

Spaces of Rough Paths

Defn: i) $I = [0, T]$. V is separable Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|_V$. $\|\cdot\|$ is q^{th} -norm

ii) Seminorm $\|X\|_q := \sup_{s, t \in [0, T]} |X_{s,t}| / |t-s|^{1/q}$ and

norm $\|X\|_{C^q} := |X_0| + \|X\|_q$.

iii) $C_2^q(I^2, W)$ is space of two-parameter processes X st. $\|X\|_q < \infty$.

(1) Hölder conti. RPs:

Def: $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}]$. A V -valued α -Hölder conti. rough path $\underline{X} = (X, X^2)$ satisfies:

i) (Regularity) $X \in C^\alpha(I, V)$. $X^2 \in C_2^{2\alpha}(I, V^{\otimes 2})$

ii) (Chern's relation) $X_{s,t} - X_{s,u} - X_{u,t} = X_{s,u} \otimes X_{u,t}$ for $\forall s, u, t \in I$.

Define space of them by $\mathcal{C}^\alpha(I, V)$

Prop: i) Two param process $X: I^2 \rightarrow V^{\otimes 2}$ is additive if $X_{s,t} = X_{s,u} + X_{u,t}$. Note that $\delta X_{s,t} := X_t - X_s$ is additive. But rough

Component X isn't! So we see that
Chen's relation isn't linear.

ii) Take $s=u=t. \Rightarrow X_{t,t} = 0 \cdot \forall t \in I.$

iii) Take $u=t. \Rightarrow X_{s,t} = -X_{t,s} - X_{s,t} \otimes X_{t,s}$

$$= X_{s,s} \otimes X_{0,t} + X_{s,s} + X_{0,t}$$

$$= -X_{0,s} + X_{0,t} - X_{0,s} \otimes X_{0,t} + X_{0,s} \otimes X_{0,s}$$

So $t \mapsto (X_{0,t}, X_{0,t})$ already determines X .

(The two para. X can be considered as
one para. path)

iv) For $V = \mathbb{R}^q, X \in C^q \Rightarrow X_{s,t} := \frac{1}{2} (X_{s,t})^2$

$\in C_2^q$ also satisfies Chen's relation

So: $X \in C^q(I; \mathbb{R}^q)$ can be lifted to
rough path \tilde{X} in C_2^q .

For general V . it holds by Lyons-Victoir
extension theorem for $\forall q \geq 1$.

Lemma. $X \in C(I, V), X_{s,t} := \int_s^t X_{s,r} \otimes X_r$ is

defined by any kind integration (e.g. RS..)

So. $\int_s^t = \int_s^u + \int_u^t, \int_s^t \ll X_r = C X_{s,t}$ and

$f \mapsto \int_s^t f \otimes X_r$ is linear. Then: We have (X, \bar{X}) satisfies Chen's relation.

Rmk. One should think $X_{s,t}$ is substitute of $\int_s^t X_{s,r} \otimes dX_r$ when $q \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

Lem.² For $s = z_0 < z_1 \dots < z_n = t$. Then, Chen's relation implies: $X_{s,t} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (X_{z_i, z_{i+1}} + X_{s, z_i} \otimes X_{z_i, z_{i+1}})$.

Pf: By induction on n .

Lem.³ (Uniqueness)

$X \in C^q$. (X, \bar{X}) . $(X, \bar{X}) \in \mathcal{L}^q$. Then:

$X_{s,t} - \bar{X}_{s,t} = G_{s,t} \in C_z^{2r} = I^{\otimes 2}; V^{\otimes 2}$, s.t. G is additive. Conversely for $\forall G \in C_z^{2r}(I, V^{\otimes 2})$

We have $(X, \bar{X} + G) \in \mathcal{L}^q$.

Pf: $G \in C_z^{2r}$ is clear. And for additive:

$$G_{s,t} = X_{s,t} - \bar{X}_{s,t} \stackrel{\text{Chen's}}{=} (\bar{X}_{0,s} - X_{0,s}) - (\bar{X}_{0,t} - X_{0,t})$$

Converse is easy to check.

