

1 Setup

The algorithms we've seen so far have access to a first order oracle, which returns the exact (sub)gradient at a given point, plus potentially the function value.

$$x \in \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \boxed{\text{1st order oracle}} \longrightarrow g_x \in \partial f(x) \quad (\nabla f(x) \text{ if } f \text{ is differentiable}) \\ \text{maybe also } f(x)$$

Stochastic optimization: We are given a *noisy* version of the (sub)gradient:

$$x \in \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \boxed{\text{1st order stochastic oracle}} \longrightarrow \tilde{g}(x, \xi)$$

Here $\tilde{g}(x, \xi)$ is a stochastic estimate of some $g_x \in \partial f(x)$, where ξ is a random variable representing the randomness in the stochastic estimate.

Remark 1. Some models also assume access to stochastic estimates of the function value $f(x)$. We do not need it here.

1.1 Examples

Example 1. $\tilde{g}(x, \xi) = g_x + \xi$, where ξ is additive noise due to, e.g., inaccurate measurements in physical systems. Sometimes, the noise is added intentionally (for privacy).

Example 2. Finite sum minimization: Want to minimize

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x)$$

and n is large. We can take $\tilde{g}(x, \xi) = \nabla f_{\bar{i}}(x)$, where \bar{i} is an integer sampled uniformly at random from $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Here $\xi = \bar{i}$.

More generally, we can take $\tilde{g}(x, \xi) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in S} \nabla f_i(x)$, where S is a random subset of $\{1, \dots, n\}$; here $\xi = S$ is sometimes called a mini-batch.

Example 3. Empirical risk minimization (ERM): We want to minimize

$$f(x) = \mathbb{E}_{(x,y) \sim \Pi_{\text{data}}} [l(x; a, b)],$$

but we do not know how to exactly compute the expectation above. Suppose we have collected n data points (a_i, b_i) that come from the distribution Π_{data} . As an approximation we minimize the empirical loss

$$f_{\text{emp}}(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n l(x; a_i, b_i).$$

When $n \rightarrow \infty$, $f_{\text{emp}} \rightarrow f$. Here we view $\tilde{g}(x, \xi) = \nabla f_{\text{emp}}(x)$ as a noisy estimate of $\nabla f(x)$.

Assumptions for this note:

$$\min_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f(x).$$

1. f is convex, M -Lipschitz w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_2$. (f may not be differentiable).
2. \mathcal{X} is closed, convex, nonempty. Projection $P_{\mathcal{X}}(\cdot)$ can be computed.

3. $\forall x \in \mathcal{X}$, it holds:

Unbiased estimate: $\mathbb{E}_{\xi}[\tilde{g}(x, \xi)] = g_x \in \partial f(x)$.

Bounded variance: $\mathbb{E}_{\xi}[\|\tilde{g}(x, \xi) - g_x\|_2^2] \leq \sigma^2 < \infty$.

2 Stochastic (projected sub)gradient descent

Consider the following S-PSubGD algorithm:

$$\begin{aligned} x_{k+1} &= \operatorname{argmin}_{u \in \mathcal{X}} \left\{ a_k \langle \tilde{g}(x_k, \xi_k), u - x_k \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \|u - x_k\|_2^2 \right\} \\ &= P_{\mathcal{X}}(x_k - a_k \tilde{g}(x_k, \xi_k)), \end{aligned}$$

where $a_k > 0$ is the stepsize to be chosen later.

Convergence Analysis:

$\xi_0, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_K$: i.i.d.

True grad: $g_k \equiv g_{x_k}$.
 noisy grad: $\tilde{g}_k = \tilde{g}(x_k, \xi_k)$
 (sub) (sub)

Similar framework: $x_k^{\text{out}} = \frac{1}{A_k} \sum_{i=0}^k \alpha_i x_i$. $A_k := \sum_{i=0}^k \alpha_i$.

Upper bound: $U_k := \frac{1}{A_k} \sum_{i=0}^k \alpha_i f(x_i) \geq f(x_k^{\text{out}})$, by def of f .

