

  3. **Handling Out-of-Vocabulary (OOV) Words**: - Words not present in the vocabulary are either replaced with a special token like `<UNK>` or processed using subword/character-based techniques.

- Building a Vocabulary

  Common practices include:

  1. Tokenizing the text into words, subwords, or characters.

2. Counting the frequency of tokens.
3. Keeping only the most frequent tokens up to a predefined size (e.g., top 50,000 tokens).
4. Adding special tokens like <PAD>, <UNK>, <BOS> (beginning of sentence), and <EOS> (end of sentence).

- Challenges
- **Balancing Vocabulary Size**: A larger vocabulary increases the richness of representation but requires more computational resources.
- **Domain-specific Vocabularies**: In specialized fields like medicine or law, standard vocabularies may not be sufficient, requiring domain-specific tokenization strategies.

2.2.2 Tagging

Tagging in NLP refers to the process of assigning labels or annotations to words, phrases, or other linguistic units in a text. These labels provide additional information about the syntactic, semantic, or structural role of the elements in the text.

- Types of Tagging
  1. **Part-of-Speech (POS) Tagging**:
     - Assigns grammatical tags (e.g., noun, verb, adjective) to each word in a sentence.
     - Example: For the sentence "The dog barks," the tags might be: - `The/DET` (Determiner) - `dog/NOUN` (Noun) - `barks/VERB` (Verb).
  2. **Named Entity Recognition (NER) Tagging**:
     - Identifies and classifies named entities in a text, such as names of people, organizations, locations, dates, or monetary values.
     - Example: In the sentence "John works at Google in California," the tags might be: - `John/PERSON` - `Google/ORGANIZATION` - `California/LOCATION`.
  3. **Chunking (Syntactic Tagging)**:
     - Groups words into syntactic chunks like noun phrases (NP) or verb phrases (VP).
     - Example: For the sentence "The quick brown fox jumps," a chunking result might be: - `[NP The quick brown fox] [VP jumps]`.
  4. **Sentiment Tagging**:
     - Assigns sentiment labels (e.g., positive, negative, neutral) to words, phrases, or entire documents.
     - Example: The word "happy" might be tagged as `positive`, while "sad" might be tagged as `negative`.
  5. **Dependency Parsing Tags**:
     - Identifies the grammatical relationships between words in a sentence, such as subject, object, or modifier.

  - **Example: In "She enjoys cooking," the tags might show:**
    * `She/nsubj` (nominal subject)
    * `enjoys/ROOT` (root of the sentence)
    * `cooking/dobj` (direct object).

- Importance of Tagging
  - **Understanding Language Structure**: Tags help NLP models understand the grammatical and syntactic structure of text.
  - **Improving Downstream Tasks**: Tagging is foundational for tasks like machine translation, sentiment analysis, question answering, and summarization.
  - **Feature Engineering**: Tags serve as features for training machine learning models in text classification or sequence labeling tasks.
- Tagging Techniques
  1. **Rule-based Tagging**: Relies on predefined linguistic rules to assign tags. Example: Using dictionaries or regular expressions to match specific patterns.
  2. **Statistical Tagging**: Uses probabilistic models like Hidden Markov Models (HMMs) to predict tags based on word sequences.
  3. **Neural Network-based Tagging**: Employs deep learning models like LSTMs, GRUs, or Transformers to tag text with high accuracy.
- Challenges
  - **Ambiguity**:Words with multiple meanings can lead to incorrect tagging. Example: The word "bank" could mean a financial institution or a riverbank.
  - **Domain-Specific Language**: General tagging models may fail to perform well on specialized text like medical or legal documents.
  - **Data Sparsity**: Rare words or phrases may lack sufficient training data for accurate tagging.

2.2.3 Lemmatization

Lemmatization in NLP is the process of reducing a word to its base or dictionary form, known as the **lemma**. Unlike stemming, which simply removes word suffixes, lemmatization considers the context and grammatical role of the word to produce a linguistically accurate root form.

- How Lemmatization Works
  1. **Contextual Analysis**:
     - Lemmatization relies on a vocabulary (lexicon) and morphological analysis to identify a word's base form.
     - For example: - `running` → `run` - `better` → `good`
  2. **Part-of-Speech (POS) Tagging**:
     - The process uses POS tags to determine the correct lemma for a word.

  - Example: - `barking` (verb) → `bark` - `barking` (adjective, as in "barking dog") → `barking`.

- Importance of Lemmatization

  1. **Improves Text Normalization**:
     - Lemmatization helps normalize text by grouping different forms of a word into a single representation.
     - Example: - `run`, `running`, and `ran` → `run`.
  2. **Enhances NLP Applications**:
     - Lemmatized text improves the performance of tasks like information retrieval, text classification, and sentiment analysis.
  3. **Reduces Vocabulary Size**:
     - By mapping inflected forms to their base form, lemmatization reduces redundancy in text, resulting in a smaller vocabulary.

- Lemmatization vs. Stemming
  - **Lemmatization**:
    * Produces linguistically accurate root forms.
    * Considers the word's context and POS.
    * Example: - `studies` → `study`.
  - **Stemming**:
    * Applies heuristic rules to strip word suffixes without considering context.
    * May produce non-dictionary forms.
    * Example: - `studies` → `studi`.

- Techniques for Lemmatization

  1. **Rule-Based Lemmatization**:
     - Relies on predefined linguistic rules and dictionaries.
     - Example: WordNet-based lemmatizers.
  2. **Statistical Lemmatization**:
     - Uses probabilistic models to predict lemmas based on the context.
  3. **Deep Learning-Based Lemmatization**:
     - Employs neural networks and sequence-to-sequence models for highly accurate lemmatization in complex contexts.

- Challenges
  - **Ambiguity**: Words with multiple meanings may result in incorrect lemmatization without proper context.
    * Example: - `left` (verb) → `leave` - `left` (noun/adjective) → `left`.

- **Language-Specific Complexity**: Lemmatization rules vary widely across languages, requiring language-specific tools and resources.
- **Resource Dependency**: Lemmatizers require extensive lexicons and morphological rules, which can be resource-intensive to develop.

2.2.4 Tokenization

Tokenization in NLP refers to the process of splitting a text into smaller units, called **tokens**, which can be words, subwords, sentences, or characters. These tokens serve as the basic building blocks for further analysis in NLP tasks.

- Types of Tokenization
  1. **Word Tokenization**:
  - Splits the text into individual words or terms.
  - **Example:**
    - Sentence: "I love NLP."
    - Tokens: `["I", "love", "NLP"]`.
  2. **Sentence Tokenization**:
  - Divides a text into sentences.
  - **Example:**
    - Text: "I love NLP. It's amazing."
    - Tokens: `["I love NLP.", "It's amazing."]`.
  3. **Subword Tokenization**:
  - Breaks words into smaller units, often using methods like Byte Pair Encoding (BPE) or SentencePiece.
  - **Example:**
    - Word: `unhappiness`.
    - Tokens: `["un", "happiness"]` (or subword units like `["un", "happi", "ness"]`).
  4. **Character Tokenization**:
  - Treats each character in a word as a separate token.
  - **Example:**
    - Word: `hello`.
    - Tokens: `["h", "e", "l", "l", "o"]`.
- Importance of Tokenization
  1. **Text Preprocessing**:

- Tokenization is the first step in many NLP tasks like text classification, translation, and summarization, as it converts text into manageable pieces.

2. **Text Representation**:

- Tokens are converted into numerical representations (e.g., word embeddings) for model input in tasks like sentiment analysis, named entity recognition (NER), or language modeling.

3. **Improving Accuracy**:

- Proper tokenization ensures that a model processes text at the correct granularity (e.g., words or subwords), improving accuracy for tasks like machine translation or text generation.

- Challenges of Tokenization

1. **Ambiguity**:

- Certain words or phrases can be tokenized differently based on context.
- Example: "New York" can be treated as one token (location) or two separate tokens (`["New", "York"]`).

2. **Handling Punctuation**:

- Deciding how to treat punctuation marks can be challenging. For example, should commas, periods, or quotes be treated as separate tokens or grouped with adjacent words?

3. **Multi-word Expressions (MWEs)**:

- Some expressions consist of multiple words that should be treated as a single token, such as "New York" or "machine learning."

- Techniques for Tokenization

1. **Rule-Based Tokenization**: Uses predefined rules to split text based on spaces, punctuation, and other delimiters.
2. **Statistical and Machine Learning-Based Tokenization**: Uses trained models to predict token boundaries based on patterns learned from large corpora.
3. **Deep Learning-Based Tokenization**: Modern tokenization models, such as those used in transformers (e.g., BERT, GPT), may rely on subword tokenization and neural networks to handle complex tokenization tasks.

2.2.5 BERT Tokenization

- Vocabulary: The BERT Tokenizer's vocabulary contains 30,522 unique tokens.

```
from transformers import BertTokenizer, BertModel
tokenizer = BertTokenizer.from_pretrained('bert-base-uncased')
# model = BertModel.from_pretrained("bert-base-uncased")

# vocabulary size
print(tokenizer.vocab_size)
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
# vocabulary
print(tokenizer.vocab)
```

```
# vocabulary size
30522

# vocabulary
OrderedDict([('[PAD]', 0), ('[unused0]', 1)
             ...........,
             ('writing', 3015), ('bay', 3016),
             ...........,
             ('##?', 30520), ('##~', 30521)])
```

- Tokens and IDs
  - Tokens to IDs

```
text = "Gen AI is awesome"
encoded_input = tokenizer(text, return_tensors='pt')

# tokens to ids
print(encoded_input)

# output
{'input_ids': tensor([[  101,  8991,  9932,  2003, 12476,   102]]), \
'token_type_ids': tensor([[0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]]), \
'attention_mask': tensor([[1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1]])}
```

You might notice that there are only four words, yet we have six token IDs. This is due to the inclusion of two additional special tokens [CLS] and [SEP].

```
print({x : tokenizer.encode(x, add_special_tokens=False) for x in [
↪'[CLS]']+ text.split()+ ['[SEP]']})

### output
{'[CLS]': [101], 'Gen': [8991], 'AI': [9932], 'is': [2003], 'awesome':␣
↪[12476], '[SEP]': [102]}
```

  - Special Tokens

```
# Special tokens
print({x : tokenizer.encode(x, add_special_tokens=False) for x in [
↪'[CLS]', '[SEP]', '[MASK]', '[EOS]']})

# tokens to ids
{'[CLS]': [101], '[SEP]': [102], '[MASK]': [103], '[EOS]': [1031, 1041,␣
↪2891, 1033]}
```

- IDs to tokens

```
# ids to tokens
token_id = encoded_input['input_ids'].tolist()[0]
print({tokenizer.convert_ids_to_tokens(id, skip_special_
↪tokens=False):id \
      for id in token_id})

### output
{'[CLS]': 101, 'gen': 8991, 'ai': 9932, 'is': 2003, 'awesome': 12476,
↪'[SEP]': 102}
```

- Out-of-vocabulary tokens

```
text = "Gen AI is awesome "
encoded_input = tokenizer(text, return_tensors='pt')

print({x : tokenizer.encode(x, add_special_tokens=False) for x in [
↪'[CLS]']+ text.split()+ ['[SEP]']})
print(tokenizer.convert_ids_to_tokens(100, skip_special_tokens=False))

### output
{'[CLS]': [101], 'Gen': [8991], 'AI': [9932], 'is': [2003], 'awesome':␣
↪[12476], '': [100], '[SEP]': [102]}
[UNK]
```

- Subword Tokenization

```
# Subword Tokenization
text = "GenAI is awesome "
print({x : tokenizer.encode(x, add_special_tokens=False) for x in [
↪'[CLS]']+ text.split()+ ['[SEP]']})
print(tokenizer.convert_ids_to_tokens(100, skip_special_tokens=False))

# output
{'[CLS]': [101], 'GenAI': [8991, 4886], 'is': [2003], 'awesome':␣
↪[12476], '': [100], '[SEP]': [102]}
[UNK]
```

2.2.6 Modern BERT

ModernBERT is an encoder-only model. It is based on the architecture of the original BERT (Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers), which is designed to process and understand text by encoding it into dense numerical representations (embedding vectors).

Note

- **encoder-only** model is a type of transformer architecture designed primarily to understand and process input data by encoding it into a dense numerical representation, often called an embedding vector.
- **decoder-only** model is a transformer architecture designed for generating or predicting sequences, such as text. such as GPT, Llama, and Claude.

The new architecture delivers significant improvements over its predecessors in both speed and accuracy (Fig. *Modern BERT Pareto Curve (Source: Modern BERT)*).



Fig. 3: Modern BERT Pareto Curve (Source: Modern BERT)

- Global and Local Attention

  One of ModernBERT's most impactful features is Alternating Attention, rather than full global attention.

- Unpadding and Sequence Packing

  Another core mechanism contributing to ModernBERT's efficiency is its use for Unpadding and Sequence packing.

```
from transformers import AutoTokenizer, AutoModelForMaskedLM
```

(continues on next page)



Fig. 4: ModernBERT Alternating Attention (Source: Modern BERT)



Fig. 5: ModernBERT Unpadding (Source: Modern BERT)

(continued from previous page)

```
model_id = "answerdotai/ModernBERT-base"
tokenizer = AutoTokenizer.from_pretrained(model_id)
model = AutoModelForMaskedLM.from_pretrained(model_id)

text = "The capital of France is [MASK]."
inputs = tokenizer(text, return_tensors="pt")
outputs = model(**inputs)

# To get predictions for the mask:
masked_index = inputs["input_ids"][0].tolist().index(tokenizer.mask_token_id)
predicted_token_id = outputs.logits[0, masked_index].argmax(axis=-1)
predicted_token = tokenizer.decode(predicted_token_id)
print("Predicted token:", predicted_token)
# Predicted token:  Paris
```

2.3 Platform and Packages

2.3.1 Google Colab

Google Colab (short for Colaboratory) is a free, cloud-based platform that provides users with the ability to write and execute Python code in an interactive notebook environment. It is based on Jupyter notebooks and is powered by Google Cloud services, allowing for seamless integration with Google Drive and other Google services. We will primarily use Google Colab with free T4 GPU runtime throughout this book.

- Key Features

1. **Free Access to GPUs and TPUs** Colab offers free access to Graphics Processing Units (GPUs) and Tensor Processing Units (TPUs), making it an ideal environment for machine learning, deep learning, and other computationally intensive tasks.
2. **Integration with Google Drive** You can store and access notebooks directly from your Google Drive, making it easy to collaborate with others and keep your projects organized.
3. **No Setup Required** Since Colab is entirely cloud-based, you don't need to worry about setting up an environment or managing dependencies. Everything is ready to go out of the box.
4. **Support for Python Libraries** Colab comes pre-installed with many popular Python libraries, including TensorFlow, PyTorch, Keras, and OpenCV, among others. You can also install any additional libraries using *pip*.
5. **Collaborative Features** Multiple users can work on the same notebook simultaneously, making it ideal for collaboration. Changes are synchronized in real-time.
6. **Rich Media Support** Colab supports the inclusion of rich media, such as images, videos, and LaTeX equations, directly within the notebook. This makes it a great tool for data analysis, visualization, and educational purposes.
7. **Easy Sharing** Notebooks can be easily shared with others via a shareable link, just like Google Docs. Permissions can be set for viewing or editing the document.

- GPU Activation `Runtime --> change runtime type --> T4/A100 GPU`





Tips

You can use the Gemini API for code troubleshooting in a Colab notebook for free.



2.3.2 HuggingFace

Hugging Face is a company and open-source community focused on providing tools and resources for NLP and machine learning. It is best known for its popular **Transformers** library, which allows easy access to pre-trained models for a wide variety of NLP tasks. MOreover, Hugging Face's libraries provide simple Python APIs that make it easy to load models, preprocess data, and run inference. This simplicity allows both beginners and advanced users to leverage cutting-edge NLP models. We will mainly use the embedding models and Large Language Models (LLMs) from **Hugging Face Model Hub** central repository.

2.3.3 Ollama

Ollama is a package designed to run LLMs locally on your personal device or server, rather than relying on external cloud services. It provides a simple interface to download and use AI models tailored for various tasks, ensuring privacy and control over data while still leveraging the power of LLMs.

- Key features of Ollama:
  - Local Execution: Models run entirely on your hardware, making it ideal for users who prioritize data privacy.
  - Pre-trained Models: Offers a curated set of LLMs optimized for local usage.
  - Cross-Platform: Compatible with macOS, Linux, and other operating systems, depending on hardware specifications.
  - Ease of Use: Designed to make setting up and using local AI models simple for non-technical users.
  - Efficiency: Focused on lightweight models optimized for local performance without needing extensive computational resources.

To simplify the management of access tokens for various LLMs, we will use Ollama in Google Colab.

- Ollama installation in Google Colab
  1. colab-xterm

```
!pip install colab-xterm
%load_ext colabxterm
```

  2. download ollama

```
/content# curl https://ollama.ai/install.sh | sh
```



  3. launch Ollama serve

```
/content# ollama serve
```

  4. download models




```
/content# ollama pull mistral #llama3.2 #bge-m3
```

5. check

```
!ollama list

####
NAME                ID              SIZE      MODIFIED
llama3.2:latest     a80c4f17acd5    2.0 GB    14 seconds ago
mistral:latest      f974a74358d6    4.1 GB    About a minute ago
```

2.3.4 langchain

LangChain is a powerful framework for building AI applications that combine the capabilities of large language models with external tools, memory, and custom workflows. It enables developers to create intelligent, context-aware, and dynamic applications with ease.

It has widely applied in:

1. **Conversational AI** Create chatbots or virtual assistants that maintain context, integrate with APIs, and provide intelligent responses.
2. **Knowledge Management** Combine LLMs with external knowledge bases or databases to answer complex questions or summarize documents.

3. **Automation** Automate workflows by chaining LLMs with tools for decision-making, data extraction, or content generation.
4. **Creative Applications** Use LangChain for generating stories, crafting marketing copy, or producing artistic content.

We will primarily use LangChain in this book. For instance, to work with downloaded Ollama LLMs, the `langchain_ollama` package is required.

```
# chain of thought prompting
from langchain_ollama.llms import OllamaLLM
from langchain_core.prompts import ChatPromptTemplate
from langchain.output_parsers import CommaSeparatedListOutputParser


template = """Question: {question}

Answer: Let's think step by step.
"""

prompt = ChatPromptTemplate.from_template(template)
model = OllamaLLM(temperature=0.0, model='mistral', format='json')
output_parser = CommaSeparatedListOutputParser()

chain = prompt | model | output_parser

response = chain.invoke({"question": "What is Mixture of Experts(MoE) in AI?"})
print(response)
```

```
['{"answer":"MoE', 'or Mixture of Experts', "is a neural network architecture␣
↪that allows for \
   efficient computation and model parallelism. It consists of multiple 'experts
↪'", 'each of \
   which is a smaller neural network that specializes in handling different␣
↪parts of the input \
   data. The final output is obtained by combining the outputs of these experts␣
↪based on their \
   expertise relevance to the input. This architecture is particularly useful␣
↪in tasks where \
   the data exhibits complex and diverse patterns."}',
   '\t\t\t\t\t\t\t\t\t\t\t\t\t\t\t\t\t\t\t\t\t\t\t\t\t\t\t\t\t']
```

CHAPTER

THREE

WORD AND SENTENCE EMBEDDING

Chinese proverb

Though the forms may vary, the essence remains unchanged. – old Chinese proverb

Word embedding is a method in natural language processing (NLP) to represent words as dense vectors of real numbers, capturing semantic relationships between them. Instead of treating words as discrete symbols (like one-hot encoding), word embeddings map words into a continuous vector space where similar words are located closer together.

Colab Notebook for This Chapter

- Word Embedding: 

3.1 Traditional word embeddings

Bag of Words (BoW) is a simple and widely used text representation technique in natural language processing (NLP). It represents a text (e.g., a document or a sentence) as a collection of words, ignoring grammar, order, and context but keeping their frequency.

Key Features of Bag of Words:

1. **Vocabulary Creation**: - A list of all unique words in the dataset (the "vocabulary") is created. - Each word becomes a feature.
2. **Representation**: - Each document is represented as a vector or a frequency count of words from the vocabulary. - If a word from the vocabulary is present in the document, its count is included in the vector. - Words not present in the document are assigned a count of zero.
3. **Simplicity**: - The method is computationally efficient and straightforward. - However, it ignores the sequence and semantic meaning of the words.

Applications:

- Text Classification
- Sentiment Analysis



Fig. 1: Embedding Diagram

- Document Similarity

Limitations:

1. **Context Ignorance**: - BoW does not capture word order or semantics. - For example, "not good" and "good" might appear similar in BoW.
2. **Dimensionality**: - As the vocabulary size increases, the vector representation grows, leading to high-dimensional data.
3. **Sparse Representations**: - Many entries in the vectors might be zeros, leading to sparsity.

3.1.1 One Hot Encoder

```
import numpy as np
import pandas as pd
from collections import Counter
from sklearn.preprocessing import OneHotEncoder

# sample corpus
data = pd.DataFrame({'word':['python', 'pyspark', 'genai', 'pyspark', 'python',
↪'pyspark']})

# corpus frequency
print('Vocabulary frequency:')
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
print(dict(Counter(data['word'])))

# corpus order
print('\nVocabulary order:')
print(sorted(set(data['word'])))

# One-hot encode the data
onehot_encoder = OneHotEncoder(sparse_output=False)
onehot_encoded = onehot_encoder.fit_transform(data[['word']])

# the encoded order base on the order of the copus
print('\nEncoded representation:')
print(onehot_encoded)
```

```
Vocabulary frequency:
{'python': 2, 'pyspark': 3, 'genai': 1}

Vocabulary order:
['genai', 'pyspark', 'python']

Encoded representation:
[[0. 0. 1.]
[0. 1. 0.]
[1. 0. 0.]
[0. 1. 0.]
[0. 0. 1.]
[0. 1. 0.]]
```

3.1.2 CountVectorizer

```
from sklearn.feature_extraction.text import CountVectorizer

# sample corpus
corpus = [
'Gen AI is awesome',
'Gen AI is fun',
'Gen AI is hot'
]

# Initialize the CountVectorizer
vectorizer = CountVectorizer()

# Fit and transform
X = vectorizer.fit_transform(corpus)
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
print('Vocabulary:')
print(vectorizer.get_feature_names_out())

print('\nEmbedded representation:')
print(X.toarray())
```

```
Vocabulary:
['ai' 'awesome' 'fun' 'gen' 'hot' 'is']

Embedded representation:
[[1 1 0 1 0 1]
[1 0 1 1 0 1]
[1 0 0 1 1 1]]
```

To overcome these limitations, advanced techniques like **TF-IDF**, **word embeddings** (e.g., Word2Vec, GloVe), and contextual embeddings (e.g., BERT) are often used.

3.1.3 TF-IDF

TF-IDF (Term Frequency-Inverse Document Frequency) is a statistical measure used in text analysis to evaluate the importance of a word in a document relative to a collection (or corpus) of documents. It builds upon the **Bag of Words (BoW)** model by not only considering the frequency of a word in a document but also taking into account how common or rare the word is across the corpus. The pyspark implementation can be found at [PySpark].

- Components of TF-ID
- **t**: the term in corpus.
- **d**: the document.
- **D**: the corpus.
- **|D|**: the length of the corpus or total number of documents.
  - **Document Frequency (DF)**:
  - $DF(t, D)$: the number of documents that contains term t.
  - **Term Frequency (TF):**
    - Measures how frequently a term appears in a document. The higher the frequency, the more important the term is assumed to be to that document.
    - Formula:

$$TF(t, d) = \frac{\text{Number of occurrences of term } t \text{ in document } d}{\text{Total number of terms in document } d}$$

  - **Inverse Document Frequency (IDF):**

* Measures how important a term is by reducing the weight of common terms (like "the" or "and") that appear in many documents.
* Formula:

$$IDF(t, D) = \log\left(\frac{|D| + 1}{DF(t, D) + 1}\right) + 1$$

* Adding 1 to the denominator avoids division by zero when a term is present in all documents.
* Note that the IDF formula above differs from the standard textbook notation that defines the IDF

Note

The IDF formula above differs from the standard textbook notation that defines the IDF as

$$IDF(t) = \log[|D|/(DF(t, D) + 1)]).$$

– **TF-IDF Score:**

* The final score is the product of TF and IDF.
* Formula:

$$TF\text{-}IDF(t, d, D) = TF(t, d) \cdot IDF(t, D)$$

```
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
from collections import Counter
from sklearn.feature_extraction.text import TfidfVectorizer

# sample corpus
corpus = [
'Gen AI is awesome',
'Gen AI is fun',
'Gen AI is hot'
]

# Initialize the TfidfVectorizer
vectorizer = TfidfVectorizer() # norm default norm='l2'

# Fit and transform
X = vectorizer.fit_transform(corpus)

print('Vocabulary:')
print(vectorizer.get_feature_names_out())
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
# [item for row in matrix for item in row]
corpus_flatted = [item for sub_list in [s.split(' ') for s in␣
↪corpus]
                      for item in sub_list]

print('\nVocabulary frequency:')
print(dict(Counter(corpus_flatted)))

print('\nEmbedded representation:')
print(X.toarray())
```

```
Vocabulary:
['ai' 'awesome' 'fun' 'gen' 'hot' 'is']

Vocabulary frequency:
{'Gen': 3, 'AI': 3, 'is': 3, 'awesome': 1, 'fun': 1, 'hot': 1}

Embedded representation:
[[0.41285857 0.69903033 0.         0.41285857 0.         0.41285857]
[0.41285857 0.         0.69903033 0.41285857 0.         0.41285857]
[0.41285857 0.         0.         0.41285857 0.69903033 0.41285857]]
```

The above results can be validated by the following steps (IDF in document 1):

```
# Step 1: Vocabulary  `['ai' 'awesome' 'fun' 'gen' 'hot' 'is']`

tf_idf = pd.DataFrame({'term':vectorizer.get_feature_names_out()})\
         .set_index('term')

# Step 2: |D|
tf_idf['|D|'] = [len(corpus)]*len(vectorizer.get_feature_names_
↪out())

# Step 3: Compute TF for doc 1:  Gen AI is awesome
# - TF for "ai" in Document 1 = 1 (appears once doc 1)
# - TF for "awesome" in Document 1 = 1 (appears once in doc 1)
# - TF for "fun" in Document 1 = 0 (does not appear in doc 1)
# - TF for "gen" in Document 1 = 1 (appear oncein doc 1 )
# - TF for "hot" in Document 1 = 0 (does not appear doc 1 )
# - TF for "is" in Document 1 = 1 (appear once in doc 1 )

tf_idf['TF'] = [1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1]

# Step 4:  Compute DF for doc 1
# - DF For "ai": Appears in all 3 documents.
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
# - DF For "awesome": Appears in 1 document.
# - DF For "fun": Appears in 1 document.
# - DF For "Gen": Appears in all 3 documents.
# - DF For "hot": Appears in 1 document.
# - DF For "is": Appears in all 3 documents.

tf_idf['DF'] = [3, 1, 1, 3, 1, 3]

# Step 5: Compute IDF
tf_idf['IDF'] = np.log((tf_idf['|D|']+1)/(tf_idf['DF']+1))+1

# Step 6: Compute TF-IDF
tf_idf['TF-IDF'] = tf_idf['TF']*tf_idf['IDF']

# Step 7: l2 normlization
tf_idf['TF-IDF(l2)'] = tf_idf['TF-IDF']/np.linalg.norm(tf_idf['TF-
↪IDF'])

print(tf_idf)
```

```
         |D|  TF  DF       IDF    TF-IDF  TF-IDF(l2)
term
ai         3   1   3  1.000000  1.000000    0.412859
awesome    3   1   1  1.693147  1.693147    0.699030
fun        3   0   1  1.693147  0.000000    0.000000
gen        3   1   3  1.000000  1.000000    0.412859
hot        3   0   1  1.693147  0.000000    0.000000
is         3   1   3  1.000000  1.000000    0.412859
```

Fun Fact

TfidfVectorizer is equivalent to CountVectorizer followed by TfidfTransformer.

```
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
from sklearn.feature_extraction.text import CountVectorizer
from sklearn.feature_extraction.text import TfidfTransformer
from sklearn.pipeline import Pipeline

# sample corpus
corpus = [
'Gen AI is awesome',
'Gen AI is fun',
'Gen AI is hot'
]
```

```
# pipeline
pipe = Pipeline([('count', CountVectorizer(lowercase=True)),
                 ('tfid', TfidfTransformer())]).fit(corpus)
print(pipe)

# TF
print(pipe['count'].transform(corpus).toarray())

# IDF
print(pipe['tfid'].idf_)
```

```
Pipeline(steps=[('count', CountVectorizer()), ('tfid',␣
↪TfidfTransformer())])
[[1 1 0 1 0 1]
[1 0 1 1 0 1]
[1 0 0 1 1 1]]
[1.         1.69314718 1.69314718 1.         1.69314718 1.      ␣
↪ ]
```

- Applications of TF-IDF
  1. **Information Retrieval**: Ranking documents based on relevance to a query.
  2. **Text Classification**: Feature extraction for machine learning models.
  3. **Document Similarity**: Comparing documents by their weighted term vectors.
- Advantages
  - Highlights important terms while reducing the weight of common terms.
  - Simple to implement and effective for many tasks.
- Limitations
  - Does not capture semantic relationships or word order.
  - Less effective for very large corpora or when working with very short documents.
  - Sparse representation due to high-dimensional feature vectors.

For more advanced representations, embeddings like **Word2Vec** or **BERT** are often used.

3.2 Static word embeddings

Static word embeddings are word representations that assign a fixed vector to each word, regardless of its context in a sentence or paragraph. These embeddings are pre-trained on large corpora and remain unchanged during usage, making them "static." These embeddings are usually pre-trained on large text corpora using algorithms like Word2Vec, GloVe, or FastText.

