

Basic Topology

$B_r^X(x) := \{y \in X : d(x, y) < r\}$. $\bar{B}_r^X(x)$ may not
= $\{y \in X : d(x, y) \leq r\}$. e.g., $d(x, y) = \delta_{\{x=y\}}$ on \mathbb{R} .
 $\Rightarrow \bar{B}_1(x) = \{x\}$. But $\{y \in X : d(x, y) \leq 1\} = \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma. (X, d) topo space. Then A open $\Leftrightarrow \forall x \in A$.
 $\exists U$ nhd of x . s.t. $x \in U \subset A$.

Pf: (\Rightarrow) Find \tilde{U} open. $x \in \tilde{U}$. let $U = \tilde{U} \cap A$.
 (\Leftarrow) $A = \bigcup_{x \in A} U_x$ is open.

Def: (X, d) topo space. $A \subset X$ is seq. closed
if $\forall (x_n) \subset A \rightarrow x \in X. \Rightarrow x \in A$.

Remark: In (X, d) metric space: A closed
 $\Leftrightarrow A$ seq. closed. (By contradiction)

Lemma. Every metric space is Hausdorff

Pf: $\delta = d(x, y)$. $\forall x, y \in X$. let $B(x, \frac{\delta}{3})$.

$B(y, \delta/3)$ are two separating balls.

Lemma. Limit is unique in Hausdorff

Pf: $\nexists X_n \rightarrow x, \tilde{x} \Rightarrow$ No open set of X, \tilde{x} separate them. $\subset \exists X_n \in U_x \cap U_{\tilde{x}}$

Lemma: $\{X\}$ is closed in Hausdorff space X .

Cor. cpt sets are closed in X .

Ex. i) Countable topo: $X = \mathbb{R}'$. $\mathcal{Z} = \{A \subset X : A = \emptyset \text{ or } A^c \text{ is countable}\}$.

a) closed \nLeftrightarrow seq closed.

closed set: $A = X$ or countable set.

seq closed set: $\forall A \subset X$ is seq cl.

Note that for $x_n \in A \rightarrow x$. let $U = \tilde{U} / ((x_n) / \{x\})$. \tilde{U} is nbh of x .

$\text{So: } \exists N, (x_n)_{n \geq N} \in U. \Rightarrow x_n = x, \forall n \geq N.$

$\Rightarrow x \in A$ for $\forall A \subset X$.

b) (X, \mathcal{Z}) isn't metrizable.

otherwise, it's Hausdorff. But for

$x, y \in X$, if $\exists A, B$ open $x \in A \cap B \ni y = x$.

$\Rightarrow A^c \cup B^c = X$. Contradict!

ii) A finite topo: $X = \mathbb{R}'$. $\mathcal{Z} = \{A \subset X \mid A = \emptyset$

or A^c is finite set}.

a) (X, τ) is not Lindelöf. (as i) b))

b) \forall set $\subset (X, \tau)$ is cpt and seq. cpt.

$N \subset \bigcup U_i$. Assume $\exists U_i$ s.t. $N \cap U_i^c \neq \emptyset$.

so $N \cap U_i^c = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. $\exists U_{ik} \ni x_k, \forall k$.

$\Rightarrow N \subset U_i \cup (\bigcup_k U_{ik})$.

And any infinite distinctive seq will enter open sets eventually.

Lem. i) (E, τ) is separable $\Rightarrow \forall F \subset E$, $(F, \tau_{F \times F})$ is separable.

ii) $A \subset (E, \tau)$ is cpt. $(\Leftrightarrow) (A, \tau_{A \times A})$ is cpt.

Pf. \supset let $\eta_n^k \in F \cap B_k^c(x_n)$ if $\neq \emptyset$. for (x_n) is countable dense in \bar{E} .

$\Rightarrow (\eta_n^k)_{k,n}$ is dense in $(F, \tau_{F \times F})$.

ii) Note V is open in $(A, \tau_{A \times A}) \Leftrightarrow$

$\exists U$ open in E and $V = A \cap U$.

Lem. $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ is separable $(\Leftrightarrow) S_E = \{ \|x\| = 1 \}$ is.

Pf. (\Rightarrow) is by Lem i). (\Leftarrow) $(x_n) \subset S_E$.