Lower bound: $L_k := \frac{1}{A_k} \sum_{i=0}^k \alpha_i (f(x_i) + \langle g_i, x^* - x_i \rangle) \leq f(x^*)$

$$\Rightarrow G_k = U_k - L_k = -\frac{1}{A_k} \sum_{i=0}^k \alpha_i \langle g_i, x^* - x_i \rangle \geq f(x_k^{\text{out}}) - f(x^*).$$

$$A_0 G_0 = -\langle g_0, x^* - x_0 \rangle.$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_k G_k - A_{k-1} G_{k-1} &= -a_k \langle g_k, x^* - x_k \rangle = a_k \langle g_k, x_k - x_{k+1} \rangle + a_k \langle g_k, x_{k+1} - x^* \rangle \\ &= a_k \langle g_k, x_k - x_{k+1} \rangle + a_k \langle \tilde{g}_k, x_{k+1} - x^* \rangle + \underbrace{a_k \langle g_k - \tilde{g}_k, x_{k+1} - x^* \rangle}_{\leq a_k \|g_k\|_2 \|x_k - x_{k+1}\| \leq M a_k \|x_k - x_{k+1}\|} \end{aligned}$$

stochastic term.

$$x_{k+1} = P_{\mathcal{X}}(x_k - a_k \tilde{g}_k)$$

By minimum principle, $\forall y \in \mathcal{X}$, $\langle x_{k+1} - x_k + a_k \hat{g}_k, y - x_{k+1} \rangle \geq 0$.

Set $y = x^*$

$$\begin{aligned} a_k \langle \hat{g}_k, x_{k+1} - x^* \rangle &= \langle x_{k+1} - x_k, x^* - x_{k+1} \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|x_k - x^*\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|x_{k+1} - x^*\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|x_k - x_{k+1}\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_k G_k - A_{k-1} G_{k-1} &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|x_k - x^*\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|x_{k+1} - x^*\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|x_k - x_{k+1}\|^2 + M a_k \|x_k - x_{k+1}\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} M^2 a_k^2 + a_k \langle g_k - \tilde{g}_k, x_{k+1} - x^* \rangle \\ \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[A_k G_k - A_{k-1} G_{k-1}] &\leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}[\|x_k - x^*\|^2 - \|x_{k+1} - x^*\|^2] + \frac{1}{2} M^2 a_k^2 + \mathbb{E}[a_k \langle g_k - \tilde{g}_k, x_{k+1} - x^* \rangle] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\langle g_k - \tilde{g}_k, x_{k+1} - x^* \rangle] &= \mathbb{E}_{\xi_{0:k}} \mathbb{E}_{\xi_k} [\langle g_k - \tilde{g}_k, x_{k+1} - x^* \rangle | \xi_{0:k-1}] \\ &\quad \uparrow \\ &\quad \xi_{0:k} \end{aligned}$$

$\mathbb{E}_{\xi_k} [\langle g_k - \tilde{g}_k, x_{k+1} - x^* \rangle | \xi_{0:k-1}]$. Let's handle this term,

$$\mathbb{E}[\langle g_k - \tilde{g}_k, x^* \rangle | \xi_{0:k-1}] = \underbrace{\langle g_k - \mathbb{E}_{\xi_k}[\tilde{g}_k | \xi_{0:k-1}], x^* \rangle}_{\text{linearity}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\quad \tilde{g}_k \text{ indep of } \xi_{0:k-1} \\ &\quad (\tilde{g}_k \sim \xi_k) \qquad = \langle g_k - \mathbb{E}_{\xi_k}[\tilde{g}_k], x^* \rangle \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{unbiased estimate}}}{=} 0. \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \mathbb{E}_{\xi_k} [\langle g_k - \tilde{g}_k, x_{k+1} - x^* \rangle | \xi_{0:k-1}] = \mathbb{E}_{\xi_k} [\langle g_k - \tilde{g}_k, x_{k+1} \rangle | \xi_{0:k-1}]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\xi_k} [\langle g_k - \tilde{g}_k, P_{\mathcal{X}}(x_k - a_k \tilde{g}_k) \rangle | \xi_{0:k-1}]$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{\xi_k} [\langle g_k - \tilde{g}_k, P_{\mathcal{X}}(x_k - a_k \tilde{g}_k) \rangle | \xi_{0:k-1}]$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\langle X, Y \rangle] = \langle \mathbb{E}[X], \mathbb{E}[Y] \rangle$$

\uparrow
 X, Y independent.

$$= \langle \mathbb{E}_{\xi_k} [g_k - \tilde{g}_k | \xi_{0:k-1}], \mathbb{E}_{\xi_k} [P_{\mathcal{X}}(x_k - a_k \tilde{g}_k) | \xi_{0:k-1}] \rangle$$

$$= \frac{\langle g_k - \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{Z}_k}[\tilde{g}_k], \dots \rangle}{0} = 0.$$

多加 1 个为 0 项.