3.2.1 Word2Vec

- The Context Window
- CBOW and Skip-Gram Model

```
import gensim
from gensim.models import Word2Vec
from nltk.tokenize import sent_tokenize, word_tokenize

# sample corpus
corpus = [
'Gen AI is awesome',
'Gen AI is fun',
'Gen AI is hot'
]


def tokenize_gensim(corpus):

   tokens = []
   # iterate through each sentence in the corpus
   for s in corpus:

      # tokenize the sentence into words
      temp = gensim.utils.tokenize(s, lowercase=True, deacc=False, \
                                   errors='strict', to_lower=False, \
                                   lower=False)

      tokens.append(list(temp))

   return tokens


tokens = tokenize_gensim(corpus)

# Create Word2Vec model
# sg ({0, 1}, optional) - Training algorithm: 1 for skip-gram; otherwise CBOW.
# CBOW
model1 = gensim.models.Word2Vec(tokens, sg=0, min_count=1,
                                vector_size=10, window=5)

# Vocabulary
print(model1.wv.key_to_index)

print(model1.wv.get_normed_vectors())

# Print results
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
print("Cosine similarity between 'gen' " +
      "and 'ai' - Word2Vec(CBOW) : ",
      model1.wv.similarity('gen', 'ai'))


# Create Word2Vec model
# sg ({0, 1}, optional) - Training algorithm: 1 for skip-gram; otherwise CBOW.
# skip-gram
model2 = gensim.models.Word2Vec(tokens, sg=1, min_count=1,
                                vector_size=10, window=5)

# Vocabulary
print(model2.wv.key_to_index)

print(model2.wv.get_normed_vectors())

# Print results
print("Cosine similarity between 'gen' " +
      "and 'ai' - Word2Vec(skip-gram) : ",
      model2.wv.similarity('gen', 'ai'))
```

```
{'is': 0, 'ai': 1, 'gen': 2, 'hot': 3, 'fun': 4, 'awesome': 5}
[[-0.02660277  0.0117296   0.25318226  0.44695902 -0.4615286  -0.35307196
   0.3204311   0.4451589  -0.24882038 -0.18670462]
 [ 0.41619968 -0.08647515 -0.2558276   0.3695945  -0.274073   -0.10240843
   0.1622154   0.05593351 -0.46721786 -0.5328355 ]
 [ 0.43418837  0.30108306  0.40128633  0.0453006   0.37712952 -0.20221795
-0.05619935  0.34255028 -0.44665098 -0.2337343 ]
 [-0.41098067 -0.05088534  0.5218584  -0.40045303 -0.12768732 -0.10601949
   0.44194022 -0.32449666  0.00247097 -0.2600907 ]
 [-0.44081825  0.22984274 -0.40207896 -0.20159177 -0.00161115 -0.0135952
-0.3516631   0.44133204  0.2286844   0.423816  ]
 [-0.42753762  0.23561442 -0.21681462  0.04321203  0.44539306 -0.23385239
   0.23675178 -0.35568893 -0.18596812  0.49255413]]
Cosine similarity between 'gen' and 'ai' - Word2Vec(CBOW) :  0.32937223
{'is': 0, 'ai': 1, 'gen': 2, 'hot': 3, 'fun': 4, 'awesome': 5}
[[-0.02660277  0.0117296   0.25318226  0.44695902 -0.4615286  -0.35307196
   0.3204311   0.4451589  -0.24882038 -0.18670462]
 [ 0.41619968 -0.08647515 -0.2558276   0.3695945  -0.274073   -0.10240843
   0.1622154   0.05593351 -0.46721786 -0.5328355 ]
 [ 0.43418837  0.30108306  0.40128633  0.0453006   0.37712952 -0.20221795
-0.05619935  0.34255028 -0.44665098 -0.2337343 ]
 [-0.41098067 -0.05088534  0.5218584  -0.40045303 -0.12768732 -0.10601949
   0.44194022 -0.32449666  0.00247097 -0.2600907 ]
 [-0.44081825  0.22984274 -0.40207896 -0.20159177 -0.00161115 -0.0135952
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
-0.3516631   0.44133204  0.2286844   0.423816  ]
[-0.42753762  0.23561442 -0.21681462  0.04321203  0.44539306 -0.23385239
   0.23675178 -0.35568893 -0.18596812  0.49255413]]
Cosine similarity between 'gen' and 'ai' - Word2Vec(skip-gram) :  0.32937223
```

3.2.2 GloVE

```
import gensim.downloader as api
# Download pre-trained GloVe model
glove_vectors = api.load("glove-wiki-gigaword-50")
# Get word vectors (embeddings)
word1 = "king"
word2 = "queen"
vector1 = glove_vectors[word1]
vector2 = glove_vectors[word2]
# Compute cosine similarity between the two word vectors
similarity = glove_vectors.similarity(word1, word2)
print(f"Word vectors for '{word1}': {vector1}")
print(f"Word vectors for '{word2}': {vector2}")
print(f"Cosine similarity between '{word1}' and '{word2}': {similarity}")
```

```
[==================================================] 100.0% 66.0/66.0MB␣
↪downloaded
Word vectors for 'king': [ 0.50451   0.68607  -0.59517  -0.022801  0.60046  -0.
↪13498  -0.08813
0.47377  -0.61798  -0.31012  -0.076666  1.493    -0.034189 -0.98173
0.68229   0.81722  -0.51874  -0.31503  -0.55809   0.66421   0.1961
-0.13495  -0.11476  -0.30344   0.41177  -2.223    -1.0756   -1.0783
-0.34354   0.33505   1.9927   -0.04234  -0.64319   0.71125   0.49159
0.16754   0.34344  -0.25663  -0.8523    0.1661    0.40102   1.1685
-1.0137   -0.21585  -0.15155   0.78321  -0.91241  -1.6106   -0.64426
-0.51042 ]
Word vectors for 'queen': [ 0.37854    1.8233    -1.2648    -0.1043     0.35829 ␣
↪  0.60029
-0.17538    0.83767   -0.056798  -0.75795    0.22681    0.98587
0.60587   -0.31419    0.28877    0.56013   -0.77456    0.071421
-0.5741     0.21342    0.57674    0.3868    -0.12574    0.28012
0.28135   -1.8053    -1.0421    -0.19255   -0.55375   -0.054526
1.5574     0.39296   -0.2475     0.34251    0.45365    0.16237
0.52464   -0.070272  -0.83744   -1.0326     0.45946    0.25302
-0.17837   -0.73398   -0.20025    0.2347    -0.56095   -2.2839
0.0092753 -0.60284  ]
Cosine similarity between 'king' and 'queen': 0.7839043140411377
```

3.2.3 Fast Text

Fast Text incorporates subword information (useful for handling rare or unseen words)

```
from gensim.models import FastText

import gensim
from gensim.models import Word2Vec

# sample corpus
corpus = [
'Gen AI is awesome',
'Gen AI is fun',
'Gen AI is hot'
]


def tokenize_gensim(corpus):

   tokens = []
   # iterate through each sentence in the corpus
   for s in corpus:

      # tokenize the sentence into words
      temp = gensim.utils.tokenize(s, lowercase=True, deacc=False, \
                                   errors='strict', to_lower=False, \
                                   lower=False)

      tokens.append(list(temp))

   return tokens

tokens = tokenize_gensim(corpus)

# create FastText model
model = FastText(tokens, vector_size=10, window=5, min_count=1, workers=4)
# Train the model
model.train(tokens, total_examples=len(tokens), epochs=10)

# Vocabulary
print(model.wv.key_to_index)

print(model.wv.get_normed_vectors())

# Print results
print("Cosine similarity between 'gen' " +
      "and 'ai' - Word2Vec : ",
      model.wv.similarity('gen', 'ai'))
```

```
WARNING:gensim.models.word2vec:Effective 'alpha' higher than previous training␣
↪cycles
{'is': 0, 'ai': 1, 'gen': 2, 'hot': 3, 'fun': 4, 'awesome': 5}
[[-0.01875759  0.086543   -0.25080433  0.2824868  -0.23755953 -0.11316587
   0.473383    0.39204055 -0.30422893 -0.5566626 ]
 [ 0.5088161  -0.3323528  -0.128698   -0.11877266 -0.38699347  0.20977001
   0.05947014 -0.05622245 -0.36257952 -0.5177341 ]
 [ 0.18038039  0.51484865  0.40694886  0.05965518 -0.05985437 -0.10832689
   0.37992737  0.5992712   0.01503773  0.1192203 ]
 [-0.5694013   0.23560704  0.0265804  -0.41392225 -0.00285366 -0.3076269
   0.2076883  -0.425648    0.29903153  0.19965051]
 [-0.23892775  0.10744874 -0.03730153 -0.23521401  0.32083488  0.21598674
-0.29570717 -0.03044808  0.75250715  0.26538488]
 [-0.31881964 -0.06544963 -0.44274488  0.15485793  0.39120612 -0.05415314
   0.15772066 -0.05987714 -0.6986104   0.03967094]]
Cosine similarity between 'gen' and 'ai' - Word2Vec :  -0.21662527
```

3.3 Contextual word embeddings

Contextual word embeddings are word representations where the embedding of a word changes depending on its context in a sentence or document. These embeddings capture the meaning of a word as influenced by its surrounding words, addressing the limitations of static embeddings by incorporating contextual nuances.

3.3.1 BERT

```
from transformers import BertTokenizer, BertModel
tokenizer = BertTokenizer.from_pretrained('bert-base-uncased')
model = BertModel.from_pretrained("bert-base-uncased")


text = "Gen AI is awesome"
encoded_input = tokenizer(text, return_tensors='pt')
embeddings = model(**encoded_input).last_hidden_state

print(encoded_input)
print({x : tokenizer.encode(x, add_special_tokens=False) for x in ['[CLS]']+␣
↪text.split()+ ['[SEP]', '[EOS]']})

print(embeddings.shape)
print(embeddings)
```

```
t{'input_ids': tensor([[  101,  8991,  9932,  2003, 12476,   102]]), 'token_type_
↪ids': tensor([[0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]]), 'attention_mask': tensor([[1, 1, 1, 1, 1,␣
↪1]])}
{'[CLS]': [101], 'Gen': [8991], 'AI': [9932], 'is': [2003], 'awesome': [12476],
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
↪'[SEP]': [102], '[EOS]': [1031, 1041, 2891, 1033]}


torch.Size([1, 6, 768])
tensor([[[-0.1129, -0.1477, -0.0056,  ..., -0.1335,  0.2605,  0.2113],
         [-0.6841, -1.1196,  0.3349,  ..., -0.5958,  0.1657,  0.6988],
         [-0.5385, -0.2649,  0.2639,  ..., -0.1544,  0.2532, -0.1363],
         [-0.1794, -0.6086,  0.1292,  ..., -0.1620,  0.1721,  0.4356],
         [-0.0187, -0.7320, -0.3420,  ...,  0.4028,  0.1425, -0.2014],
         [ 0.5493, -0.1029, -0.1571,  ...,  0.3503, -0.7601, -0.1398]]],
    grad_fn=<NativeLayerNormBackward0>)
```

3.3.2 gte-large-en-v1.5

The `gte-large-en-v1.5` is a state-of-the-art text embedding model developed by Alibaba's Institute for Intelligent Computing. It's designed for natural language processing tasks and excels in generating dense vector representations (embeddings) of text for applications such as text retrieval, classification, clustering, and reranking.

It can handle up to 8192 tokens, making it suitable for long-context tasks. More details can be found at: https://huggingface.co/Alibaba-NLP/gte-large-en-v1.5 .

```
# Requires transformers>=4.36.0

import torch.nn.functional as F
from transformers import AutoModel, AutoTokenizer

input_texts = [
'Gen AI is awesome',
'Gen AI is fun',
'Gen AI is hot'
]

model_path = 'Alibaba-NLP/gte-large-en-v1.5'
tokenizer = AutoTokenizer.from_pretrained(model_path)
model = AutoModel.from_pretrained(model_path, trust_remote_code=True)

# Tokenize the input texts
batch_dict = tokenizer(input_texts, max_length=8192, padding=True, \
                       truncation=True, return_tensors='pt')

print(batch_dict)


outputs = model(**batch_dict)
embeddings = outputs.last_hidden_state[:, 0]
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
# (Optionally) normalize embeddings
embeddings = F.normalize(embeddings, p=2, dim=1)
scores = (embeddings[:1] @ embeddings[1:].T) * 100
print(embeddings)
print(scores.tolist())
```

```
{'input_ids': tensor([[  101,  8991,  9932,  2003, 12476,   102],
      [  101,  8991,  9932,  2003,  4569,   102],
      [  101,  8991,  9932,  2003,  2980,   102]]), 'token_type_ids': tensor([[0,
↪ 0, 0, 0, 0, 0],
      [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0],
      [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]]), 'attention_mask': tensor([[1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1],
      [1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1],
      [1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1]])}

tensor([[ 0.0079,  0.0008, -0.0001,  ...,  0.0418, -0.0138, -0.0236],
      [ 0.0079,  0.0218, -0.0171,  ...,  0.0412, -0.0230, -0.0237],
      [ 0.0073, -0.0106, -0.0194,  ...,  0.0711, -0.0204, -0.0036]],
      grad_fn=<DivBackward0>)
[[92.85284423828125, 92.81655883789062]]
```

3.3.3 bge-base-en-v1.5

The `bge-base-en-v1.5` model is a general-purpose text embedding model developed by the Beijing Academy of Artificial Intelligence (BAAI). It transforms input text into 768-dimensional vector embeddings, making it useful for tasks like semantic search, text similarity, and clustering. This model is fine-tuned using contrastive learning, which helps improve its ability to distinguish between similar and dissimilar sentences effectively. More details can be found at: https://huggingface.co/BAAI/bge-base-en-v1.5 .

```
from transformers import AutoTokenizer, AutoModel
import torch

# Sentences we want sentence embeddings for
sentences = [
'Gen AI is awesome',
'Gen AI is fun',
'Gen AI is hot'
]
# Load model from HuggingFace Hub
tokenizer = AutoTokenizer.from_pretrained('BAAI/bge-large-zh-v1.5')
model = AutoModel.from_pretrained('BAAI/bge-large-zh-v1.5')
model.eval()

# Tokenize sentences
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
encoded_input = tokenizer(sentences, padding=True, truncation=True, return_
↪tensors='pt')
print(encoded_input)

# Compute token embeddings
with torch.no_grad():
   model_output = model(**encoded_input)
   # Perform pooling. In this case, cls pooling.
   sentence_embeddings = model_output[0][:, 0]
# normalize embeddings
sentence_embeddings = torch.nn.functional.normalize(sentence_embeddings, p=2,␣
↪dim=1)
print("Sentence embeddings:", sentence_embeddings)
```

```
{'input_ids': tensor([[  101, 10234,  8171,  8578,  8310,   143, 11722,  9974, ␣
↪8505,   102],
     [  101, 10234,  8171,  8578,  8310,  9575,   102,     0,     0,     0],
     [  101, 10234,  8171,  8578,  8310,  9286,   102,     0,     0,     0]]),
↪'token_type_ids': tensor([[0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0],
     [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0],
     [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]]), 'attention_mask': tensor([[1, 1, 1, 1, 1,␣
↪1, 1, 1, 1, 1],
     [1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0],
     [1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0]])}

Sentence embeddings: tensor([[ 0.0700,  0.0119,  0.0049,  ...,  0.0428, -0.0475,␣
↪ 0.0242],
      [ 0.0800, -0.0065, -0.0519,  ...,  0.0057, -0.0770,  0.0119],
      [ 0.0740, -0.0185, -0.0369,  ...,  0.0083, -0.0026,  0.0016]])
```



Fig. 2: t-SNE embeddings 2D

CHAPTER

FOUR

PROMPT ENGINEERING

Proverb

Our master knows how to guide people skillfully and methodically. He broadens my mind with culture and restrains me with ritual. – Zi Han

Colab Notebook for This Chapter

- Prompt Engineering with Local LLM: 

- Prompt Engineering with OpenAI API: 

4.1 Prompt

A prompt is the input or query given to an LLM to elicit a specific response. It acts as the user's way of "programming" the model without code, simply by phrasing questions or tasks appropriately.

4.2 Prompt Engineering

4.2.1 What's Prompt Engineering

Prompt engineering is the practice of designing and refining input prompts to guide LLMs to produce desired outputs effectively and consistently. It involves crafting queries, commands, or instructions that align with the model's capabilities and the task's requirements.

4.2.2 Key Elements of a Prompt

- Clarity: A clear and unambiguous prompt ensures the model understands the task.
- Specificity: Including details like tone, format, length, or audience helps tailor the response.
- Context:Providing background information ensures the model generates relevant outputs.

4.3 Advanced Prompt Engineering

4.3.1 Role Assignment

- Assign a specific role or persona to the AI to shape its style and expertise.
- **Example:**

  > *"You are a professional data scientist. Explain how to build a machine learning model to a beginner."*

```
# You are a professional data scientist. Explain how to build a machine
# learning model to a beginner.
template = """Role: you are a {role}
task: {task}
Answer:
"""

prompt = ChatPromptTemplate.from_template(template)
model = OllamaLLM(temperature=0.0, model=MODEL, format='json')
output_parser = CommaSeparatedListOutputParser()

chain = prompt | model | output_parser

response = chain.invoke({'role': 'data scientist', \
                         "task": "Explain how to build a machine \
                         learning model to a beginner"})
print(response)
```

```
 {
"role": "assistant",
"content": "To build a machine learning model, let's follow these steps as a␣
↪beginner: \n\n1. **Define the Problem**: Understand what problem you are␣
↪trying to solve. This could be anything from predicting house prices,␣
↪recognizing images, or even recommending products. \n\n2. **Collect and␣
↪Prepare Data**: Gather relevant data for your problem. This might involve web␣
↪scraping, APIs, or using existing datasets. Once you have the data, clean it␣
↪by handling missing values, outliers, and errors. \n\n3. **Explore and␣
↪Visualize Data**: Understand the structure of your data, its distribution, and␣
↪relationships between variables. This can help in identifying patterns and␣
↪making informed decisions about the next steps. \n\n4. **Feature␣
↪Engineering**: Create new features that might be useful for the model to make␣
↪accurate predictions. This could involve creating interactions between␣
↪existing features or using techniques like one-hot encoding. \n\n5. **Split␣
↪Data**: Split your data into training, validation, and testing sets. The␣
↪training set is used to train the model, the validation set is used to tune␣
↪hyperparameters, and the testing set is used to evaluate the final performance␣
↪of the model. \n\n6. **Choose a Model**: Select a machine learning algorithm␣
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
↪that suits your problem. Some common algorithms include linear regression for␣
↪regression problems, logistic regression for binary classification problems,␣
↪decision trees, random forests, support vector machines (SVM), and neural␣
↪networks for more complex tasks. \n\n7. **Train the Model**: Use your training␣
↪data to train the chosen model. This involves feeding the data into the model␣
↪and adjusting its parameters based on the error it makes. \n\n8. **Tune␣
↪Hyperparameters**: Adjust the hyperparameters of the model to improve its␣
↪performance. This could involve changing learning rates, number of layers in a␣
↪neural network, or the complexity of a decision tree. \n\n9. **Evaluate the␣
↪Model**: Use your testing data to evaluate the performance of the model.␣
↪Common metrics include accuracy for classification problems, mean squared␣
↪error for regression problems, and precision, recall, and F1 score for␣
↪imbalanced datasets. \n\n10. **Deploy the Model**: Once you are satisfied with␣
↪the performance of your model, deploy it to a production environment where it␣
↪can make predictions on new data."
 }
```

4.3.2 Contextual Setup

- Provide sufficient background or context for the AI to understand the task.
- **Example:**

  "I am planing to write a book about GenAI best practice, help me draft the contents for the book."

```
# Contextual Setup

# I am planing to write a book about GenAI best practice, help me draft the
# contents for the book.
template = """Role: you are a {role}
task: {task}
Answer:
"""

prompt = ChatPromptTemplate.from_template(template)
model = OllamaLLM(temperature=0.0, model=MODEL, format='json')
output_parser = CommaSeparatedListOutputParser()

chain = prompt | model | output_parser

response = chain.invoke({'role': 'book writer', \
                         "task": "I am planing to write a book about \
                         GenAI best practice, help me draft the \
                         contents for the book."})
print(response)
```

```
{"1. Introduction": "Introduction to General Artificial Intelligence (GenAI) and␣
↪its significance in today's world.",
"2. Chapter 1 - Understanding AI": "Exploring the basics of Artificial␣
↪Intelligence, its history, and evolution.",
"3. Chapter 2 - Types of AI": "Detailed discussion on various types of AI such␣
↪as Narrow AI, General AI, and Superintelligent AI.",
"4. Chapter 3 - GenAI Architecture": "Exploring the architecture of General AI␣
↪systems, including neural networks, deep learning, and reinforcement learning.
↪",
"5. Chapter 4 - Ethics in AI Development": "Discussing the ethical␣
↪considerations involved in developing GenAI, such as privacy, bias, and␣
↪accountability.",
"6. Chapter 5 - Data Collection and Management": "Understanding the importance␣
↪of data in AI development, best practices for data collection, and responsible␣
↪data management.",
"7. Chapter 6 - Model Training and Optimization": "Exploring techniques for␣
↪training AI models effectively, including hyperparameter tuning,␣
↪regularization, and optimization strategies.",
"8. Chapter 7 - Testing and Validation": "Discussing the importance of testing␣
↪and validation in ensuring the reliability and accuracy of GenAI systems.",
"9. Chapter 8 - Deployment and Maintenance": "Exploring best practices for␣
↪deploying AI models into production environments, as well as ongoing␣
↪maintenance and updates.",
"10. Case Studies": "Real-world examples of successful GenAI implementations␣
↪across various industries, highlighting key takeaways and lessons learned.",
"11. Future Trends in GenAI": "Exploring emerging trends in the field of General␣
↪AI, such as quantum computing, explainable AI, and human-AI collaboration.",
"12. Conclusion": "Summarizing the key points discussed in the book and looking␣
↪forward to the future of General AI."}
```

4.3.3 Explicit Instructions

- Clearly specify the format, tone, style, or structure you want in the response.
- **Example:**

  > *"Explain the concept of word embeddings in 100 words, using simple language suitable for a high school student."*

```
# Explicit Instructions
from langchain_ollama.llms import OllamaLLM
from langchain_core.prompts import ChatPromptTemplate
from langchain.output_parsers import CommaSeparatedListOutputParser


# Explain the concept of word embeddings in 100 words, using simple
# language suitable for a high school student
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
template = """you are a {role}
task: {task}
instruction: {instruction}
Answer: Let's think step by step.
"""

prompt = ChatPromptTemplate.from_template(template)
model = OllamaLLM(temperature=0.0, model=MODEL, format='json')
output_parser = CommaSeparatedListOutputParser()

chain = prompt | model

response = chain.invoke({'role': 'AI engineer', \
                         'task': "Explain the concept of word embeddings in \
                                 100 words",\
                         'instruction': "using simple \
                                 language suitable for a high school student"})

print(response)
```

```
{
"assistant": {
    "message": "Word Embeddings are like giving words a special address in a big␣
↪library. Each word gets its own unique location, and words that are used in␣
↪similar ways get placed close together. This helps the computer understand the␣
↪meaning of words better when it's reading text. For example, 'king' might be␣
↪near 'queen', because they are both types of royalty. And 'apple' might be␣
↪near 'fruit', because they are related concepts."
}
}
```

4.3.4 Chain of Thought (CoT) Prompting

- Encourage step-by-step reasoning for complex problems.
- **Example:**

  "Solve this math problem step by step: A train travels 60 miles in 1.5 hours. What is its average speed?"

```
# CoT
from langchain_ollama.llms import OllamaLLM
from langchain_core.prompts import ChatPromptTemplate
from langchain.output_parsers import CommaSeparatedListOutputParser
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
# Solve this math problem step by step: A train travels 60 miles in 1.5 hours.
# What is its average speed?

template = """you are a {role}
task: {task}
question: {question}
Answer: Let's think step by step.
"""

prompt = ChatPromptTemplate.from_template(template)
model = OllamaLLM(temperature=0.0, model=MODEL, format='json')
output_parser = CommaSeparatedListOutputParser()

chain = prompt | model

response = chain.invoke({'role': 'math student', \
                         'task': "Solve this math problem step by step: \
                                 A train travels 60 miles in 1.5 hours.",\
                         'question': "What is its average speed per minute?"})

print(response)
```

```
 {
"Solution": {
   "Step 1": "First, let's find the average speed of the train per hour.",
   "Step 2": "The train travels 60 miles in 1.5 hours. So, its speed per hour is␣
↪60 miles / 1.5 hours = 40 miles/hour.",
   "Step 3": "Now, let's find the average speed of the train per minute. Since␣
↪there are 60 minutes in an hour, the speed per minute would be the speed per␣
↪hour multiplied by the number of minutes in an hour divided by 60.",
   "Step 4": "So, the average speed of the train per minute is (40 miles/hour *␣
↪(1 hour / 60)) = (40/60) miles/minute = 2/3 miles/minute."
             }
 }
```

4.3.5 Few-Shot Prompting

- Provide examples to guide the AI on how to respond.
- **Example:**
  - "Here are examples of loan application decision: 'example': {'input': {'fico':800, 'income':100000,'loan_amount': 10000} 'decision': "accept" Now Help me to make a decision to accpet or reject the loan application and give the reason. 'input': "{'fico':820, 'income':100000, 'loan_amount': 1,000}" "*

```
# Few-Shot Prompting
from langchain_ollama.llms import OllamaLLM
from langchain_core.prompts import ChatPromptTemplate
from langchain.output_parsers import CommaSeparatedListOutputParser


# Here are examples of loan application decision:
# 'example': {'input': {'fico':800, 'income':100000,'loan_amount': 10000}
# 'decision': "accept"
# Now Help me to make a decision to accpet or reject the loan application and
# give the reason.
# 'input': "{'fico':820, 'income':100000, 'loan_amount': 1,000}"

template = """you are a {role}
task: {task}
examples: {example}
input: {input}
decision:
"""

prompt = ChatPromptTemplate.from_template(template)
model = OllamaLLM(temperature=0.0, model=MODEL, format='json')
output_parser = CommaSeparatedListOutputParser()

chain = prompt | model

response = chain.invoke({'role': 'banker', \
                         'task': "Help me to make a decision to accpet or \
                                 reject the loan application ",\
                         'example': {'input': {'fico':800, 'income':100000,\
                                               'loan_amount': 10000},\
                                     'decision': "accept"}, \
                         'input': {'fico':820, 'income':100000, \
                                     'loan_amount': 1000}
                         })

print(response)
```

```
{"decision": "accept"}
```

4.3.6 Iterative Prompting

- Build on the AI's response by asking follow-up questions or refining the output.
- **Example:**
  - *Initial Prompt:* " Help me to make a decision to accpet or reject the loan application."

- *Follow-Up:* "give me the reason"

```
# Few-Shot Prompting
from langchain_ollama.llms import OllamaLLM
from langchain_core.prompts import ChatPromptTemplate
from langchain.output_parsers import CommaSeparatedListOutputParser


# Here are examples of loan application decision:
# 'example': {'input': {'fico':800, 'income':100000,'loan_amount': 10000}
# 'decision': "accept"
# Now Help me to make a decision to accpet or reject the loan application and
# give the reason.
# 'input': "{'fico':820, 'income':100000, 'loan_amount': 1,000}"

template = """you are a {role}
task: {task}
examples: {example}
input: {input}
decision:
reason:
"""

prompt = ChatPromptTemplate.from_template(template)
model = OllamaLLM(temperature=0.0, model=MODEL, format='json')
output_parser = CommaSeparatedListOutputParser()

chain = prompt | model

response = chain.invoke({'role': 'banker', \
                         'task': "Help me to make a decision to accpet or \
                                 reject the loan application and \
                                 give the reason.",\
                         'example': {'input': {'fico':800, 'income':100000,\
                                               'loan_amount': 10000},\
                                     'decision': "accept"}, \
                         'input': {'fico':820, 'income':100000, \
                                     'loan_amount': 1000}
                         })

print(response)
```

```
{"decision": "accept", "reason": "The applicant has a high credit score (FICO␣
↪820), a stable income of $100,000, and is requesting a relatively small loan␣
↪amount ($1000). These factors indicate a low risk for the bank."}
```

4.3.7 Instructional Chaining

- Break down a task into a sequence of smaller prompts.
- **Example:**
  - step 1: check the fico score
  - step 2: check the income,
  - step 3: check the loan amount,
  - step 4: make a decision,
  - step 5: give the reason.

```
# Instructional Chaining
from langchain_ollama.llms import OllamaLLM
from langchain_core.prompts import ChatPromptTemplate
from langchain.output_parsers import CommaSeparatedListOutputParser


# Now Help me to make a decision to accpet or reject the loan application and
# give the reason.
# "input': {'fico':320, 'income':10000, 'loan_amount': 100000}

template = """you are a {role}
task: {task}
instruction: {instruction}
input: {input}
decision:
reason:
"""

prompt = ChatPromptTemplate.from_template(template)
model = OllamaLLM(temperature=0.0, model=MODEL, format='json')
output_parser = CommaSeparatedListOutputParser()

chain = prompt | model

response = chain.invoke({'role': 'banker', \
                         'task': "Help me to make a decision to accpet or \
                                 reject the loan application and \
                                 give the reason.",\
                         'instruction': {'step 1': "check the fico score",\
                                         'step 2': "check the income",\
                                         'step 3': "check the loan amount",\
                                         'step 4': "make a decision",\
                                         'step 5': "give the reason"
                                         },
                         'input': {'fico':320, 'income':10000, \
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
                                    'loan_amount': 100000}
                        })

print(response)
```

```
{
   "decision": "reject",
   "reason": "Based on the provided information, the applicant's FICO score is␣
↪320 which falls below our minimum acceptable credit score. Additionally, the␣
↪proposed loan amount of $100,000 exceeds the income level of $10,000 per year,␣
↪making it difficult for the borrower to repay the loan."
}
```

4.3.8 Use Constraints

- Impose constraints to keep responses concise and on-topic.
- **Example:**

  "List 5 key trends in AI in bullet points, each under 15 words."

4.3.9 Creative Prompting

- Encourage unique or unconventional ideas by framing the task creatively.
- **Example:**

  "Pretend you are a time traveler from the year 2124. How would you describe AI advancements to someone today?"

4.3.10 Feedback Incorporation

- If the response isn't perfect, guide the AI to refine or retry.
- **Example:**

  "This is too general. Could you provide more specific examples for the education industry?"

4.3.11 Scenario-Based Prompts

- Frame the query within a scenario for a contextual response.
- **Example:**

  "Imagine you're a teacher explaining ChatGPT to students. How would you introduce its uses and limitations?"

4.3.12 Multimodal Prompting

- Use prompts designed for mixed text/image inputs (or outputs if using models like DALL·E).
- **Example:**

  "Generate an image prompt for a futuristic cityscape, vibrant, with flying cars and greenery."

CHAPTER

FIVE

RETRIEVAL-AUGMENTED GENERATION

Colab Notebook for This Chapter

- Naive Chunking: 
- Late Chunking: 
- Reciprocal Rank Fusion: 
- RAG in colab: 
- Langchain Google Web Search 
- Langchain SQL Query 
- Self-RAG: 
- Corrective RAG: 
- Adaptive RAG: 
- Agentic RAG: 

Note

The naive chunking strategy was used in the diagram above. More advanced strategies, such as Late Chunking [lateChunking] (or Chunked Pooling), are discussed later in this chapter.

5.1 Overview

Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) is a framework that enhances large language models (LLMs) by combining their generative capabilities with external knowledge retrieval. The goal of RAG is to improve accuracy, relevance, and factuality by providing the LLM with specific, up-to-date, or domain-specific context from a knowledge base or database during the generation process.

As you can see in *Retrieval-Augmented Generation Diagram*, the RAG has there main components



Fig. 1: Retrieval-Augmented Generation Diagram

- Indexer: The indexer processes raw text or other forms of unstructured data and creates an efficient structure (called an index) that allows for fast and accurate retrieval by the retriever when a query is made.
- Retriever: Responsible for finding relevant information from an external knowledge source, such as a document database, a vector database, or the web.
- Generator: An LLM (like GPT-4, T5, or similar) that uses the retrieved context to generate a response. The model is "augmented" with the retrieved information, which reduces hallucination and enhances factual accuracy.

5.2 Naive RAG

5.2.1 Indexing

The indexing processes raw text or other forms of unstructured data and creates an efficient structure (called an index) that allows for fast and accurate retrieval by the retriever when a query is made.



Naive Chunking

Chunking in Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) involves splitting documents or knowledge bases into smaller, manageable pieces (chunks) that can be efficiently retrieved and used by a language model (LLM).

Below are the common chunking strategies used in RAG workflows:

1. **Fixed-Length Chunking**
   - Chunks are created with a predefined, fixed length (e.g., 200 words or 512 tokens).
   - Simple and easy to implement but might split content mid-sentence or lose semantic coherence.

   Example:

```
def fixed_length_chunking(text, chunk_size=200):
    words = text.split()
    return [
        " ".join(words[i:i + chunk_size])
        for i in range(0, len(words), chunk_size)
    ]

# Example Usage
document = "This is a sample document with multiple sentences to␣
↪demonstrate fixed-length chunking."
chunks = fixed_length_chunking(document, chunk_size=10)
for idx, chunk in enumerate(chunks):
    print(f"Chunk {idx + 1}: {chunk}")
```

   Output:
   - Chunk 1: This is a sample document with multiple sentences to demonstrate
   - Chunk 2: fixed-length chunking.

2. **Sliding Window Chunking**
   - Creates overlapping chunks to preserve context across splits.
   - Ensures important information in overlapping regions is retained.

   Example:

```
def sliding_window_chunking(text, chunk_size=100, overlap_size=20):
    words = text.split()
    chunks = []
    for i in range(0, len(words), chunk_size - overlap_size):
        chunk = " ".join(words[i:i + chunk_size])
        chunks.append(chunk)
    return chunks

# Example Usage
document = "This is a sample document with multiple sentences to␣
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
↪demonstrate sliding window chunking."
chunks = sliding_window_chunking(document, chunk_size=10, overlap_size=3)
for idx, chunk in enumerate(chunks):
    print(f"Chunk {idx + 1}: {chunk}")
```

Output:

- Chunk 1: This is a sample document with multiple sentences to demonstrate
- Chunk 2: with multiple sentences to demonstrate sliding window chunking.
- Chunk 3: sliding window chunking.

3. **Semantic Chunking**

   - Splits text based on natural language boundaries such as paragraphs, sentences, or specific delimiters (e.g., headings).
   - Retains semantic coherence, ideal for better retrieval and generation accuracy.