Cor. For $(X, \bar{X}) \in \mathcal{L}^q$. $\{ \bar{X} : (X, \bar{X}) \in \mathcal{L}^q \}$
 $= \{ \bar{X} + G \mid G \in C_z^{2r}(I, V^{\otimes 2}) \}$.

Pf: $\mathcal{L}(C^q) := \{ (X, \bar{X}) \in \mathcal{L}^q : X \in C^q, X_{s,t} =$

$\int_s^t X_{1,r} \otimes dX_r$ space of canonical RPs

$$\mathcal{L}^\infty := \{ (X, X) \in \mathcal{L}^\tau : X \in C^\alpha, X \in C_2^\infty \}.$$

space of smooth RPs.

Remark: By Lem above: $\mathcal{L}(C^\tau) \subsetneq \mathcal{L}^\tau \subsetneq \mathcal{L}^\tau$.

Ex. 1. For $X \equiv 0 \Rightarrow (0, 0) \in \mathcal{L}(C^\tau)$. But:

$$(0, \delta h) \in \mathcal{L}^\tau \notin \mathcal{L}(C^\tau). \quad \forall h \in C^\infty.$$

$$\text{For } X \in C^\alpha(I, \mathbb{R}^d), (X, \frac{1}{2}(\delta X)^2) \in \mathcal{L}^\tau.$$

$$\text{but not } \in \mathcal{L}^\infty.$$

Remark: Why $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}]$?

If $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$, $X \in C^\tau$. X is its iterated

Young integral. $\forall \bar{X}$. s.t. $(X, \bar{X}) \in \mathcal{L}^\tau$.

$$\Rightarrow \bar{X} = \delta h + X. \quad h \in C^{2\tau}(I, V^{\otimes 2}), \quad 2\tau > 1.$$

So: $\delta h = 0$. i.e. X is unique.

Then: X can only be $\int X \otimes dX$ Young

integral. Reduce the case to Young sense.

(2) Norms and Metrics:

$$\text{Equip } C^\tau(I, V) \oplus C^{\frac{2\tau}{2}}(I, V^{\otimes 2}) \text{ with } \|\cdot\|_{C^\tau} + \|\cdot\|_{C^{\frac{2\tau}{2}}}.$$

Note $\mathcal{L}^\tau \subset C^\tau \oplus C_2^{2\tau}$. But due to Chen's relation, \mathcal{L}^α isn't LS/n.v.s. i.e. For (X, X) , $(Y, Y) \in \mathcal{L}^\tau \not\Rightarrow (X+Y, X+Y) \in \mathcal{L}^\alpha$.

(Since it doesn't satisfy Chen's relation)

Remark: But for $X+Y=Z \Rightarrow Z \in \mathcal{L}^\tau$. There exists lift of $Z \neq X+Y$ by Lyons's Thm.

Def: $\delta_\lambda : (X, X) \mapsto (\lambda X, \lambda^2 X)$ homo scaling
Set δ_λ -homo norm on $\underline{X} \in C^\alpha \oplus C_2^{2\alpha}$ is:

$$\|\underline{X}\|_{C^\alpha} = \|X\|_{C^\alpha} + \sqrt{\|X\|_{2\alpha}}.$$

Remark: \mathcal{L}^α is metric space with metric induced by $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$. (but not LS).

$$\mathcal{L}_C^\alpha(\underline{X}, \underline{Y}) := \|X - Y\|_{C^\alpha} + \|X - Y\|_{2\alpha} \text{ is}$$

called α -Hilber rough path metric

Prop. i) $(\mathcal{L}^\alpha, \mathcal{L}_C^\alpha)$ is complete metric space.

ii) For $V = \mathbb{R}^d$, $(\mathcal{L}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{L}_C^\alpha)$ is not separable.

iii) (Interpolation) For $\frac{1}{3} < \alpha < \beta \leq \frac{1}{2}$, (\mathbb{R}^d)

$\subset \mathcal{L}^\beta$. If $\sup_n \|\underline{X}^n\|_\beta \leq C_0 < \infty$ with

$X^n \rightarrow X, \dot{X}^n \rightarrow \dot{X}$ pointwise. Then: $\bar{X} = (X, \dot{X}) \in \mathcal{C}^p$. But $\ell_r(\bar{X}^n, \bar{X}) \rightarrow 0$ only for $r < \beta$ rather β .

