

# Is There Such a Thing As Too Much Democracy?

## 1 Introduction

Discussions on presidential candidates on kitchen tables used to be sparked by information flows screen-filtered by fact-checking systems, with respected newspaper editors and prestigious news anchors as gatekeepers to keep out the most deliberate untruths and inflammatory rhetoric. But today it is flooded by waves of fake news, and radicalized and radicalizing discourses unleashed by the advent of social media and the convenience of cell phones.

Democracy was on the ballot in the European Parliament election, one of the world's largest democratic exercises, and far-right parties with hyper-nationalism were on the rise. The US primaries handed the grip over candidate selection from party leaders to popular votes, and opened the door to the Oval Office for extremist candidates and demagogues. When the integrity of the election system was smeared and the Capitol Hill insurrection was incited, the world smelled the *déjà vu* of elected autocrats like Hitler of the Third Reich and Hugo Chavez of Venezuela. The surging populism and identity politics stir up massive hatred and accentuate one question.

Is there already an overdose of democracy that grabs the reins of the country into the hands of inciting demagogues and misinformed, impulsive online mobs?

If the answer is *no*, democracy has not yet reached an excessive level in the status quo, the subsequent question arises.

Is there a theoretical possibility that there can be too much democracy?

## 2 Definition of Democracy

Before evaluating the potential excess of democracy, it is essential to define what it is. Definitions of democracy have been evolving throughout human history.

**Definition 1.** Rule of majority. Aristotle identified three major forms of government: kingship, aristocracy, and polity (the deviant of which is democracy) if the government consisted of one person, a few, or a multitude respectively (Lord, 2013). In Athens, direct democracy of rule by the majority was practiced through a citizen's assembly sized 6000, with at least 40 annual conventions (Bao, 2018).

**Definition 2.** Elective and Representative Democracy. To accommodate growing population and to overcome the inherent flaws of direct governing by the people, the egalitarianism regime where people made decisions together evolved into representatives governing the country through competitive election into legislature and administration (Schumpeter, 1962). The rank and file participate indirectly in making laws and public policies by casting their votes. Grassroots democracy has been replaced by representative democracy.

**Definition 3.** Liberal Democracy based on institutionalized constitution. There has been a new academic definition of democracy, the Compound Democracy, also known as Liberal Democracy or Constitutional Democracy for the established and robust constitutions (Bao, 2018). The formula is representative democracy coupled with the rule of law, which safeguards the legitimacy of political procedures, freedom of expression and citizen participation, and constrains administrative power within constitutional and legal principles.

The defining line between the second and the third definition of democracy lies in the absence or presence of the rule of law. If election procedures are abused, and political opponents are thus eliminated through imprisonment, exile, or death, the regime has become an *autocracy* despite its claim to democracy. However, if public resentment is fermented and maneuvered to defy the constitution and rule of law and hijack governmental policies, the regime may maintain the appearance of election and representation of the people, but falls short of Liberal Democracy.

This essay is a comparative analysis of democracy versus other common good under the paradigms of Elective and Representative Democracy and Liberal Democracy.

### 3 Yardstick to measure how much is too much

The core issue of this essay is the yardstick to measure how much democracy is too much. If democracy is considered an end in itself, it becomes excessive if it crowds out other inherent values of public life. If democracy is seen as means to social prosperity, it is excessive if it overwhelms other means to achieve this goal, making it more elusive than in its absence or mitigated presence.

### 4 Democracy as ends

Democracy is valued for its intrinsic merit of citizen participation and decision-making as a social good. Its importance is parallel to freedom, equality, economic

prosperity, social cohesion and public order. The benchmark for evaluating democratic excess is whether it allows other kinds of common good to flourish as well, rather than overshadow them.

Global landscape of democracy shows that vast regions are still short of democracy. 10 out of 12 countries in the Middle East have never experienced democracy. Asia is home to the largest number of countries (40%) that have never experienced democracy at any time in their history. Countries like Cambodia, Thailand, Pakistan, Turkey and Russia have regressed from democracy into authoritarian regimes. Venezuela, Brazil, Argentina, Chile and multiple other Latin American countries have experienced different degrees of democratic backslide (International IDEA, 2020). Therefore, in vast regions of the world, democracy is a scarcity rather than an excess.

However, in highly democratic regions of the world like North America and Europe, the rise of populist, extremist and anti-establishment candidates or parties is eroding people's trust in the government and the entire constitutional institution. Populism is seen as malaise or an overdose of democracy. Here comes a crucial question of the differentiation of populism from Liberal Democracy. Populism still goes through representative legislature and administration through competitive election (Definition 2), but it goes out of line with Definition 3 of democracy (Liberal Democracy based on institutionalized constitution). Populist actors often disrespect accountability institutions that check government, protect political pluralism and constitute Liberal Democracy. Public opinions malnourished by misinformation and lack of deliberation, or intentionally manipulated by demagogues hijack election and government policies against the guardrails of constitution and rule of law. Populism may look like pro forma democracy, but actually gnaws at the bones of Liberal Democracy.

Therefore, under the paradigm of Liberal Democracy, the raging populism in North America or Europe is manifestation of democratic fragility and erosion, rather than democratic overdose.

## **5 Democracy as means**

John Stuart Mill thinks that democracy is best suited to promote the common good in two ways. It first plays an important epistemic role in identifying the common good. Universal suffrage and political participation provide the best assurance that the interests of the governed will be properly appreciated by political decision-makers. Secondly, the political participation also improves the moral capacity of citizens. The governed cultivate their deliberate capacity to exchange reasons with others and to take principled stands in political process (Mill, 2010).