   Example:

```
import nltk
nltk.download('punkt_tab')

def semantic_chunking(text, sentence_len=50):
    sentences = nltk.sent_tokenize(text)

    chunks = []
    chunk = ""
    for sentence in sentences:
        if len(chunk.split()) + len(sentence.split()) <= sentence_len:
            chunk += " " + sentence
        else:
            chunks.append(chunk.strip())
            chunk = sentence
    if chunk:
        chunks.append(chunk.strip())
    return chunks

# Example Usage
document = ("This is a sample document. It is split based on semantic␣
↪boundaries. "
            "Each chunk will have coherent meaning for better retrieval.")
chunks = semantic_chunking(document, 10)
for idx, chunk in enumerate(chunks):
    print(f"Chunk {idx + 1}: {chunk}")
```

Output:

- Chunk 1: This is a sample document.

- Chunk 2: It is split based on semantic boundaries.
- Chunk 3: Each chunk will have coherent meaning for better retrieval.

4. **Dynamic Chunking**
    - Adapts chunk sizes based on content properties such as token count, content density, or specific criteria.
    - Useful when handling diverse document types with varying information density.

    Example:

```
from transformers import AutoTokenizer

def dynamic_chunking(text, max_tokens=200, tokenizer_name="bert-base-uncased
↪"):
    tokenizer = AutoTokenizer.from_pretrained(tokenizer_name)
    tokens = tokenizer.encode(text, add_special_tokens=False)
    chunks = []
    for i in range(0, len(tokens), max_tokens):
        chunk = tokens[i:i + max_tokens]
        chunks.append(tokenizer.decode(chunk))
    return chunks

# Example Usage
document = ("This is a sample document to demonstrate dynamic chunking. "
            "The tokenizer adapts the chunks based on token limits.")
chunks = dynamic_chunking(document, max_tokens=10)
for idx, chunk in enumerate(chunks):
    print(f"Chunk {idx + 1}: {chunk}")
```

    Output:

    - Chunk 1: this is a sample document to demonstrate dynamic chunking
    - Chunk 2: . the tokenizer adapts the chunks based on
    - Chunk 3: token limits.

Comparison of Strategies

Strategy	Pros	Cons
Fixed-Length Chunking	Simple, fast	May split text mid-sentence or lose coherence.
Sliding Window Chunking	Preserves context	Overlapping increases redundancy.
Semantic Chunking	Coherent chunks	Requires NLP preprocessing.
Dynamic Chunking	Adapts to content	Computationally intensive.

Each strategy has its strengths and weaknesses. Select based on the task requirements, context, and available computational resources.

The optimal chunk length depends on the type of content being processed and the intended use case. Below are recommendations for chunk lengths based on different context types, along with their rationale:

Context Type	Chunk Length (Tokens)	Rationale
FAQs or Short Texts	100-200	Short enough to handle specific queries.
Articles or Blog Posts	300-500	Covers logical sections while fitting multiple chunks in the LLM context.
Research Papers or Reports	500-700	Captures detailed sections like methodology or results.
Legal or Technical Texts	200-300	Maintains precision due to dense information.

The valuating Chunking Strategies for Retrieval can be found at: https://research.trychroma.com/evaluating-chunking

Late Chunking

Late Chunking refers to a strategy in Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) where chunking of data is **deferred until query time**. Unlike pre-chunking, where documents are split into chunks during preprocessing, late chunking dynamically extracts relevant content when a query is made.

- Key Concepts of Late Chunking
  - **Dynamic Chunk Creation**:
    - Full documents or large sections are stored in the vector database.
    - Relevant chunks are dynamically extracted at query time based on the query and similarity match.
  - **Query-Time Optimization**:
    - The system identifies relevant content using similarity search or semantic analysis.
    - Only the most relevant content is chunked and passed to the language model.
  - **Reduced Preprocessing Time**:
    - Eliminates extensive preprocessing and fixed chunking during data ingestion.
    - Higher computational cost occurs during query-time retrieval.

The folloing implementations are from Jina AI, and the copyright belongs to the original author.

```
def chunk_by_sentences(input_text: str, tokenizer: callable):
    """
    Split the input text into sentences using the tokenizer
    :param input_text: The text snippet to split into sentences
    :param tokenizer: The tokenizer to use
    :return: A tuple containing the list of text chunks and their corresponding␣
↪token spans
```

(continues on next page)



Fig. 2: An illustration of the naive chunking strategy (left) and the late chunking strategy (right). (Souce Jina AI)

(continued from previous page)

```
    """
    inputs = tokenizer(input_text, return_tensors='pt', return_offsets_
↪mapping=True)
    punctuation_mark_id = tokenizer.convert_tokens_to_ids('.')
    sep_id = tokenizer.convert_tokens_to_ids('[SEP]')
    token_offsets = inputs['offset_mapping'][0]
    token_ids = inputs['input_ids'][0]
    chunk_positions = [
        (i, int(start + 1))
        for i, (token_id, (start, end)) in enumerate(zip(token_ids, token_
↪offsets))
        if token_id == punctuation_mark_id
        and (
            token_offsets[i + 1][0] - token_offsets[i][1] > 0
            or token_ids[i + 1] == sep_id
        )
    ]
    chunks = [
        input_text[x[1] : y[1]]
        for x, y in zip([(1, 0)] + chunk_positions[:-1], chunk_positions)
    ]
    span_annotations = [
        (x[0], y[0]) for (x, y) in zip([(1, 0)] + chunk_positions[:-1], chunk_
↪positions)
    ]
    return chunks, span_annotations

def late_chunking(
    model_output: 'BatchEncoding', span_annotation: list, max_length=None
):
    token_embeddings = model_output[0]
    outputs = []
    for embeddings, annotations in zip(token_embeddings, span_annotation):
        if (
            max_length is not None
        ):  # remove annotations which go bejond the max-length of the model
            annotations = [
                (start, min(end, max_length - 1))
                for (start, end) in annotations
                if start < (max_length - 1)
            ]
        pooled_embeddings = [
            embeddings[start:end].sum(dim=0) / (end - start)
            for start, end in annotations
            if (end - start) >= 1
        ]
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
        pooled_embeddings = [
            embedding.detach().cpu().numpy() for embedding in pooled_embeddings
        ]
        outputs.append(pooled_embeddings)

    return outputs
```

```
input_text = "Berlin is the capital and largest city of Germany, both by area␣
↪and by population. Its more than 3.85 million inhabitants make it the European␣
↪Union's most populous city, as measured by population within city limits. The␣
↪city is also one of the states of Germany, and is the third smallest state in␣
↪the country in terms of area."

# determine chunks
chunks, span_annotations = chunk_by_sentences(input_text, tokenizer)
print('Chunks:\n- "' + '"\n- "'.join(chunks) + '"')


# chunk before
embeddings_traditional_chunking = model.encode(chunks)

# chunk afterwards (context-sensitive chunked pooling)
inputs = tokenizer(input_text, return_tensors='pt')
model_output = model(**inputs)
embeddings = late_chunking(model_output, [span_annotations])[0]

import numpy as np

cos_sim = lambda x, y: np.dot(x, y) / (np.linalg.norm(x) * np.linalg.norm(y))

berlin_embedding = model.encode('Berlin')

for chunk, new_embedding, trad_embeddings in zip(chunks, embeddings, embeddings_
↪traditional_chunking):
    print(f'similarity_new("Berlin", "{chunk}"):', cos_sim(berlin_embedding, new_
↪embedding))
    print(f'similarity_trad("Berlin", "{chunk}"):', cos_sim(berlin_embedding,␣
↪trad_embeddings))
```

Quer	Chunk	similar-ity_new	similar-ity_trad
Berli	Berlin is the capital and largest city of Germany, both by area and by population.	0.849546	0.8486219
Berli	Its more than 3.85 million inhabitants make it the European Union's most populous city, as measured by population within city limits."	0.8248902	0.70843387
Berli	The city is also one of the states of Germany, and is the third smallest state in the country in terms of area."	0.8498009	0.75345534

Types of Indexing

The embedding methods we introduced in Chapter *Word and Sentence Embedding* can be applied here to convert each chunk into embeddings and create indexing. These indexings(embeddings) will be used to retrieve relevant documents or information.

- Sparse Indexing:

  Uses traditional keyword-based methods (e.g., TF-IDF, BM25). Index stores the frequency of terms and their associations with documents.

  - Advantages:Easy to understand and deploy and works well for exact matches or keyword-heavy queries.
  - Disadvantages: Struggles with semantic understanding or paraphrased queries.

- Dense Indexing:

  Uses vector embeddings to capture semantic meaning. Documents are represented as vectors in a high-dimensional space, enabling similarity search.

  - Advantages: Excellent for semantic search, handling synonyms, and paraphrasing.
  - Disadvantages: Requires more computational resources for storage and retrieval.

- Hybrid Indexing:

  Combines sparse and dense indexing for more robust search capabilities. For example, Elasticsearch can integrate BM25 with vector search.

Vector Database

Vector databases are essential for Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) systems, enabling efficient similarity search on dense vector embeddings. Below is a comprehensive overview of popular vector databases for RAG workflows:

1. **FAISS (Facebook AI Similarity Search)**
   - **Description**: - An open-source library developed by Facebook AI for efficient similarity search and clustering of dense vectors.
   - **Features**: - High performance and scalability. - Supports various indexing methods like `Flat`, `IVF`, and `HNSW`. - GPU acceleration for faster searches.
   - **Use Cases**: - Research and prototyping. - Scenarios requiring custom implementations.

- **Limitations**: - File-based storage; lacks a built-in distributed or managed cloud solution.
- **Official Website**: FAISS GitHub

2. **Pinecone**
   - **Description**: - A fully managed vector database designed for production-scale workloads.
   - **Features**: - Scalable and serverless architecture. - Automatic scaling and optimization of indexes. - Hybrid search (combining vector and keyword search). - Integrates with popular frameworks like LangChain and OpenAI.
   - **Use Cases**: - Enterprise-grade applications. - Handling large datasets with minimal operational overhead.
   - **Official Website**: Pinecone
3. **Weaviate**
   - **Description**: - An open-source vector search engine with a strong focus on modularity and customization.
   - **Features**: - Supports hybrid search and symbolic reasoning. - Schema-based data organization. - Plugin support for pre-built and custom vectorization modules. - Cloud-managed and self-hosted options.
   - **Use Cases**: - Applications requiring hybrid search capabilities. - Knowledge graphs and semantically rich data.
   - **Official Website**: Weaviate
4. **Milvus**
   - **Description**: - An open-source, high-performance vector database designed for similarity search on large datasets.
   - **Features**: - Distributed and scalable architecture. - Integration with FAISS, Annoy, and HNSW indexing techniques. - Built-in support for time travel queries (searching historical data).
   - **Use Cases**: - Video, audio, and image search applications. - Large-scale datasets requiring real-time indexing and retrieval.
   - **Official Website**: Milvus
5. **Qdrant**
   - **Description**: - An open-source, lightweight vector database focused on ease of use and modern developer needs.
   - **Features**: - Supports HNSW for efficient vector search. - Advanced filtering capabilities for combining metadata with vector queries. - REST and gRPC APIs for integration. - Docker-ready deployment.
   - **Use Cases**: - Scenarios requiring metadata-rich search. - Lightweight deployments with simplicity in mind.
   - **Official Website**: Qdrant
6. **Redis (with Vector Similarity Search Module)**

- **Description**: - A popular in-memory database with a module for vector similarity search.
- **Features**: - Combines vector search with traditional key-value storage. - Supports hybrid search and metadata filtering. - High throughput and low latency due to in-memory architecture.
- **Use Cases**: - Applications requiring real-time, low-latency search. - Integrating vector search with existing Redis-based systems.
- **Official Website**: Redis Vector Search

7. **Zilliz**
    - **Description**: - A cloud-native vector database built on Milvus for scalable and managed vector storage.
    - **Features**: - Fully managed service for vector data. - Seamless scaling and distributed indexing. - Integration with machine learning pipelines.
    - **Use Cases**: - Large-scale enterprise deployments. - Cloud-native solutions with minimal infrastructure management.
    - **Official Website**: Zilliz
8. **Vespa**
    - **Description**: - A real-time serving engine supporting vector and hybrid search.
    - **Features**: - Combines vector search with advanced ranking and filtering. - Scales to large datasets with support for distributed clusters. - Powerful query configuration options.
    - **Use Cases**: - E-commerce and recommendation systems. - Applications with complex ranking requirements.
    - **Official Website**: Vespa
9. **Chroma**
    - **Description**: - An open-source, user-friendly vector database built for LLMs and embedding-based applications.
    - **Features**: - Designed specifically for RAG workflows. - Simple Python API for seamless integration with AI models. - Efficient and customizable vector storage for embedding data.
    - **Use Cases**: - Prototyping and experimentation for LLM-based applications. - Lightweight deployments for small to medium-scale RAG systems.
    - **Official Website**: Chroma

Comparison of Vector Databases:

Database	Open Source	Managed Service	Key Features	Best For
FAISS	Yes	No	High performance, GPU acceleration	Research, prototyping
Pinecone	No	Yes	Serverless, automatic scaling	Enterprise-scale applications
Weaviate	Yes	Yes	Hybrid search, modularity	Knowledge graphs
Milvus	Yes	No	Distributed, high performance	Large-scale datasets
Qdrant	Yes	No	Lightweight, metadata filtering	Small to medium-scale apps
Redis	No	Yes	In-memory performance, hybrid search	Real-time apps
Zilliz	No	Yes	Fully managed Milvus	Enterprise cloud solutions
Vespa	Yes	No	Hybrid search, real-time ranking	E-commerce, recommendations
Chroma	Yes	No	LLM-focused, simple API	Prototyping, lightweight apps

Choosing a Vector Database

- **For Research or Small Projects**: FAISS, Qdrant, Milvus, or Chroma.
- **For Enterprise or Cloud-Native Workflows**: Pinecone, Zilliz, or Weaviate.
- **For Real-Time Use Cases**: Redis or Vespa.

Each database has unique strengths and is suited for specific RAG use cases. The choice depends on scalability, integration needs, and budget.

5.2.2 Retrieval

The retriever selects "chunks" of text (e.g., paragraphs or sections) relevant to the user's query.

Common retrieval methods

- **Sparse Vector Search**: Traditional keyword-based retrieval (e.g., TF-IDF, BM25).
- **Dense Vector Search**: Vector-based search using embeddings e.g.
  - **Approximate Nearest Neighbor (ANN) Search**:
    - HNSW (Hierarchical Navigable Small World): Graph-based approach
    - IVF (Inverted File Index): Clusters embeddings into groups and searches within relevant clusters.
  - **Exact Nearest Neighbor Search**: Computes similarities exhaustively for all vectors in the corpus
- **Hybrid Search** (Fig *Reciprocal Rank Fusion*): the combination of Sparse and Dense vector search.

Note

BM25 (Best Matching 25) is a popular ranking function used by search engines and information retrieval systems to rank documents based on their relevance to a given query. It belongs to the family of **bag-of-words retrieval models** and is an enhancement of the **TF-IDF (Term Frequency-Inverse Document Frequency)** approach.

- **Key Features of BM25**

1. **Relevance Scoring**:

– BM25 scores documents by measuring how well the query terms match the terms in the document.

– It incorporates term frequency, inverse document frequency, and document length normalization.

2. **Formula**:

The BM25 score for a document `D` given a query `Q` is calculated as:

$$\text{BM25}(D, Q) = \sum_{t \in Q} \text{IDF}(t) \cdot \frac{\text{f}(t, D) \cdot (k_1 + 1)}{\text{f}(t, D) + k_1 \cdot (1 - b + b \cdot \frac{|D|}{\text{avgdl}})}$$

Where: - `t`: Query term. - `f(t, D)`: Frequency of term `t` in document `D`. - `|D|`: Length of document `D` (number of terms). - `avgdl`: Average document length in the corpus. - `k1`: Tuning parameter that controls term frequency saturation (usually set between 1.2 and 2.0). - `b`: Tuning parameter that controls length normalization (usually set to 0.75). - `IDF(t)`: Inverse Document Frequency of term `t`, calculated as:

$$\text{IDF}(t) = \log \frac{N - n_t + 0.5}{n_t + 0.5}$$

Where `N` is the total number of documents in the corpus, and `n_t` is the number of documents containing `t`.

3. **Improvements Over TF-IDF**:

– Document Length Normalization: BM25 adjusts for the length of documents, addressing the bias of TF-IDF toward longer documents.

– Saturation of Term Frequency: BM25 avoids the overemphasis of excessively high term frequencies by using a non-linear saturation function controlled by `k1`.

4. **Applications**:

– **Information Retrieval**: Ranking search results by relevance.

– **Question Answering**: Identifying relevant documents or passages for a query.

– **Document Matching**: Comparing similarities between textual content.

5. **Limitations**:

– BM25 does not consider semantic meanings or relationships between words, relying solely on exact term matches.

- It may struggle with queries or documents that require contextual understanding.

Summary of Common Algorithms:

Metric/Algorithm	Purpose	Common Use
TF-IDF	Keyword matching with term weighting.	Effective for small-scale or structured corpora.
BM25	Advanced keyword matching with term frequency saturation and document length normalization.	Widely used in sparse search; default in tools like Elasticsearch and Solr.
Cosine Similarity	Measures orientation (ignores magnitude).	Widely used; works well with normalized vectors.
Dot Product Similarity	Measures magnitude and direction.	Preferred in embeddings like OpenAI's models.
Euclidean Distance	Measures absolute distance between vectors.	Less common but used in some specific cases.
HNSW (ANN)	Fast and scalable nearest neighbor search.	Default for large-scale systems (e.g., FAISS).
IVF (ANN)	Efficient clustering-based search.	Often combined with product quantization.

Reciprocal Rank Fusion

Reciprocal Rank Fusion (RRF) is a ranking technique commonly used in information retrieval and ensemble learning. Although it is not specific to large language models (LLMs), it can be applied to scenarios where multiple ranking systems (or scoring mechanisms) produce different rankings, and you want to combine them into a single, unified ranking.

The reciprocal rank of an item in a ranked list is calculated as $\frac{1}{k+r}$, where

- r is the rank of the item (1 for the top rank, 2 for the second rank, etc.).
- k is a small constant (often set to 60 or another fixed value) to control how much weight is given to higher ranks.

Example:

Suppose two retrieval models give ranked lists for query responses:

- Model 1 ranks documents as: [A,B,C,D]
- Model 2 ranks documents as: [B,A,D,C]

RRF combines these rankings by assigning each document a combined score:

- Document A: $\frac{1}{60+1} + \frac{1}{60+2} = 0.03252247488101534$
- Document B: $\frac{1}{60+2} + \frac{1}{60+1} = 0.03252247488101534$
- Document C: $\frac{1}{60+3} + \frac{1}{60+4} = 0.03149801587301587$



Fig. 3: Reciprocal Rank Fusion

- Document D: $\frac{1}{60+4} + \frac{1}{60+3} = 0.03149801587301587$

```
from collections import defaultdict

def reciprocal_rank_fusion(ranked_results: list[list], k=60):
    """
    Fuse rank from multiple retrieval systems using Reciprocal Rank Fusion.

    Args:
    ranked_results: Ranked results from different retrieval system.
    k (int): A constant used in the RRF formula (default is 60).

    Returns:
    Tuple of list of sorted documents by score and sorted documents
    """

    # Dictionary to store RRF mapping
    rrf_map = defaultdict(float)

    # Calculate RRF score for each result in each list
    for rank_list in ranked_results:
        for rank, item in enumerate(rank_list, 1):
            rrf_map[item] += 1 / (rank + k)

    # Sort items based on their RRF scores in descending order
    sorted_items = sorted(rrf_map.items(), key=lambda x: x[1], reverse=True)

    # Return tuple of list of sorted documents by score and sorted documents
    return sorted_items, [item for item, score in sorted_items]

# Example ranked lists from different sources
ranked_a = ['A', 'B', 'C', 'D']
ranked_b = ['B', 'A', 'D', 'C']


# Combine the lists using RRF
combined_list = reciprocal_rank_fusion([ranked_a, ranked_b])
print(combined_list)
```

```
([('A', 0.03252247488101534), ('B', 0.03252247488101534), ('C', 0.
↪03149801587301587), ('D', 0.03149801587301587)], ['A', 'B', 'C', 'D'])
```

5.2.3 Generation

Finally, the retrieved relevant information will be feed back into the LLMs to generate responses.



Note

In the remainder of this implementation, we will use the following components:

- Vector database: `Chroma`
- Embedding model: `BAAI/bge-m3`
- LLM: `mistral`
- Web search engine: `Google`

```
# Load models
from langchain_ollama import OllamaEmbeddings
from langchain_ollama.llms import OllamaLLM

## embedding model
embedding = OllamaEmbeddings(model="bge-m3")

## LLM
llm = OllamaLLM(temperature=0.0, model='mistral', format='json')

# Indexing
from langchain.text_splitter import RecursiveCharacterTextSplitter
from langchain_community.document_loaders import WebBaseLoader
from langchain_community.vectorstores import Chroma
from langchain_ollama import OllamaEmbeddings  # Import OllamaEmbeddings instead


urls = [
    "https://python.langchain.com/v0.1/docs/get_started/introduction/",
]

docs = [WebBaseLoader(url).load() for url in urls]
docs_list = [item for sublist in docs for item in sublist]

text_splitter = RecursiveCharacterTextSplitter.from_tiktoken_encoder(
    chunk_size=250, chunk_overlap=0
)
doc_splits = text_splitter.split_documents(docs_list)

# Add to vectorDB
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
vectorstore = Chroma.from_documents(
    documents=doc_splits,
    collection_name="rag-chroma",
    embedding=OllamaEmbeddings(model="bge-m3"),
)

# Retriever
retriever = vectorstore.as_retriever(k=5)

# Generation
questions = [
  "what is LangChain?",
  ]

for question in questions:
    retrieved_context = retriever.invoke(question)
    formatted_prompt = prompt.format(context=retrieved_context,␣
↪question=question)
    response_from_model = model.invoke(formatted_prompt)
    parsed_response = parser.parse(response_from_model)

    print(f"Question: {question}")
    print(f"Answer: {parsed_response}")
    print()
```

```
Answer: {
    "answer": "LangChain refers to chains, agents, and retrieval strategies that␣
↪make up an application's cognitive architecture."
  }
```

5.3 Self-RAG

In the paper [selfRAG], Four types decisions are made:

1. **Should I retrieve from retriever, R**

- **Input**: - x (question) - OR x (question), y (generation)
- **Description**: Decides when to retrieve D chunks with R.
- **Output**: - *yes* - *no* - *continue*

2. **Are the retrieved passages D relevant to the question x**

- **Input**: - (x (question), d (chunk)) for d in D
- **Description**: Determines if d provides useful information to solve x.
- **Output**: - *relevant* - *irrelevant*



Fig. 4: Overview of SELF-RAG. (Source [selfRAG])

3. **Are the LLM generations from each chunk in D relevant to the chunk (hallucinations, etc.)**

- **Input**: - *x* (question), *d* (chunk), *y* (generation) for *d* in *D*
- **Description**: Verifies if all statements in *y* (generation) are supported by *d*.
- **Output**: - *fully supported* - *partially supported* - *no support*

4. **Is the LLM generation from each chunk in D a useful response to x (question)**

- **Input**: - *x* (question), *y* (generation) for *d* in *D*
- **Description**: Assesses if *y* (generation) is a useful response to *x* (question).
- **Output**: - *{5, 4, 3, 2, 1}*

5.3.1 Load Models

```
from langchain_ollama import OllamaEmbeddings
from langchain_ollama.llms import OllamaLLM

# embedding model
embedding = OllamaEmbeddings(model="bge-m3")

# LLM
llm = OllamaLLM(temperature=0.0, model='mistral', format='json')
```



Fig. 5: Self-RAG langgraph diagram (source Langgraph self-rag)

Warning

You need to specify `format='json'` when Initializing `OllamaLLM`. otherwise you will get error:



5.3.2 Create Index

```
from langchain.text_splitter import RecursiveCharacterTextSplitter
from langchain_community.document_loaders import WebBaseLoader
from langchain_community.vectorstores import Chroma
from langchain_ollama import OllamaEmbeddings  # Import OllamaEmbeddings instead


urls = [
    "https://lilianweng.github.io/posts/2023-06-23-agent/",
    "https://lilianweng.github.io/posts/2023-03-15-prompt-engineering/",
    "https://lilianweng.github.io/posts/2023-10-25-adv-attack-llm/",
]

docs = [WebBaseLoader(url).load() for url in urls]
docs_list = [item for sublist in docs for item in sublist]

text_splitter = RecursiveCharacterTextSplitter.from_tiktoken_encoder(
    chunk_size=250, chunk_overlap=0
)
doc_splits = text_splitter.split_documents(docs_list)

# Add to vectorDB
vectorstore = Chroma.from_documents(
    documents=doc_splits,
    collection_name="rag-chroma",
    embedding=OllamaEmbeddings(model="bge-m3"),
)
retriever = vectorstore.as_retriever()
```

5.3.3 Retrieval

Define Retriever

```
def retrieve(state):
    """
    Retrieve documents

    Args:
        state (dict): The current graph state

    Returns:
        state (dict): New key added to state, documents, that contains retrieved␣
↪documents
    """
    print("---RETRIEVE---")
    question = state["question"]
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
    # Retrieval
    documents = retriever.invoke(question)
    return {"documents": documents, "question": question}
```

Retrieval Grader

```
### Retrieval Grader

from langchain_ollama.llms import OllamaLLM
from langchain.prompts import PromptTemplate
from langchain_community.chat_models import ChatOllama
from langchain_core.output_parsers import JsonOutputParser

from langchain_core.pydantic_v1 import BaseModel, Field
from langchain.output_parsers import PydanticOutputParser

# Data model
class GradeDocuments(BaseModel):
    """Binary score for relevance check on retrieved documents."""

    score: str = Field(  # Changed field name to 'score'
        description="Documents are relevant to the question, 'yes' or 'no'")

parser = PydanticOutputParser(pydantic_object=GradeDocuments)

prompt = PromptTemplate(
    template="""You are a grader assessing relevance of a retrieved
    document to a user question. \n
    Here is the retrieved document: \n\n {document} \n\n
    Here is the user question: {question} \n
    If the document contains keywords related to the user question,
    grade it as relevant. \n
    It does not need to be a stringent test. The goal is to filter out
    erroneous retrievals. \n
    Give a binary score 'yes' or 'no' score to indicate whether the document
    is relevant to the question. \n
    Provide the binary score as a JSON with a single key 'score' and no
    premable or explanation.""",
    input_variables=["question", "document"],
    partial_variables={"format_instructions": parser.get_format_instructions()}
)

retrieval_grader = prompt | llm | parser
question = "agent memory"
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
docs = retriever.invoke(question)
doc_txt = docs[1].page_content
retrieval_grader.invoke({"question": question, "document": doc_txt})
```

Output:

```
GradeDocuments(score='yes')
```

Warning

`OllamaLLM` does not have `with_structured_output(GradeDocuments)`. You need to use

- `PydanticOutputParser(pydantic_object=GradeDocuments)`
- `partial_variables={"format_instructions": parser.get_format_instructions()}`

to format the structured output.

```
def grade_documents(state):
    """
    Determines whether the retrieved documents are relevant to the question.

    Args:
        state (dict): The current graph state

    Returns:
        state (dict): Updates documents key with only filtered relevant documents
    """

    print("---CHECK DOCUMENT RELEVANCE TO QUESTION---")
    question = state["question"]
    documents = state["documents"]

    # Score each doc
    filtered_docs = []
    for d in documents:
        score = retrieval_grader.invoke(
            {"question": question, "document": d.page_content}
        )
        grade = score.score
        if grade == "yes" or grade==1:
            print("---GRADE: DOCUMENT RELEVANT---")
            filtered_docs.append(d)
        else:
            print("---GRADE: DOCUMENT NOT RELEVANT---")
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
            continue
    return {"documents": filtered_docs, "question": question}
```

5.3.4 Generate

Generation

```
### Generate

from langchain import hub
from langchain_core.output_parsers import StrOutputParser

# Prompt
prompt = hub.pull("rlm/rag-prompt")

# LLM
llm = OllamaLLM(temperature=0.0, model='mistral', format='json')


# Post-processing
def format_docs(docs):
    return "\n\n".join(doc.page_content for doc in docs)


# Chain
rag_chain = prompt | llm | StrOutputParser()

# Run
generation = rag_chain.invoke({"context": docs, "question": question})
print(generation)
```

Output:

```
{
  "Component Two: Memory": [
      {
        "Types of Memory": [
            {
              "Sensory Memory": [
                  "This is the earliest stage of memory, providing the ability␣
↪to retain impressions of sensory information (visual, auditory, etc) after the␣
↪original stimuli have ended. Sensory memory typically only lasts for up to a␣
↪few seconds. Subcategories include iconic memory (visual), echoic memory␣
↪(auditory), and haptic memory (touch)."
              ],
              "Short-term Memory": [
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
                "Short-term memory as learning embedding representations for␣
↪raw inputs, including text, image or other modalities;"
                ,
                "Short-term memory as in-context learning. It is short and␣
↪finite, as it is restricted by the finite context window length of Transformer.
↪"
            ],
            "Long-term Memory": [
                "Long-term memory as the external vector store that the agent␣
↪can attend to at query time, accessible via fast retrieval."
            ]
          },
          {
            "Maximum Inner Product Search (MIPS)": [
                "The external memory can alleviate the restriction of finite␣
↪attention span. A standard practice is to save the embedding representation of␣
↪information into a vector store database that can support fast maximum inner-
↪product search (MIPS). To optimize the retrieval speed, the common choice is␣
↪the approximate nearest neighbors (ANN)\u200b algorithm to return␣
↪approximately top k nearest neighbors to trade off a little accuracy lost for␣
↪a huge speedup."
                ,
                "A couple common choices of ANN algorithms for fast MIPS:"
            ]
          }
        ]
      }
  ]
}
```

```
def generate(state):
    """
    Generate answer

    Args:
        state (dict): The current graph state

    Returns:
        state (dict): New key added to state, generation, that contains LLM␣
↪generation
    """
    print("---GENERATE---")
    question = state["question"]
    documents = state["documents"]
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
    # RAG generation
    generation = rag_chain.invoke({"context": documents, "question": question})
    return {"documents": documents, "question": question, "generation":␣
↪generation}
```

Answer Grader

```
### Answer Grader

# Data model
class GradeAnswer(BaseModel):
    """Binary score for relevance check on generation."""

    score: str = Field(  # Changed field name to 'score'
        description="Documents are relevant to the question, 'yes' or 'no'")

parser = PydanticOutputParser(pydantic_object=GradeAnswer)

# Prompt
prompt = PromptTemplate(
    template="""You are a grader assessing whether an answer is useful to
                resolve a question. \n
                Here is the answer:
                \n ------- \n
                {generation}
                \n ------- \n
                Here is the question: {question}
                Give a binary score 'yes' or 'no' to indicate whether
                the answer is useful to resolve a question. \n
                Provide the binary score as a JSON with a single key
                'score' and no preamble or explanation.""",
    input_variables=["generation", "question"],
    partial_variables={"format_instructions": parser.get_format_instructions()}
)

answer_grader = prompt | llm | parser
answer_grader.invoke({"question": question, "generation": generation})
```

Output:

```
GradeAnswer(score='yes')
```

5.3.5 Utilities

Hallucination Grader

```
### Hallucination Grader

# Data model
class GradeHallucinations(BaseModel):
    """Binary score for relevance check on retrieved documents."""

    score: str = Field(  # Changed field name to 'score'
        description="Documents are relevant to the question, 'yes' or 'no'")

parser = PydanticOutputParser(pydantic_object=GradeHallucinations)

# Prompt
prompt = PromptTemplate(
    template="""You are a grader assessing whether an answer is grounded in /
                supported by a set of facts. \n
                Here are the facts:
                \n ------- \n
                {documents}
                \n ------- \n
                Here is the answer: {generation}
                Give a binary score 'yes' or 'no' score to indicate whether
                the answer is grounded in / supported by a set of facts. \n
                Provide the binary score as a JSON with a single key 'score'
                and no preamble or explanation.""",
    input_variables=["generation", "documents"],
    partial_variables={"format_instructions": parser.get_format_instructions()}
)

hallucination_grader = prompt | llm | parser
hallucination_grader.invoke({"documents": docs, "generation": generation})
```

Output:

```
GradeHallucinations(score='yes')
```

Question Re-writer

```
### Question Re-writer

# Prompt
re_write_prompt = PromptTemplate(
    template="""You a question re-writer that converts an input question
                to a better version that is optimized \n for vectorstore
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
                retrieval. Look at the input and try to reason about the
                underlying semantic intent / meaning. \n
                Here is the initial question: \n\n {question}.
                Formulate an improved question.\n """,
    input_variables=["generation", "question"],
)

question_rewriter = re_write_prompt | llm | StrOutputParser()
question_rewriter.invoke({"question": question})
```

