

# Hedging and pricing

- Hedging: It's to apply some strategy to offset loss or gain. Replicate is one of hedging method and it's the best. Since it's totally risk-free.  
e.g. Holding some derivative. Replicating is to find a portfolio of other assets, which totally imitates the behavior of derivative.

## (i) Arbitrage-free valuation:

$X$  is a discounted price for some risky asset and  $\mathbb{P}^*$  is its equi. local mart. p.m.  
Consider contingent claim with discounted payoff  $Y \geq 0$ . at  $t = T$ .

Def: Arbitrage-free valuation  $X^*$  for the claim with initial price  $Z$  is c.l.m. under p.m.  $\mathbb{P}^*$ . And  $X_0^* = Z$ .  $X_T^* = Y$ .

If  $U \in L(\mathbb{P}^*)$ . We can set  $X_t^* = E^{*\mathbb{P}}(q_t)$ .

as its arbitrage-free valuation.

Lemma.  $\zeta^*$  cheapest price w.r.t charge if hedgeable,

If  $U \geq 0$ ,  $U \in \mathcal{F}_T$ ,  $U \in L(\mathbb{P}^*)$ ,  $\exists t$ .

$X_t^* = E^{*\mathbb{P}}(U|q_t)$  is replicable by  $X_t$ :

$$X_t^* = Z^* + \int_s^t \zeta_s^* dX_s \text{ for some } Z^* \in \mathbb{R}.$$

and  $\zeta^* \in L(X)$ . Then:  $Z^* = \inf\{Z \in \mathbb{R} \mid$

$\exists \text{strat. } g \in L(X) \text{ s.t. } Z + \int_s^T g_s dX_s \geq U \text{ a.s.}$

Besides,  $X^*$  doesn't depend on choice  
of equi. measure  $\mathbb{P}^*$  if  $\zeta^*$  exists.

Khuf: i) If the NA price  $E^{*\mathbb{P}}(q_t)$  can  
be replicated by other asset  
 $X$ . Then different  $E_{MM} \tilde{\mathbb{P}}^*$   
won't lead to different price.

ii) Consider "smile strategy"  $\tilde{g}$ . i.e.  
"trubbling strategy" ends in -1. a.s.  
rather. It's a admissible strategy  
But  $\exists t \tilde{Z} = E^{*\mathbb{P}}(U) + 1$ . And

Note that  $\bar{S}^H + \tilde{S}$  also replicates claim  $H = \tilde{Z} + \int_0^T (S^H + \tilde{S}) dX$ .  $\Rightarrow$  it produces a higher price  $\tilde{Z}$  at  $t=0$ . (Replic.  $H$  alone  $\nRightarrow$  pin down AFP)

Pf: Note for  $\forall z \in [-\infty]$ . g. ad. st.  $z + \int_0^T S_s dX_s$   
 $\Rightarrow z + \int_0^T S_s dX_s$  is  $\beta^*$ -supermart.  $\geq H$   
 (Consider  $Z + \int_0^T S_s dX_s - E^*(H| \mathcal{F}_t) \geq 0$ )  
 $S_t = Z \geq E^*(Z + \int_t^T S_s dX_s)$   
 $\geq E^*(H) = Z^H$

Since  $Z^H$  is characterized by  $\inf \{ \dots \}$ .  
 a.s.  $\Rightarrow$  it's indep. of choice of  $E^*$ .

$X_t^H$  can also be characterized by that

$$X_t^H = \inf \{ X_t \in \mathcal{Q}_t \mid \exists \text{ adm } S \in L(X). \text{ s.t. }$$

$X_t + \int_t^T S dX \geq H \text{ a.s.} \}$ . follows from

$X_t + \int_t^s S dX \stackrel{\Delta}{=} M_s, s \geq t$  is a  $\beta^*$ -supermart.

$$X_t \geq \overline{E}^*(H_T | \mathcal{F}_t) \geq \overline{E}^*(H | \mathcal{F}_t)$$

(2) Kanai - Watanabe Repmp. :

---

Note that we can't hedge by replicating

all the time. Sometimes we will super-replicate it by trading  $X$ . i.e. find strategy  $\varsigma$ . s.t.  $Z + \int \varsigma_s dX_s > H$ . But it's too costly and not fair to charge super-replicate price!