Remark: " $X^n \rightarrow X$ " can be replaced by " $\delta X^n \rightarrow \delta X$ " in iii), which's weakened one.

e.g. $X^n = 1 \rightarrow X = 0$. but $\delta X^n = 0 \rightarrow \delta X = 0$.

Pf. (iii) i) First assume $\delta X^n, \dot{X}^n$ both converge uniformly on $\forall (s, t) \in I^n$.

$$\Rightarrow X \in \mathcal{C}^1, \dot{X} \in \mathcal{C}^{2\beta}$$

Besides, \bar{X} satisfies Chen's relation follows from pointwise convergence.

By assumption of uniform convergence:

$$\exists \varepsilon_n. |\dot{X}_{s,t}^n - \dot{X}_{s,t}| \leq \varepsilon_n, \text{ also } \leq 2C_0 |t-s|^\beta$$

$$\text{Similarly, } |\dot{X}_{s,t}^n - \dot{X}_{s,t}| \leq \varepsilon_n, 2C_0 |t-s|^{1+\beta}$$

By interpolation: $(a \wedge b \leq a^\theta b^{1-\theta})$

$$\Rightarrow |\dot{X}_{s,t}^n - \dot{X}_{s,t}| \leq C \varepsilon_n^{1-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} |t-s|^\alpha$$

$$|\dot{X}_{s,t}^n - \dot{X}_{s,t}| \leq C \varepsilon_n^{1-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} |t-s|^{2\alpha}$$

$$S_0: \ell_r(\bar{X}^n, \bar{X}) \leq C \varepsilon_n^{1-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} \rightarrow 0, (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

2) To prove X^n & X^{\sim} will converge

uniformly: Let $D = [t_i]$ partition st.

$$\text{Co } \max_i |t_i - t_{i+1}|^p \leq \varepsilon / \delta \quad \text{and } |D| < \infty.$$

$$\text{So: } |X_{s,t} - X_{s,t}^{\sim}| \leq |X_{i,\bar{t}} - X_{i,\bar{t}}^{\sim}| + |X_{s,\bar{s}}|$$

$$+ |X_{t,\bar{t}}| + |X_{\bar{s},s}^{\sim}| + |X_{\bar{t},t}^{\sim}| \leq (\beta\text{-Hölder})$$

$$|X_{i,\bar{t}}^{\sim} - X_{\bar{s},s}^{\sim}| + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \leq \varepsilon$$

And similar argument for $X^n \in C_2^{\text{st}}$.

(3) Geometric RPs:

Note for $X \in \mathcal{L}(C^{\infty}(R^d))$, it satisfies:

$$X_{s,t}^{ij} + X_{s,t}^{ji} = X_{s,t}^i X_{s,t}^j \Rightarrow \text{Sym}(X_{s,t}) = \frac{1}{2} X_{s,t} \otimes X_{s,t}$$

Where $\text{Sym}(m) = \frac{1}{2}(m + m^T)$. $m \in \text{Mat}_{k \times k}$.

For general V : set $(e_i) \in V^*$ basis.

$$e_i^* \otimes e_j^*(X_{s,t}) + e_j^* \otimes e_i^*(X_{s,t}) = e_i^*(X_{s,t}) e_j^*(X_{s,t})$$

is called geometric relation.

Def: $\mathcal{L}_1^{\text{st}}(I, V)$ is weakly geometric γ -Hölder

RP space. i.e. collect all the $\mathcal{L}_1^{\text{st}}$ -RPs

satisfying geometric relation

And $\mathcal{L}_f^{0,q} := \overline{\mathcal{L} \subset C^\infty}^{C^\alpha}$ denote α -Hölder geometric RP space.