Output:

```
{ "question": "What is the function or purpose of an agent's memory in a given␣
↪context?" }
```

5.3.6 Graph

Create the Graph

```
from typing import List

from typing_extensions import TypedDict


class GraphState(TypedDict):
    """
    Represents the state of our graph.

    Attributes:
        question: question
        generation: LLM generation
        documents: list of documents
    """

    question: str
    generation: str
    documents: List[str]

### Nodes


def retrieve(state):
    """
    Retrieve documents

    Args:
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
        state (dict): The current graph state

    Returns:
        state (dict): New key added to state, documents, that contains retrieved␣
↪documents
    """
    print("---RETRIEVE---")
    question = state["question"]

    # Retrieval
    documents = retriever.invoke(question)
    return {"documents": documents, "question": question}


def generate(state):
    """
    Generate answer

    Args:
        state (dict): The current graph state

    Returns:
        state (dict): New key added to state, generation, that contains LLM␣
↪generation
    """
    print("---GENERATE---")
    question = state["question"]
    documents = state["documents"]

    # RAG generation
    generation = rag_chain.invoke({"context": documents, "question": question})
    return {"documents": documents, "question": question, "generation":␣
↪generation}


def grade_documents(state):
    """
    Determines whether the retrieved documents are relevant to the question.

    Args:
        state (dict): The current graph state

    Returns:
        state (dict): Updates documents key with only filtered relevant documents
    """
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
    print("---CHECK DOCUMENT RELEVANCE TO QUESTION---")
    question = state["question"]
    documents = state["documents"]

    # Score each doc
    filtered_docs = []
    for d in documents:
        score = retrieval_grader.invoke(
            {"question": question, "document": d.page_content}
        )
        grade = score.score
        if grade == "yes" or grade==1:
            print("---GRADE: DOCUMENT RELEVANT---")
            filtered_docs.append(d)
        else:
            print("---GRADE: DOCUMENT NOT RELEVANT---")
            continue
    return {"documents": filtered_docs, "question": question}


def transform_query(state):
    """
    Transform the query to produce a better question.

    Args:
        state (dict): The current graph state

    Returns:
        state (dict): Updates question key with a re-phrased question
    """

    print("---TRANSFORM QUERY---")
    question = state["question"]
    documents = state["documents"]

    # Re-write question
    better_question = question_rewriter.invoke({"question": question})
    return {"documents": documents, "question": better_question}


### Edges


def decide_to_generate(state):
    """
    Determines whether to generate an answer, or re-generate a question.
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
    Args:
        state (dict): The current graph state

    Returns:
        str: Binary decision for next node to call
    """

    print("---ASSESS GRADED DOCUMENTS---")
    state["question"]
    filtered_documents = state["documents"]

    if not filtered_documents:
        # All documents have been filtered check_relevance
        # We will re-generate a new query
        print(
            "---DECISION: ALL DOCUMENTS ARE NOT RELEVANT TO QUESTION, TRANSFORM␣
↪QUERY---"
        )
        return "transform_query"
    else:
        # We have relevant documents, so generate answer
        print("---DECISION: GENERATE---")
        return "generate"


def grade_generation_v_documents_and_question(state):
    """
    Determines whether the generation is grounded in the document and answers␣
↪question.

    Args:
        state (dict): The current graph state

    Returns:
        str: Decision for next node to call
    """

    print("---CHECK HALLUCINATIONS---")
    question = state["question"]
    documents = state["documents"]
    generation = state["generation"]

    score = hallucination_grader.invoke(
        {"documents": documents, "generation": generation}
    )
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
    grade = score.score

    # Check hallucination
    if grade == "yes":
        print("---DECISION: GENERATION IS GROUNDED IN DOCUMENTS---")
        # Check question-answering
        print("---GRADE GENERATION vs QUESTION---")
        score = answer_grader.invoke({"question": question, "generation":␣
↪generation})
        grade = score.score
        if grade == "yes":
            print("---DECISION: GENERATION ADDRESSES QUESTION---")
            return "useful"
        else:
            print("---DECISION: GENERATION DOES NOT ADDRESS QUESTION---")
            return "not useful"
    else:
        print("---DECISION: GENERATION IS NOT GROUNDED IN DOCUMENTS, RE-TRY---")
        return "not supported"
```

Compile Graph

```
from langgraph.graph import END, StateGraph, START

workflow = StateGraph(GraphState)

# Define the nodes
workflow.add_node("retrieve", retrieve)  # retrieve
workflow.add_node("grade_documents", grade_documents)  # grade documents
workflow.add_node("generate", generate)  # generatae
workflow.add_node("transform_query", transform_query)  # transform_query

# Build graph
workflow.add_edge(START, "retrieve")
workflow.add_edge("retrieve", "grade_documents")
workflow.add_conditional_edges(
    "grade_documents",
    decide_to_generate,
    {
        "transform_query": "transform_query",
        "generate": "generate",
        "out of context": "generate"
    },
)
workflow.add_edge("transform_query", "retrieve")
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
workflow.add_conditional_edges(
    "generate",
    grade_generation_v_documents_and_question,
    {
        "not supported": END,
        "useful": END,
        "not useful": "transform_query",
    },
)

# Compile
app = workflow.compile()
```

Graph visualization

```
from IPython.display import Image, display

try:
    display(Image(app.get_graph(xray=True).draw_mermaid_png()))
except:
    pass
```

Ouput

5.3.7 Test

Relevant retrieval

```
from pprint import pprint

# Run
inputs = {"question": "What is prompt engineering?"}
for output in app.stream(inputs):
    for key, value in output.items():
        # Node
        pprint(f"Node '{key}':")
        # Optional: print full state at each node
        # pprint.pprint(value["keys"], indent=2, width=80, depth=None)
    pprint("\n---\n")

# Final generation
pprint(value["generation"])
```

Output:



Fig. 6: Self-RAG Graph

```
---RETRIEVE---
"Node 'retrieve':"
'\n---\n'
---CHECK DOCUMENT RELEVANCE TO QUESTION---
---GRADE: DOCUMENT RELEVANT---
---GRADE: DOCUMENT RELEVANT---
---GRADE: DOCUMENT RELEVANT---
---GRADE: DOCUMENT RELEVANT---
---ASSESS GRADED DOCUMENTS---
---DECISION: GENERATE---
"Node 'grade_documents':"
'\n---\n'
---GENERATE---
---CHECK HALLUCINATIONS---
---DECISION: GENERATION IS GROUNDED IN DOCUMENTS---
---GRADE GENERATION vs QUESTION---
---DECISION: GENERATION ADDRESSES QUESTION---
"Node 'generate':"
'\n---\n'
('{\n'
'    "Prompt Engineering" : "A method for communicating with language␣
↪models '
'(LLMs) to steer their behavior towards desired outcomes without␣
↪updating '
'model weights. It involves alignment and model steerability, and␣
↪requires '
'heavy experimentation and heuristics."\n'
'}')
```

Irrelevant retrieval

```
from pprint import pprint

# Run
inputs = {"question": "SegRNN?"}
for output in app.stream(inputs):
    for key, value in output.items():
        # Node
        pprint(f"Node '{key}':")
        # Optional: print full state at each node
        # pprint.pprint(value["keys"], indent=2, width=80, depth=None)
    pprint("\n---\n")

# Final generation
pprint(value["generation"])
```

Output:

```
---RETRIEVE---
"Node 'retrieve':"
'\n---\n'
---CHECK DOCUMENT RELEVANCE TO QUESTION---
---GRADE: DOCUMENT NOT RELEVANT---
---GRADE: DOCUMENT NOT RELEVANT---
---GRADE: DOCUMENT NOT RELEVANT---
---GRADE: DOCUMENT NOT RELEVANT---
---ASSESS GRADED DOCUMENTS---
---DECISION: ALL DOCUMENTS ARE NOT RELEVANT TO QUESTION, TRANSFORM␣
↪QUERY---
"Node 'grade_documents':"
'\n---\n'
---TRANSFORM QUERY---
"Node 'transform_query':"
'\n---\n'
---RETRIEVE---
"Node 'retrieve':"
'\n---\n'
---CHECK DOCUMENT RELEVANCE TO QUESTION---
---GRADE: DOCUMENT NOT RELEVANT---
---GRADE: DOCUMENT NOT RELEVANT---
---GRADE: DOCUMENT NOT RELEVANT---
---GRADE: DOCUMENT NOT RELEVANT---
---ASSESS GRADED DOCUMENTS---
---DECISION: ALL DOCUMENTS ARE NOT RELEVANT TO QUESTION, TRANSFORM␣
↪QUERY---
"Node 'grade_documents':"
'\n---\n'
---TRANSFORM QUERY---
"Node 'transform_query':"
'\n---\n'
---RETRIEVE---
"Node 'retrieve':"
'\n---\n'
---CHECK DOCUMENT RELEVANCE TO QUESTION---
---GRADE: DOCUMENT NOT RELEVANT---
---GRADE: DOCUMENT NOT RELEVANT---
---GRADE: DOCUMENT NOT RELEVANT---
---GRADE: DOCUMENT NOT RELEVANT---
---ASSESS GRADED DOCUMENTS---
---DECISION: ALL DOCUMENTS ARE NOT RELEVANT TO QUESTION, TRANSFORM␣
↪QUERY---
"Node 'grade_documents':"
'\n---\n'
---TRANSFORM QUERY---
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
"Node 'transform_query':"
'\n---\n'
---RETRIEVE---
"Node 'retrieve':"
'\n---\n'
---CHECK DOCUMENT RELEVANCE TO QUESTION---
---GRADE: DOCUMENT NOT RELEVANT---
---GRADE: DOCUMENT NOT RELEVANT---
---GRADE: DOCUMENT NOT RELEVANT---
---GRADE: DOCUMENT NOT RELEVANT---
---ASSESS GRADED DOCUMENTS---
---DECISION: ALL DOCUMENTS ARE NOT RELEVANT TO QUESTION, TRANSFORM␣
↪QUERY---
"Node 'grade_documents':"
'\n---\n'
---TRANSFORM QUERY---
"Node 'transform_query':"
'\n---\n'
---RETRIEVE---
"Node 'retrieve':"
'\n---\n'
---CHECK DOCUMENT RELEVANCE TO QUESTION---
---GRADE: DOCUMENT RELEVANT---
---GRADE: DOCUMENT NOT RELEVANT---
---GRADE: DOCUMENT NOT RELEVANT---
---GRADE: DOCUMENT RELEVANT---
---ASSESS GRADED DOCUMENTS---
---DECISION: GENERATE---
"Node 'grade_documents':"
'\n---\n'
---GENERATE---
---CHECK HALLUCINATIONS---
---DECISION: GENERATION IS NOT GROUNDED IN DOCUMENTS, RE-TRY---
"Node 'generate':"
'\n---\n'
('{\n'
'      "Question": "Define and provide an explanation for a Sequential '
'Recurrent Neural Network (SegRNN)",\n'
'      "Answer": "A Sequential Recurrent Neural Network (SegRNN) is a␣
↪type of '
'artificial neural network used in machine learning. It processes input␣
↪data '
'sequentially, allowing it to maintain internal state over time and use␣
↪this '
'context when processing new data points. This makes SegRNNs␣
↪particularly '
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
'useful for tasks such as speech recognition, language modeling, and␣
↪time '
'series analysis."\n'
'   }')
```

5.4 Corrective RAG



Fig. 7: Corrective-RAG langgraph diagram (source Langgraph c-rag)

5.4.1 Load Models

```
from langchain_ollama import OllamaEmbeddings
from langchain_ollama.llms import OllamaLLM

# embedding model
embedding = OllamaEmbeddings(model="bge-m3")

# LLM
llm = OllamaLLM(temperature=0.0, model='mistral', format='json')
```

Warning

You need to specify `format='json'` when Initializing `OllamaLLM`. otherwise you will get error:



5.4.2 Create Index

```
from langchain.text_splitter import RecursiveCharacterTextSplitter
from langchain_community.document_loaders import WebBaseLoader
from langchain_community.vectorstores import Chroma
from langchain_ollama import OllamaEmbeddings  # Import OllamaEmbeddings instead


urls = [
    "https://lilianweng.github.io/posts/2023-06-23-agent/",
    "https://lilianweng.github.io/posts/2023-03-15-prompt-engineering/",
    "https://lilianweng.github.io/posts/2023-10-25-adv-attack-llm/",
]

docs = [WebBaseLoader(url).load() for url in urls]
docs_list = [item for sublist in docs for item in sublist]

text_splitter = RecursiveCharacterTextSplitter.from_tiktoken_encoder(
    chunk_size=250, chunk_overlap=0
)
doc_splits = text_splitter.split_documents(docs_list)
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
# Add to vectorDB
vectorstore = Chroma.from_documents(
    documents=doc_splits,
    collection_name="rag-chroma",
    embedding=OllamaEmbeddings(model="bge-m3"),
)
retriever = vectorstore.as_retriever()
```

5.4.3 Retrieval

Define Retriever

```
def retrieve(state):
    """
    Retrieve documents

    Args:
        state (dict): The current graph state

    Returns:
        state (dict): New key added to state, documents, that contains retrieved␣
↪documents
    """
    question = state["question"]
    documents = retriever.invoke(question)
    steps = state["steps"]
    steps.append("retrieve_documents")
    return {"documents": documents, "question": question, "steps": steps}
```

Retrieval Grader

```
### Retrieval Grader

from langchain_ollama.llms import OllamaLLM
from langchain.prompts import PromptTemplate
from langchain_community.chat_models import ChatOllama
from langchain_core.output_parsers import JsonOutputParser

from langchain_core.pydantic_v1 import BaseModel, Field
from langchain.output_parsers import PydanticOutputParser

# Data model
class GradeDocuments(BaseModel):
    """Binary score for relevance check on retrieved documents."""
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
    score: str = Field(  # Changed field name to 'score'
        description="Documents are relevant to the question, 'yes' or 'no'")

parser = PydanticOutputParser(pydantic_object=GradeDocuments)

prompt = PromptTemplate(
    template="""You are a grader assessing relevance of a retrieved
    document to a user question. \n
    Here is the retrieved document: \n\n {document} \n\n
    Here is the user question: {question} \n
    If the document contains keywords related to the user question,
    grade it as relevant. \n
    It does not need to be a stringent test. The goal is to filter out
    erroneous retrievals. \n
    Give a binary score 'yes' or 'no' score to indicate whether the document
    is relevant to the question. \n
    Provide the binary score as a JSON with a single key 'score' and no
    premable or explanation.""",
    input_variables=["question", "document"],
    partial_variables={"format_instructions": parser.get_format_instructions()}
)

retrieval_grader = prompt | llm | parser
question = "agent memory"
docs = retriever.invoke(question)
doc_txt = docs[1].page_content
retrieval_grader.invoke({"question": question, "document": doc_txt})
```

Output:

```
GradeDocuments(score='yes')
```

Warning

The output from LangChain Official tutorials (Langgraph c-rag) is `{'score': 1}`. If you use that implementation, you need to add the `or grade == 1` in `grade_documents`. Otherwise, it will always use web search.

5.4.4 Generate

Generation

```
### Generate

from langchain_core.output_parsers import StrOutputParser
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
# Prompt
prompt = PromptTemplate(
    template="""You are an assistant for question-answering tasks.

    Use the following documents to answer the question.

    If you don't know the answer, just say that you don't know.

    Use three sentences maximum and keep the answer concise:
    Question: {question}
    Documents: {documents}
    Answer:
    """,
    input_variables=["question", "documents"],
)

# Chain
rag_chain = prompt | llm | StrOutputParser()

# Run
generation = rag_chain.invoke({"documents": docs, "question": question})
print(generation)
```

Output:

```
{
  "short_term_memory": ["They discussed the risks, especially with illicit drugs␣
↪and bioweapons.", "They developed a test set containing a list of known␣
↪chemical weapon agents", "4 out of 11 requests (36%) were accepted to obtain a␣
↪synthesis solution", "The agent attempted to consult documentation to execute␣
↪the procedure", "7 out of 11 were rejected", "5 happened after a Web search",
↪"2 were rejected based on prompt only", "Generative Agents Simulation#",
↪"Generative Agents (Park, et al. 2023) is super fun experiment where 25␣
↪virtual characters"],
  "long_term_memory": ["The design of generative agents combines LLM with memory,
↪ planning and reflection mechanisms to enable agents to behave conditioned on␣
↪past experience", "The memory stream: is a long-term memory module (external␣
↪database) that records a comprehensive list of agents' experience in natural␣
↪language"]
}
```

Answer Grader

```
### Answer Grader

# Data model
class GradeAnswer(BaseModel):
    """Binary score for relevance check on generation."""

    score: str = Field(  # Changed field name to 'score'
        description="Documents are relevant to the question, 'yes' or 'no'")

parser = PydanticOutputParser(pydantic_object=GradeAnswer)

# Prompt
prompt = PromptTemplate(
    template="""You are a grader assessing whether an answer is useful to
                resolve a question. \n
                Here is the answer:
                \n ------- \n
                {generation}
                \n ------- \n
                Here is the question: {question}
                Give a binary score 'yes' or 'no' to indicate whether
                the answer is useful to resolve a question. \n
                Provide the binary score as a JSON with a single key
                'score' and no preamble or explanation.""",
    input_variables=["generation", "question"],
    partial_variables={"format_instructions": parser.get_format_instructions()}
)

answer_grader = prompt | llm | parser
answer_grader.invoke({"question": question, "generation": generation})
```

Output:

```
GradeAnswer(score='yes')
```

5.4.5 Utilities

Router

```
### Router

from langchain.prompts import PromptTemplate
from langchain_community.chat_models import ChatOllama
from langchain_core.output_parsers import JsonOutputParser
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
prompt = PromptTemplate(
    template="""You are an expert at routing a
    user question to a vectorstore or web search. Use the vectorstore for
    questions on LLM agents, prompt engineering, prompting, and adversarial
    attacks. You can also use words that are similar to those,
    no need to have exactly those words. Otherwise, use web-search.

    Give a binary choice 'web_search' or 'vectorstore' based on the question.
    Return the a JSON with a single key 'datasource' and
    no preamble or explanation.

    Examples:
    Question: When will the Euro of Football take place?
    Answer: {{"datasource": "web_search"}}

    Question: What are the types of agent memory?
    Answer: {{"datasource": "vectorstore"}}

    Question: What are the basic approaches for prompt engineering?
    Answer: {{"datasource": "vectorstore"}}

    Question: What is prompt engineering?
    Answer: {{"datasource": "vectorstore"}}

    Question to route:
    {question}""",
    input_variables=["question"],
)


question_router = prompt | llm | JsonOutputParser()

print(question_router.invoke({"question": "When will the Euro of Football \
                                          take place?"}))
print(question_router.invoke({"question": "What are the types of agent \
                                          memory?"})) ### Index

print(question_router.invoke({"question": "What are the basic approaches for \
                                          prompt engineering?"})) ### Index
```

Output:

```
{'datasource': 'web_search'}
{'datasource': 'vectorstore'}
{'datasource': 'vectorstore'}
```

Hallucination Grader

```
### Hallucination Grader

# Data model
class GradeHallucinations(BaseModel):
    """Binary score for relevance check on retrieved documents."""

    score: str = Field(  # Changed field name to 'score'
        description="Documents are relevant to the question, 'yes' or 'no'")

parser = PydanticOutputParser(pydantic_object=GradeHallucinations)

# Prompt
prompt = PromptTemplate(
    template="""You are a grader assessing whether an answer is grounded in /
                supported by a set of facts. \n
                Here are the facts:
                \n ------- \n
                {documents}
                \n ------- \n
                Here is the answer: {generation}
                Give a binary score 'yes' or 'no' score to indicate whether
                the answer is grounded in / supported by a set of facts. \n
                Provide the binary score as a JSON with a single key 'score'
                and no preamble or explanation.""",
    input_variables=["generation", "documents"],
    partial_variables={"format_instructions": parser.get_format_instructions()}
)

hallucination_grader = prompt | llm | parser
hallucination_grader.invoke({"documents": docs, "generation": generation})
```

Output:

```
GradeHallucinations(score='yes')
```

Question Re-writer

```
### Question Re-writer

# Prompt
re_write_prompt = PromptTemplate(
    template="""You a question re-writer that converts an input question
                to a better version that is optimized \n for vectorstore
                retrieval. Look at the input and try to reason about the
                underlying semantic intent / meaning. \n
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
                Here is the initial question: \n\n {question}.
                Formulate an improved question.\n """,
    input_variables=["generation", "question"],
)

question_rewriter = re_write_prompt | llm | StrOutputParser()
question_rewriter.invoke({"question": question})
```

Output:

```
{ "question": "What is the function or purpose of an agent's memory in a given␣
↪context?" }
```

Web Search Tool (Google)

```
from langchain_google_community import GoogleSearchAPIWrapper,␣
↪GoogleSearchResults

from google.colab import userdata
api_key = userdata.get('GOOGLE_API_KEY')
cx =  userdata.get('GOOGLE_CSE_ID')
# Replace with your actual API key and CX ID

# Create an instance of the GoogleSearchAPIWrapper
google_search_wrapper = GoogleSearchAPIWrapper(google_api_key=api_key, google_
↪cse_id=cx)

# Pass the api_wrapper to GoogleSearchResults
web_search_tool = GoogleSearchResults(api_wrapper=google_search_wrapper, k=3)
# web_results = web_search_tool.invoke({"query": question})
```

Warning

The reults from `GoogleSearchResults` are not in `json` format. You will need to use `eval(results)` within the `web_search` function. e.g

```
[Document(page_content=d["snippet"], metadata={"url": d["link"]})
 for d in eval(web_results)]
```

5.4.6 Graph

Create the Graph

```
from typing import List
from typing_extensions import TypedDict
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
from IPython.display import Image, display
from langchain.schema import Document
from langgraph.graph import START, END, StateGraph


class GraphState(TypedDict):
    """
    Represents the state of our graph.

    Attributes:
        question: question
        generation: LLM generation
        search: whether to add search
        documents: list of documents
    """

    question: str
    generation: str
    search: str
    documents: List[str]
    steps: List[str]


def retrieve(state):
    """
    Retrieve documents

    Args:
        state (dict): The current graph state

    Returns:
        state (dict): New key added to state, documents, that contains retrieved␣
↪documents
    """
    question = state["question"]
    documents = retriever.invoke(question)
    steps = state["steps"]
    steps.append("retrieve_documents")
    return {"documents": documents, "question": question, "steps": steps}


def generate(state):
    """
    Generate answer

    Args:
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
        state (dict): The current graph state

    Returns:
        state (dict): New key added to state, generation, that contains LLM␣
↪generation
    """

    question = state["question"]
    documents = state["documents"]
    generation = rag_chain.invoke({"documents": documents, "question": question})
    steps = state["steps"]
    steps.append("generate_answer")
    return {
        "documents": documents,
        "question": question,
        "generation": generation,
        "steps": steps,
    }


def grade_documents(state):
    """
    Determines whether the retrieved documents are relevant to the question.

    Args:
        state (dict): The current graph state

    Returns:
        state (dict): Updates documents key with only filtered relevant documents
    """

    question = state["question"]
    documents = state["documents"]
    steps = state["steps"]
    steps.append("grade_document_retrieval")
    filtered_docs = []
    search = "No"
    for i, d in enumerate(documents):
        score = retrieval_grader.invoke(
            {"question": question, "documents": d.page_content}
        )
        grade = score["score"]

        if grade == "yes" or grade == 1:
            print(f"---GRADE: DOCUMENT {i} RELEVANT---")
            filtered_docs.append(d)
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
        else:
            print(f"---GRADE: DOCUMENT {i} ISN'T RELEVANT---")
            search = "Yes"
            continue
    return {
        "documents": filtered_docs,
        "question": question,
        "search": search,
        "steps": steps,
    }


def web_search(state):
    """
    Web search based on the re-phrased question.

    Args:
        state (dict): The current graph state

    Returns:
        state (dict): Updates documents key with appended web results
    """

    question = state["question"]
    documents = state.get("documents", [])
    steps = state["steps"]
    steps.append("web_search")
    web_results = web_search_tool.invoke({"query": question})
    documents.extend(
        [
            Document(page_content=d["snippet"], metadata={"url": d["link"]})
            for d in eval(web_results)
        ]
    )
    return {"documents": documents, "question": question, "steps": steps}


def decide_to_generate(state):
    """
    Determines whether to generate an answer, or re-generate a question.

    Args:
        state (dict): The current graph state

    Returns:
        str: Binary decision for next node to call
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
    """
    search = state["search"]
    if search == "Yes":
        return "search"
    else:
        return "generate"
```

Compile Graph

```
from langgraph.graph import START, END, StateGraph


workflow = StateGraph(GraphState)

# Define the nodes
workflow.add_node("retrieve", retrieve)  # retrieve
workflow.add_node("grade_documents", grade_documents)  # grade documents
workflow.add_node("generate", generate)  # generatae
workflow.add_node("web_search", web_search)  # web search

# Build graph
workflow.add_edge(START, "retrieve")
workflow.add_edge("retrieve", "grade_documents")
workflow.add_conditional_edges(
    "grade_documents",
    decide_to_generate,
    {
        "search": "web_search",
        "generate": "generate",
    },
)
workflow.add_edge("web_search", "generate")
workflow.add_edge("generate", END)

# Compile
app = workflow.compile()
```

Graph visualization

```
from IPython.display import Image, display

try:
    display(Image(app.get_graph(xray=True).draw_mermaid_png()))
except:
    pass
```

Ouput



Fig. 8: Corrective-RAG Graph

5.4.7 Test

Relevant retrieval

```
import uuid

config = {"configurable": {"thread_id": str(uuid.uuid4())}}
example = {"input": "What are the basic approaches for \
                    prompt engineering?"}

state_dict = app.invoke({"question": example["input"], "steps": []},␣
↪config)
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
state_dict
```

Ouput:

```
---GRADE: DOCUMENT 0 RELEVANT---
---GRADE: DOCUMENT 1 RELEVANT---
---GRADE: DOCUMENT 2 RELEVANT---
---GRADE: DOCUMENT 3 RELEVANT---
{'question': 'What are the basic approaches for                    ␣
↪prompt engineering?',
'generation': '{\n       "Basic Prompting" : "A basic approach for␣
↪prompt engineering is to provide clear and concise instructions to␣
↪the language model, guiding it towards the desired output."\n    }',
'search': 'No',
'documents': [Document(metadata={'description': 'Prompt Engineering,␣
↪also known as In-Context Prompting, refers to methods for how to␣
↪communicate with LLM to steer its behavior for desired outcomes␣
↪without updating the model weights. It is an empirical science and␣
↪the effect of prompt engineering methods can vary a lot among models,␣
↪thus requiring heavy experimentation and heuristics.\nThis post only␣
↪focuses on prompt engineering for autoregressive language models, so␣
↪nothing with Cloze tests, image generation or multimodality models.␣
↪At its core, the goal of prompt engineering is about alignment and␣
↪model steerability. Check my previous post on controllable text␣
↪generation.', 'language': 'en', 'source': 'https://lilianweng.github.
↪io/posts/2023-03-15-prompt-engineering/', 'title': "Prompt␣
↪Engineering | Lil'Log"}, page_content='Prompt Engineering, also known␣
↪as In-Context Prompting, refers to methods for how to communicate␣
↪with LLM to steer its behavior for desired outcomes without updating␣
↪the model weights. It is an empirical science and the effect of␣
↪prompt engineering methods can vary a lot among models, thus␣
↪requiring heavy experimentation and heuristics.\nThis post only␣
↪focuses on prompt engineering for autoregressive language models, so␣
↪nothing with Cloze tests, image generation or multimodality models.␣
↪At its core, the goal of prompt engineering is about alignment and␣
↪model steerability. Check my previous post on controllable text␣
↪generation.\n[My personal spicy take] In my opinion, some prompt␣
↪engineering papers are not worthy 8 pages long, since those tricks␣
↪can be explained in one or a few sentences and the rest is all about␣
↪benchmarking. An easy-to-use and shared benchmark infrastructure␣
↪should be more beneficial to the community. Iterative prompting or␣
↪external tool use would not be trivial to set up. Also non-trivial to␣
↪align the whole research community to adopt it.\nBasic Prompting#'),
 Document(metadata={'description': 'Prompt Engineering, also known as␣
↪In-Context Prompting, refers to methods for how to communicate with␣
↪LLM to steer its behavior for desired outcomes without updating the␣
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
↪model weights. It is an empirical science and the effect of prompt␣
↪engineering methods can vary a lot among models, thus requiring heavy␣
↪experimentation and heuristics.\nThis post only focuses on prompt␣
↪engineering for autoregressive language models, so nothing with Cloze␣
↪tests, image generation or multimodality models. At its core, the␣
↪goal of prompt engineering is about alignment and model steerability.␣
↪Check my previous post on controllable text generation.', 'language':
↪'en', 'source': 'https://lilianweng.github.io/posts/2023-03-15-prompt-
↪engineering/', 'title': "Prompt Engineering | Lil'Log"}, page_content=
↪'Prompt Engineering, also known as In-Context Prompting, refers to␣
↪methods for how to communicate with LLM to steer its behavior for␣
↪desired outcomes without updating the model weights. It is an␣
↪empirical science and the effect of prompt engineering methods can␣
↪vary a lot among models, thus requiring heavy experimentation and␣
↪heuristics.\nThis post only focuses on prompt engineering for␣
↪autoregressive language models, so nothing with Cloze tests, image␣
↪generation or multimodality models. At its core, the goal of prompt␣
↪engineering is about alignment and model steerability. Check my␣
↪previous post on controllable text generation.\n[My personal spicy␣
↪take] In my opinion, some prompt engineering papers are not worthy 8␣
↪pages long, since those tricks can be explained in one or a few␣
↪sentences and the rest is all about benchmarking. An easy-to-use and␣
↪shared benchmark infrastructure should be more beneficial to the␣
↪community. Iterative prompting or external tool use would not be␣
↪trivial to set up. Also non-trivial to align the whole research␣
↪community to adopt it.\nBasic Prompting#'),
 Document(metadata={'description': 'Prompt Engineering, also known as␣
↪In-Context Prompting, refers to methods for how to communicate with␣
↪LLM to steer its behavior for desired outcomes without updating the␣
↪model weights. It is an empirical science and the effect of prompt␣
↪engineering methods can vary a lot among models, thus requiring heavy␣
↪experimentation and heuristics.\nThis post only focuses on prompt␣
↪engineering for autoregressive language models, so nothing with Cloze␣
↪tests, image generation or multimodality models. At its core, the␣
↪goal of prompt engineering is about alignment and model steerability.␣
↪Check my previous post on controllable text generation.', 'language':
↪'en', 'source': 'https://lilianweng.github.io/posts/2023-03-15-prompt-
↪engineering/', 'title': "Prompt Engineering | Lil'Log"}, page_content=
↪'Prompt Engineering, also known as In-Context Prompting, refers to␣
↪methods for how to communicate with LLM to steer its behavior for␣
↪desired outcomes without updating the model weights. It is an␣
↪empirical science and the effect of prompt engineering methods can␣
↪vary a lot among models, thus requiring heavy experimentation and␣
↪heuristics.\nThis post only focuses on prompt engineering for␣
↪autoregressive language models, so nothing with Cloze tests, image␣
↪generation or multimodality models. At its core, the goal of prompt␣
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
↪engineering is about alignment and model steerability. Check my␣
↪previous post on controllable text generation.\n[My personal spicy␣
↪take] In my opinion, some prompt engineering papers are not worthy 8␣
↪pages long, since those tricks can be explained in one or a few␣
↪sentences and the rest is all about benchmarking. An easy-to-use and␣
↪shared benchmark infrastructure should be more beneficial to the␣
↪community. Iterative prompting or external tool use would not be␣
↪trivial to set up. Also non-trivial to align the whole research␣
↪community to adopt it.\nBasic Prompting#'),
 Document(metadata={'description': 'Prompt Engineering, also known as␣
↪In-Context Prompting, refers to methods for how to communicate with␣
↪LLM to steer its behavior for desired outcomes without updating the␣
↪model weights. It is an empirical science and the effect of prompt␣
↪engineering methods can vary a lot among models, thus requiring heavy␣
↪experimentation and heuristics.\nThis post only focuses on prompt␣
↪engineering for autoregressive language models, so nothing with Cloze␣
↪tests, image generation or multimodality models. At its core, the␣
↪goal of prompt engineering is about alignment and model steerability.␣
↪Check my previous post on controllable text generation.', 'language':
↪'en', 'source': 'https://lilianweng.github.io/posts/2023-03-15-prompt-
↪engineering/', 'title': "Prompt Engineering | Lil'Log"}, page_content=
↪"Prompt Engineering | Lil'Log\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\
↪n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\nLil'Log\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\
↪n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n|\n\n\n\n\n\n\nPosts\n\n\n\n\nArchive\n\n\n\n\nSearch\n\
↪n\n\n\nTags\n\n\n\n\nFAQ\n\n\n\n\nemojisearch.app\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n␣
↪     Prompt Engineering\n    \nDate: March 15, 2023  |  Estimated␣
↪Reading Time: 21 min  |  Author: Lilian Weng\n\n\n \n\n\nTable of␣
↪Contents\n\n\n\nBasic Prompting\n\nZero-Shot\n\nFew-shot\n\nTips for␣
↪Example Selection\n\nTips for Example Ordering\n\n\n\nInstruction␣
↪Prompting\n\nSelf-Consistency Sampling\n\nChain-of-Thought (CoT)\n\
↪nTypes of CoT prompts\n\nTips and Extensions\n\n\nAutomatic Prompt␣
↪Design\n\nAugmented Language Models\n\nRetrieval\n\nProgramming␣
↪Language\n\nExternal APIs\n\n\nCitation\n\nUseful Resources\n\
↪nReferences")],
'steps': ['retrieve_documents',
  'grade_document_retrieval',
  'generate_answer']}
```