Next. set  $X \in M^2(P^*) := \{ \text{cadlag } L^2\text{-bdd mart on } [0, T] \}$   
Thm. (Kunita - Watanabe Decomp.) under  $P^*$ .

$H_t \in M^2(P^*)$ . We have a unique decompos

$$H_t = H_0 + \int_0^t \varsigma_s dX_s + N_t, \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad \text{st.}$$

i)  $\varsigma^1$  is predictable.  $\mathbb{E}^* \left[ \int_0^T (\varsigma_s^1)^2 d[X]_s \right] < \infty$

ii)  $N \in M^2(P^*)$ .  $N_s \perp X_s$ . for all stopping time  $S \leq T$ .  $(\mathbb{E}^* [N_S X_S] = 0)$

iii)  $H_0 = \mathbb{E}^* [H_T]$ .

Rank: At least, we can find a "optimal" hedging strategy.

pf: WLOG. Set  $H_0 = 0$ .  $X_0 = 0$ .

$$\Gamma := \left\{ \int \varsigma_s dX_s \mid \varsigma \in L_{pred}^2(P^* \otimes dX) \right\}$$

For  $\int \varsigma^* dX \in \Gamma \rightarrow \int \varsigma dX$ . Apply Itô's:

$\mathbb{E}^{\star} \left( \int |g - \tilde{g}| \lambda(dx) \right) \rightarrow 0$ . which's equi:

$$g^n \xrightarrow{L^2} g. \Rightarrow g \in L_{\text{prob}}^2(p^*) \otimes \mathcal{L}(X)_S.$$

$S_0: \Gamma \subseteq \mu^2(p^*)$ ,  $\Gamma$  is Nilpotent span

$\forall N_t \in \mu^2(p^*)$ . we have  $\text{proj}_{\Gamma} N_t = N_t^{\Gamma}$

$$\text{So } N_t = N_t - N_t^{\Gamma} \perp N_t^{\Gamma}.$$

$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}^{\star} \left( N_t \int_0^T S_t \lambda X_t \right) = 0$ . replace  $S_t$

by  $\tilde{S}_t \mathbf{1}_{[0, s]^{c(t)}}$ .  $S_0: \mathbb{E}^{\star} \left( N_T \int_0^T \tilde{S}_t \lambda X \right) = 0$ .

With mart. prop. of  $N$ .  $\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}^{\star} \left( N \int_0^T \tilde{S}_t \lambda X \right) = 0$ .

For uniqueness:  $(\tilde{N}, \tilde{S})$  satisfies  $\square$

$\Rightarrow \int S - \tilde{S} \lambda X = \tilde{N} - N \perp \Gamma$ .  $S_0: \tilde{N} = N$ .

with  $\mathbb{E}^{\star} \left( \int |g - \tilde{g}|^2 \mathbf{1}_A \lambda(dx) \right) = 0 \Rightarrow g = \tilde{g}$ .

Rmk: To also holds for  $N, X \in \mu_{\text{loc}}(p^*)$ .

as well. Then:  $\exists \tilde{S} \in L_{\text{loc}, \text{prob}}^2(X)$ . and

$N \in \mu_{\text{loc}}(p^*) \perp X$ . strongly.

Note in both case. We didn't have any continuity assumption! (but kis-  
crite term in  $N_t$  in fact.)

Cor. Let  $N_t = E^*(N(\gamma_t))$  above. and

get  $N_t = N_0 + \int_0^t \gamma_s' \lambda X_s + N_s''$ . Then:

$$\gamma^n = \arg \min_{\gamma \in L^2(\Omega)} \mathbb{E}^{\pi} \left[ (N - (N_0 + \int_0^T \gamma_s dX_s))^2 \right]$$

If:  $= (\mathbb{E}^{\pi}(N_T) - N_0)^2 + \mathbb{E}^{\pi}(N_T - N_0 - \dots)^2$ .

Ex: i)  $\gamma^n$ .  $\mathbb{E}^{\pi}(N) = N_0$  will both depend on choice of  $\pi^k$ .

ii) It leaves all the risk on the unobservable ortho. term  $N_s$ .