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{Z}_k} [\langle g_k - \tilde{g}_k, P_X(x_k - a_k \tilde{g}_k) - P_X(x_k - a_k g_k) \rangle | \mathcal{Z}_{0:k-1}] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{Z}_k} [\langle g_k - \tilde{g}_k, P_X(x_k - a_k \tilde{g}_k) - \underbrace{P_X(x_k - a_k g_k)}_{\text{Cauchy}} \rangle | \mathcal{Z}_{0:k-1}]$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{Z}_k} [\|g_k - \tilde{g}_k\|_2 \cdot \|P_X(x_k - a_k \tilde{g}_k) - P_X(x_k - a_k g_k)\|_2 | \mathcal{Z}_{0:k-1}]$$

$P_X(\cdot)$, non-expansive

$$\leq \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{Z}_k} [a_k \|g_k - \tilde{g}_k\|_2^2 | \mathcal{Z}_{0:k-1}]$$

independence.

$$\leq a_k \sigma^2$$

Bounded var.

Have

$$\mathbb{E}[A_k G_k - A_{k+1} G_{k+1}] \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}[\|x_k - x^*\|^2 - \|x_{k+1} - x^*\|^2] + \frac{1}{2} M^2 a_k^2 + a_k \cdot a_k \sigma^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}[\|x_k - x^*\|^2 - \|x_{k+1} - x^*\|^2] + \frac{a_k^2}{2} (M^2 + 2\sigma^2)$$

we set x_0 , no randomness.

Sum,

$$\mathbb{E}[f(x_K^{\text{out}}) - f(x^*)] = \mathbb{E}[G_K] = \frac{\mathbb{E}[\|x_0 - x^*\|^2] + \sum_{k=1}^K a_k^2 (M^2 + 2\sigma^2)}{2A_K}$$

$$= \frac{\|x_0 - x^*\|^2 + (M^2 + 2\sigma^2) \sum_{k=1}^K a_k^2}{2A_K}$$

$2\sigma^2$: sto term.

Then analysis is similar to PsubGD.

$$\text{Apply } a_k = \frac{\|x_0 - x^*\|_2}{\sqrt{M^2 + 2\sigma^2} \cdot \sqrt{K+1}}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[f(x_K^{\text{out}}) - f(x^*)] \leq \frac{\|x_0 - x^*\|^2}{A_K} = \frac{\sqrt{K+1} \sigma^2 \|x_0 - x^*\|}{\sqrt{K+1}}$$

Observation:

① $\sigma=0$. Generalize to sub GD.

② $O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{K}})$, convergence rate

③ We can discuss the case - $\begin{cases} \mathcal{X}'s \text{ diameter.} \\ n? \quad \sigma? \text{ unknown.} \end{cases}$

3 Analysis of SGD in other settings (Optional)

In this section, we state without proof several additional convergence results for (projected) stochastic (sub)gradient descent.² As before, we assume that f is convex and the stochastic gradient $g(x, \xi)$ is unbiased, but we will consider other additional properties of f and $g(x, \xi)$.

3.1 Role of smoothness

Still assume that stochastic gradient has variance bounded by σ^2 ; see equation (1). We make the additional assumption that f is L -smooth (w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|$). Let $D := \max_{x, y \in \mathcal{X}} \|x - y\|_2$ be the diameter of \mathcal{X} . With a constant stepsize $a_k = \frac{1}{L + (\sigma/D)\sqrt{(K+1)/2}}$, $\forall k$, one can show that

$$\mathbb{E}f(x_K^{\text{out}}) - f(x^*) \leq D\sigma\sqrt{\frac{2}{K+1}} + \frac{LD^2}{K+1}. \quad (3)$$

When K is large, the first term on the RHS dominates and thus we have an $O(1/\sqrt{K})$ rate. This rate is essentially the same as the bound (2) for nonsmooth f . Therefore, smoothness does not offer much benefit in the stochastic setting. In contrast, in the deterministic setting, smoothness leads to the faster rates of $O(1/K)$ (for GD) and $O(1/K^2)$ (for AGD).