Irrelevant retrieval

```
example = {"input": "What is the capital of China?"}
config = {"configurable": {"thread_id": str(uuid.uuid4())}}
state_dict = app.invoke({"question": example["input"], "steps": []},␣
↪config)
state_dict
```

Ouput:

```
---GRADE: DOCUMENT 0 ISN'T RELEVANT---
---GRADE: DOCUMENT 1 ISN'T RELEVANT---
---GRADE: DOCUMENT 2 ISN'T RELEVANT---
---GRADE: DOCUMENT 3 ISN'T RELEVANT---
{'question': 'What is the capital of China?',
'generation': '{\n      "answer": "Beijing is the capital of China."\n␣
↪  }',
'search': 'Yes',
'documents': [Document(metadata={'url': 'https://clintonwhitehouse3.
↪archives.gov/WH/New/China/beijing.html'}, page_content='The modern␣
↪day capital of China is Beijing (literally "Northern Capital"), which␣
↪first served as China\'s capital city in 1261, when the Mongol ruler␣
↪Kublai\xa0...'),
  Document(metadata={'url': 'https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Beijing'},␣
↪page_content="Beijing, previously romanized as Peking, is the capital␣
↪city of China. With more than 22 million residents, it is the world's␣
↪most populous national capital\xa0..."),
  Document(metadata={'url': 'https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/38294063/'}
↪, page_content='Supercritical and homogenous transmission of␣
↪monkeypox in the capital of China. J Med Virol. 2024 Feb;96(2):e29442.
↪ doi: 10.1002/jmv.29442. Authors. Yunjun\xa0...'),
  Document(metadata={'url': 'https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/
↪article/pii/S0304387820301358'}, page_content='This paper␣
↪investigates the impacts of fires on cognitive performance. We find␣
↪that a one-standard-deviation increase in the difference between␣
↪upwind and\xa0...')],
'steps': ['retrieve_documents',
  'grade_document_retrieval',
  'web_search',
  'generate_answer']}
```

5.5 Adaptive RAG

5.5.1 Load Models

```
from langchain_ollama import OllamaEmbeddings
from langchain_ollama.llms import OllamaLLM

# embedding model
embedding = OllamaEmbeddings(model="bge-m3")

# LLM
llm = OllamaLLM(temperature=0.0, model='mistral', format='json')
```



Fig. 9: Adaptive-RAG langgraph diagram (source Langgraph A-rag)

Warning

You need to specify `format='json'` when Initializing `OllamaLLM`. otherwise you will get error:



5.5.2 Create Index

```
from langchain.text_splitter import RecursiveCharacterTextSplitter
from langchain_community.document_loaders import WebBaseLoader
from langchain_community.vectorstores import Chroma
from langchain_ollama import OllamaEmbeddings  # Import OllamaEmbeddings instead


urls = [
    "https://lilianweng.github.io/posts/2023-06-23-agent/",
    "https://lilianweng.github.io/posts/2023-03-15-prompt-engineering/",
    "https://lilianweng.github.io/posts/2023-10-25-adv-attack-llm/",
]

docs = [WebBaseLoader(url).load() for url in urls]
docs_list = [item for sublist in docs for item in sublist]

text_splitter = RecursiveCharacterTextSplitter.from_tiktoken_encoder(
    chunk_size=250, chunk_overlap=0
)
doc_splits = text_splitter.split_documents(docs_list)

# Add to vectorDB
vectorstore = Chroma.from_documents(
    documents=doc_splits,
    collection_name="rag-chroma",
    embedding=OllamaEmbeddings(model="bge-m3"),
)
retriever = vectorstore.as_retriever(k=4)
```

5.5.3 Retrieval

Define Retriever

```
def retrieve(state):
    """
    Retrieve documents

    Args:
        state (dict): The current graph state

    Returns:
        state (dict): New key added to state,
                      documents, that contains retrieved documents
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
    """
    print("---RETRIEVE---")
    question = state["question"]

    # Retrieval
    documents = retriever.invoke(question)
    return {"documents": documents, "question": question}
```

Retrieval Grader

```
### Retrieval Grader

from langchain_ollama.llms import OllamaLLM
from langchain.prompts import PromptTemplate
from langchain_community.chat_models import ChatOllama
from langchain_core.output_parsers import JsonOutputParser

# Import BaseModel and Field from langchain_core.pydantic_v1
# from langchain_core.pydantic_v1 import BaseModel, Field
from pydantic import BaseModel, Field
from langchain.output_parsers import PydanticOutputParser

# Data model
class GradeDocuments(BaseModel):
    """Binary score for relevance check on retrieved documents."""

    score: str = Field(  # Changed field name to 'score'
        description="Documents are relevant to the question, 'yes' or 'no'")

parser = PydanticOutputParser(pydantic_object=GradeDocuments)

prompt = PromptTemplate(
    template="""You are a grader assessing relevance of a retrieved
    document to a user question. \n
    Here is the retrieved document: \n\n {document} \n\n
    Here is the user question: {question} \n
    If the document contains keywords related to the user question,
    grade it as relevant. \n
    It does not need to be a stringent test. The goal is to filter out
    erroneous retrievals. \n
    Give a binary score 'yes' or 'no' score to indicate whether the document
    is relevant to the question. \n
    Provide the binary score as a JSON with a single key 'score' and no
    preamble or explanation.""",
    input_variables=["question", "document"],
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
    partial_variables={"format_instructions": parser.get_format_instructions()}
)

retrieval_grader = prompt | llm | parser
question = "agent memory"
docs = retriever.invoke(question)
doc_txt = docs[1].page_content
retrieval_grader.invoke({"question": question, "document": doc_txt})
```

5.5.4 Generate

Generation

```
### Generate

from langchain_core.output_parsers import StrOutputParser

# Prompt
prompt = PromptTemplate(
    template="""You are an assistant for question-answering tasks.

    Use the following documents to answer the question.

    If you don't know the answer, just say that you don't know.

    Use three sentences maximum and keep the answer concise:
    Question: {question}
    Documents: {documents}
    Answer:
    """,
    input_variables=["question", "documents"],
)

# Chain
rag_chain = prompt | llm | StrOutputParser()

# Run
generation = rag_chain.invoke({"documents": docs, "question": question})
print(generation)
```

Ouput:

```
{
    "answer": [
        {
            "role": "assistant",
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
            "content": "In the context of an LLM (Large Language Model) powered␣
↪autonomous agent, memory can be divided into three types: Sensory Memory,␣
↪Short-term Memory, and Long-term Memory. \n\nSensory Memory is learning␣
↪embedding representations for raw inputs, including text, image or other␣
↪modalities. It's the earliest stage of memory, providing the ability to retain␣
↪impressions of sensory information after the original stimuli have ended.␣
↪Sensory memory typically only lasts for up to a few seconds. Subcategories␣
↪include iconic memory (visual), echoic memory (auditory), and haptic memory␣
↪(touch). \n\nShort-term memory, also known as working memory, is short and␣
↪finite, as it is restricted by the finite context window length of Transformer.
↪ It stores and manipulates the information that the agent currently needs to␣
↪solve a task. \n\nLong-term memory is the external vector store that the agent␣
↪can attend to at query time, accessible via fast retrieval. This provides the␣
↪agent with the capability to retain and recall (infinite) information over␣
↪extended periods, often by leveraging an external vector store and fast␣
↪retrieval methods such as Maximum Inner Product Search (MIPS). To optimize the␣
↪retrieval speed, the common choice is the approximate nearest neighbors (ANN)␣
↪algorithm to return approximately top k nearest neighbors, trading off a␣
↪little accuracy lost for a huge speedup. A couple common choices of ANN␣
↪algorithms for fast MIPS are HNSW (Hierarchical Navigable Small World) and␣
↪Annoy (Approximate Nearest Neighbors Oh Yeah)."
        }
    ]
}
```

```
def generate(state):
    """
    Generate answer

    Args:
        state (dict): The current graph state

    Returns:
        state (dict): New key added to state, generation,
        that contains LLM generation
    """
    print("---GENERATE---")
    question = state["question"]
    documents = state["documents"]

    # RAG generation
    generation = rag_chain.invoke({"documents": documents, \
                                   "question": question})
    return {"documents": documents, \
            "question": question,\
            "generation": generation}
```

Answer Grader

```
### Answer Grader

# Data model
class GradeAnswer(BaseModel):
    """Binary score to assess answer addresses question."""

    score: str = Field(
        description="Answer addresses the question, 'yes' or 'no'"
    )

# parser
parser = PydanticOutputParser(pydantic_object=GradeAnswer)

# Prompt
prompt = PromptTemplate(
    template="""You are a grader assessing whether an answer is useful to
    resolve a question. \n
    Here is the answer:
    \n ------- \n
    {generation}
    \n ------- \n
    Here is the question: {question}
    Give a binary score 'yes' or 'no' to indicate whether the answer is
    useful to resolve a question. \n
    Provide the binary score as a JSON with a single key 'score' and no
    preamble or explanation.""",
    input_variables=["generation", "question"],
)

answer_grader = prompt | llm | parser
answer_grader.invoke({"question": question, "generation": generation})
```

5.5.5 Utilities

Router

```
### Router

from typing import Literal

from langchain_ollama.llms import OllamaLLM
from langchain.prompts import PromptTemplate
from langchain_community.chat_models import ChatOllama
from langchain_core.output_parsers import JsonOutputParser

```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
from langchain.output_parsers import PydanticOutputParser
from pydantic import BaseModel, Field


# Data model
class RouteQuery(BaseModel):
    """Route a user query to the most relevant datasource."""

    datasource: Literal["vectorstore", "web_search"] = Field(
        ...,
        description="Given a user question choose to route it \
                    to web search or a vectorstore.",
    )


# LLM with function call
structured_llm_router = PydanticOutputParser(pydantic_object=RouteQuery)

# Prompt
route_prompt = PromptTemplate(
    template="""You are an expert at routing a user question to a
                vectorstore or web search. The vectorstore contains
                documents related to agents, prompt engineering,
                and adversarial attacks.
                Use the vectorstore for questions on these topics.
                Otherwise, use web-search. \n
                Here is the user question: {question}. \n
                Respond with a JSON object containing only the key 'datasource'
                and its value, which should be either 'vectorstore' or 'web_
↪search'.

                Example:
                {{"datasource": "vectorstore"}}
            """,
    input_variables=["question"],
    partial_variables={"format_instructions": \
                       structured_llm_router.get_format_instructions()}
)

question_router = route_prompt | llm | structured_llm_router

print(question_router.invoke({"question": \
                          "Who will the Bears draft first in the NFL draft?"}))

print(question_router.invoke({"question": \
                                "What are the types of agent memory?"}))
```

Ouput:

```
datasource='web_search'
datasource='vectorstore'
```

Note

We introduced above new implementation with `pydantic` Data Model for the output parser. But, you can still use the similar one we implemented in *Corrective RAG*.

```
### OR
### Router

from langchain.prompts import PromptTemplate
from langchain_community.chat_models import ChatOllama
from langchain_core.output_parsers import JsonOutputParser


prompt = PromptTemplate(
    template="""You are an expert at routing a
    user question to a vectorstore or web search. Use the vectorstore for
    questions on LLM agents, prompt engineering, prompting, and adversarial
    attacks. You can also use words that are similar to those,
    no need to have exactly those words. Otherwise, use web-search.

    Give a binary choice 'web_search' or 'vectorstore' based on the question.
    Return the a JSON with a single key 'datasource' and
    no preamble or explanation.

    Examples:
    Question: When will the Euro of Football take place?
    Answer: {{"datasource": "web_search"}}

    Question: What are the types of agent memory?
    Answer: {{"datasource": "vectorstore"}}

    Question: What are the basic approaches for prompt engineering?
    Answer: {{"datasource": "vectorstore"}}

    Question: What is prompt engineering?
    Answer: {{"datasource": "vectorstore"}}

    Question to route:
    {question}""",
    input_variables=["question"],
)
```

```
question_router = prompt | llm | JsonOutputParser()

print(question_router.invoke({"question": "When will the Euro of Football \
                                          take place?"}))
print(question_router.invoke({"question": "What are the types of agent \
                                          memory?"})) ### Index

print(question_router.invoke({"question": "What are the basic approaches for \
                                          prompt engineering?"})) ### Index
```

Hallucination Grader

```
### Hallucination Grader

# Data model
class GradeHallucinations(BaseModel):
    """Binary score for hallucination present in generation answer."""

    score: str = Field(
        description="Answer is grounded in the facts, 'yes' or 'no'"
    )

parser = PydanticOutputParser(pydantic_object=GradeHallucinations)

# Prompt
prompt = PromptTemplate(
    template="""You are a grader assessing whether an answer is grounded
    in / supported by a set of facts. \n
    Here are the facts:
    \n ------- \n
    {documents}
    \n ------- \n
    Here is the answer: {generation}
    Give a binary score 'yes' or 'no' score to indicate whether the answer
    is grounded in / supported by a set of facts. \n
    Provide the binary score as a JSON with a single key 'score' and no
    preamble or explanation.""",
    input_variables=["generation", "documents"],
)

hallucination_grader = prompt | llm | parser
hallucination_grader.invoke({"documents": docs, "generation": generation})
```

Question Re-writer

```
### Question Re-writer

# Prompt
re_write_prompt = PromptTemplate(
    template="""You a question re-writer that converts an input question to
    a better version that is optimized \n
    for vectorstore retrieval. Look at the initial and formulate an improved
    question. \n
    Here is the initial question: \n\n {question}. Improved question
    with no preamble: \n """,
    input_variables=["generation", "question"],
)

question_rewriter = re_write_prompt | llm | StrOutputParser()
question_rewriter.invoke({"question": question})
```

Google Web Search

```
## Google Web Search
from langchain_google_community import GoogleSearchAPIWrapper,␣
↪GoogleSearchResults

from google.colab import userdata
api_key = userdata.get('GOOGLE_API_KEY')
cx =  userdata.get('GOOGLE_CSE_ID')
# Replace with your actual API key and CX ID

# Create an instance of the GoogleSearchAPIWrapper
google_search_wrapper = GoogleSearchAPIWrapper(google_api_key=api_key, \
                                               google_cse_id=cx)

# Pass the api_wrapper to GoogleSearchResults
web_search_tool = GoogleSearchResults(api_wrapper=google_search_wrapper, k=3)
```

Warning

The reults from `GoogleSearchResults` are not in `json` format. You will need to use `eval(results)` within the `web_search` function. e.g

```
[Document(page_content=d["snippet"], metadata={"url": d["link"]})
 for d in eval(web_results)]
```

5.5.6 Graph

Create the Graph

```
from typing import List
from typing_extensions import TypedDict
from IPython.display import Image, display
from langchain.schema import Document
from langgraph.graph import START, END, StateGraph


class GraphState(TypedDict):
    """
    Represents the state of our graph.

    Attributes:
        question: question
        generation: LLM generation
        documents: list of documents
    """

    question: str
    generation: str
    documents: List[str]


def retrieve(state):
    """
    Retrieve documents

    Args:
        state (dict): The current graph state

    Returns:
        state (dict): New key added to state,
                      documents, that contains retrieved documents
    """
    print("---RETRIEVE---")
    question = state["question"]

    # Retrieval
    documents = retriever.invoke(question)
    return {"documents": documents, "question": question}

def generate(state):
    """
    Generate answer
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
    Args:
        state (dict): The current graph state

    Returns:
        state (dict): New key added to state, generation,
        that contains LLM generation
    """
    print("---GENERATE---")
    question = state["question"]
    documents = state["documents"]

    # RAG generation
    generation = rag_chain.invoke({"documents": documents, \
                                   "question": question})
    return {"documents": documents, \
            "question": question,\
            "generation": generation}


def grade_documents(state):
    """
    Determines whether the retrieved documents are relevant to the question.

    Args:
        state (dict): The current graph state

    Returns:
        state (dict): Updates documents key with only filtered relevant documents
    """

    print("---CHECK DOCUMENT RELEVANCE TO QUESTION---")
    question = state["question"]
    documents = state["documents"]

    # Score each doc
    filtered_docs = []
    for d in documents:
        score = retrieval_grader.invoke(
            {"question": question, "document": d.page_content}
        )
        grade = score.score
        if grade == "yes":
            print("---GRADE: DOCUMENT RELEVANT---")
            filtered_docs.append(d)
        else:
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
            print("---GRADE: DOCUMENT NOT RELEVANT---")
            continue
    return {"documents": filtered_docs, "question": question}

def transform_query(state):
    """
    Transform the query to produce a better question.

    Args:
        state (dict): The current graph state

    Returns:
        state (dict): Updates question key with a re-phrased question
    """

    print("---TRANSFORM QUERY---")
    question = state["question"]
    documents = state["documents"]

    # Re-write question
    better_question = question_rewriter.invoke({"question": question})
    return {"documents": documents, "question": better_question}

def web_search(state):
    """
    Web search based on the re-phrased question.

    Args:
        state (dict): The current graph state

    Returns:
        state (dict): Updates documents key with appended web results
    """

    question = state["question"]
    documents = state.get("documents", [])

    web_results = web_search_tool.invoke({"query": question})
    documents.extend(
        [
            Document(page_content=d["snippet"], metadata={"url": d["link"]})
            for d in eval(web_results)
        ]
    )
    return {"documents": documents, \
            "question": grade_generation_v_documents_and_question}
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
### Edges ###


def route_question(state):
    """
    Route question to web search or RAG.

    Args:
        state (dict): The current graph state

    Returns:
        str: Next node to call
    """

    print("---ROUTE QUESTION---")
    question = state["question"]
    source = question_router.invoke({"question": question})
    if source.datasource == "web_search":
        print("---ROUTE QUESTION TO WEB SEARCH---")
        return "web_search"
    elif source.datasource == "vectorstore":
        print("---ROUTE QUESTION TO RAG---")
        return "vectorstore"


def decide_to_generate(state):
    """
    Determines whether to generate an answer, or re-generate a question.

    Args:
        state (dict): The current graph state

    Returns:
        str: Binary decision for next node to call
    """

    print("---ASSESS GRADED DOCUMENTS---")
    state["question"]
    filtered_documents = state["documents"]

    if not filtered_documents:
        # All documents have been filtered check_relevance
        # We will re-generate a new query
        print("---DECISION: ALL DOCUMENTS ARE NOT RELEVANT TO QUESTION, \
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
            TRANSFORM QUERY---")
        return "transform_query"
    else:
        # We have relevant documents, so generate answer
        print("---DECISION: GENERATE---")
        return "generate"


def grade_generation_v_documents_and_question(state):
    """
    Determines whether the generation is grounded in the document
    and answers question.

    Args:
        state (dict): The current graph state

    Returns:
        str: Decision for next node to call
    """

    print("---CHECK HALLUCINATIONS---")
    question = state["question"]
    documents = state["documents"]
    generation = state["generation"]

    score = hallucination_grader.invoke(
        {"documents": documents, "generation": generation}
    )
    grade = score.score

    # Check hallucination
    if grade == "yes":
        print("---DECISION: GENERATION IS GROUNDED IN DOCUMENTS---")
        # Check question-answering
        print("---GRADE GENERATION vs QUESTION---")
        score = answer_grader.invoke({"question": question, \
                                      "generation": generation})
        grade = score.score
        if grade == "yes":
            print("---DECISION: GENERATION ADDRESSES QUESTION---")
            return "useful"
        else:
            print("---DECISION: GENERATION DOES NOT ADDRESS QUESTION---")
            return "not useful"
    else:
        print("---DECISION: GENERATION IS NOT GROUNDED IN DOCUMENTS, RE-TRY---")
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
        return "not supported"
```

Compile Graph

```
from langgraph.graph import END, StateGraph, START

workflow = StateGraph(GraphState)

# Define the nodes
workflow.add_node("web_search", web_search)  # web search
workflow.add_node("retrieve", retrieve)  # retrieve
workflow.add_node("grade_documents", grade_documents)  # grade documents
workflow.add_node("generate", generate)  # generatae
workflow.add_node("transform_query", transform_query)  # transform_query

# Build graph
workflow.add_conditional_edges(
    START,
    route_question,
    {
        "web_search": "web_search",
        "vectorstore": "retrieve",
    },
)
workflow.add_edge("web_search", "generate")
workflow.add_edge("retrieve", "grade_documents")
workflow.add_conditional_edges(
    "grade_documents",
    decide_to_generate,
    {
        "transform_query": "transform_query",
        "generate": "generate",
    },
)
workflow.add_edge("transform_query", "retrieve")
workflow.add_conditional_edges(
    "generate",
    grade_generation_v_documents_and_question,
    {
        "not supported": "generate",
        "useful": END,
        "not useful": "transform_query",
    },
)
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
# Compile
app = workflow.compile()
```

Graph visualization

```
from IPython.display import Image, display

try:
    display(Image(app.get_graph(xray=True).draw_mermaid_png()))
except:
    pass
```

Ouput

5.5.7 Test

Relevant retrieval

```
import uuid

example = {"input": "What is the capital of China?"}
config = {"configurable": {"thread_id": str(uuid.uuid4())}}
state_dict = app.invoke({"question": example["input"], "steps": []},␣
↪config)
state_dict
```

Ouput:

```
---ROUTE QUESTION---
---ROUTE QUESTION TO WEB SEARCH---
---GENERATE---
---CHECK HALLUCINATIONS---
---DECISION: GENERATION IS GROUNDED IN DOCUMENTS---
---GRADE GENERATION vs QUESTION---
---DECISION: GENERATION ADDRESSES QUESTION---
{'question': <function __main__.grade_generation_v_documents_and_
↪question(state)>,
'generation': '{\n      "question": "<function grade_generation_v_
↪documents_and_question at 0x7c7eb21f8670>",\n      "answer": "The␣
↪capital city of China is Beijing, as mentioned in three documents.␣
↪The first document states that Beijing served as China\'s capital␣
↪city in 1261, the second document confirms it as the current capital␣
↪with over 22 million residents, and the third document does not␣
↪directly mention the capital but is related to a study conducted in␣
↪China."\n    }',
'documents': [Document(metadata={'url': 'https://clintonwhitehouse3.
```

(continues on next page)



Fig. 10: Adaptive-RAG Graph

(continued from previous page)

```
↪archives.gov/WH/New/China/beijing.html'}, page_content='The modern␣
↪day capital of China is Beijing (literally "Northern Capital"), which␣
↪first served as China\'s capital city in 1261, when the Mongol ruler␣
↪Kublai\xa0...'),
 Document(metadata={'url': 'https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Beijing'},␣
↪page_content="Beijing, previously romanized as Peking, is the capital␣
↪city of China. With more than 22 million residents, it is the world's␣
↪most populous national capital\xa0..."),
 Document(metadata={'url': 'https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/38294063/'}
↪, page_content='Supercritical and homogenous transmission of␣
↪monkeypox in the capital of China. J Med Virol. 2024 Feb;96(2):e29442.
↪ doi: 10.1002/jmv.29442. Authors. Yunjun\xa0...'),
 Document(metadata={'url': 'https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/
↪article/pii/S0304387820301358'}, page_content='This paper␣
↪investigates the impacts of fires on cognitive performance. We find␣
↪that a one-standard-deviation increase in the difference between␣
↪upwind and\xa0...')]}
```

Irrelevant retrieval

```
input = "What are the types of agent memory?"

example = {"input": input}
config = {"configurable": {"thread_id": str(uuid.uuid4())}}
state_dict = app.invoke({"question": example["input"], "steps": []},␣
↪config)
state_dict
```

Ouput:

```
---ROUTE QUESTION---
---ROUTE QUESTION TO RAG---
---RETRIEVE---
---CHECK DOCUMENT RELEVANCE TO QUESTION---
---GRADE: DOCUMENT RELEVANT---
---GRADE: DOCUMENT RELEVANT---
---GRADE: DOCUMENT NOT RELEVANT---
---GRADE: DOCUMENT RELEVANT---
---ASSESS GRADED DOCUMENTS---
---DECISION: GENERATE---
---GENERATE---
---CHECK HALLUCINATIONS---
---DECISION: GENERATION IS GROUNDED IN DOCUMENTS---
---GRADE GENERATION vs QUESTION---
---DECISION: GENERATION ADDRESSES QUESTION---
{'question': 'What are the types of agent memory?',
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
'generation': '{\n       "Short-term memory": "In-context learning,␣
↪restricted by the finite context window length of Transformer",\n    ␣
↪  "Long-term memory": "External vector store that the agent can␣
↪attend to at query time, accessible via fast retrieval"\n    }',
'documents': [Document(metadata={'description': 'Building agents with␣
↪LLM (large language model) as its core controller is a cool concept.␣
↪Several proof-of-concepts demos, such as AutoGPT, GPT-Engineer and␣
↪BabyAGI, serve as inspiring examples. The potentiality of LLM extends␣
↪beyond generating well-written copies, stories, essays and programs;␣
↪it can be framed as a powerful general problem solver.\nAgent System␣
↪Overview\nIn a LLM-powered autonomous agent system, LLM functions as␣
↪the agent's brain, complemented by several key components:\n\
↪nPlanning\n\nSubgoal and decomposition: The agent breaks down large␣
↪tasks into smaller, manageable subgoals, enabling efficient handling␣
↪of complex tasks.\nReflection and refinement: The agent can do self-
↪criticism and self-reflection over past actions, learn from mistakes␣
↪and refine them for future steps, thereby improving the quality of␣
↪final results.\n\n\nMemory\n\nShort-term memory: I would consider all␣
↪the in-context learning (See Prompt Engineering) as utilizing short-
↪term memory of the model to learn.\nLong-term memory: This provides␣
↪the agent with the capability to retain and recall (infinite)␣
↪information over extended periods, often by leveraging an external␣
↪vector store and fast retrieval.\n\n\nTool use\n\nThe agent learns to␣
↪call external APIs for extra information that is missing from the␣
↪model weights (often hard to change after pre-training), including␣
↪current information, code execution capability, access to proprietary␣
↪information sources and more.\n\n\n\nFig. 1. Overview of a LLM-
↪powered autonomous agent system.\nComponent One: Planning\nA␣
↪complicated task usually involves many steps. An agent needs to know␣
↪what they are and plan ahead.', 'language': 'en', 'source': 'https://
↪lilianweng.github.io/posts/2023-06-23-agent/', 'title': "LLM Powered␣
↪Autonomous Agents | Lil'Log"}, page_content='Fig. 7. Comparison of AD,
↪ ED, source policy and RL^2 on environments that require memory and␣
↪exploration. Only binary reward is assigned. The source policies are␣
↪trained with A3C for "dark" environments and DQN for watermaze.(Image␣
↪source: Laskin et al. 2023)\nComponent Two: Memory#\n(Big thank you␣
↪to ChatGPT for helping me draft this section. I've learned a lot␣
↪about the human brain and data structure for fast MIPS in my␣
↪conversations with ChatGPT.)\nTypes of Memory#\nMemory can be defined␣
↪as the processes used to acquire, store, retain, and later retrieve␣
↪information. There are several types of memory in human brains.\n\n\
↪nSensory Memory: This is the earliest stage of memory, providing the␣
↪ability to retain impressions of sensory information (visual,␣
↪auditory, etc) after the original stimuli have ended. Sensory memory␣
↪typically only lasts for up to a few seconds. Subcategories include␣
↪iconic memory (visual), echoic memory (auditory), and haptic memory␣
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
↪(touch).'),
 Document(metadata={'description': 'Building agents with LLM (large␣
↪language model) as its core controller is a cool concept. Several␣
↪proof-of-concepts demos, such as AutoGPT, GPT-Engineer and BabyAGI,␣
↪serve as inspiring examples. The potentiality of LLM extends beyond␣
↪generating well-written copies, stories, essays and programs; it can␣
↪be framed as a powerful general problem solver.\nAgent System␣
↪Overview\nIn a LLM-powered autonomous agent system, LLM functions as␣
↪the agent's brain, complemented by several key components:\n\
↪nPlanning\n\nSubgoal and decomposition: The agent breaks down large␣
↪tasks into smaller, manageable subgoals, enabling efficient handling␣
↪of complex tasks.\nReflection and refinement: The agent can do self-
↪criticism and self-reflection over past actions, learn from mistakes␣
↪and refine them for future steps, thereby improving the quality of␣
↪final results.\n\n\nMemory\n\nShort-term memory: I would consider all␣
↪the in-context learning (See Prompt Engineering) as utilizing short-
↪term memory of the model to learn.\nLong-term memory: This provides␣
↪the agent with the capability to retain and recall (infinite)␣
↪information over extended periods, often by leveraging an external␣
↪vector store and fast retrieval.\n\n\nTool use\n\nThe agent learns to␣
↪call external APIs for extra information that is missing from the␣
↪model weights (often hard to change after pre-training), including␣
↪current information, code execution capability, access to proprietary␣
↪information sources and more.\n\n\n\nFig. 1. Overview of a LLM-
↪powered autonomous agent system.\nComponent One: Planning\nA␣
↪complicated task usually involves many steps. An agent needs to know␣
↪what they are and plan ahead.', 'language': 'en', 'source': 'https://
↪lilianweng.github.io/posts/2023-06-23-agent/', 'title': "LLM Powered␣
↪Autonomous Agents | Lil'Log"}, page_content='Short-term memory: I␣
↪would consider all the in-context learning (See Prompt Engineering)␣
↪as utilizing short-term memory of the model to learn.\nLong-term␣
↪memory: This provides the agent with the capability to retain and␣
↪recall (infinite) information over extended periods, often by␣
↪leveraging an external vector store and fast retrieval.\n\n\nTool use\
↪n\nThe agent learns to call external APIs for extra information that␣
↪is missing from the model weights (often hard to change after pre-
↪training), including current information, code execution capability,␣
↪access to proprietary information sources and more.'),
 Document(metadata={'description': 'Building agents with LLM (large␣
↪language model) as its core controller is a cool concept. Several␣
↪proof-of-concepts demos, such as AutoGPT, GPT-Engineer and BabyAGI,␣
↪serve as inspiring examples. The potentiality of LLM extends beyond␣
↪generating well-written copies, stories, essays and programs; it can␣
↪be framed as a powerful general problem solver.\nAgent System␣
↪Overview\nIn a LLM-powered autonomous agent system, LLM functions as␣
↪the agent's brain, complemented by several key components:\n\
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
↪nPlanning\n\nSubgoal and decomposition: The agent breaks down large␣
↪tasks into smaller, manageable subgoals, enabling efficient handling␣
↪of complex tasks.\nReflection and refinement: The agent can do self-
↪criticism and self-reflection over past actions, learn from mistakes␣
↪and refine them for future steps, thereby improving the quality of␣
↪final results.\n\n\nMemory\n\nShort-term memory: I would consider all␣
↪the in-context learning (See Prompt Engineering) as utilizing short-
↪term memory of the model to learn.\nLong-term memory: This provides␣
↪the agent with the capability to retain and recall (infinite)␣
↪information over extended periods, often by leveraging an external␣
↪vector store and fast retrieval.\n\n\nTool use\n\nThe agent learns to␣
↪call external APIs for extra information that is missing from the␣
↪model weights (often hard to change after pre-training), including␣
↪current information, code execution capability, access to proprietary␣
↪information sources and more.\n\n\n\nFig. 1. Overview of a LLM-
↪powered autonomous agent system.\nComponent One: Planning\nA␣
↪complicated task usually involves many steps. An agent needs to know␣
↪what they are and plan ahead.', 'language': 'en', 'source': 'https://
↪lilianweng.github.io/posts/2023-06-23-agent/', 'title': "LLM Powered␣
↪Autonomous Agents | Lil'Log"}, page_content='Sensory memory as␣
↪learning embedding representations for raw inputs, including text,␣
↪image or other modalities;\nShort-term memory as in-context learning.␣
↪It is short and finite, as it is restricted by the finite context␣
↪window length of Transformer.\nLong-term memory as the external␣
↪vector store that the agent can attend to at query time, accessible␣
↪via fast retrieval.\n\nMaximum Inner Product Search (MIPS)#\nThe␣
↪external memory can alleviate the restriction of finite attention␣
↪span.  A standard practice is to save the embedding representation of␣
↪information into a vector store database that can support fast␣
↪maximum inner-product search (MIPS). To optimize the retrieval speed,␣
↪the common choice is the approximate nearest neighbors (ANN)\u200b␣
↪algorithm to return approximately top k nearest neighbors to trade␣
↪off a little accuracy lost for a huge speedup.\nA couple common␣
↪choices of ANN algorithms for fast MIPS:')]}
```

5.6 Agentic RAG

5.6.1 Load Models

```
from langchain_ollama import OllamaEmbeddings
from langchain_ollama.llms import OllamaLLM

# embedding model
embedding = OllamaEmbeddings(model="bge-m3")
```

(continues on next page)



Fig. 11: Agentic-RAG langgraph diagram (source Langgraph Agentic-rag)

(continued from previous page)

```
# LLM
llm = OllamaLLM(temperature=0.0, model='mistral', format='json')
```

Warning

You need to specify `format='json'` when Initializing `OllamaLLM`. otherwise you will get error:



5.6.2 Create Index

```
from langchain.text_splitter import RecursiveCharacterTextSplitter
from langchain_community.document_loaders import WebBaseLoader
from langchain_community.vectorstores import Chroma
from langchain_ollama import OllamaEmbeddings  # Import OllamaEmbeddings instead


urls = [
    "https://lilianweng.github.io/posts/2023-06-23-agent/",
    "https://lilianweng.github.io/posts/2023-03-15-prompt-engineering/",
    "https://lilianweng.github.io/posts/2023-10-25-adv-attack-llm/",
]

docs = [WebBaseLoader(url).load() for url in urls]
docs_list = [item for sublist in docs for item in sublist]

text_splitter = RecursiveCharacterTextSplitter.from_tiktoken_encoder(
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
    chunk_size=250, chunk_overlap=0
)
doc_splits = text_splitter.split_documents(docs_list)

# Add to vectorDB
vectorstore = Chroma.from_documents(
    documents=doc_splits,
    collection_name="rag-chroma",
    embedding=OllamaEmbeddings(model="bge-m3"),
)
retriever = vectorstore.as_retriever(k=4)
```

5.6.3 Retrieval Tool

Define Tool

```
from langchain.tools.retriever import create_retriever_tool

retriever_tool = create_retriever_tool(
    retriever,
    "retrieve_blog_posts",
    "Search and return information about Lilian Weng blog posts on \
    LLM agents, prompt engineering, and adversarial attacks on LLMs.",
)

tools = [retriever_tool]
```

Note

If you need web search, you can add web search tool we implement in *Corrective RAG* and *Adaptive RAG*. More details related to Langchian Agent can be found at Langchain Agents and Langchain build-in tools.