Lemmas (Doob-Dynkins)

$T: \mathcal{C}_b(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_b(A)$  measurable.  $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

is  $B_{\mathbb{R}}$ -measurable. Then:  $f \in \mathcal{F}(T)$

$\Leftrightarrow \exists g: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .  $B_A$ -measurable. s.t.  $f = g \circ T$ .

Pf: If  $f = \mathbb{1}_A$ ,  $A \in A$ . Set  $g = \mathbb{1}_{T(A)}$ , ✓.

If  $f \geq 0$ . we can approx. it by

sum of simple seq.  $f_n$ .  $\sum f_n = g \circ T$

$\Rightarrow$  set  $g = \sup_n g_n$  still measurable.

Besides, with  $\mathcal{G}|_{\mathcal{F}(T)}(x) = \lim_n \mathcal{G}_n|_{\mathcal{F}(T)}(x)$ .

$\Rightarrow f = g \circ T$ . Converse is trivial.

Lemma. If  $M$  is adapted.  $M$  is mart. ( $\Leftarrow$ )

$\forall T$  local stopping time.  $M_T \in L^1$ .  $\mathbb{E}^{(M_T)} = \mathbb{E}^{(M_0)}$

Pf: ( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $T = t$ .  $\Rightarrow M_t \in L^1$

Let  $T = I_A t + I_{A^c} s$ .  $A \in \mathcal{F}_t$ .

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Optional Sampling Thm

Thm. (Itô's representation)

$W$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -BM on  $(\Omega, (\mathcal{F}_t), P)$ .

i)  $\forall M \in M_{loc}^0(P^W)$ .  $M^W_t \in \mathcal{F}_t$ .  $\forall t \geq 0$ .  $\Rightarrow$

$M^W_t = M_0 + \int_0^t \zeta_s dW_s$ . for some  $\zeta \in L^2(W)$

ii)  $\forall T \geq 0$ .  $H \in \mathcal{F}_T^W$ .  $H \in L^2(W)$ . Then:

$H = \mathbb{E}^W(H) + \int_0^T \zeta_s dW_s$ . for some  $\zeta \in L^2(P \otimes \mathcal{F}_T)$

iii)  $\zeta$  in above represent i). ii) is

unique in their class ( $L^2(W)$ ;  $L^2(P \otimes \mathcal{F}_T)$ )

Rmk: i)  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}^W$  is necessary. if  $B \perp W$

is BM. and  $B_t = \int_0^t h_s \lambda w_s \Rightarrow$

$$1 = E(B_t^2) = E(\langle B, H \cdot W \rangle_t) = E(H \cdot \langle B, W \rangle) = 0$$

i) If we consider  $(c_x)$ -class in ii):

Set  $\mathfrak{f}^0, \mathfrak{f}^s$  is doubling and simple

$$\text{Strategy: } \text{sr. } \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} \mathfrak{f}^0 \lambda w = 1 - \int_{\frac{T}{2}}^T \mathfrak{f}^s \lambda w = -1.$$

$\Rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{f}} = \mathfrak{f} + \mathfrak{f}^0 + \mathfrak{f}^s$  is another repli.

$\neq \mathfrak{f}$  in  $L^2(W)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Pf: iii)} \quad E(H|g_t) &= E(H) + \int_0^t \mathfrak{f} \lambda w \\ &= E(H) + \int_0^t \tilde{\mathfrak{f}} \lambda w. \forall t \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow B_2$   $\tilde{M}_0$ 's isometry.  $\mathfrak{f} = \tilde{\mathfrak{f}}$ . a.s.

ii) Set  $H^n = -n \wedge H \vee n \xrightarrow{L^2} H$ . Note:

By RW lemma.  $H^n \in L^2$ . we have:

$$\begin{aligned} H_t^n &\stackrel{A}{=} E(H_t^n | g_t^n) \\ &= E(H_t) + \int_0^t \mathfrak{f}_s \lambda w_s + N_t^n. \end{aligned}$$

Next. we prove:  $\|N_t^n\|_\infty = 0$

Pf contradiction: if  $\|N_t^n\|_\infty > 0$ :

$$\text{Set } \frac{\lambda \tilde{W}}{\lambda W} | g_t^n = 1 + C \tilde{N}_t^n / \|N_t^n\|_\infty. \quad C > 0.$$