We assert $(\lambda x_n)_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}, n} \subset_{\text{dense}} E$.

$$\forall x \in E. \Rightarrow \frac{x}{\|x\|} \in S. \exists \|x_n - \frac{x}{\|x\|}\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{\|x\|}$$

$$\text{and } \exists \lambda \in \mathbb{Q}. |\lambda - \|x\|| < \varepsilon.$$

Lem. E , n.v.s. Then: E^* Sep. $\Rightarrow E$ Sep.

Pf: (ε_n) dense in S_E . Choose (x_n) s.t.

$$\|x_n\| = 1. |\varepsilon_n(x_n)| \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Claim: $\text{span}^{\mathbb{Q}} \{x_k\}_{k \geq 1} = F$ dense in E .

$\nexists F$ isn't dense. Then: $\exists \ell \in E^*$.

$$\text{s.t. } \ell|_F = 0. \|\ell\| = 1. \text{ So:}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq |\varepsilon_n(x_n)| = |\varepsilon_n(x_n) - \ell(x_n)| \leq \|\varepsilon_n - \ell\|$$

\Rightarrow contradiction.

Def: $A \subset (X, d)$ metric space is totally bdd if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \text{ finite } \varepsilon\text{-net for } A. \text{ i.e. } A \subset \bigcup_{j \in J} B_{j, (\varepsilon)}$$

Thm. For (X, d) metric space.

i) X is complete and totally bdd.

iv) X is cpt. iii) X is seq. cpt.

Then: i) \Leftrightarrow ii) \Leftrightarrow iii).

Pf: i) \Rightarrow ii) Bg contradiction. $\exists \{\varepsilon_i\}$ over

of X doesn't have finite subcover.

Inductively define $\{x_n\}$ st.

a) $B_{2^{-n}}(x_n)$ isn't covered by finite U_i

b) $B_{2^{-n}}(x_n) \cap B_{2^{-(n+1)}}(x_{n+1}) \neq \emptyset$.

Note X is totally bnd. So $\exists M, 1 < \infty$ st.

$X = \bigcup_{n=1}^M B_{\frac{1}{2}}(y_n) \Rightarrow \exists x_1 \in M$ st. $B_{\frac{1}{2}}(x_1)$ won't be covered by finite U_i .

Otherwise $\{U_j\}$ admits finite covering.

For $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^M B_{2^{-(n+1)}}(y_n)$. If any $y \in M_{n+1}$ st.

$B_{2^{-(n+1)}}(y_n) \cap B_{2^{-n}}(x_n) \neq \emptyset$ will also satisfy

$B_{2^{-(n+1)}}(y_n)$ is covered by finite U_i .

$\Rightarrow B_{2^{-n}}(x_n)$ is covered by finite U_i which is contradictory with induct hypothesis.

Let $z_n \in B_{2^{-n}}(x_n) \cap B_{2^{-(n+1)}}(x_{n+1})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow d(x_k, x_m) &\leq \sum_{j=k}^m d(x_{j+1}, x_j) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=k}^m (d(x_{j+1}, z_j) + d(x_j, z_j)) \\ &\leq 2^{-k} \text{ for } k < m. \end{aligned}$$

So: $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy $\rightarrow x^* \in X$.

Choose $\epsilon \in \{\epsilon_i\}$. s.t. $X^* \in \epsilon \Rightarrow \exists n$ large
 s.t. $B_{2^{-n}}(X_n) \subset \epsilon$. Contradiction.

ii) \Rightarrow iii) By contradiction: if $(X_n) \subset X$ doesn't have
 convergent subseq. then:

$\forall x \in X$. $\exists \epsilon_x$. n_x . s.t. $X_n \notin B_{\epsilon_x}(x)$. $\forall n \geq n_x$

But $X \subset \bigcup_i B_{\epsilon_{x_i}}(x)$ by cpt.

$\exists_0 : X_n \notin X \subset \bigcup_i B_{\epsilon_{x_i}}(x)$. $\forall n \geq \max_i n_{x_i}$.

iii) \Rightarrow i) Lem. (why seq. having a convergent
 subseq in (X, d) will converge

By Lem.: X is complete.

If X can't be covered by finite $B_2(x)$

$\Rightarrow \exists (X_n)$. s.t. $X_n \notin B_2(X_j)$. $j \leq n-1$.

$\exists_1 : (X_n)$ won't contain convergent subseq

Cor. cpt $A \subset (X, d)$ is hdd. closed.