```
# define tools

from langchain_google_community import GoogleSearchAPIWrapper,␣
↪GoogleSearchResults

from google.colab import userdata
api_key = userdata.get('GOOGLE_API_KEY')
cx =  userdata.get('GOOGLE_CSE_ID')
# Replace with your actual API key and CX ID

# Create an instance of the GoogleSearchAPIWrapper
google_search_wrapper = GoogleSearchAPIWrapper(google_api_key=api_key, google_
↪cse_id=cx)
```

```
# Pass the api_wrapper to GoogleSearchResults
web_search_tool = GoogleSearchResults(api_wrapper=google_search_wrapper, k=3)

tools = [retriever_tool, web_search_tool]

# define prompt and agent

from langchain import hub

## Get the prompt to use - you can modify this!
prompt = hub.pull("hwchase17/openai-functions-agent")
prompt.messages

## agent
from langchain.agents import create_tool_calling_agent

agent = create_tool_calling_agent(llm, tools, prompt)

# Agent executor

from langchain.agents import AgentExecutor

agent_executor = AgentExecutor(agent=agent, tools=tools, verbose=True)
agent_executor.invoke({"input": "whats the weather in sf?"})
```

Retrieval Grader

```
### Retrieval Grader

from langchain_ollama.llms import OllamaLLM
from langchain.prompts import PromptTemplate

# Import from pydantic directly instead of langchain_core.pydantic_v1
from pydantic import BaseModel, Field
from langchain.output_parsers import PydanticOutputParser

# Data model
class GradeDocuments(BaseModel):
    """Binary score for relevance check on retrieved documents."""

    score: str = Field(  # Changed field name to 'score'
        description="Documents are relevant to the question, 'yes' or 'no'")

parser = PydanticOutputParser(pydantic_object=GradeDocuments)
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
prompt = PromptTemplate(
    template="""You are a grader assessing relevance of a retrieved
    document to a user question. \n
    Here is the retrieved document: \n\n {context} \n\n
    Here is the user question: {question} \n
    If the document contains keywords related to the user question,
    grade it as relevant. \n
    It does not need to be a stringent test. The goal is to filter out
    erroneous retrievals. \n
    Give a binary score 'yes' or 'no' score to indicate whether the document
    is relevant to the question. \n
    Provide the binary score as a JSON with a single key 'score' and no
    premable or explanation.""",
    input_variables=["context", "question"],
    partial_variables={"format_instructions": parser.get_format_instructions()}
)

retrieval_grader = prompt | llm | parser
question = "agent memory"
docs = retriever.invoke(question)
doc_txt = docs[1].page_content
retrieval_grader.invoke({"question": question, "context": doc_txt})
```

Ouput:

```
GradeDocuments(score='yes')
```

5.6.4 Generate

```
def generate(state):
    """
    Generate answer

    Args:
        state (messages): The current state

    Returns:
        dict: The updated state with re-phrased question
    """
    print("---GENERATE---")
    messages = state["messages"]
    question = messages[0].content
    last_message = messages[-1]

    docs = last_message.content
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
    # Prompt
    prompt = hub.pull("rlm/rag-prompt")


    # Post-processing
    def format_docs(docs):
        return "\n\n".join(doc.page_content for doc in docs)

    # Chain
    rag_chain = prompt | llm | StrOutputParser()

    # Run
    response = rag_chain.invoke({"context": docs, "question": question})
    return {"messages": [response]}
```

5.6.5 Agent State

```
from typing import Annotated, Sequence
from typing_extensions import TypedDict

from langchain_core.messages import BaseMessage

from langgraph.graph.message import add_messages


class AgentState(TypedDict):
    # The add_messages function defines how an update should be processed
    # Default is to replace. add_messages says "append"
    messages: Annotated[Sequence[BaseMessage], add_messages]
```

5.6.6 Graph

Create the Graph

```
from typing import Annotated, Literal, Sequence
from typing_extensions import TypedDict

from langchain import hub
from langchain_core.messages import BaseMessage, HumanMessage
from langchain_core.output_parsers import StrOutputParser
from langchain_core.prompts import PromptTemplate


from pydantic import BaseModel, Field
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
from langchain_experimental.llms.ollama_functions import OllamaFunctions



from langgraph.prebuilt import tools_condition

### Edges


def grade_documents(state) -> Literal["generate", "rewrite"]:
    """
    Determines whether the retrieved documents are relevant to the question.

    Args:
        state (messages): The current state

    Returns:
        str: A decision for whether the documents are relevant or not
    """

    print("---CHECK RELEVANCE---")

    messages = state["messages"]
    last_message = messages[-1]

    question = messages[0].content
    docs = last_message.content

    scored_result = retrieval_grader.invoke({"question": question, \
                                             "context": docs})

    score = scored_result.score

    if score == "yes":
        print("---DECISION: DOCS RELEVANT---")
        return "generate"

    else:
        print("---DECISION: DOCS NOT RELEVANT---")
        print(score)
        return "rewrite"


### Nodes
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
def agent(state):
    """
    Invokes the agent model to generate a response based on the current state.
    Given the question, it will decide to retrieve using the retriever tool,
    or simply end.

    Args:
        state (messages): The current state

    Returns:
        dict: The updated state with the agent response appended to messages
    """
    print("---CALL AGENT---")
    messages = state["messages"]

    model = OllamaFunctions(model="mistral", format='json')
    model = model.bind_tools(tools)
    response = model.invoke(messages)
    # We return a list, because this will get added to the existing list
    return {"messages": [response]}


def rewrite(state):
    """
    Transform the query to produce a better question.

    Args:
        state (messages): The current state

    Returns:
        dict: The updated state with re-phrased question
    """

    print("---TRANSFORM QUERY---")
    messages = state["messages"]
    question = messages[0].content

    msg = [
        HumanMessage(
            content=f""" \n
    Look at the input and try to reason about the underlying semantic intent /
    meaning. \n
    Here is the initial question:
    \n ------- \n
    {question}
    \n ------- \n
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
    Formulate an improved question: """,
        )
    ]

    # Grader
    response = llm.invoke(msg)
    return {"messages": [response]}


def generate(state):
    """
    Generate answer

    Args:
        state (messages): The current state

    Returns:
        dict: The updated state with re-phrased question
    """
    print("---GENERATE---")
    messages = state["messages"]
    question = messages[0].content
    last_message = messages[-1]

    docs = last_message.content

    # Prompt
    prompt = hub.pull("rlm/rag-prompt")


    # Post-processing
    def format_docs(docs):
        return "\n\n".join(doc.page_content for doc in docs)

    # Chain
    rag_chain = prompt | llm | StrOutputParser()

    # Run
    response = rag_chain.invoke({"context": docs, "question": question})
    return {"messages": [response]}


# print("*" * 20 + "Prompt[rlm/rag-prompt]" + "*" * 20)
# # Show what the prompt looks like
# prompt = hub.pull("rlm/rag-prompt").pretty_print()
```

Compile Graph

```
from langgraph.graph import END, StateGraph, START
from langgraph.prebuilt import ToolNode

# Define a new graph
workflow = StateGraph(AgentState)

# Define the nodes we will cycle between
workflow.add_node("agent", agent)  # agent
retrieve = ToolNode([retriever_tool])
workflow.add_node("retrieve", retrieve)  # retrieval
workflow.add_node("rewrite", rewrite)  # Re-writing the question
workflow.add_node(
    "generate", generate
)  # Generating a response after we know the documents are relevant
# Call agent node to decide to retrieve or not
workflow.add_edge(START, "agent")

# Decide whether to retrieve
workflow.add_conditional_edges(
    "agent",
    # Assess agent decision
    tools_condition,
    {
        # Translate the condition outputs to nodes in our graph
        "tools": "retrieve",
        END: END,
    },
)

# Edges taken after the `action` node is called.
workflow.add_conditional_edges(
    "retrieve",
    # Assess agent decision
    grade_documents,
)
workflow.add_edge("generate", END)
workflow.add_edge("rewrite", "agent")

# Compile
graph = workflow.compile()
```

Warning

`OllamaLLM` object has no attribute `bind_tools`. You need to Install `langchain-experimental`: OllamaFunctions is initialized with the desired model name:

```
model = OllamaFunctions(model="mistral", format='json')
model = model.bind_tools(tools)
response = model.invoke(messages)
```

If you use OpenAI model, the code should be like:

```
model = ChatOpenAI(temperature=0, streaming=True, model="gpt-4-turbo")
model = model.bind_tools(tools)
response = model.invoke(messages)
```

Graph visualization

```
from IPython.display import Image, display

try:
    display(Image(app.get_graph(xray=True).draw_mermaid_png()))
except:
    pass
```

Ouput

5.6.7 Test

```
import pprint

inputs = {
    "messages": [
        ("user", "What does Lilian Weng say about the types of agent memory?"),
    ]
}
for output in graph.stream(inputs):
    for key, value in output.items():
        pprint.pprint(f"Output from node '{key}':")
        pprint.pprint("---")
        pprint.pprint(value, indent=2, width=80, depth=None)
    pprint.pprint("\n---\n")
```

Ouput:

```
---CALL AGENT---
"Output from node 'agent':"
'---'
{ 'messages': [ AIMessage(content='', additional_kwargs={}, response_metadata={},
↪ id='run-ba7e9f54-7b32-44be-a39b-b083a6db462d-0', tool_calls=[{'name':
↪'retrieve_blog_posts', 'args': {'query': 'types of agent memory'}, 'id': 'call_
↪4b1ac43a51c545cb942498b35321693a', 'type': 'tool_call'}])]}
'\n---\n'
```

(continues on next page)



Fig. 12: Agentic-RAG Graph

(continued from previous page)

```
---CHECK RELEVANCE---
---DECISION: DOCS RELEVANT---
"Output from node 'retrieve':"
'---'
{ 'messages': [ ToolMessage(content='Fig. 7. Comparison of AD, ED, source policy␣
↪and RL^2 on environments that require memory and exploration. Only binary␣
↪reward is assigned. The source policies are trained with A3C for "dark"␣
↪environments and DQN for watermaze.(Image source: Laskin et al. 2023)\
↪\nComponent Two: Memory#\n(Big thank you to ChatGPT for helping me draft this␣
↪section. I've learned a lot about the human brain and data structure for fast␣
↪MIPS in my conversations with ChatGPT.)\nTypes of Memory#\nMemory can be␣
↪defined as the processes used to acquire, store, retain, and later retrieve␣
↪information. There are several types of memory in human brains.\n\n\nSensory␣
↪Memory: This is the earliest stage of memory, providing the ability to retain␣
↪impressions of sensory information (visual, auditory, etc) after the original␣
↪stimuli have ended. Sensory memory typically only lasts for up to a few␣
↪seconds. Subcategories include iconic memory (visual), echoic memory␣
↪(auditory), and haptic memory (touch).\n\nShort-term memory: I would consider␣
↪all the in-context learning (See Prompt Engineering) as utilizing short-term␣
↪memory of the model to learn.\nLong-term memory: This provides the agent with␣
↪the capability to retain and recall (infinite) information over extended␣
↪periods, often by leveraging an external vector store and fast retrieval.\n\n\
↪\nTool use\n\nThe agent learns to call external APIs for extra information that␣
↪is missing from the model weights (often hard to change after pre-training),␣
↪including current information, code execution capability, access to␣
↪proprietary information sources and more.\n\nSensory memory as learning␣
↪embedding representations for raw inputs, including text, image or other␣
↪modalities;\nShort-term memory as in-context learning. It is short and finite,␣
↪as it is restricted by the finite context window length of Transformer.\nLong-
↪term memory as the external vector store that the agent can attend to at query␣
↪time, accessible via fast retrieval.\n\nMaximum Inner Product Search (MIPS)#\
↪\nThe external memory can alleviate the restriction of finite attention span. ␣
↪A standard practice is to save the embedding representation of information␣
↪into a vector store database that can support fast maximum inner-product␣
↪search (MIPS). To optimize the retrieval speed, the common choice is the␣
↪approximate nearest neighbors (ANN)\u200b algorithm to return approximately␣
↪top k nearest neighbors to trade off a little accuracy lost for a huge speedup.
↪\nA couple common choices of ANN algorithms for fast MIPS:\n\nThey also␣
↪discussed the risks, especially with illicit drugs and bioweapons. They␣
↪developed a test set containing a list of known chemical weapon agents and␣
↪asked the agent to synthesize them. 4 out of 11 requests (36%) were accepted␣
↪to obtain a synthesis solution and the agent attempted to consult␣
↪documentation to execute the procedure. 7 out of 11 were rejected and among␣
↪these 7 rejected cases, 5 happened after a Web search while 2 were rejected␣
↪based on prompt only.\nGenerative Agents Simulation#\nGenerative Agents (Park,␣
↪et al. 2023) is super fun experiment where 25 virtual characters, each␣
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
↪controlled by a LLM-powered agent, are living and interacting in a sandbox␣
↪environment, inspired by The Sims. Generative agents create believable␣
↪simulacra of human behavior for interactive applications.\nThe design of␣
↪generative agents combines LLM with memory, planning and reflection mechanisms␣
↪to enable agents to behave conditioned on past experience, as well as to␣
↪interact with other agents.\n\nMemory stream: is a long-term memory module␣
↪(external database) that records a comprehensive list of agents' experience in␣
↪natural language.', name='retrieve_blog_posts', id='2ca2d54b-214b-4463-a491-
↪20c8d08e79cc', tool_call_id='call_4b1ac43a51c545cb942498b35321693a')]}
'\n---\n'
---GENERATE---
/usr/local/lib/python3.10/dist-packages/langsmith/client.py:261:␣
↪LangSmithMissingAPIKeyWarning: API key must be provided when using hosted␣
↪LangSmith API
  warnings.warn(
"Output from node 'generate':"
'---'
{ 'messages': [ '{\n'
                '   "Lilian Weng describes three types of memory: Sensory '
                'Memory, Short-Term Memory, and Long-Term Memory. Sensory '
                'Memory is the earliest stage, lasting for up to a few '
                'seconds, and includes iconic (visual), echoic (auditory), and '
                'haptic memory. Short-Term Memory is used for in-context '
                'learning and is finite due to the limited context window '
                'length of Transformer. Long-Term Memory provides agents with '
                'the capability to retain and recall information over extended '
                'periods by leveraging an external vector store and fast '
                "retrieval.}'{: .language-json }. In the given context, it "
                'does not explicitly mention any specific agent memory types '
                'related to reinforcement learning or simulation experiments. '
                'For those topics, you may want to refer to the sections on "\n'
                '\n'
                ' \t\t\t\t\t\t\t\t\t\t\t\t\t\t\t\t\t\t\t\t\t\t\t\t\t\t\t\t\t']}
```

5.7 Advanced Topics

5.7.1 Multi-agent Systems

Multi-agent architectures

Command: Edgeless graphs

```
import random
from typing_extensions import TypedDict, Literal

from langgraph.graph import StateGraph, START
```

(continues on next page)



Fig. 13: Multi-agent architectures (Source: Multi-agent architectures)

(continued from previous page)

```
from langgraph.types import Command


# Define graph state
class State(TypedDict):
    foo: str


# Define the agents

def Agent_a(state: State) -> Command[Literal["Agent_b", "Agent_c"]]:
    print("Called Agnet_1")
    value = random.choice(["a", "b"])
    # this is a replacement for a conditional edge function
    if value == "a":
        goto = "Agent_b"
    else:
        goto = "Agent_c"

    # note how Command allows you to BOTH update the graph state AND route
    # to the next node
    return Command(
        # this is the state update
        update={"foo": value},
        # this is a replacement for an edge
        goto=goto,
    )


# Agent B and C are unchanged

def Agent_b(state: State):
    print("Called Agent_b")
    return {"foo": state["foo"] + "b"}


def Agent_c(state: State):
    print("Called Agent_c")
    return {"foo": state["foo"] + "c"}


builder = StateGraph(State)
builder.add_edge(START, "Agent_a")
builder.add_node(Agent_a)
builder.add_node(Agent_b)
builder.add_node(Agent_c)
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
# NOTE: there are no edges between A, B and C!

graph = builder.compile()

from IPython.display import display, Image

display(Image(graph.get_graph().draw_mermaid_png()))
```



CHAPTER

SIX

FINE TUNING

Chinese proverb

Good tools are prerequisite to the successful execution of a job. – old Chinese proverb

Colab Notebook for This Chapter

- Embedding Model Fine-tuning: Open in Colab
- LLM (Llama 2 7B) Model Fine-tuning: Open in Colab

Fine-tuning is a machine learning technique where a pre-trained model (like a large language model or neural network) is further trained on a smaller, specific dataset to adapt it to a particular task or domain. Instead of training a model from scratch, fine-tuning leverages the knowledge already embedded in the pre-trained model, saving time, computational resources, and data requirements.



Fig. 1: The three conventional feature-based and finetuning approaches (Souce Finetuning Sebastian).

6.1 Cutting-Edge Strategies for LLM Fine-Tuning

Over the past year, fine-tuning methods have made remarkable strides. Modern methods for fine-tuning LLMs focus on efficiency, scalability, and resource optimization. The following strategies are at the forefront:

6.1.1 LoRA (Low-Rank Adaptation)

LoRA reduces the number of trainable parameters by introducing **low-rank decomposition** into the fine-tuning process.



Fig. 2: Weight update matrix (Souce LORA Sebastian).

How It Works:

- Instead of updating all model weights, LoRA injects **low-rank adapters** into the model's layers.
- The original pre-trained weights remain frozen; only the low-rank parameters are optimized.

Benefits:

- Reduces memory and computational requirements.
- Enables fine-tuning on resource-constrained hardware.

6.1.2 QLoRA (Quantized Low-Rank Adaptation)

QLoRA combines **low-rank adaptation** with **4-bit quantization** of the pre-trained model.

How It Works:

- The LLM is quantized to **4-bit precision** to reduce memory usage.
- LoRA adapters are applied to the quantized model for fine-tuning.
- Precision is maintained using methods like **NF4 (Normalized Float 4)** and double backpropagation.

Benefits:

- Further reduces memory usage compared to LoRA.

- Enables fine-tuning of massive models on consumer-grade GPUs.

6.1.3 PEFT (Parameter-Efficient Fine-Tuning)

PEFT is a general framework for fine-tuning LLMs with minimal trainable parameters.



Source: [PEFT]

Techniques Under PEFT:

- **LoRA**: Low-rank adaptation of weights.
- **Adapters**: Small trainable layers inserted into the model.
- **Prefix Tuning**: Fine-tuning input prefixes instead of weights.
- **Prompt Tuning**: Optimizing soft prompts in the input space.

Benefits:

- Reduces the number of trainable parameters.
- Faster training and lower hardware requirements.

6.1.4 SFT (Supervised Fine-Tuning)

SFT adapts an LLM using a labeled dataset in a fully supervised manner.

How It Works:

- The model is initialized with pre-trained weights.
- It is fine-tuned on a task-specific dataset with a supervised loss function (e.g., cross-entropy).

Benefits:

- Achieves high performance on specific tasks.
- Essential for aligning models with labeled datasets.

6.1.5 RLHF (Reinforcement Learning from Human Feedback)

RLHF is a technique used to fine-tune language models, aligning their behavior with human preferences or specific tasks. RLHF incorporates feedback from humans to guide the model's learning process, ensuring that its outputs are not only coherent but also align with desired ethical, practical, or stylistic goals.

How It Works:

- The model is initialized with pre-trained weights.
- The pretrained model is fine-tuned further using reinforcement learning, guided by the reward model.
- A reinforcement learning algorithm, such as Proximal Policy Optimization (PPO), optimizes the model to maximize the reward assigned by the reward model.

Note

- **Direct Preference Optimization**
  DPO is a technique for aligning large language models (LLMs) with human preferences, offering an alternative to the traditional Reinforcement Learning from Human Feedback (RLHF) approach that uses Proximal Policy Optimization (PPO). Instead of training a separate reward model and using reinforcement learning, DPO simplifies the process by directly leveraging human preference data to fine-tune the model through supervised learning.
- **Proximal Policy Optimization**
  PPO is a reinforcement learning algorithm commonly used in RLHF to fine-tune LLMs. PPO optimizes the model's policy by maximizing the reward signal provided by a reward model, which represents human preferences.
- **Comparison: DPO vs PPO**

Feature	DPO	PPO
Training Paradigm	Supervised fine-tuning with preferences	Reinforcement learning with a reward model
Workflow Complexity	Simpler	More complex (requires reward model and iterative RL)
Stability	More stable (uses supervised learning)	Less stable (inherent to RL methods)
Efficiency	Computationally efficient	Computationally intensive
Scalability	Scales well with large preference datasets	Requires significant compute for RL steps
Use Case	Directly aligns LLM with preferences	Optimizes policy for long-term reward maximization
Human Preference Modeling	Directly encoded in loss function	Encoded via a reward model

Benefits:

- RLHF ensures the model's outputs are ethical, safe, and aligned with human expectations, reducing

harmful or biased content.

- Responses become more relevant, helpful, and contextually appropriate, enhancing user experience.
- Fine-tuning with RLHF allows models to be customized for specific use cases, such as customer service, creative writing, or technical support.

6.1.6 Summary Table

Method	Description	Key Benefit
LoRA	Low-rank adapters for parameter-efficient tuning.	Reduces trainable parameters significantly.
QLoRA	LoRA with 4-bit quantization of the model.	Fine-tunes massive models on smaller hardware.
PEFT	General framework for efficient fine-tuning.	Includes LoRA, Adapters, Prefix Tuning, etc.
SFT	Supervised fine-tuning with labeled data.	High performance on task-specific datasets

These strategies represent the forefront of **LLM fine-tuning**, offering efficient and scalable solutions for real-world applications. To choose the most suitable strategy, consider the following factors:

- **Resource-Constrained Environments**: Use **LoRA** or **QLoRA**.
- **Large-Scale Models**: **QLoRA** for low-memory fine-tuning.
- **High Performance with Labeled Data**: **SFT**.
- **Minimal Setup**: **Zero-shot** or **Few-shot** learning.
- **General Efficiency**: Use **PEFT** frameworks.

6.2 Key Early Fine-Tuning Methods

Early fine-tuning methods laid the foundation for current approaches. These methods primarily focused on updating the entire model or selected components.

6.2.1 Full Fine-Tuning

All the parameters of a pre-trained model are updated using task-specific data *The three conventional feature-based and finetuning approaches (Souce Finetuning Sebastian).* (right).

How It Works:

- The pre-trained model serves as the starting point.
- Fine-tuning is conducted on a smaller, labeled dataset using a supervised loss function.
- A low learning rate is used to prevent **catastrophic forgetting**.

Benefits:

- Effective at adapting models to specific tasks.

Challenges:

- Computationally expensive.
- Risk of overfitting on small datasets.

6.2.2 Feature-Based Approach

The pre-trained model is used as a **feature extractor**, while only a task-specific head is trained *The three conventional feature-based and finetuning approaches (Souce Finetuning Sebastian).* (left).

How It Works:

- The model processes inputs and extracts features (embeddings).
- A separate classifier (e.g., linear or MLP) is trained on top of these features.
- The pre-trained model weights remain **frozen**.

Benefits:

- Computationally efficient since only the task-specific head is trained.

6.2.3 Layer-Specific Fine-Tuning

Only certain layers of the pre-trained model are fine-tuned while the rest remain frozen *The three conventional feature-based and finetuning approaches (Souce Finetuning Sebastian).* (middle).

How It Works:

- Earlier layers (which capture general features) are frozen.
- Later layers (closer to the output) are fine-tuned on task-specific data.

Benefits:

- Balances computational efficiency and task adaptation.

6.2.4 Task-Adaptive Pre-training

Before fine-tuning on a specific task, the model undergoes additional **pre-training** on a domain-specific corpus.

How It Works:

- A general pre-trained model is further pre-trained (unsupervised) on domain-specific data.
- Fine-tuning is then performed on the downstream task.

Benefits:

- Provides a better starting point for domain-specific tasks.

6.3 Embedding Model Fine-Tuning

In the chapter *Retrieval-Augmented Generation*, we discussed how embedding models are crucial for the success of RAG applications. However, their general-purpose training often limits their effectiveness for company- or domain-specific use cases. Customizing embeddings with domain-specific data can significantly improve the retrieval performance of your RAG application.

In this chapter, we will demonstrate how to fine-tune embedding models using the `SentenceTransformersTrainer`, building on insights shared in the blog [fineTuneEmbedding] and Sentence Transformer Training Overview. Our main contribution was introducing LoRA to enable functionality on NVIDIA T4 GPUs, while the rest of the pipeline and code remained almost unchanged.

Note

Please ensure that the package versions are set as follows:

```
pip install  "torch==2.1.2" tensorboard

pip install --upgrade \
    sentence-transformers>=3 \
    datasets==2.19.1  \
    transformers==4.41.2 \
    peft==0.10.0
```

Otherwise, you may encounter the error.

6.3.1 Prepare Dataset

We are going to directly use the synthetic dataset `philschmid/finanical-rag-embedding-dataset`, which includes 7,000 positive text pairs of questions and corresponding context from the 2023_10 NVIDIA SEC Filing.

```
from datasets import load_dataset

# Load dataset from the hub
dataset = load_dataset("philschmid/finanical-rag-embedding-dataset", split="train
↪")

# rename columns
dataset = dataset.rename_column("question", "anchor")
dataset = dataset.rename_column("context", "positive")

# Add an id column to the dataset
dataset = dataset.add_column("id", range(len(dataset)))

# split dataset into a 10% test set
dataset = dataset.train_test_split(test_size=0.1)

# save datasets to disk
dataset["train"].to_json("train_dataset.json", orient="records")
dataset["test"].to_json("test_dataset.json", orient="records")
```

Note

In practice, most dataset configurations will take one of four forms:

- **Positive Pair**: A pair of related sentences. This can be used both for symmetric tasks (semantic textual similarity) or asymmetric tasks (semantic search), with examples including pairs of paraphrases, pairs of full texts and their summaries, pairs of duplicate questions, pairs of `(query, response)`, or pairs of `(source_language, target_language)`. Natural Language Inference datasets can also be formatted this way by pairing entailing sentences.
- **Triplets**: `(anchor, positive, negative)` text triplets. These datasets don't need labels.
- **Pair with Similarity Score**: A pair of sentences with a score indicating their similarity. Common examples are "Semantic Textual Similarity" datasets.
- **Texts with Classes**: A text with its corresponding class. This data format is easily converted by loss functions into three sentences (triplets) where the first is an "anchor", the second a "positive" of the same class as the anchor, and the third a "negative" of a different class.

Note that it is often simple to transform a dataset from one format to another, such that it works with your loss function of choice.

6.3.2 Import and Evaluate Pretrained Baseline Model

```
import torch
from sentence_transformers import SentenceTransformer
from sentence_transformers.evaluation import (
    InformationRetrievalEvaluator,
    SequentialEvaluator,
)
from sentence_transformers.util import cos_sim
from datasets import load_dataset, concatenate_datasets
from peft import LoraConfig, TaskType

model_id =  "BAAI/bge-base-en-v1.5"
matryoshka_dimensions = [768, 512, 256, 128, 64] # Important: large to small

# Load a model
model = SentenceTransformer(
    model_id,
    trust_remote_code=True,
    device="cuda" if torch.cuda.is_available() else "cpu"
)

# load test dataset
test_dataset = load_dataset("json", data_files="test_dataset.json", split="train
↪")
train_dataset = load_dataset("json", data_files="train_dataset.json", split=
↪"train")
corpus_dataset = concatenate_datasets([train_dataset, test_dataset])
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
# Convert the datasets to dictionaries
corpus = dict(
    zip(corpus_dataset["id"], corpus_dataset["positive"])
)  # Our corpus (cid => document)
queries = dict(
    zip(test_dataset["id"], test_dataset["anchor"])
)  # Our queries (qid => question)

# Create a mapping of relevant document (1 in our case) for each query
relevant_docs = {}  # Query ID to relevant documents (qid => set([relevant_cids])
for q_id in queries:
    relevant_docs[q_id] = [q_id]


matryoshka_evaluators = []
# Iterate over the different dimensions
for dim in matryoshka_dimensions:
    ir_evaluator = InformationRetrievalEvaluator(
        queries=queries,
        corpus=corpus,
        relevant_docs=relevant_docs,
        name=f"dim_{dim}",
        truncate_dim=dim,  # Truncate the embeddings to a certain dimension
        score_functions={"cosine": cos_sim},
    )
    matryoshka_evaluators.append(ir_evaluator)

# Create a sequential evaluator
evaluator = SequentialEvaluator(matryoshka_evaluators)
```

Note

If you encounter the error `Cannot import name 'EncoderDecoderCache' from 'transformers'`, ensure that the package versions are set to `peft==0.10.0` and `transformers==4.37.2`.