Since the stopping time  $\tau$ . We have:

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}^c(w_s) = \mathbb{E}^c(w_s) + \frac{c}{\|N_T\|_{\infty}} \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}^c(N_T w_s)$$

$$= 0. \Rightarrow w_s \text{ is } \widetilde{\mathbb{P}}\text{-mart.}$$

Besides.  $\langle w \rangle_t = t$   $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -a.s.  $\mathcal{S}_0$ :  $w$  is a  $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -BM.

$$N_T = f^c(w_s, s \leq T) \quad (H \subset \mathcal{G}_T^w \Rightarrow N_T \in \mathcal{G}_T^w).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_0: \quad \mathbb{E}^c(N_T^c) &= \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}^c(f^c(w_s, s \leq T)) \\ &= \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}^c(f^c(w_s, s \leq T)) \\ &= \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}^c(N_T^c) + \frac{1}{2\|N_T\|_{\infty}} \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}^c(N_T^{c2}) \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow N_T^c = 0$ . a.s. contradiction!

$$\mathcal{S}_1: \text{We have: } H_t^c = \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}^c(N_T^c) + \int_0^t g_s^c dws$$

$\mathcal{D}_2$   $\mathcal{G}_T^w$ 's isometry  $H_t^c \rightarrow H_t$ .  $\Rightarrow \exists g$ .

$$g_t^c \xrightarrow{L^2} g_+ \quad \text{(first chunk: Cauchy seq.)}$$

$$\mathcal{S}_1: H_t = \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}^c(N_T) + \int_0^t g_s dws.$$

i) First, prove:  $N_t \in \mathcal{G}_+^w \Rightarrow \mu$  is conti. a.s.

$$\text{Set } m^a = -n \wedge m \vee n. \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

S. by ii)  $N_T^a$  is conti.

Note  $P \in \text{Sup} \{ M_t - M_t^{\tilde{\omega}} \geq \varepsilon \} \stackrel{\text{Prob}}{\leq} \bar{E}^{(M_t - M_t^{\tilde{\omega}}) / \varepsilon}$   
 $\exists \omega_k$

$\Rightarrow \sup_{t \leq T} |M_t - M_t^{\omega_k}| \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s. by Borel-Cantelli.}$

Next, set  $T_n = \inf \{ t \geq 0 \mid |M_t|^2 > n \}$ . Then  
 localization since  $M_t$  conti.  $\Rightarrow M^{T_n} \in L^2$

has local repr. let  $\mu_t = \mu_{t \wedge T_n}$  on  $\{ T_n \geq t \}$

Recall a market is complete if it has  
 contingent claim  $H \in \mathcal{F}_T^X$  can be replicated

by asset  $X$ . i.e.  $\exists V^n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $s^n \in L^2(X)$  s.t.

$H = V^n + \int_0^T s_s^n dX_s$ . and  $(\int_0^T s_s^n dX_s)$  is bdd.

Ex. Black-Scholes ( $\mu, r, \sigma$ ) model driven

by BM ( $W_t$ ). with  $\mathcal{F}_t = \mathcal{F}_t^W$ ,  $\theta = \frac{\mu - r}{\sigma}$ .

i) It's complete

ii)  $Z_t = \frac{e^{rt}}{e^{rt}} \Big|_{\mathcal{F}_t} = e^{(r-\theta)t}$  is the only  
 EMM. for discounted price  $X_t = e^{-rt} \int_0^t s_s dW_s$

If: i)  $W_s^{\omega} = W_0 + \int_0^s \frac{\mu - r}{\sigma} ds \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}_t^{\omega} = \mathcal{F}_t^W$

App'ly Ito's representation Thm:

$$H = E^{\pi}(u) + \int_0^T \beta_s d\omega_s^* = E^{\pi}(u) + \int_0^T \frac{\beta_s^*}{\sigma x_s} \lambda x_s$$

$$E^{\pi}(u | \mathcal{F}_t) = E^{\pi}(u) + \int_0^t \frac{\beta_s^*}{\sigma x_s} \lambda x_s \Rightarrow \text{bad.}$$

i) If  $\tilde{z}_t = \frac{\lambda \beta_t^*}{\lambda p^*} |_{\mathcal{F}_t} = \sum \tilde{L}_s$  is another

By Repre. Thm.  $\tilde{L}_t = \int_0^t \tilde{v}_s d\omega_s$

By necessity of Hirschov. Then:

$$\text{we have: } \lambda A_t + \lambda \langle X, \tilde{L} \rangle_t = 0$$

$$\lambda A_t = (\mu - r) X_t dt \Rightarrow \tilde{v}_s = \frac{(\mu - r)}{\sigma}$$

$$\lambda X_t \lambda \tilde{L}_t = \sigma X_t \cdot \tilde{v}_s dt$$

Cor. (Complete in general.)