Complete and separable

Pf: hdd and separable is from A
 is totally hdd

$\Rightarrow \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [x_i^{\wedge}]_n^{\sim}$ is dense. $([x_i^{\wedge}]_n^{\sim})$ is $\frac{1}{n}$ -net

Prf: Conversely, bdd. closed \Rightarrow cpt.

e.g., $X = \{1/n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. $d(x, y) = \delta_{x=y}$. (X, d) is bdd. closed.

But $X \subset \bigcup_n B_{\frac{1}{2}}(1/n)$ has no finite subcovering.

Lem. For X metric space. $A \subseteq X$. Then:

i) X is totally bdd $\Rightarrow A$ is totally bdd

ii) A is totally bdd $\Rightarrow \bar{A}$ is totally bdd

Cor. For X complete metric space:

pre-cpt \Leftrightarrow totally bdd.

Pf: i) $[X]_n^{\sim}$ is $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ -net for X . \Rightarrow

$\{y_j \mid y_j \in A \cap B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(x_j)\}_{j=1}^n$ is ε -net for A

ii) $[X]_n^{\sim}$ is $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ -net for $A \Rightarrow$

$[X]_n^{\sim}$ is ε -net for \bar{A}

Thm (Ascoli-Arzelà)

(X, d) is cpt. $F \subset (C(X), \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$. Then:

i) F equicont. & pointwise bnd \Rightarrow pre-cpt

ii) F is pre-cpt. \Rightarrow uniform equicont. and uniform bnd.

Pf: i) $\forall \varepsilon, \exists \delta_x, s.t. |f(y) - f(x)| < \varepsilon/4$ for $\forall f \in F$
and $\forall y \in B_{\delta_x}(x)$.

And $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^m B_{\delta_{x_i}}(x_i)$ from X is cpt.

Let $K = \bigcup_{i=1}^m F(x_i) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^m B_{\varepsilon/4}(x_i)$.

Since F is pointwise bnd.

Let $\mathcal{Q} = \{ \varphi : \{1, \dots, m\} \mapsto \{1, \dots, n\} \}$ finite

Claim $F = \bigcup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{Q}} F_{\varphi}$. $F_{\varphi} = \{ f \in F \mid |f(x_i) - x_{\varphi(i)}| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}, \forall i \in \{1, \dots, m\} \}$

and prove $\rho(f, g) < \varepsilon, \forall f, g \in F_{\varphi}$.

So: choose $f_{\varphi} \in F_{\varphi} \Rightarrow \{f_{\varphi}\}$ is ε -net.

ii) \bar{F} is totally bnd \Rightarrow uniformly bnd.

And if $\exists \varepsilon_0 > 0, s.t. \forall n, \exists x_n, y_n, |f_n(x_n) - f_n(y_n)| > \varepsilon_0$,

$\exists f_n \in F, s.t. |f_n(x_n) - f_n(y_n)| > \varepsilon_0$.

But (f_n) has convergent subseq. $\xrightarrow{''n} f$.

$\limsup \leq |f_n(y_n) - f(y_n)| + |f(y_n) - f(x_n)| + |f(x_n) - f_n(x_n)|$

$$\leq 2 \|f_n - f\|_\infty + |f(\eta_n) - f(x_n)| \rightarrow 0.$$

\Rightarrow it's contradiction.

Ex. $X = [0, 1] \Rightarrow \dim C(X) = +\infty.$

Pf: $K = \{f \in C(X) \mid \|f\|_\infty \leq 1\}$ isn't cpt
 $\because f_n(x) = x^n \rightarrow f(x) = \delta_1 \notin C(X).$

So it's also not equicontin.

Thm. (Baire)

(X, d) is complete, (U_n) is seq of open dense $\subset X$. $\Rightarrow \bigcap_n U_n$ is open, dense.

Pf: $\forall x \in X$. $\forall r > 0$ fix. we prove:

$$B_r(x) \cap \left(\bigcap_n U_n \right) \neq \emptyset.$$

1) By density of U_n . $\forall n$, let $r_n = 2^{-n}r$

$$\Rightarrow \exists (x_n) \text{ s.t. } B_{r_n}(x_n) \subset U_{n+1} \cap B_{r_n}(x_{n+1})$$

where $x_0 = x$. $r_0 = r$. iteratively def.

2) So: (x_n) is Cauchy $\Rightarrow x_n \rightarrow x^*$.

$$\text{Then } x^* \in \bigcap \bar{B}_{r_n}(x_n) \subset B_r(x) \cap \left(\bigcap_n U_n \right)$$

Thm. $X = (C[0, 1], \|\cdot\|_\infty)$. Nowhere differentiable functions are dense in X .

