Lecture 13: Conjugate Gradient Methods: Implementation and Extensions

Yudong Chen

1 Recap

Consider $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^{\top}Ax - b^{\top}x$, where A > 0. Minimizing f is equivalent to solving the linear system Ax = b.

The conjugate gradient (CG) method is given by

$$x_k = \arg\min_{x \in x_0 + \mathcal{K}_k} f(x), \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where $K_k := \text{Lin}\{A(x_0 - x^*), \dots, A^k(x_0 - x^*)\}$ is the *Krylov subspace* of order k.

Lemma 1. For any $k \ge 1$, we have $K_k = \text{Lin} \{ \nabla f(x_0), \dots, \nabla f(x_{k-1}) \}$.

Lemma 2. For any $0 \le i < k$, we have $\langle \nabla f(x_k), \nabla f(x_i) \rangle = 0$.

Corollary 1. CG finds $x^* = \arg\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} f(x)$ in at most d iterations.

Corollary 2. $\forall p \in \mathcal{K}_k, \langle \nabla f(x_k), p \rangle = 0.$

2 Efficient implementation of CG

Define $\delta_i := x_{i+1} - x_i$.

Lemma 3. For all $k \ge 1$, $K_k = \text{Lin} \{\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_{k-1}\}$.

Proof. Suppose Lin $\{\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_{k-1}\} = \mathcal{K}_k$. Want to show Lin $\{\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_k\} = \mathcal{K}_{k+1}$.

- If $\nabla f(x_k) = 0$: In the proof of Lemma 1 we showed that $\mathcal{K}_{k+1} = \mathcal{K}_k$ and $x_{k+1} = x_k = x^*$. Hence $\text{Lin}\{\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_{k-1}, \delta_k\} = \text{Lin}\{\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_{k-1}, 0\} = \mathcal{K}_k = \mathcal{K}_{k+1}$.
- If $\nabla f(x_k) \neq 0$: In the proof of Lemma 1 we showed that

$$x_{k+1} = x_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k \beta_{i,k+1} A^i(x_0 - x^*) + \beta_{k+1,k+1} A^i(x_0 - x^*)$$

for some $\beta_{k+1,k+1} \neq 0$, hence

$$\delta_k = x_{k+1} - x_k = \underbrace{x_0 - x_k}_{\in \mathcal{K}_k} + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^k \beta_{i,k+1} A^i(x_0 - x^*)}_{\in \mathcal{K}_k} + \beta_{k+1,k+1} A^{k+1}(x_0 - x^*),$$

hence

$$\operatorname{Lin} \left\{ \delta_0, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_{k-1}, \delta_k \right\} = \operatorname{Lin} \left\{ \mathcal{K}_k \cup \delta_k \right\}$$
$$= \operatorname{Lin} \left\{ \mathcal{K}_k \cup A^{k+1} (x_0 - x^*) \right\}$$
$$= \mathcal{K}_{k+1}.$$

Lemma 4 (Lemma 1.3.3 in Nesterov's book). *For any* $k, i \ge 0, k \ne i$, the vectors δ_i, δ_k are conjugate w.r.t. A, i.e., $\langle A\delta_k, \delta_i \rangle = 0$.

Proof. Assume w.l.o.g. k > i. Then

$$\langle A\delta_k, \delta_i \rangle = \langle A(x_{k+1} - x_k), \delta_i \rangle$$

$$= \langle A(x_{k+1} - x^*) - A(x_k - x^*), \delta_i \rangle$$

$$= \langle \nabla f(x_{k+1}), \delta_i \rangle - \langle \nabla f(x_k), \delta_i \rangle$$

$$= 0 - 0.$$

where in the last step we use $\delta_i \in \mathcal{K}_{i+1} \subseteq \mathcal{K}_k \subseteq \mathcal{K}_{k+1}$ and Corollary $\mathbf{2} (\nabla f(x_{k+1}) \perp \mathcal{K}_{k+1}, \nabla f(x_k) \perp \mathcal{K}_k)$.

We are ready to derive an explicit formula for CG iterate x_{k+1} . As $\mathcal{K}_k = \text{Lin}\{\delta_0, \dots, \delta_{k-1}\}$, we can express $x_{k+1} \in x_0 + \mathcal{K}_{k+1}$ as

$$x_{k+1} = \underbrace{x_k}_{\in x_0 + \mathcal{K}_k} - \underbrace{h_k \nabla f(x_k)}_{\in \mathcal{K}_{k+1} \setminus \mathcal{K}_k} + \underbrace{\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \alpha_j \delta_j}_{\in \mathcal{K}_k}$$

for some scalars h_k , α_0 , α_1 , ..., α_{k-1} . Equivalently,

$$\delta_k = -h_k \nabla f(x_k) + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \alpha_j \delta_j.$$

To make the above implementable, we need to determine h_k and $\{\alpha_j\}$. For $i=0,1,\ldots,k-1$, taking the inner product with $A\delta_i$ gives

$$0 = \langle A\delta_i, \delta_k \rangle$$
 Lemma 4
$$= -h_k \langle A\delta_i, \nabla f(x_k) \rangle + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \alpha_j \langle A\delta_j, \delta_i \rangle$$

$$= -h_k \langle A\delta_i, \nabla f(x_k) \rangle + \alpha_i \langle A\delta_i, \delta_i \rangle.$$
 Lemma 4

But

$$A\delta_i = A(x_{i+1} - x^*) - A(x_i - x^*) = \nabla f(x_{i+1}) - \nabla f(x_i).$$