Remarks: i) $\mathcal{L}_f^{0,q} \subsetneq \mathcal{L}_f^q \subsetneq C^\alpha$. (e.g. $IB^{\frac{2\alpha}{3}}$, $IB^{\frac{2\alpha}{3}+}$)

ii) V is separable $\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_f^{0,\alpha}$ is separable w.r.t C^α . While \mathcal{L}_f^q isn't. So: $\mathcal{L}^\tau(I, V) \supset \mathcal{L}_f^q$ isn't.

iii) Distinction of \mathcal{L}_f^q and $\mathcal{L}_f^{0,\alpha}$ doesn't matter. For $p > q$:

$\mathcal{L}_f^p \subset \mathcal{L}_f^{0,\alpha}$ (so it still exists smooth approxi. w.r.t C^α for \mathcal{L}_f^p)

(4) Brownian motion:

Denote B_t is d -dim BM on $I = [0, T]$.

Recall $B_t \in C^\alpha$, $\forall \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$.

Apply Lem' of (1) on $\tilde{I} \tilde{t} \tilde{v}$. Straton integral.

We have its iterated $\tilde{Z} \tilde{t} \tilde{v}$ /Strat integral satisfy Chen's relation. Beyond that:

Lem. B^s satisfies geometric relation while

B^z doesn't $\subset 2 \text{Sym}(\mathcal{B}_{s,t}^z) = B_{s,t} \otimes B_{s,t} - (t-s)Z$

Pf: By Itô's and Levy characterization

Cor: $\text{Ant}(B_{s,t}^I) = \text{Ant}(B_{s,t}^S)$. Where

$$\text{Ant}(m) = \frac{1}{2}(m - m^T) \text{ for } m \in \text{Mat}_{n \times n}.$$

Next, we want to check regularity of B^S

and B^I . (i.e. $B^S, B^I \in C_2^{2\alpha}, \forall \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$).

Prop. (Rough Kolmogorov criterion)

For $q \geq 2, \beta > \frac{1}{2}$. If $\forall s, t \in I$. We have:

$$|X_{s,t}|_{L^2} \leq C|t-s|^\beta, \quad |X_{s,t}|_{L^{2q}} \leq C|t-s|^{2\beta}$$

Then: $\forall \alpha \in [0, \beta - \frac{1}{2})$. \exists modification of

(X, X) and $K_\alpha \in L^2$. $|K_\alpha| \in L^{2/2}$. st.

$$|X_{s,t}(\omega)| \leq K_\alpha(\omega)|t-s|^\alpha, \quad |X_{s,t}(\omega)| \leq |K_\alpha(\omega)|t-s|^{2\alpha}$$

for $\forall s, t \in I, \forall \omega \in \Omega$.

Pf: 1) Set $I = [0, 1]$. $D_n = \{k/2^n\}_{k=0}^{2^n-1}$ and let

$$K_n \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \sup_{D_n} |X_{t, t+2^{-n}}|. \quad |K_n| \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \sup_{D_n} |X_{t, t+2^{-n}}|$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}(K_n^2) \leq \sum_{D_n} \mathbb{E}(|X_{t, t+2^{-n}}|^2) \lesssim 2^{n(1-\beta_2)}$$

$$\text{Similarly, } \mathbb{E}(|K_n|^{2/2}) \lesssim 2^{n(1-\beta_2)}.$$

For $s < t \in D := \bigcup_n D_n$. Let m s.t. $2^{-m-1} < t-s$

$\leq 2^{-m}$. Write $t = s + \sum_{i=1}^N 2^{-k} \delta_i, \delta_i \in [0, 1]$.

Choose $[z_i]_{i=0}^m$. Let $J = z_0 < z_1 < \dots < z_m = t$. A
 $[z_i, z_{i+1}] \in D_n$. $\forall n$. and D_n will at most
 contain two such i .

$$J_n : |X_{s,t}| \leq \max_{0 \leq i \leq m-1} |X_{s,z_{i+1}}| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} |X_{z_i, z_{i+1}}|$$

$$\leq 2 \sum_{n \geq m+1} k_n$$

$$\text{Similarly } |X_{s,t}| \stackrel{L_n^2}{=} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} X_{z_i, z_{i+1}} + X_{s, z_i} \otimes X_{z_i, z_{i+1}} \right|$$

$$\leq 2 \sum_{n \geq m+1} k_n + \left(2 \sum_{n \geq m+1} k_n \right)^2.$$

$$|X_{s,t}| / |t-s|^\alpha \stackrel{|t-s| \leq \Delta}{\leq} 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} k_n 2^{\alpha(n+1)} \leq 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} k_n 2^{\tau n}$$