Pf: 1) $A_k := \{g \in X \mid \forall t \in [0,1]. \sup_{0 < |h| < k^{-1}} |g(t+h) - g(t)|/|h| > k\}$.

$\forall f \in \bigcap_k A_k \Rightarrow f$ is nowhere diff.

So we want to apply Baire Thm on (A_k) .

2) prove: A_k^c is closed

For $(f_n) \subset A_k^c \rightarrow f$. Note $\forall n$.

$\exists t_n \in [0,1]: \sup_{0 < |h| < k^{-1}} |f_n(t_n+h) - f_n(t_n)|/|h| \leq k$.

Note $\exists t_{n_k} \rightarrow \tilde{t} \in [0,1]$. By triangle

ineq.: $\Rightarrow |f(\tilde{t}+h) - f(\tilde{t})|/|h| \leq k \quad \forall h < k^{-1}$.

So: $f \in A_k^c \Rightarrow A_k^c$ is closed.

3) prove: A_k is dense in X .

$\forall f \in X$. Note f is unif. conti. on $[0,1]$

$\forall \epsilon$ a partition $\pi = [t_i = i/n, i=0, \dots, n]$

let g is linear interpolation for f

on π . s.t. $g(t_i) = f(t_i) + (-1)^i \epsilon$.

Choose $|x - g| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x) - f(g)| < \epsilon$. s.t.

$$N^{-1} < (N\varepsilon)^{-1} < \delta < K^{-1}. \Rightarrow \|f-g\|_\infty < \varepsilon$$

$$\text{And } |g(t_i) - g(t_{i+1})| / N^{-1} \geq \varepsilon N > K.$$

Def: d_1, d_2 metric on X . We say $d_1 \sim d_2$ if open sets of $(X, d_1), (X, d_2)$ coincide.

Lemma. $d_1 \sim d_2 \Leftrightarrow$ converging seq are same in $(X, d_1), (X, d_2)$.

Pf: $(X_n) \rightarrow X \subset (X, d_1) \Leftrightarrow \forall U$ open nbhd of X . $\exists N. (X_n)_n^\infty \subset U \Leftrightarrow (X_n) \rightarrow X \subset (X, d_2)$.

e.g. $X = [0, 1]$. $d_1(x, y) = |x - y|$. $d_2(x, y) = |x^{-1} - y^{-1}| \Rightarrow d_1 \sim d_2$. But (X, d_1) isn't complete since $(\frac{1}{n})$ Cauchy in (X, d_1) but $\rightarrow 0 \notin X$. While (X, d_2) is complete $\cong ([1, \infty), d_1)$

Remark: i) completeness isn't topo. property.

But Baire property is:

If $(C_n) \subset (X, d_1)$ dense, open $\Rightarrow (C_n)$ also dense, open in (X, d_2) .

While we can apply Baire Thm on $(X, \mathcal{A}_2) \Rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2$ dense, open in (X, \mathcal{A}_2) so also in (X, \mathcal{A}_1) .

ii) If $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$ is induced by norms $\|\cdot\|_1, \|\cdot\|_2$. Then: $\mathcal{A}_1 \sim \mathcal{A}_2 \Leftrightarrow \|\cdot\|_1 \sim \|\cdot\|_2$
 So: $\mathcal{A}_1 \sim \mathcal{A}_2 \Leftrightarrow (X, \mathcal{A}_1), (X, \mathcal{A}_2)$ are or aren't complete simultaneously.

e.g. $(C[0,1], \|\cdot\|_{C[0,1]})$ isn't complete:

Note $f_n(x) = nx I_{[0, \frac{1}{n}]} + I_{[\frac{1}{n}, 1]}$ is L^1 -Cauchy. But $f_n \rightarrow f = I_{(0,1]} \notin C[0,1]$.

$(C[0,1], \|\cdot\|_{C[0,1]})$ isn't completely metrizable:

Note $A_n = \{f \in C[0,1] \mid \|f\|_n > n\}$ is open &

dense $\subset \bigcup f \in C[0,1]$. Let $g = f + n \cdot I_{[0, \frac{1}{n}]}$

But $\bigcap A_n = \emptyset \Rightarrow$ Baire Thm fails.

Remark: A_n isn't dense in $(C[0,1], \|\cdot\|_n)$

which's complete! (e.g. $f \equiv 0$).