Democracy is commonly acknowledged today as means to achieving common good as economic growth, economic equality, gender equality, freedom, education, lower crime rate. However, disproportionately huge importance attached to it is likely to overwhelm other effective means to these targets and thus make the targets even harder to achieve. If a single ingredient in a recipe, such as salt, which is the soul of the dish, is disproportionate to the others, the culinary delicacy is compromised,

resulting in an unpalatable dish. The following part will analyze the inefficiency of democracy as means to prosperity.

### **5.1 Democracy is not sufficient in achieving prosperity**

Democracies are by and large more affluent, more equal and less corrupt than non-democracies and hybrid regimes. “Europe and Latin America have the highest representation of women in parliament” (International IDEA, 2020, p. 6), while the democratically starved Middle East literally imposes gender apartheid.

However, “in and of itself, democracy is not sufficient to guarantee low levels of corruption: indeed, 25 percent of democracies globally suffer from high levels of corruption” (International IDEA, 2020, p. 3). Latin America has the highest socioeconomic inequality and highest crime rates. The US has seen intense sense of victimhood and economic anger among the working class since they were left behind in free capital flow of globalization. The old beacon of hope has been more stratified and polarized in the past decade than ever before. Democracy does not translate into equality or prosperity.

### **5.2 Democracy is not the only means to prosperity**

Authoritarian regimes like Park Chung-hee’s reign worked the Miracle of Han River of Korea in the 1960s and 70s. China has leaped to be the second economic powerhouse through 40 years of spectacular economic growth. Lee Kuan Yew, celebrated as the architect of modern Singapore, transformed Singapore from a modest port into a global financial and transportation center with enormous improvement of people’s living standards and education.

On the other hand, when countries with weak administration or antagonized racial or religious groups are thrust into democracy, they suffer schism, social turmoils and even coups. For example, Haiti copied the American constitution and the entire political system, but ended up being one of the poorest and most corrupted countries in the world with political turmoils one after another. Cambodia’s multi-party election democracy plunged into a de facto one-party authoritarian regime in 2017 due to its weak state capacity. Democracy was imposed but collapsed for the absence of competent government. Even in established democracies, the rein of effective state over democracy matters. The fact that Brexit mandated by a referendum took three Prime Ministers to deliver showcases the disastrous aftermath of a disrupted cabinet.

Therefore, to both new and established democracies, effective state is a very important prerequisite to social prosperity. Edmund Burke claims that good government is more important, and indeed more valued by the people, than self government (Katz, 2022, p. 70). When democracy is prioritized over state building, there is an overdose of it and the prospect of the country is doomed.

Besides state building, there are other factors essential for social cohesion and prosperity. “Institutions were more than just formal rules; they encompassed the shared understanding of appropriate behavior that overlay them” (Levitsky & Ziblatt,

2018, p. 213). Political party's forbearance not to leverage its full power to cripple its political adversaries, mutual respect and tolerance of political candidates are tacit procedural principles that make the democratic institutions function. The media's rigorous gate keeping system guarantees the public access to information and fair analysis. The public's willingness to deliberate and exchange opinions rationally keeps them from the trap of echo chamber and online violence. All these are unwritten norms that orchestrate to make the social soil fertile for the growth of democracy and prosperity. If these unwritten norms of a civil society are shattered by economic disparity and political polarization, the affluence of democracy is likely to lead the country astray.

## 6 Conclusion

If democracy is taken as end, it is not excessive either in global landscape or in established democracies. Many regions in this world are starving for democracy. In established democracies, the surging populism represents erosion of the backbones of Liberal Democracy, not excess.

If democracy is taken as means, it does not warrant social prosperity, nor is it the only means to prosperity. The formula to prosperity is state building, Constitutional Democracy, civil society with unwritten norms of forbearance, tolerance, and public civility. For regimes with severe social stratification or racial or religious conflicts, the importance of Liberal Democracy submits to that of a strong government to contain the situation to the track of development. If the fertile soil of forbearance in established democracies is damaged, and the symmetry of the formula is broken with democracy being prioritized at the expense of other means and becoming the only game in town, the orgy of democracy paves the way to abyss. It is too early to say the symmetry in established democracies in North America and Europe has already been tilted, but there is a likelihood that it could be. Democratic backsliding could begin at the ballot box.

Democracy not only dies in darkness, as the masthead of the Washington Post states. Democracy also dies in excess.

## References

- Bao, G. (2018). *The Logic of Democracy*. Social Science Academic Press.
- International IDEA. (2020). The Global State of Democracy 2019: Addressing the Ills, Reviving the Promise. Retrieved June 30, 2024, from <https://www.idea.int/sites/default/files/publications/the-global-state-of-democracy-2019.pdf>
- Katz, R. S. (2022). If the Cure for the Ills of Democracy Is More Democracy, Might the Cure Be Worse than the Disease? *Scandinavian Political Studies*, 45(1), 68–85.

- Levitsky, S., & Ziblatt, D. (2018). *How Democracies Die: What History Reveals About Our Future*. Crown Publishers.
- Lord, C. (2013). *English translation of Aristotle's Politics*. University of Chicago press.
- Mill, J. S. (2010). *Considerations on Representative Government*. Cambridge University Press.
- Schumpeter, J. A. (1962). *Capitalism, Socialism and Democracy*. Harper and Bow.