```
# Evaluate the model
results = evaluator(model)

# Print the main score
for dim in matryoshka_dimensions:
    key = f"dim_{dim}_cosine_ndcg@10"
    print
    print(f"{key}: {results[key]}")
```

```
dim_768_cosine_ndcg@10: 0.754897248109794
dim_512_cosine_ndcg@10: 0.7549275773474213
dim_256_cosine_ndcg@10: 0.7454714780163237
dim_128_cosine_ndcg@10: 0.7116728650043451
dim_64_cosine_ndcg@10: 0.6477174937632066
```

6.3.3 Loss Function with Matryoshka Representation

```
from sentence_transformers import SentenceTransformerModelCardData,␣
↪SentenceTransformer

# Hugging Face model ID: https://huggingface.co/BAAI/bge-base-en-v1.5
model_id = "BAAI/bge-base-en-v1.5"

# load model with SDPA for using Flash Attention 2
model = SentenceTransformer(
    model_id,
    model_kwargs={"attn_implementation": "sdpa"},
    model_card_data=SentenceTransformerModelCardData(
        language="en",
        license="apache-2.0",
        model_name="BGE base Financial Matryoshka",
    ),
)

# Apply PEFT with PromptTuningConfig
peft_config = LoraConfig(
    task_type=TaskType.FEATURE_EXTRACTION,
    inference_mode=False,
    r=8,
    lora_alpha=32,
    lora_dropout=0.1,
)
model.add_adapter(peft_config, "dense")

# train loss
from sentence_transformers.losses import MatryoshkaLoss,␣
↪MultipleNegativesRankingLoss

matryoshka_dimensions = [768, 512, 256, 128, 64]  # Important: large to small
inner_train_loss = MultipleNegativesRankingLoss(model)
train_loss = MatryoshkaLoss(model,
                            inner_train_loss,
                            matryoshka_dims=matryoshka_dimensions)
```

Note

Loss functions play a critical role in the performance of your fine-tuned model. Sadly, there is no "one size fits all" loss function. Ideally, this table should help narrow down your choice of loss function(s) by matching them to your data formats.

You can often convert one training data format into another, allowing more loss functions to be viable for your scenario. For example,

Inputs	Labels	Appropriate Loss Functions
`single sentences`	*class*	`BatchAllTripletLoss`, `BatchHardSoftMarginTripletLoss`, `BatchHardTripletLoss`, `BatchSemiHardTripletLoss`
`single sentences`	*none*	`ContrastiveTensionLoss`, `DenoisingAutoEncoderLoss`
`(anchor, anchor)` pairs	*none*	`ContrastiveTensionLossInBatchNegatives`
`(damaged_sent` `original_sent` pairs	*none*	`DenoisingAutoEncoderLoss`
`(sentence_A, sentence_B)` pairs	*class*	`SoftmaxLoss`
`(anchor, positive)` pairs	*none*	`MultipleNegativesRankingLoss`, `CachedMultipleNegativesRankingLoss`, `MultipleNegativesSymmetricRankingLoss`, `CachedMultipleNegativesSymmetricRankingLoss`, `MegaBatchMarginLoss`, `GISTEmbedLoss`, `CachedGISTEmbedLoss`
`(anchor, positive/ negative)` pairs	*1 if positive, 0 if negative*	`ContrastiveLoss`, `OnlineContrastiveLoss`
`(sentence_A, sentence_B)` pairs	*float similarity score*	`CoSENTLoss`, `AnglELoss`, `CosineSimilarityLoss`
`(anchor, positive, negative)` triplets	*none*	`MultipleNegativesRankingLoss`, `CachedMultipleNegativesRankingLoss`, `TripletLoss`, `CachedGISTEmbedLoss`, `GISTEmbedLoss`
(anchor, positive, negative_1, …, negative_n)`	*none*	`MultipleNegativesRankingLoss`, `CachedMultipleNegativesRankingLoss`, `CachedGISTEmbedLoss`

6.3.4 Fine-tune Embedding Model

```
from sentence_transformers import SentenceTransformerTrainingArguments
from sentence_transformers.training_args import BatchSamplers

# load train dataset again
train_dataset = load_dataset("json", data_files="train_dataset.json", split=
↪"train")

# define training arguments
args = SentenceTransformerTrainingArguments(
    output_dir=output_dir, # output directory and hugging face model ID
    num_train_epochs=4,                         # number of epochs
    per_device_train_batch_size=32,             # train batch size
    gradient_accumulation_steps=16,             # for a global batch size of 512
    per_device_eval_batch_size=16,              # evaluation batch size
    warmup_ratio=0.1,                           # warmup ratio
    learning_rate=2e-5,                         # learning rate, 2e-5 is a good␣
↪value
    lr_scheduler_type="cosine",                 # use constant learning rate␣
↪scheduler
    optim="adamw_torch_fused",                  # use fused adamw optimizer
    tf32=False,                                  # use tf32 precision
    bf16=False,                                  # use bf16 precision
    batch_sampler=BatchSamplers.NO_DUPLICATES,  # MultipleNegativesRankingLoss␣
↪benefits from no duplicate samples in a batch
    eval_strategy="epoch",                      # evaluate after each epoch
    save_strategy="epoch",                      # save after each epoch
    logging_steps=10,                           # log every 10 steps
    save_total_limit=3,                         # save only the last 3 models
    load_best_model_at_end=True,                # load the best model when␣
↪training ends
    metric_for_best_model="eval_dim_128_cosine_ndcg@10",  # Optimizing for the␣
↪best ndcg@10 score for the 128 dimension
    greater_is_better=True,                     # maximize the ndcg@10 score
)

from sentence_transformers import SentenceTransformerTrainer

trainer = SentenceTransformerTrainer(
    model=model, # bg-base-en-v1
    args=args,  # training arguments
    train_dataset=train_dataset.select_columns(
        ["anchor", "positive"]
    ),  # training dataset
    loss=train_loss,
    evaluator=evaluator,
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
)

# start training
trainer.train()

# save the best model
#trainer.save_model()
trainer.model.save_pretrained("bge-base-finetuning")
```

6.3.5 Evaluate Fine-tuned Model

```
from sentence_transformers import SentenceTransformer

fine_tuned_model = SentenceTransformer(
    'bge-base-finetuning', device="cuda" if torch.cuda.is_available() else "cpu"
)
# Evaluate the model
results = evaluator(fine_tuned_model)

# # COMMENT IN for full results
# print(results)

# Print the main score
for dim in matryoshka_dimensions:
    key = f"dim_{dim}_cosine_ndcg@10"
    print(f"{key}: {results[key]}")
```

```
dim_768_cosine_ndcg@10: 0.7650276801072632
dim_512_cosine_ndcg@10: 0.7603951540556889
dim_256_cosine_ndcg@10: 0.754743133407988
dim_128_cosine_ndcg@10: 0.7205317098443929
dim_64_cosine_ndcg@10: 0.6609117856061502
```

6.3.6 Results Comparison

Although we did not observe the significant performance boost reported in the original blog, the fine-tuned model outperformed the baseline model across all dimensions using only 6.3k samples and partial parameter fine-tuning. MOre details can be found as follows:

Dimension	Baseline	Fine-tuned	Improvement
768	0.75490	0.76503	1.34%
512	0.75492	0.76040	0.73%
256	0.74547	0.75474	1.24%
128	0.71167	0.72053	1.24%
64	0.64772	0.66091	2.04%



Fig. 3: Epoch, Training Loss/steps in Wandb

6.4 LLM Fine-Tuning

In this chapter, we will demonstrate how to fine-tune a Llama 2 model with 7 billion parameters using a T4 GPU with 16 GB of VRAM. Due to VRAM limitations, traditional fine-tuning is not feasible, making parameter-efficient fine-tuning (PEFT) techniques like LoRA or QLoRA essential. For this demonstration, we use QLoRA, which leverages 4-bit precision to significantly reduce VRAM consumption.

The folloing code is from notebook [fineTuneLLM], and the copyright belongs to the original author.

6.4.1 Load Dataset and Pretrained Model

```
# Step 1 : Load dataset (you can process it here)
dataset = load_dataset(dataset_name, split="train")

# Step 2 :Load tokenizer and model with QLoRA configuration
compute_dtype = getattr(torch, bnb_4bit_compute_dtype)

bnb_config = BitsAndBytesConfig(
    load_in_4bit=use_4bit,
    bnb_4bit_quant_type=bnb_4bit_quant_type,
    bnb_4bit_compute_dtype=compute_dtype,
    bnb_4bit_use_double_quant=use_nested_quant,
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
)

# Step 3 :Check GPU compatibility with bfloat16
if compute_dtype == torch.float16 and use_4bit:
    major, _ = torch.cuda.get_device_capability()
    if major >= 8:
        print("=" * 80)
        print("Your GPU supports bfloat16: accelerate training with bf16=True")
        print("=" * 80)

# Step 4 :Load base model
model = AutoModelForCausalLM.from_pretrained(
    model_name,
    quantization_config=bnb_config,
    device_map=device_map
)
model.config.use_cache = False
model.config.pretraining_tp = 1

# Step 5 :Load LLaMA tokenizer
tokenizer = AutoTokenizer.from_pretrained(model_name, trust_remote_code=True)
tokenizer.add_special_tokens({'pad_token': '[PAD]'})
tokenizer.pad_token = tokenizer.eos_token
tokenizer.padding_side = "right"
```

6.4.2 Fine-tuning Configuration

```
# Step 6 :Load LoRA configuration
peft_config = LoraConfig(
    lora_alpha=lora_alpha,
    lora_dropout=lora_dropout,
    r=lora_r,
    bias="none",
    task_type="CAUSAL_LM",
)

# Step 7 :Set training parameters
training_arguments = TrainingArguments(
    output_dir=output_dir,
    num_train_epochs=num_train_epochs,
    per_device_train_batch_size=per_device_train_batch_size,
    gradient_accumulation_steps=gradient_accumulation_steps,
    optim=optim,
    save_steps=save_steps,
    logging_steps=logging_steps,
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
    learning_rate=learning_rate,
    weight_decay=weight_decay,
    fp16=fp16,
    bf16=bf16,
    max_grad_norm=max_grad_norm,
    max_steps=max_steps,
    warmup_ratio=warmup_ratio,
    group_by_length=group_by_length,
    lr_scheduler_type=lr_scheduler_type,
    report_to="tensorboard"
)
```

6.4.3 Fine-tune model

```
# Step 8 :Set supervised fine-tuning parameters
trainer = SFTTrainer(
    model=model,
    train_dataset=dataset,
    peft_config=peft_config,
    dataset_text_field="text",
    max_seq_length=max_seq_length,
    tokenizer=tokenizer,
    args=training_arguments,
    packing=packing,
)

# Step 9 :Train model
trainer.train()

# Step 10 :Save trained model
trainer.model.save_pretrained(new_model)
```



Fig. 4: Llama 2 Model Fine-Tuning TensorBoard

CHAPTER

SEVEN

PRE-TRAINING

Proverb

Pre-training as we know it will end. – Ilya Sutskever at neurips 2024



Fig. 1: Ilya Sutskever at neurips 2024

In industry, most companies focus primarily on prompt engineering, RAG, and fine-tuning, while advanced techniques like pre-training from scratch or deep model customization remain less common due to the significant resources and expertise required.

LLMs, like GPT (Generative Pre-trained Transformer), BERT (Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers), and others, are large-scale models built using the transformer architecture. These models are trained on vast amounts of text data to learn patterns in language, enabling them to generate human-like text,

answer questions, summarize information, and perform other natural language processing tasks.

This chapter delves into transformer models, drawing on insights from The Annotated Transformer and Tracing the Transformer in Diagrams, to explore their underlying architecture and practical applications.

7.1 Transformer Architecture



Fig. 2: Transformer Architecture (source: [attentionAllYouNeed])

7.1.1 Attention Is All You Need

The Transformer is a deep learning model designed to handle sequential data, such as text, by relying entirely on attention mechanisms rather than recurrence or convolution. It consists of an **encoder-decoder structure**, where the **encoder** transforms an input sequence into a set of rich contextual representations, and the **decoder** generates the output sequence by attending to these representations and previously generated tokens. Both encoder and decoder are composed of stacked layers, each featuring **multi-head self-attention** (to capture relationships between tokens), **feedforward neural networks** (for non-linear transformations), and **residual connections with layer normalization** (to improve training stability). Positional encodings are added to token embeddings to retain sequence order information, and the architecture's parallelism and scalability make it highly efficient for tasks like machine translation, summarization, and language modeling.

When the Transformer architecture was introduced in the paper *"Attention Is All You Need"* (Vaswani et al., 2017), the primary task it aimed to address was **machine translation**. The researchers wanted to develop a model that could translate text from one language to another more efficiently and effectively than the existing sequence-to-sequence (Seq2Seq) models, which relied heavily on recurrent neural networks (RNNs) or long short-term memory (LSTM) networks. RNNs / LSTMs suffer from slow training and inference, short-term memory, and vanishing / exploding gradients challenges, due to their sequentual nature and long-range de-

pendencies. The Transformer with self-attention mechanism achieved to eliminate the sequential bottleneck of RNNs while retaining the ability to capture dependencies across the entire input sequence.

7.1.2 Encoder-Decoder

Transformer has an encoding component, a decoding component, and connections between them. The encoding component is a stack of encoders - usually 6-12 layers, though it can go higher (e.g. T5-large has 24 encoder layers). The decoder component is a stack of decoders, usually in the same number of layers for balance.

- Each **encoder** layer includes multi-head self-attention, feedforward neural network (FNN), add & norm, and positional encoding. It reads the input sequence (e.g., a sentence in Chinese) and produces a context-aware representation.
- Each **decoder** layer includes masked multi-head self-attention, encoder-decoder attention, feedforward neural network (FFN), add & norm, and positional encoding. It generates the output sequence (e.g., a translation in English) using the encoder's output and previously generated tokens.

The encoder-decoder structure was inspired by earlier Seq2Seq models (Sutskever et al., 2014), which used separate RNNs or LSTMs for encoding the input sequence and decoding the output sequence. The innovation of the Transformer was replacing the recurrent nature of those models with an attention-based approach. The Transformer revolutionized not just machine translation but also the entire field of natural language processing (NLP). Its encoder-decoder structure provided a blueprint for subsequent models:

- **Encoder-only models** (e.g., BERT, RoBERTa, DistilBERT) for understanding tasks such as classification, sentiment analysis, named entity recognition, and question answering.
  - Unlike encoder-decoder or decoder-only models, encoder-only models don't generate new sequences. Its architecture and training objectives are optimized for extracting contextual representations from input sequences. They focus solely on understanding and representing the input.
  - Encoder-only models typically use **bidirectional self-attention**, meaning each token can attend to all other tokens in the sequence (both before and after it). This contrasts with decoder-only models, which use causal masking and can only attend to past tokens. Bidirectionality provides a more holistic understanding of the input.
  - Encoder-only models are often pretrained with tasks like **masked language modeling (MLM)**, where random tokens in the input are masked and the model learns to predict them based on context.
- **Decoder-only models** (e.g., GPT series, Transformer-XL) for text generation tasks.
  - Decoder-only models are trained with an **autoregressive objective**, meaning they predict the next token in a sequence based on the tokens seen so far. This makes them inherently suited for producing coherent, contextually relevant continuations.
  - The self-attention mechanism in decoder-only models is **causal**, meaning each token attends only to previous tokens (including itself). They are pretrained with **causal language modeling (CLM)**, where they learn to predict the next token given the previous ones.
  - Decoder-only models are not constrained to fixed-length outputs and can generate sequences of arbitrary lengths, making them ideal for open-ended tasks such as story writing, dialogue generation, and summarization.

- **Encoder-decoder models** (e.g., Original Transformer, BART, T5) for sequence-to-sequence tasks such as machine translation, summarization, and text generation.
  - Encoder and decoder are designed to handle different parts of the task - creating a contextual representation and generating output sequence. This decoupling of encoding and decoding allows the model to flexibly handle inputs and outputs of different lengths.
  - The **encoder-decoder attention mechanism** in the decoder allows the model to focus on specific parts of the encoded input sequence while generating the output sequence. This **cross-attention** mechanism helps maintain the relationship between the input and output sequences.
  - In many encoder-decoder models (such as those based on Transformers), the encoder processes the input sequence **bidirectionally**, meaning it can attend to both preceding and succeeding tokens when creating the representations. This ensures a comprehensive understanding of the input sequence before it is passed to the decoder.
  - During training, the encoder-decoder model is typically provided with a sequence of **input-output pairs** (e.g., a Chinese sentence and its English translation). This paired structure makes the model highly suited for tasks like translation, where the goal is to map input sequences in one language to corresponding output sequences in another language.

7.1.3 Positional Encoding

Positional encoding is a mechanism used in transformers to provide information about the order of tokens in a sequence. Unlike recurrent neural networks (RNNs), transformers process all tokens in parallel, and therefore lack a built-in way to capture sequential information. Positional encoding solves this by injecting position-dependent information into the input embeddings.

Sinusoidal Positional Encodings

Sinusoidal positional encoding adds a vector to the embedding of each token, with the vector values derived using **sinusoidal functions**. For a token at position pos in the sequence and a specific dimension i of the embedding:

$$PE(pos, 2i) = \sin\left(\frac{pos}{10000^{2i/d}}\right)$$
$$PE(pos, 2i+1) = \cos\left(\frac{pos}{10000^{2i/d}}\right)$$

where

- pos: Position of the token in the sequence.
- i: Index of the embedding dimension.
- d: Total dimension of the embedding vector.

The positional encodings are added directly to the token embeddings:

$$\text{Input to Transformer} = \text{Token Embedding} + \text{Positional Encoding}$$



Fig. 3: Positional Embedding

Rotary Positional Embeddings (RoPE)

Rotary positional embedding is a modern variant that introduces positional information through rotation in a complex vector space. It encodes positional information by rotating the query and key vectors in the attention mechanism using a transformation in a complex vector space. RoPE mitigates the limitations of absolute positional encodings by focusing on relative relationships, enabling smooth transitions and better handling of long sequences. This makes it particularly advantageous in large-scale language models like GPT-4, LLaMA, where long-range dependencies and adaptability are crucial.

Given a token vector x with positional encoding, RoPE applies a rotation:

$$\text{RoPE} = R(pos) \cdot x$$

where $R(pos)$ is the rotation matrix determined by the token's position.

Specifically, for a rotation by an angle θ, the 2D rotation matrix is

$$R(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta) & -\sin(\theta) \\ \sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) \end{bmatrix}$$

For each pair of dimensions (x_{even}, x_{odd}), the rotation is performed as

$$\begin{bmatrix} x'_{even} \\ x'_{odd} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta) & -\sin(\theta) \\ \sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x_{even} \\ x_{odd} \end{bmatrix}$$

Learnable Positional Encodings

Learnable Positional Encodings are a type of positional encoding used in transformer-based models where the positional information is not fixed (like in **sinusoidal** encoding) but is **learned during training**. These encodings are treated as trainable parameters and are updated through backpropagation, just like other parameters in the model.

Summary

Feature	Sinusoidal Positional Encoding	Rotary Positional Embeddings (RoPE)	Learnable Positional Encodings
Type	Absolute	Relative	Absolute
Learnable	No	No	Yes
Advantages	Fixed, no trainable parameters; Generalizes to unseen sequence lengths; Computationally simple.	Encodes relative positional relationships; Scales efficiently to long sequences; Smooth handling of long-range dependencies.	Flexible for task-specific adaptation; Optimized during training.
Disadvantages	Fixed, cannot adapt to data; Encodes only absolute positions; Less flexible for relative tasks.	More complex to implement; Relatively new, less widespread for general tasks.	Limited to a fixed maximum sequence length; No inherent relative positioning; Requires more parameters.
Usage	Early models (e.g., original Transformer); S equence-to-sequence tasks like translation.	Modern LLMs (e.g., GPT-4, LLaMA) with long context lengths; Tasks requiring long-range dependencies.	Popular in earlier models like GPT-2, BERT; Tasks with shorter sequences.
Best For	Simplicity, generalization to unseen data.	Long-context tasks, relative dependencies, efficient scaling.	Task-specific optimization, shorter context tasks.

7.1.4 Embedding Matrix

Embedding refers to the process of converting **discrete tokens (words, subwords, or characters)** into **continuous vector representations** in a high-dimensional space. These vectors capture the semantic and syntactic properties of tokens, allowing the model to process and understand language more effectively. Embedding layer is a necessary component because:

- Discrete symbols are not directly understandable by the model. Embeddings transform these discrete tokens into continuous vectors. Neural networks process continuous numbers more effectively than discrete symbols.
- Embeddings help the model learn relationships between words. By learning the **semantic properties** of tokens during training, words with similar meanings (e.g. "king" and "queen") should have similar vector representations.
- In Transformer based models, embeddings are not just static representations but can be adjusted as the model learns from the context of a sentence to capture subtle semantic nuances and dependencies between words.

Take an example of embedding matrix W_E with ~50k vocabulary size, each token in the vocabulary has a



Fig. 4: Word Embedding

corresponding vector, typically initialized **randomly** at the beginning of training. Embedding matrix does not only represent individual words. They also encode the information about the position of the word. And through training process (passing through self-attention and multiple layers), these embeddings are transformed into **contextual embeddings**, encoding not only the individual word but also its relationship to other words in the sequence.

The reason why a model predicting the next word requires efficient context incorporation, is that the meaning of a word is clearly informed by its surroundings, sometimes this includes context from a long distance away. For example, with contextual embeddings, the dot products of pieces of this sentence "*Harry Potter attends Hogwarts School of Witchcraft and Wizardry, retrieves the Philosopher's Stone, battles a basilisk, and ultimately leads a final battle at Hogwarts, defeating Voldemort and bringing peace to the wizarding world*" results in the following projections in embedding space:



Fig. 5: Contextual Embedding

Embedding matrix contains vectors of all words in the vocabulary. It's the first pile of weights in our model. If the vocabulary size is V and the embedding dimension is d, the embedding matrix W_E has dimensions $d \times V$. The total number of parameters in this embedding matrix is calculated by $d \times V$.

7.1.5 Attention Mechanism

Self-Attention

A **self-attention** is called single-head attention, which enables the model to effectively capture relationships and dependencies between different tokens within the same input sequence. Multi-headed attention has multiple self-attentions running in parallel. The goal of self-attention is to produce a refined embedding where each word has ingested contextual meanings from other words by a series of computations. For example, in the input of "The brave wizard cast a powerful spell", the refined embedding E3' of 'wizard' should contain the meaning of 'brave', and the refined embedding E7' of 'spell' should contain the meaning of 'powerful'.



The computation involved in self-attention in transformers consists of several key steps: generating query, key, and value representations, calculating attention scores, applying softmax, and computing a weighted sum of the values.

1. **Linear Projection to Query space**

   Given an input represention with dimension of $(d \times N)$ where d is the embedding dimension and N is the token number. Query matrix W_Q with dimension of $(N \times d_q)$ (d_q is usually small e.g. 128) contains learnable parameters. It is used to project input representation W_E to the smaller query space Q by matrix multiplication.

$$Q = W_E W_Q$$
$$(N \times d)(d \times d_q) \rightarrow (N \times d_q)$$

   Conceptually, the query matrix aims to ask each word a question regarding what kinds of relationship it has with each of the other words.

2. **Linear Projection to Key space**

   Key matrix W_k with dimension of $(N \times d_k)$ contains learnable parameters. It is used to project input representation W_E to the smaller key space K by matrix multiplication.

$$K = W_E W_K$$
$$(N \times d)(d \times d_k) \rightarrow (N \times d_k)$$

   Conceptually, the keys are answering the queries by matching the queries whenever they closely align with each other. In our example of "The brave wizard cast a powerful spell", the key metrix maps the word 'brave' to vectors that are closely aligned with the query produced by the word 'wizard'.

Fig. 6: Query Projection

Fig. 7: Key Projection

3. **Compute Attention Scores**

   Attention scores are calculated by taking the **dot product** of the query vectors with the key vectors. These scores as a measurement of relationship represent how well each key matches each query. They can be values from negative infinity to positive infinity.

$$\text{Attention Score} = QK^T$$

   In our example, the attention score produced by $K_2 \cdot Q_3$ is expected to be a large positive value because 'brave' is an adjective to 'wizard'. In other words, the embedding of 'brave' **attends to** the embedding of 'wizard'.

Fig. 8: Attention Score

4. **Scaling and softmax normalization**

   To prevent large values in the attention scores (which could lead to very small gradients), the scores are often scaled by the square root of the dimension of the key vectors $\sqrt{d_k}$. This scaling helps stabilize the softmax function used in the next step.

$$\text{Scaled Attention Score} = \frac{QK^T}{\sqrt{d_k}}$$

   The attention scores are passed through a **softmax** function, which normalizes them into a probability distribution. This ensures that each column of the attention matrix sums to 1, so each token has a clear distribution of "attention" over all tokens.

$$\text{Attention Weights} = \text{softmax}\left(\frac{QK^T}{\sqrt{d_k}}\right)$$

   Note that for a **masked** self attention, the bottom left triangle of attention scores are set to negative infinity before softmax normalization. The purpose is to mask those information as latter words are not allowed to influence earlier words. After softmax normalization, those masked attention information becomes zero and the columns stay normalized. This process is called **masking**.

5. **Computing weighted sum of values**

   In the attention score matrix with dimension of $N \times N$, each column is giving weights according to how relevant the word in key space (on the left in the figure) is to the correpsonding word in query space (on the top in the figure). This matrix is also called **attention pattern**.

   The size of attention pattern is the square of the context size, therefore, context size is a huge bottleneck for LLMs. Recent years, some variations of attention mechanism are developed such as Sparse Attention Mechanism, Blockwise Attention, Linformer, Reformer, Longformer, etc, aiming to make context more scalable.

6. **Linear Projection to Value space**

   Value matrix W_v with dimension of $(N \times d_v)$ contains learnable parameters. It is used to project input representation W_E to the smaller value space V by matrix multiplication.

$$V = W_E W_V$$
$$(N \times d)(d \times d_v) \rightarrow (N \times d_v)$$

   Conceptually, by maping the embedding of a word to the value space, it's trying to figure out what should be added to the embedding of other words, if this word is relevant to adjusting the meaning of other words.

7. **Compute Weighted Sum of Values**

   Each token's output is computed by taking a **weighted sum** of the value vectors, where the weights come from the attention distribution obtained in the previous step.

$$\text{Output} = \text{Attention Weights} \times V$$
$$(N \times N)(N \times d_v) \rightarrow (N \times d_v)$$

   This results in a matrix of size $N \times d_v$ where for each word there is a weighted sum of the value vectors ΔE based on the attention distribution. Conceptually, this is the change going to be added to the original embedding, resulting in a more refined vector, encoding contextually rich meaning.

To sum up, given W_E input matrix $(N \times d)$, W_Q, W_K, W_V as weight matrices $(d \times d_q, d \times d_k, d \times d_v)$, the matrix form of the full self-attention process can be written as:

$$\text{Output} = \text{softmax}\Big(\frac{(W_E W_Q)(W_E W_K)^T}{\sqrt{d_k}}\Big) \times (W_E W_V)$$

where the final output matrix is $N \times d_v$.

A full attention block inside a transformer consists of **multi-head attention**, where self-attention operations run in parallel, each with its own distinct Key, Query, Value matrices.

To update embedding matrix, the weighted sum of values is passed through a linear transformation (via W_O), and then added to the original input embeddings via a residual connection.

$$\text{Final output} = \text{Output} \times W_o$$

The number of parameters involved in Attention Mechanism:

Fig. 9: Value Projection and Weighted Sum

	# Parameters
Embedding Matrix	d_embed * n_vocab
Key Matrix	d_key * d_embed * n_heads * n_layers
Query Matrix	d_query * d_embed * n_heads * n_layers
Value Matrix	d_value * d_embed * n_heads * n_layers
Output Matrix	d_embed * d_value * n_heads * n_layers
Unembedding Matrix	n_vocab * d_embed

Cross Attention

Cross-attention is a mechanism in transformers where the queries (Q) come from one sequence (e.g., the decoder), while the keys (K) and values (V) come from another sequence (e.g., the encoder). It allows the model to align and focus on relevant parts of a second sequence when processing the current sequence.

Feature	Self-Attention	Cross-Attention
Source of Queries	Queries (Q) come from the same sequence.	Queries (Q) come from one sequence (e.g., decoder).
Source of Keys /Values	Keys (K) and Values (V) come from the same sequence.	Keys (K) and Values (V) come from a different sequence (e.g., encoder).
Purpose	Captures relationships within the same sequence.	Aligns and integrates information between two sequences.
Example Usage	Used in both encoder and decoder to process input or output tokens.	Used in encoder-decoder models (e.g., translation) to let the decoder focus on encoder outputs.

7.1.6 Layer Normalization

Layer Normalization is crucial in transformers because it helps stabilize and accelerate the training of deep neural networks by normalizing the activations across the layers. The transformer architecture, which consists of many layers and complex operations, benefits significantly from this technique for several reasons:

1. **Internal Covariate Shift**:
   - Deep models like transformers often suffer from **internal covariate shift**, where the distribution of activations changes during training due to the update of model parameters. This can make training slower and less stable.
   - Layer normalization helps mitigate this by ensuring that the output of each layer has a consistent distribution, which leads to faster convergence and more stable training.
2. **Gradient Flow**:
   - In deep models, the gradients can become either very small (vanishing gradient problem) or very large (exploding gradient problem) as they propagate through the layers. Layer normalization helps keep the gradients within a reasonable range, ensuring **efficient gradient flow** and preventing these issues.
3. **Improved Convergence**:
   - By normalizing the activations, layer normalization allows the model to use **larger learning rates**, which speeds up training and leads to better convergence.
4. **Works Across Batch Sizes**:
   - Unlike **Batch Normalization**, which normalizes activations across the batch dimension, **Layer Normalization** normalizes across the feature dimension for each individual example, making it more suitable for tasks like **sequence modeling**, where the batch size may vary and the model deals with sequences of different lengths.

The process can be broken down into the following steps:

1. Compute the Mean and Variance: for a given input $x = [x_1, ..., x_d]$:

$$\mu = \frac{1}{d}\sum_{i=1}^{d} x_i$$

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{d}\sum_{i=1}^{d}\sum_{i=1}^{d}(x_i - \mu)^2$$

   where μ is the mean and σ^2 is the variance of the input.

2. Normalize the input: subtracting the mean and dividing by the standard deviation:

$$\hat{x_i} = \frac{x_i - \mu}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 + \epsilon}}$$

   where ϵ is a small constant added to the variance to avoid division by zero.

3. Scale and shift: after normalization, the output is scaled and shifted by **learnable parameters** γ (scale) and β (shift), which allow the model to restore the original distribution if needed:

$$y_i = \gamma \cdot \hat{x_i} + \beta$$

where γ and β are trainable parameters learned during the training process.

7.1.7 Residual Connections

In the transformer architecture, **residual connections** are used after each key operation, such as:

- **After Self-Attention**: The input to the attention layer is added back to the output of the self-attention mechanism.
- **After Feed-Forward Networks**: Similarly, after the output of the feed-forward network is computed, the input to the feed-forward block is added back to the result.

In both cases, the sum is typically passed through a **Layer Normalization** operation, which stabilizes the training process further.

Residual connection has the following advantages:

1. **Skip Connection**: The original input to the layer is **skipped over** and added directly to the output of the layer. This allows the model to preserve the information from earlier layers, helping it learn faster and more efficiently.
2. **Enabling Easier Gradient Flow**: In deep neural networks, as layers become deeper, gradients can either vanish or explode, making training difficult. Residual connections mitigate the vanishing gradient problem by allowing gradients to flow more easily through the network during backpropagation.
3. **Helping with Identity Mapping**: Residual connections allow the network to learn **identity mappings**. If a certain layer doesn't need to make any modifications to the input, the network can simply learn to output the input directly, ensuring that deeper layers don't hurt the performance of the network. This helps the network avoid situations where deeper layers perform worse than shallow layers.
4. **Stabilizing Training**: The direct path from the input to the output, via the residual connection, helps stabilize the training by providing an additional gradient flow, making the learning process more robust to initialization and hyperparameters.

7.1.8 Feed-Forward Networks

In the Transformer architecture, **Feed-Forward Networks (FFNs)** are a key component within each layer of the encoder and decoder. FFNs are applied independently to each token in the sequence, after the attention mechanism (self-attention or cross-attention). They process the information passed through the attention mechanism to refine the representations of each token.

The characteristics and roles of FFN:

1. **Position-Independent**: FFNs operate **independently** on each token's embedding, without considering the sequence structure. Each token is treated individually.
2. **Non-Linearity**: The **activation function** (like ReLU or GELU) introduces **non-linearity** into the model, which is crucial for allowing the network to learn complex patterns in the data
3. **Parameter Sharing**: The same FFN is applied to each token in the sequence independently. The parameters are shared across all tokens, which is computationally efficient and reduces the number of parameters in the model.

4. **Dimensionality Expansion**: The hidden layer size d_{ff} is typically **larger** than the model dimension d_{model} (often by a factor of 4), allowing the network to learn richer representations in the intermediate space.
5. **Local Information Processing**: FFNs only process **local** information about each token's embedding, as opposed to the self-attention mechanism, which captures **global dependencies** across all tokens in the sequence.
6. **Residual Connection**: FFNs in transformers use **residual connections**, where the input to the FFN is added to the output. This helps **prevent vanishing gradient issues** and makes training deep models more efficient.
7. **Parallelization**: Since FFNs are applied independently to each token, they can be **parallelized** effectively, leading to faster training and inference.

The network can only process a fixed number of vectors at a time, known as its **context size**. The context size can be 4096 (GPT-3) up to 2M tokens (LongRoPE).

7.1.9 Label Smoothing

In transformer models, **label smoothing** is commonly applied during the training phase to improve the model's generalization by modifying the target labels used for training. This technique is typically used in tasks like **machine translation**, **language modeling**, and other sequence-to-sequence tasks.

Label smoothing is applied after the decoder generates a probability distribution over the vocabulary in the final layer. The output of the decoder is a vector of logits (raw predictions), which are transformed into a probability distribution using **softmax**. After applying softmax, the predicted probabilities are compared to the smoothed target distribution to calculate the loss.

The target distribution is originally an one-hot vector. After **label smoothing**, the one-hot encoding is adjusted so that the correct token has a reduced probability, and the incorrect tokens share a small amount of probability mass. For example, if the origianl one-hot vector is $[0, 1, 0, 0]$, then label smoothing would convert this vector into something like $[0.05, 0.9, 0.05, 0.05]$.

During training, the model computes the **cross-entropy loss** between the predicted probabilities and the smoothed target distribution. The loss function is modified as follows:

$$L = -\sum_{i} \hat{y}_i \log(p_i)$$

where $\hat{y}_i$ is the smoothed target probability for class i, and p_i is the predicted probability for class i.

The model's output probabilities are then adjusted during training by backpropagating the modified loss. This encourages the model to distribute some probability to alternative tokens, making it less likely to become overly confident in its predictions.

Label smoothing is important in transformers because

- **Prevents Overfitting**: Label smoothing forces the model to spread some probability mass over other tokens, making it **less overconfident** and more likely to generalize well to unseen data.
- **Encourages Robustness**: By smoothing the target labels, the transformer is encouraged to explore alternative possibilities for each token rather than memorizing the exact sequence of tokens in the training data.

- **Improved Calibration**: The model learns to **distribute probability more evenly** across all tokens, which often results in **better-calibrated probabilities** that improve performance in tasks such as **classification** and **sequence generation**.
- **Training Stability**: Label smoothing reduces the effect of outliers and noisy labels in the training data, improving the overall stability of training and leading to faster convergence.

7.1.10 Softmax and Temperature

The **softmax function** is a mathematical operation used to transform a vector of raw scores (**logits**) into a vector of **probabilities**. It takes a vector of real numbers, $z = [z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n]$, and maps it to a probability distribution, where each element is in the range [0, 1], and the sum of all elements equals 1. Mathematically,

$$p_i = \text{softmax}(z_i) = \frac{e^{z_i}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} e^{z_j}}$$

The softmax function has been used in GPT in two ways:

- **Probability Distribution**: It converts raw scores into probabilities that sum to 1. Next token as prediction will be the token with the highest probability.
- **Attention Weights**: In attention mechanism, softmax is applied to the score of all tokens in the sequence to normalize them into attention weights.

Properties of Softmax:

- **Exponentiation**: Amplifies the difference between higher and lower scores, making the largest score dominate.
- **Normalization**: Ensures that the output probabilities sum to 1.
- **Differentiable**: Enables backpropagation for training the model.

The **temperature** parameter is used in the softmax function to control the sharpness or smoothness of the probability distribution over the logits, affecting how confident or diverse the model's predictions are. When using a temperature $T > 0$, the logits are scaled by $\frac{1}{T}$ before applying softmax:

$$p_i = \text{softmax}(z_i) = \frac{\exp(z_i/T)}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \exp(z_j/T)}$$

When T is larger, more weight is given to the lower values, then the distribution is more uniform. If T is smaller, the biggest logit score will dominate more aggresively. Setting $T = 0$ gives all the weights to the maximum value resulting a ~100% probability.

7.1.11 Unembedding Matrix

The **unembedding matrix** in the final layer of GPT is the counterpart to the **embedding matrix** used at the input layer. GPT's final hidden layer outputs continuous vectors for each token position in the input sequence. The unembedding matrix projects these vectors into a space where each dimension corresponds to a token in the vocabulary, producing logits for all vocabulary tokens.

The unembedding matrix is not randomly initialized, instead, it's initialized as the transpose of the embedding matrix $W_U = W_E^T$. If the vocabulary size is V and the hidden layer size is d, the unembedding matrix W_U

has dimensions $V \times d$. In the final layer, GPT produces a hidden state h with size d for each token position. The unembedding matrix is applied as follows.

$$\text{Logits} = h \cdot W_U^T$$

The logits are passed through the **softmax function** to generate probabilities over the vocabulary. The token with the highest probability (or sampled stochastically) is chosen as the next token.

Using a learned unembedding matrix to compute logits in the final layer of GPT offers critical advantages over directly computing logits from the final hidden vector without this additional projection step:

- The embedding and unembedding matrices establish a connection between the input and output token spaces. Without an unembedding matrix, there would be no learned mechanism to align the model's internal representation to the specific vocabulary used for prediction.
- The model's hidden states are designed to represent rich features of the input sequence rather than being explicitly tied to the vocabulary size. The unembedding matrix translates the compressed hidden state (e.g. 768 or 1024 size) into a vocabulary distribution (e.g. ~50k tokens), ensuring the model can scale to larger vocabularies or output spaces.
- The unembedding matrix learns how to transform these rich representations into logits that accurately reflect token probabilities in the specific vocabulary. It provides a structured way for gradients from the loss function (e.g., cross-entropy loss) to update both the model's hidden representations and the vocabulary mappings.

7.1.12 Decoding

In transformer models, **decoding** refers to the process of generating output sequences from a model's learned representations. Decoder takes the hidden state generated by encoder from input representations as well as previously generated tokens (or a start token) and progressively generates the output sequence one by one based on the probability distribution over all possible words in the vocabulary for the next token.

Depending on the specific task and goals (e.g., translation, generation, or summarization), different decoding strategies like **beam search**, **top-k sampling**, **top-p sampling**, and **temperature sampling** can be used to strike the right balance between creativity and accuracy.

Greedy Decoding

Greedy decoding is the simplest and most straightforward method. At each time step, the model chooses the token with the highest probability from the predicted distribution and adds it to the output sequence.

Beam Search

Beam search is a more advanced method than greedy decoding. It keeps track of multiple hypotheses at each decoding step (instead of just the most probable one) and selects the top-k most likely sequences (called the "beam width").

At each decoding step, beam search explores the top-k candidate sequences (instead of just one) and chooses the one with the highest cumulative probability. A hyperparameter, **beam width**, controls how many candidate sequences are considered at each step.



Fig. 10: Beam Search

Summary

Method	Advantages	Disadvantages	Use Cases
Greedy Decoding	Simple, fast, deterministic	May produce repetitive or suboptimal sequences	When speed is important, low diversity tasks
Beam Search	Produces higher-quality sequences, less repetitive	Computationally expensive, limited by beam width	Machine translation, summarization
Top-k Sampling	Adds diversity, avoids repetitive output	May reduce coherence in some cases	Creative text generation, storytelling
Top-p Sampling	Dynamically adjusts for diversity, more natural	May still produce incoherent outputs	Creative text generation, dialogue systems
Temperature Sampling	Fine control over and diversity randomness, balance between coherence	Requires tuning for optimal results	Creative text randomness generation, fine-tuning output

7.2 Modern Transformer Techniques

7.2.1 KV Cache

The primary purpose of the KV cache is to **speed up the inference process** and make it more efficient. Specifically, during autoregressive generation (such as generating text one token at a time), the transformer model processes the input tokens sequentially, which means that for each new token, it needs to compute the attention scores between the current token and all previous tokens.

Instead of recalculating the **key (K)** and **value (V)** vectors for the entire sequence at each step (which would

be computationally expensive), the KV cache allows the model to **reuse the keys and values** from previous tokens, thus reducing redundant computations.

As demonstrated in the diagram below, during the training process, attention scores are calculated by this formula without KV Cache:

$$\text{Attention Weights} = \text{softmax}\left(\frac{QK^T}{\sqrt{d_k}}\right)$$



When generating the next token during inference, the model doesn't need to recompute the keys and values for the tokens it has already processed. Instead, it simply retrieves the stored keys and values from the cache for all previously generated tokens. Only the new token's key and value are computed for the current timestep and added to the cache.

During the attention computation for each new token, the model uses both the new key and value (for the current token) and the cached keys and values (for all previous tokens). This way, the attention mechanism can still compute the correct attention scores and weighted sums without recalculating everything from scratch.

The attention formula with Cache: for a new token t,

$$\text{Attention Output} = \text{softmax}\left(\frac{Q_t \cdot [K_{\text{cache}}, K_t]^T}{\sqrt{d_k}}\right) \cdot [V_{\text{cache}}, V_t]$$



Why Not Cache Queries: Queries are specific to the token being processed at the current step of generation. For every new token in autoregressive decoding, the query vector needs to be freshly computed because it is derived from the embedding of the current token. Keys and values, on the other hand, represent the context of the previous tokens, which remains the same across multiple steps until the sequence is extended.

Space complexity of KV Cache is huge without optimization: The space complexity is calculated by number of layers * number of batch size * number of attention heads * attention head size * sequence length.

Space complexity can be optimized by reducing "number of attention heads" without too much penalty on performance.

7.2.2 Multi-Query Attention

Multi-Query Attention (MQA) is a variant of the attention mechanism introduced to improve the efficiency of transformer models, particularly in scenarios where decoding speed and memory usage are critical. It modifies the standard multi-head attention by using multiple query heads but sharing the key and value matrices across all the heads. There are still multiple independent query heads (Q), but the **key (:math:`K`) and value (:math:`V`) matrices are shared** across all the heads.

Each query head i computes its attention scores with the shared key matrix:

$$\text{Attention}_i = \text{softmax}\Big(\frac{Q_i K^T}{\sqrt{d_k}}\Big)V$$

Advantages of MQA:



Fig. 11: Multi-Query Attention

- **Efficiency in Memory Usage**: By sharing the K and V matrices across heads, the memory footprint is reduced, particularly for the KV cache used during autoregressive generation in large models. This is especially valuable for serving large-scale language models with limited GPU/TPU memory.
- **Faster Decoding**: During autoregressive decoding (e.g., in GPT-like models), each query needs to attend to the cached keys and values. In standard multi-head attention, this involves accessing multiple K and V matrices, which can slow down decoding. In MQA, since only one shared K and V matrix is used, the decoding process is faster and more streamlined
- **Minimal Performance Tradeoff**: Despite simplifying the model, MQA often achieves comparable performance to standard multi-head attention in many tasks, particularly in large-scale language models.

7.2.3 Grouped-Query Attention

Grouped-Query Attention (GQA) is a hybrid approach between **Multi-Head Attention (MHA)** and **Multi-Query Attention (MQA)** that balances computational efficiency and expressivity. In GQA, multiple query heads are grouped together, and each group shares a set of **keys** and **values**. This design seeks to retain some of the flexibility of MHA while reducing the memory and computational overhead, similar to MQA.

Mathematically, if there are G groups, each with H/G heads, the queries are processed independently for each group but share keys and values within the group:

$$\text{Attention}_i = \text{softmax}\left(\frac{Q_i K_{\text{group,i}}^T}{\sqrt{d_k}}\right) V_{group,i}$$

where i is the query head within a group.

Advantages of GQA:

- **Efficiency**:
  - Reduced KV Cache Size: GQA requires fewer key and value matrices compared to MHA. This reduces memory usage, especially during autoregressive decoding when keys and values for all



Fig. 12: Grouped Query Attention

previous tokens are stored in a cache.

  - Faster Inference: By reducing the number of keys and values to process, GQA speeds up attention computations during decoding, particularly in long-sequence tasks.

- **Balance Between Flexibility and Efficiency**:
  - More Expressivity Than MQA: Unlike MQA, where all heads share the same keys and values, GQA allows multiple groups of keys and values, enabling more flexibility for the attention mechanism to learn diverse patterns.
  - Simpler Than MHA: GQA is less computationally expensive and memory-intensive than MHA, as fewer sets of keys and values are used.
- **Scalability**:
  - GQA is well-suited for very large models and long-sequence tasks where standard MHA becomes computationally and memory prohibitive.

7.2.4 Flash Attention

CHAPTER

EIGHT

LLM EVALUATION METRICS

Colab Notebook for This Chapter

GEval with DeepEval: Open in Colab



Fig. 1: Types of metric scorers (Source: LLM Evaluation Metrics: The Ultimate LLM Evaluation Guide)

8.1 Statistical Scorers (Traditional Metrics)

These metrics evaluate text outputs based on statistical comparisons to references or expected outputs.

Note

I completely agree with the author of LLM Evaluation Metrics: The Ultimate LLM Evaluation Guide that statistical scoring methods are, in my opinion, non-essential to focus on. These methods tend to perform poorly whenever reasoning is required, making them too inaccurate as scorers for most LLM evaluation criteria. Additionally, more advanced metrics, such as GEval *GEval with DeepEval*, provide significantly better alternatives.

- **BLEU (Bilingual Evaluation Understudy):** Measures overlap of n-grams between generated and reference texts. Common for translation tasks.
- **ROUGE (Recall-Oriented Understudy for Gisting Evaluation):** Focuses on recall of n-grams (ROUGE-N), longest common subsequences (ROUGE-L), and skip bigrams (ROUGE-S). Popular in summarization tasks.
- **METEOR (Metric for Evaluation of Translation with Explicit ORdering):** Considers synonymy and paraphrasing via stemming and synonym matching.
- **TER (Translation Edit Rate):** Measures the number of edits required to turn the generated output into the reference text.
- **CIDEr (Consensus-based Image Description Evaluation):** Designed for image captioning, using TF-IDF weighting of n-grams.
- **BERTScore:** Leverages contextual embeddings (e.g., BERT) to compute similarity between generated and reference texts.
- **GLEU (Google BLEU):** A variation of BLEU designed for grammatical error correction tasks.

8.2 Model-Based Scorers (Learned Metrics)

These metrics employ models trained to assess the quality of generated text, often based on human annotations.

- **BLEURT:** Combines pre-trained models (e.g., BERT) with fine-tuning on human judgment data.
- **COMET (Cross-lingual Optimized Metric for Evaluation of Translation):** A neural network model trained on translation quality data.
- **PRISM:** Measures semantic similarity by paraphrasing both the hypothesis and reference into a shared space.
- **UniEval:** A unified framework for evaluation across multiple tasks, focusing on both factual accuracy and linguistic quality.
- **Perplexity:** Estimates the likelihood of generated text under the original model's probability distribution (lower is better).
- **GPTScore:** Uses a large pre-trained LLM (e.g., GPT-4) to rate the quality of outputs.

- **MAUVE:** Measures the divergence between the distribution of generated text and that of human-written text.
- **DRIFT:** Focuses on domain-specific evaluation, checking how well outputs align with domain-specific data distributions.

8.3 Human-Centric Evaluations (Augmenting Metrics)

While not automated, human evaluations are crucial for assessing subjective qualities such as:

- **Fluency**
- **Coherence**
- **Relevance**
- **Factuality**
- **Style Appropriateness**

Both statistical and model-based scorers are often used in tandem with human evaluation to ensure a holistic assessment of LLM outputs.

8.4 GEval with DeepEval

G-Eval is a recently developed evaluation framework developed from paper [GEval] to assess large language models (LLMs) using GPT-based evaluators. It leverages the capabilities of advanced LLMs (like GPT-4 or beyond) to rate and critique the outputs of other models, including themselves, across various tasks. This approach shifts the evaluation paradigm by relying on the intrinsic understanding and reasoning power of the models, rather than traditional metrics.

8.4.1 G-Eval Algorithm

8.4.2 G-Eval with DeepEval

In DeepEval,, a metric serves as a standard for measuring the performance of an LLM's output based on specific criteria of interest. Essentially, while the metric functions as the "ruler", a test case represents the subject being measured. DeepEval, provides a variety of default metrics to help you get started quickly, including:

- G-Eval
- Summarization
- Faithfulness
- Answer Relevancy
- Contextual Relevancy
- Contextual Precision
- Contextual Recall
- Ragas



Figure 1: The overall framework of G-EVAL. We first input Task Introduction and Evaluation Criteria to the LLM, and ask it to generate a CoT of detailed Evaluation Steps. Then we use the prompt along with the generated CoT to evaluate the NLG outputs in a form-filling paradigm. Finally, we use the probability-weighted summation of the output scores as the final score.

Fig. 2: G-Eval Algorithm (Source: [GEval])

- Hallucination
- Toxicity
- Bias

DeepEval also provides conversational metrics, designed to evaluate entire conversations rather than individual, granular LLM interactions. These include:

- Conversation Completeness
- Conversation Relevancy
- Knowledge Retention
- **Set Up Local Model**