$$\leq 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} k_n 2^{\tau n} =: K_\alpha.$$

$$\|K_\alpha\|_{L^2} \leq 2 \sum 2^{\tau n} \|k_n\|_{L^2} \lesssim \sum 2^{\tau n + n(\frac{1}{2} - \beta)}$$

$$< \infty \text{ for } \tau < \beta - \frac{1}{2}.$$

$$\text{Similarly, let } \|K_\alpha\| := 2 \sum \|k_n\| 2^{\tau n} \in L^{\frac{2}{1/2}}(\mathbb{N}).$$

$$|X_{s,t}| / |t-s|^{2\tau} \leq \|K_\alpha\| + \|K_\alpha\|^2 \in L^{\frac{2}{1/2}} \text{ follows by}$$

estimate of $\|k_n\|_{L^2}$, $\|k_n\|_{L^2}$ above.

2) $J_n \exists N \in \mathcal{G}$, null set. s.t. X, \mathbb{X} are $\tau, 2\tau$

-Möller conti. $\forall \alpha < \beta - \frac{1}{q}$ on UD_n . $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^c$

We can refine the unique conti. extension

\bar{X}, \bar{X} of X, X on UD_n for $W \in N^c$ and

$\bar{X} = 0, \bar{X} = 0$ for $W \in N$.

$\Rightarrow \bar{X}, \bar{X} \in C^{\alpha}, C_2^{2\alpha}$ pathwise. (since they are anti. \therefore $\|\cdot\|_{C^{\alpha}}$ is determined on UD_n)

3') Check (\bar{X}, \bar{X}) is modification of (X, X) .

For $t \in UD_n$. Note $\bar{X}_t = \lim_{t_n \in UD_n \rightarrow t} X_{t_n}$

And we see: $\mathbb{P}(|X_{t_n} - X_t| \geq \eta) \leq \|X_{t_n} - X_t\|_{C^{\alpha}} / \eta^2$
 $\stackrel{\text{cond.}}{\leq} C|t_n - t|^{\beta} / \eta^2 \rightarrow 0$.

$\therefore X_{t_n} \xrightarrow{pr} X_t \Rightarrow \bar{X}_t = X_t$ a.s. $\forall t$.

Cor. $(B, B^2), (B, B^S)$ satisfy the cond. of prop. above with $\beta = \frac{1}{2}, \alpha \geq 2$.

Pf: By scaling prop. of BM.

Cor. $B^2, B^S \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha}$ a.s. for $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$.

Cor. $\mathbb{P}(\|B^2\|_{C^{\alpha}} \geq t) \sim \mathbb{P}(\|B^S\|_{C^{\alpha}} \geq t) \sim e^{-ct}$ ($t \rightarrow \infty$) for some $c > 0$.

Furthermore, we see $B^S \in \mathcal{C}_g^{0, \beta}$ a.s. $\forall \beta < \frac{1}{2}$.

$\therefore \exists B^n := (B^n, B^n)$, s.t. $B^n \in \mathcal{I}(C^{\infty}) \xrightarrow{ep} B^S$

prop. B^n is n^{th} step piecewise linear approxi. of B i.e. $B_t^n = B_t$ for $t = Ti/2^n$ by linear interpolation. Then:

$B_t^n := \int_s^t B_{s,r}^n \otimes \wedge B_r^n$ in RS integral sense.

We have: $\ell_q \subset B^n, B^5, \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0, \forall q < \frac{1}{2}$.

Remark: But not every reasonable pathwise approxi. of B can be lifted as ℓ_q -approx. of B^5 . d.f.

There exists some smooth and reasonable approxi. of B_m . s.t.

its $\mathcal{L}(C^\infty)$ -lift converges to $\bar{B} = (B, \bar{B})$. $\bar{B} = B_{s,t}^5 + (t-s)A$. where $A^T = -A$.

So $\bar{B} \neq B^2$ as well.

Since $\text{Ant}(\bar{B}) \neq \text{Ant}(B^2) = \text{Ant}(B^5)$