For a conti. discounted price  $(X_t)_{[0,T]}$ .

on  $\Omega, (\mathcal{F}_t), (P)$ . We have:

i)  $\exists$  unique equil. local mart. measure  $p^*$

for  $X$  on  $\mathcal{F}_T$ .

ii)  $X$  has no arbitrage and the market is complete.

Then: We have i)  $\Rightarrow$  ii).

Pf: By FTAP: NA  $\Rightarrow$   $\exists$   $\text{mm } p^*$  exists

i)  $\Rightarrow$  ii) WLOG. Assume  $X \in L^2$ .

(Otherwise, we can consider  $\tilde{X}_t = X_0 + \int_0^t e^{-\lambda X_s} ds$ .  $E[\tilde{X}_t] = \int_0^{[X]_t} e^{-\lambda s} ds \leq 1$ . And let  $\tilde{g}_t^n = e^{-\lambda X_t} g_t^n$ .)

Apply Kunita-Watanabe Decomposition:

$$N_t \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \bar{E}^*(N| \mathcal{F}_t) = E^*(n) + \int_0^t \tilde{g}_s^n \lambda X_s + N_t.$$

$$\text{if } \|N_t\|_{\infty} > 0. \text{ Let } \frac{\|\tilde{p}\|}{\|\lambda p^*\|_{\infty}} = 1 + C M / \|V_T\|_{\infty}$$

$\Rightarrow X$  is still  $\tilde{P}$ -mart ( $X \perp N$ ). So:  $\tilde{p} = p^*$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{E}^*(N_T) = \widetilde{E}^*(N_T). \text{ So: } N_T = 0. \text{ a.s.}$$

ii)  $\Rightarrow$  i) Let  $N = I_A = V^A + g \cdot X$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{F}_T$ .

$$V^A = \bar{E}^*(V_A) = \bar{E}^*(V_T) = p^*(A) \text{ uniquely.}$$

Cor. of Attributable Claims

$X$  is uni. satisfies NA on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$

For  $t$  and claim  $N \in \mathcal{F}_t$

i)  $H$  is attributable.

ii)  $\bar{E}^*(n)$  doesn't depend on choice of  $E^*$  m.m.

$p^* \sim p$  for  $X$ .

We have: i)  $\Rightarrow$  ii).

Pf:  $i) \Rightarrow ii)$  By charac. of  $X_t^* = \bar{E}^*(u/q_t)$   
we proved before (invar. of chptst  
 price)

$ii) \Rightarrow i)$  By kW decompose on  $H$ :

$$\bar{E}^*(u) = \tilde{E}(u)$$

$$= \bar{E}^*(u) + \tilde{E}\left(\int_0^T g_s(x_s)\right) + \tilde{E}(N_T).$$

$$= \bar{E}^*(u) + \bar{E}^*\left(\int_0^T g_s(x_s)\right) + \bar{E}^*(N_T).$$

$$\text{where } \lambda \tilde{P}/\lambda P^*|_{\mathcal{F}_T} = 1 + c N_T / \|u_T\|_\infty$$

and  $X$  is still  $\tilde{P}$ -mart as before

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{E}\left(\int_0^T g_s(x_s)\right) = 0. \quad \bar{E}^*(N_T) = 0.$$

$$\tilde{E}(N_T) = \bar{E}^*(N_T) + c \bar{E}^*(N_T^2) / \|u_T\|_\infty = 0$$

$$S_0: N_T = 0. \quad a.s.$$

$$\left| \int_0^t g_s(x_s) \right| \leq \bar{E}(|u| |q_t|) \leq \|u\|_\infty < \infty.$$