```
deepeval set-local-model --model-name='mistral' \
--base-url="http://localhost:11434/v1/" \
--api-key="ollama"
```

- **Default Metrics**
  - AnswerRelevancyMetric

```
from deepeval import evaluate
from deepeval.metrics import AnswerRelevancyMetric
from deepeval.test_case import LLMTestCase

answer_relevancy_metric = AnswerRelevancyMetric(threshold=0.7)
test_case = LLMTestCase(
    input="What if these shoes don't fit?",
    # Replace this with the actual output from your LLM␣
↪application
    actual_output="We offer a 30-day full refund at no extra␣
↪costs.",
    retrieval_context=["All customers are eligible for a 30 day␣
↪full refund at no extra costs."]
)
evaluate([test_case], [answer_relevancy_metric])
```

    * Metrics Summary
      · Answer Relevancy (score: 1.0, threshold: 0.7, strict: False, evaluation model: local model, reason: The score is 1.00 because it directly and accurately answered the question about shoe fitting, making it highly relevant., error: None)
    * For test case:
      · input: What if these shoes don't fit?
      · actual output: We offer a 30-day full refund at no extra costs.
      · expected output: None

- context: None
- retrieval context: ['All customers are eligible for a 30 day full refund at no extra costs.']

* Overall Metric Pass Rates

  Answer Relevancy: 100.00% pass rate

```
EvaluationResult(test_results=[TestResult(name='test_case_0',␣
↪success=True, metrics_data=[MetricData(name='Answer Relevancy',
↪ threshold=0.7, success=True, score=1.0, reason='The score is␣
↪1.00 because it directly and accurately answered the question␣
↪about shoe fitting, making it highly relevant.', strict_
↪mode=False, evaluation_model='local model', error=None,␣
↪evaluation_cost=0.0, verbose_logs='Statements:\n[\n    "We␣
↪offer a 30-day full refund",\n    "The refund does not incur␣
↪any additional costs"\n] \n \nVerdicts:\n[\n    {\n
↪"verdict": "yes",\n        "reason": "The statements about the␣
↪refund policy are relevant to addressing the input, which asks␣
↪about what to do if the shoes don\'t fit."\n    },\n    {\n   ␣
↪    "verdict": "yes",\n        "reason": "The statement that␣
↪the refund does not incur any additional costs is also␣
↪relevant as it provides further information about the refund␣
↪process."\n    }\n]')], conversational=False, multimodal=False,
↪ input="What if these shoes don't fit?", actual_output='We␣
↪offer a 30-day full refund at no extra costs.', expected_
↪output=None, context=None, retrieval_context=['All customers␣
↪are eligible for a 30 day full refund at no extra costs.'])],␣
↪confident_link=None)
```

– FaithfulnessMetric

```
from deepeval import evaluate
from deepeval.metrics import FaithfulnessMetric
from deepeval.test_case import LLMTestCase


# input
input = "What if these shoes don't fit?"

# Replace this with the actual output from your LLM application
actual_output = "We offer a 30-day full refund at no extra cost."

# Replace this with the actual retrieved context from your RAG␣
↪pipeline
retrieval_context = ["All customers are eligible for a 30 day␣
↪full refund at no extra cost."]
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
metric = FaithfulnessMetric(
    threshold=0.7,
    #model="gpt-4",
    include_reason=True
)
test_case = LLMTestCase(
    input=input,
    actual_output=actual_output,
    retrieval_context=retrieval_context
)

metric.measure(test_case)
print(metric.score)
print(metric.reason)

# or evaluate test cases in bulk
evaluate([test_case], [metric])
```

* Metrics Summary
  - Faithfulness (score: 1.0, threshold: 0.7, strict: False, evaluation model: local model, reason: The faithfulness score is 1.00 because there are no contradictions found between the actual output and the retrieval context., error: None)
* For test case:
  - input: What if these shoes don't fit?
  - actual output: We offer a 30-day full refund at no extra cost.
  - expected output: None
  - context: None
  - retrieval context: ['All customers are eligible for a 30 day full refund at no extra cost.']
* Overall Metric Pass Rates

  Faithfulness: 100.00% pass rate

```
EvaluationResult(test_results=[TestResult(name='test_case_0',␣
↪success=True, metrics_data=[MetricData(name='Faithfulness',␣
↪threshold=0.7, success=True, score=1.0, reason='The␣
↪faithfulness score is 1.00 because there are no contradictions␣
↪found between the actual output and the retrieval context.',␣
↪strict_mode=False, evaluation_model='local model', error=None,␣
↪evaluation_cost=0.0, verbose_logs='Truths (limit=None):\n[\n  ␣
↪ "Customers are eligible for a 30 day full refund.",\n    "The␣
↪refund is at no extra cost."\n] \n \nClaims:\n[\n    "The␣
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
↪refund is offered for a period of 30 days.",\n    "The refund␣
↪does not incur any additional costs."\n] \n \nVerdicts:\n[\n  ␣
↪ {\n        "verdict": "yes",\n        "reason": null\n    },\
↪n    {\n        "verdict": "yes",\n        "reason": null\n   ␣
↪}\n]')], conversational=False, multimodal=False, input="What␣
↪if these shoes don't fit?", actual_output='We offer a 30-day␣
↪full refund at no extra cost.', expected_output=None,␣
↪context=None, retrieval_context=['All customers are eligible␣
↪for a 30 day full refund at no extra cost.'])], confident_
↪link=None)
```

- ContextualPrecisionMetric

```
from deepeval import evaluate
from deepeval.metrics import ContextualPrecisionMetric
from deepeval.test_case import LLMTestCase

# input
input = "What if these shoes don't fit?"

# Replace this with the actual output from your LLM application
actual_output = "We offer a 30-day full refund at no extra cost."

# Replace this with the expected output from your RAG generator
expected_output = "You are eligible for a 30 day full refund at␣
↪no extra cost."

# Replace this with the actual retrieved context from your RAG␣
↪pipeline
retrieval_context = ["All customers are eligible for a 30 day␣
↪full refund at no extra cost."]

metric = ContextualPrecisionMetric(
    threshold=0.7,
    #model="gpt-4",
    include_reason=True
)
test_case = LLMTestCase(
    input=input,
    actual_output=actual_output,
    expected_output=expected_output,
    retrieval_context=retrieval_context
)

metric.measure(test_case)
print(metric.score)
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
print(metric.reason)

# or evaluate test cases in bulk
evaluate([test_case], [metric])
```

    * Metrics Summary

        · Contextual Precision (score: 1.0, threshold: 0.7, strict: False, evaluation model: local model, reason: The contextual precision score is 1.00 because the node ranked first (with reason: 'The text verifies that customers are indeed eligible for a 30 day full refund at no extra cost.') is relevant and correctly placed as the highest-ranked response to the input 'What if these shoes don't fit?'. All other nodes, if present, should be ranked lower due to their irrelevance to the question., error: None)

    * For test case:

        · input: What if these shoes don't fit?

        · actual output: We offer a 30-day full refund at no extra cost.

        · expected output: You are eligible for a 30 day full refund at no extra cost.

        · context: None

        · retrieval context: ['All customers are eligible for a 30 day full refund at no extra cost.']

    * Overall Metric Pass Rates

        · Contextual Precision: 100.00% pass rate

– ContextualRecallMetric

```
from deepeval import evaluate
from deepeval.metrics import ContextualRecallMetric
from deepeval.test_case import LLMTestCase

metric = ContextualRecallMetric(
    threshold=0.7,
    model="gpt-4",
    include_reason=True
)
test_case = LLMTestCase(
    input=input,
    actual_output=actual_output,
    expected_output=expected_output,
    retrieval_context=retrieval_context
)

metric.measure(test_case)
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
print(metric.score)
print(metric.reason)

# or evaluate test cases in bulk
evaluate([test_case], [metric])
```

* Metrics Summary
  - Contextual Recall (score: 1.0, threshold: 0.7, strict: False, evaluation model: local model, reason: The score is 1.00 because the expected output is exactly as stated in the retrieval context., error: None)
* For test case:
  - input: What if these shoes don't fit?
  - actual output: We offer a 30-day full refund at no extra cost.
  - expected output: You are eligible for a 30 day full refund at no extra cost.
  - context: None
  - retrieval context: ['All customers are eligible for a 30 day full refund at no extra cost.']
* Overall Metric Pass Rates
  - Contextual Recall: 100.00% pass rate

– HallucinationMetric

```
from deepeval import evaluate
from deepeval.metrics import HallucinationMetric
from deepeval.test_case import LLMTestCase

# input

input = "What was the blond doing?"

# Replace this with the actual documents that you are passing as␣
↪input to your LLM.
context=["A man with blond-hair, and a brown shirt drinking out␣
↪of a public water fountain."]

# Replace this with the actual output from your LLM application
actual_output="A blond drinking water in public."

test_case = LLMTestCase(
    input= input,
    actual_output=actual_output,
    context=context
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
)
metric = HallucinationMetric(threshold=0.5)

metric.measure(test_case)
print(metric.score)
print(metric.reason)

# or evaluate test cases in bulk
evaluate([test_case], [metric])
```

  - Metrics Summary
    - Hallucination (score: 0.0, threshold: 0.5, strict: False, evaluation model: local model, reason: The score is 0.00 because the actual output correctly aligns with the provided context., error: None)
  - For test case:
    - input: What was the blond doing?
    - actual output: A blond drinking water in public.
    - expected output: None
    - context: ['A man with blond-hair, and a brown shirt drinking out of a public water fountain.']
    - retrieval context: None
  - Overall Metric Pass Rates

    Hallucination: 100.00% pass rate

- **Custom Metrics**

```
from deepeval.metrics import GEval
from deepeval.test_case import LLMTestCaseParams

correctness_metric = GEval(
    name="Correctness",
    criteria="Determine whether the actual output is factually␣
↪correct based on the expected output.",
    # NOTE: you can only provide either criteria or evaluation_
↪steps, and not both
    evaluation_steps=[
        "Check whether the facts in 'actual output' contradicts any␣
↪facts in 'expected output'",
        "You should also heavily penalize omission of detail",
        "Vague language, or contradicting OPINIONS, are OK"
    ],
    evaluation_params=[LLMTestCaseParams.INPUT, LLMTestCaseParams.
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
↪ACTUAL_OUTPUT, LLMTestCaseParams.EXPECTED_OUTPUT],
)

test_case = LLMTestCase(
    input="The dog chased the cat up the tree, who ran up the tree?
↪",
    actual_output="It depends, some might consider the cat, while␣
↪others might argue the dog.",
    expected_output="The cat."
)

correctness_metric.measure(test_case)
print(correctness_metric.score)
print(correctness_metric.reason)
```

```
Event loop is already running. Applying nest_asyncio patch to allow␣
↪async execution...
0.1
The actual output does not match the expected output and omits␣
↪specific details about which animal climbed the tree.
```

Evaluation Framework:

```
from deepeval import evaluate
from deepeval.metrics import GEval
from deepeval.test_case import LLMTestCase
from deepeval.test_case import LLMTestCaseParams

correctness_metric = GEval(
    name="Correctness",
    criteria="Determine whether the actual output is factually correct␣
↪based on the expected output.",
    # NOTE: you can only provide either criteria or evaluation_steps,␣
↪and not both
    evaluation_steps=[
        "Check whether the facts in 'actual output' contradicts any␣
↪facts in 'expected output'",
        "You should also heavily penalize omission of detail",
        "Vague language, or contradicting OPINIONS, are OK"
    ],
    evaluation_params=[LLMTestCaseParams.INPUT, LLMTestCaseParams.
↪ACTUAL_OUTPUT, LLMTestCaseParams.EXPECTED_OUTPUT],
)

test_case = LLMTestCase(
    input="The dog chased the cat up the tree, who ran up the tree?",
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
    actual_output="It depends, some might consider the cat, while␣
↪others might argue the dog.",
    expected_output="The cat."
)

evaluate([test_case], [correctness_metric])
```

- Metrics Summary
  - Correctness (GEval) (score: 0.2, threshold: 0.5, strict: False, evaluation model: local model, reason: Actual output omits the expected detail (the cat) and contradicts the expected output., error: None)
- For test case:
  - input: The dog chased the cat up the tree, who ran up the tree?
  - actual output: It depends, some might consider the cat, while others might argue the dog.
  - expected output: The cat.
  - context: None
  - retrieval context: None
- Overall Metric Pass Rates

  Correctness (GEval): 0.00% pass rate

```
EvaluationResult(test_results=[TestResult(name='test_case_0',␣
↪success=False, metrics_data=[MetricData(name='Correctness (GEval)',␣
↪threshold=0.5, success=False, score=0.2, reason='Actual output omits␣
↪the expected detail (the cat) and contradicts the expected output.',␣
↪strict_mode=False, evaluation_model='local model', error=None,␣
↪evaluation_cost=0.0, verbose_logs='Criteria:\nDetermine whether the␣
↪actual output is factually correct based on the expected output. \n \
↪nEvaluation Steps:\n[\n    "Check whether the facts in \'actual␣
↪output\' contradicts any facts in \'expected output\'",\n    "You␣
↪should also heavily penalize omission of detail",\n    "Vague␣
↪language, or contradicting OPINIONS, are OK"\n]')],␣
↪conversational=False, multimodal=False, input='The dog chased the cat␣
↪up the tree, who ran up the tree?', actual_output='It depends, some␣
↪might consider the cat, while others might argue the dog.', expected_
↪output='The cat.', context=None, retrieval_context=None)], confident_
↪link=None)
```

CHAPTER

NINE

MAIN REFERENCE

BIBLIOGRAPHY

[GenAI] Wenqiang Feng, Di Zhen. GenAI: Best Practices, 2024.

[PySpark] Wenqiang Feng. Learning Apache Spark with Python, 2017.

[lateChunking] Michael Gunther etc. Late Chunking: Contextual Chunk Embeddings Using Long-Context Embedding Models, 2024.

[selfRAG] Akari Asai etc. Self-RAG: Learning to Retrieve, Generate, and Critique through Self-Reflection, 2023.

[PEFT] Yunho Mo etc. Parameter-Efficient Fine-Tuning Method for Task-Oriented Dialogue Systems, 2023.

[fineTuneEmbedding] Philipp Schmid. Fine-tune Embedding models for Retrieval Augmented Generation (RAG), 2024.

[attentionAllYouNeed] Ashish Vaswani etc. Attention Is All You Need, 2017.

[fineTuneLLM] Maxime Labonne. Fine-Tune Your Own Llama 2 Model in a Colab Notebook, 2024.

[GEval] Yang Liu. G-EVAL: NLG Evaluation using GPT-4 with Better Human Alignment, 2023.