3.2 Role of strong convexity

Going back to the setting with M -Lipschitz f . Still assume that stochastic gradient has variance bounded by σ^2 ; see equation (1). We make the additional assumption that f is m -strongly convex (w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_2$). Note that this is possible only when \mathcal{X} is bounded.³

For the diminishing stepsize $a_k = \frac{2}{m(k+2)}$, we have

$$\mathbb{E} f \left(\sum_{k=0}^K \frac{2(k+1)}{(K+1)(K+2)} x_k \right) - f(x^*) \leq \frac{2(M^2 + \sigma^2)}{m(K+2)}. \quad (4)$$

This $O(1/K)$ rate is better than the $O(1/\sqrt{K})$ rate for non-strongly convex f .

3.3 More general noise

We now consider a more general form of noise assumption: there exist some $L_g \geq 0$ and $B \geq 0$ such that for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$:

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\|g(x, \xi)\|_2^2 \right] \leq L_g^2 \|x - x^*\|_2^2 + B^2. \quad (5)$$

We consider three cases.

3.3.1 $L_g = 0, B > 0$, convex f

This setting is a slight generalization of the previous assumption (1) of M -Lipschitz f and σ^2 -bounded variance. In particular, the assumption (1) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\|g(x, \xi)\|_2^2 \right] &= \|\mathbb{E}[g(x, \xi)]\|_2^2 + \mathbb{E}_\xi \left[\|\tilde{g}(x, \xi) - g_x\|_2^2 \right] \\ &= \|g_x\|_2^2 + \mathbb{E}_\xi \left[\|\tilde{g}(x, \xi) - g_x\|_2^2 \right] \leq M^2 + \sigma^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the more general assumption (5) is satisfied with $L_g = 0$ and $B^2 = M^2 + \sigma^2$. In this case, using the constant stepsize $a_k = \frac{\|x_0 - x^*\|_2}{B\sqrt{K+1}}$, $\forall k$, we have

$$\mathbb{E} [f(x_K^{\text{out}}) - f(x^*)] \leq \frac{\|x_0 - x^*\|_2 B}{\sqrt{K+1}}.$$

This bound is essentially the same as the bound (2) proved earlier.

3.3.2 $L_g > 0, B = 0$, m -strongly convex f

In this setting, we have $\mathbb{E} \left[\|g(x, \xi)\|_2^2 \right] \rightarrow 0 = \|\nabla f(x^*)\|_2^2$ as $x \rightarrow x^*$. That is, the stochastic gradient becomes more and more accurate near x^* . Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_g^2 \|x - x^*\|_2^2 &\geq \mathbb{E} \left[\|g(x, \xi)\|_2^2 \right] \\ &\geq \|\mathbb{E}[g(x, \xi)]\|_2^2 && \text{Jensen's} \\ &= \|\nabla f(x)\|_2^2 = \|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(x^*)\|_2^2, && \text{unbiased, } \nabla f(x^*) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

³For a strongly convex function, its subgradient grows linearly away from x^* : $\|\nabla f(x)\|_2 \geq \frac{m}{2} \|x - x^*\|_2$, hence $\|\nabla f(x)\| \leq M$ cannot be over the entire \mathbb{R}^d .

so the gradient of f satisfies a “Lipschitz-like” assumption.

With a constant stepsize $a_k = \frac{m}{L_g^2}, \forall k$, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \|x_K - x^*\|_2^2 \leq \left(1 - \frac{m^2}{L_g^2}\right)^K \|x_0 - x^*\|^2.$$

We have geometric convergence thanks to strong convexity and the Lipschitz-like property. The contraction factor is $1 - \frac{m^2}{L_g^2}$, which is worse than the $1 - \frac{m}{L}$ (for GD) and $1 - \sqrt{\frac{m}{L}}$ (for AGD) factors we saw in the deterministic setting with m -strong convexity and L -Lipschitz gradient.

3.3.3 $L_g > 0, B > 0, m$ -strongly convex f

With a diminishing stepsize $a_k = \frac{1}{2m(L_g^2/2m^2 + k)}$, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \|x_K - x^*\|_2^2 \leq \frac{c_0 B^2}{2m(L_g^2/2m^2 + K)}.$$

For large K , this is an $O(1/K)$ rate.