Combining the last two equations gives

$$h_k \langle \nabla f(x_{i+1}) - \nabla f(x_i), \nabla f(x_k) \rangle = \alpha_i \langle A \delta_i, \delta_i \rangle.$$

• For $i=0,1,\ldots,k-2$, we have $\langle \nabla f(x_{i+1}),\nabla f(x_k)\rangle = \langle \nabla f(x_i),\nabla f(x_k)\rangle = 0$ by Lemma 2, hence

$$0 = \alpha_i \langle A\delta_i, \delta_i \rangle \quad \stackrel{A \succ 0}{\Longrightarrow} \quad \alpha_i = 0.$$

• For i = k - 1, we have

$$h_k \langle \nabla f(x_k) - \nabla f(x_{k-1}), \nabla f(x_k) \rangle = \alpha_{k-1} \underbrace{\langle A \delta_{k-1}, \delta_{k-1} \rangle}_{\neq 0 \text{ as } A \succ 0}.$$

Note that $\langle \nabla f(x_{k-1}), \nabla f(x_k) \rangle = 0$, hence

$$\alpha_{k-1} = \frac{h_k \|\nabla f(x_k)\|_2^2}{\langle A\delta_{k-1}, \delta_{k-1} \rangle} = \frac{h_k \|\nabla f(x_k)\|_2^2}{\langle \nabla f(x_k) - \nabla f(x_{k-1}), \delta_{k-1} \rangle}.$$

Combining, we obtain that

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - h_k \nabla f(x_k) + \alpha_{k-1} \delta_{k-1}$$

$$= x_k - h_k \underbrace{\left(\nabla f(x_k) - \frac{\|\nabla f(x_k)\|_2^2}{\langle \nabla f(x_k) - \nabla f(x_{k-1}), \delta_{k-1} \rangle} \delta_{k-1}\right)}_{=: p_k},$$
(1)

where $p_k \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is viewed as the search direction and $h_k \in \mathbb{R}$ is viewed as the stepsize. Since $x_k - hp_k \in x_0 + \mathcal{K}_{k+1}$ for all h and x_{k+1} minimizes f(x) over \mathcal{K}_{k+1} , the stepsize h_k is given by exact line search:

$$h_k = \arg\min_{h \in \mathbb{R}} f(x_k - hp_k).$$

Explicit form of CG: In summary, CG can be implemented as

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - h_k p_k,$$

where

$$p_k = \nabla f(x_k) - \frac{\|\nabla f(x_k)\|_2^2}{\langle \nabla f(x_k) - \nabla f(x_{k-1}), \delta_{k-1} \rangle} \delta_{k-1},$$

$$\delta_{k-1} = x_k - x_{k-1},$$

$$h_k = \arg \min_{h \in \mathbb{R}} f(x_k - hp_k).$$

Note that the exact line search step involves optimizing a one-dimensional quadratic function and can be computed in closed form.

Question 1. How much storage is needed in CG? How much computation per iteration?

Remark 1 (Conjugacy). The search directions $p_k = -\frac{1}{h_k} \delta_k$ are conjugate w.r.t. *A*:

$$\langle Ap_k, p_i \rangle = 0, \quad \forall k \neq i$$

since $\langle A\delta_k, \delta_i \rangle = 0$ (Lemma 4).

Remark 2 (Relation to heavy-ball). From (1) we have

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - h_k \nabla f(x_k) + \alpha_{k-1} (x_k - x_{k-1}),$$

which resembles the heavy-ball method (gradient step + momentum step) but with time-varying h_k and α_k .

Remark 3. CG does not require knowing the smoothness and strong convexity parameters *L* and *m*.

Remark 4. CG for quadratic f has a very rich convergence theory beyond the asymptotic linear rate. For example:

- If *A* has *r* distinct eigenvalues, CG terminates in at most *r* iterations.
- More generally, CG converges fast when the eigenvalues of *A* have a clustering structure.
- Precondition CG: one may transform the problem so that *A* has a more favorable eigenvalue distribution.

We will not delve into these results; see Chapter 5.1 of Nocedal-Wright.

3 Extension to non-quadratic functions

We have written CG in a form that only involves the gradient of f, without explicit dependence on the quadratic structure of f. This allows extension to non-quadratic functions. (Such extensions are known as "nonlinear CG", since $\nabla f(x)$ is nonlinear in x.)

Algorithm 1 Nonlinear CG

- Initial search direction: $p_0 = \nabla f(x_0)$.
- For k = 0, 1, ...
 - Set

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - h_k p_k,$$

where h_k is computed by (exact or inexact) line search.

- Compute the next search direction as

$$p_{k+1} = \nabla f(x_{k+1}) - \beta_k p_k,$$

with some specific choice of β_k (see below).

There are different ways of choosing β_k 's:

- Dai-Yuan: $\beta_k = \frac{\|\nabla f(x_{k+1})\|_2^2}{\langle \nabla f(x_{k+1}) \nabla f(x_k), p_k \rangle}$. (equivalent to the α_{k-1} that we derived for quadratic f)
- Fletcher-Rieves: $\beta_k = -\frac{\|\nabla f(x_{k+1})\|_2^2}{\|\nabla f(x_k)\|_2^2}$.
- Polak-Ribiere: $\beta_k = -\frac{\langle \nabla f(x_{k+1}), \nabla f(x_{k+1}) \nabla f(x_k) \rangle}{\|\nabla f(x_k)\|_2^2}$.

All of above lead to the same results in the case of quadratic f. See Chapter 5.2 of Nocedal-Wright for more on nonlinear CG.

Nonlinear CG is attractive in practice: it does not require matrix storage and performs well empirically (e.g., faster than GD). Theoretical results are not as strong as AGD—this is a topic for further research.