

Stat. Learning

(1) Basic limit Thms:

The i.i.d model is good because of the existence of SLLN.

Next, we consider DTMC model:

Let $S = \{c_1, \dots, c_d\}$. finite set

Let. $\ell = (\ell_s)$ is initial dist. $P = (P_{s,s'})$ is transfer matrix. \Rightarrow dist. of X_t is

$$\ell_s^{(t)} := P(X_t = s) = (\ell^T P^t)_s.$$

$$\text{pf: } \ell_s^{(t+1)} \stackrel{\text{induct}}{=} \sum_{s'} P_{s',s} \ell_{s'}^{(t)} = (\ell^T P^t)_s.$$

Def: i) discrete density $\bar{\ell}$ on S is called stationary state if $\bar{\ell}^T P = \bar{\ell}^T$.

ii) A PTMC is strongly mixing if \exists stationary state $\bar{\ell}$. s.t. for any

$$\text{initial dist. } \ell: \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \ell^T P^t = \bar{\ell}^T.$$

kmk: Stationary state for the strongly mixing DTMC is unique.

Next, we let $g: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. And we want to estimate $\mathbb{E}_{\bar{\pi}}(g) := \sum_S g(s) \bar{\pi}(s)$.

Thm. (X_t) is strongly mixing MC on S with initial dist ℓ . Then:

$\frac{1}{T} \sum_0^{T-1} g(X_t) \xrightarrow{pr} \mathbb{E}_{\bar{\pi}}(g)$ where $\bar{\pi}$ is its stationary state.

Pf: $\mathbb{P} \left(\left| \frac{1}{T} \sum_0^{T-1} g(X_t) - \mathbb{E}_{\bar{\pi}}(g) \right| \geq \epsilon \right) \leq$

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \frac{1}{T^2} \sum_{t, t'}^{T-1} \mathbb{E} \left((g(X_t) - \mathbb{E}_{\bar{\pi}}(g)) (g(X_{t'}) - \mathbb{E}_{\bar{\pi}}(g)) \right)$$

$$\stackrel{A}{=} \frac{1}{T^2 \epsilon^2} \sum_{t, t' \geq 0}^{T-1} A_{t, t'}.$$

$$A_{t, t'} = \sum_{s, s'} [\ell^T P^t]_s (g(s) - \mathbb{E}_{\bar{\pi}}(g)) P_{s, s'}^{t'-t} \cdot (g(s') - \mathbb{E}_{\bar{\pi}}(g))$$

$$= \sum \square (P_{s, s'}^{t'-t} - \bar{\pi}_{s'}) \square +$$

$$\sum \square \bar{\pi}_s \square \stackrel{=0}{=} \text{for } t \leq t'.$$

$$S_0: |A_{t,t'}| \leq \|g\|_a^2 / 5 \max_{s,s'} |p_{s,s'}^{t'-t} - \bar{c}_{s,s'}^{t'-t}| \xrightarrow{t'-t \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

$$\Rightarrow RHS = \left(\sum_{|t'-t| \geq \log T}^{T-1} + \sum_{|t'-t| \leq \log T}^{T-1} \right) / T^2 \varepsilon^2$$

$$\leq C \left(\sum_{|t'-t| \geq \log T}^{T-1} A_{t,t'} + T \log T \right) / T^2 \varepsilon^2$$

$$\leq (T^2 \cdot O(1) + T \log T) / T^2 \varepsilon^2 \xrightarrow{T \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

Cor. It can converge P-a.s. if $A_{t,t'}$ satisfy

$$|A_{t,t'}| \leq C^{1-t-t'}. \text{ for } C < 1.$$

Pf. Set $Y_T = \frac{1}{T} \sum_0^{T-1} g(X_t) - E_{\bar{c}}(g)$ and

$$S_T = T Y_T.$$

$$S_0: P(|Y_T|^2 \geq \varepsilon) \lesssim T^{-2} \rightarrow 0 \text{ which}$$

follows from prop. of $A_{t,t'}$.

For $m \in [T^2, (T+1)^2)$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} P(|S_m - S_{T^2}| \geq T^2 \varepsilon) \\ \lesssim T^{-2} \left(\sum_{T^2+1}^{(T+1)^2-1} m - T^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$S_0: \sum_T P(|S_m - S_{T^2}| \geq T^2 \varepsilon) \leq \sum_T \frac{1}{T^2} < \infty$$

Thm. If $P = (p_{s,s'})_{s,s}$ satisfies $p_{s,s'} > 0, \forall s,s'$

Then: the DTMC has a unique stationary

State \bar{e} and satisfies:

$$\sup_{s, s'} |p_{s, s'}^t - \bar{e}_{s'}| \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} 0 \text{ exponentially.}$$

Pf: $V := \{e = (e_s)_s \mid e_s \in [0, 1], \sum_s e_s = 1\}$.

With metric $\rho(e, e') = \sum_s |e_s - e'_s|$

is complete (CLS of $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_1)$)

Set $T: V \rightarrow V$. $e \mapsto T(e) = (e^T p)^T$

$$\varepsilon := \inf \{p_{s, s'} \mid s, s' \in S\} > 0.$$

Next, we apply Banach fixed pt:

$$\rho(T(e), T(e')) = \sum_{s'} \left| \sum_s (e_s - e'_s) p_{s, s'} \right|$$

$$= \sum_{s'} \left| \sum_s (e_s - e'_s) (p_{s, s'} - \varepsilon) \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{s'} \sum_s |e_s - e'_s| (p_{s, s'} - \varepsilon)$$

$$= (1 - |S|\varepsilon) \rho(e, e').$$

If $\varepsilon = 1/|S|$. Set $\bar{e} = (\frac{1}{|S|}, \dots, \frac{1}{|S|})$.

(2) Stat. Learning Algorithm:

Next, we consider set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$. $|S| < \infty$.

Pf: (1) $\rho(\cdot, \|\cdot\|)$ is divergence on $M_1^+(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the space of p.m.s on \mathbb{R}^d . if $\mu \in M_1^+(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$\times \mathcal{M}_1(\mathcal{X}^A) \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}_{\geq 0}$. s.t. $L(\mu \| \nu) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mu = \nu$

If L is true metric. we write:

$$L(\cdot \| \cdot) = L(\cdot, \cdot).$$

Remark: d takes role of measuring how close our estimate $\hat{\mu}_n$ to μ .

ii) Unsupervised Stat. learning algorithm is collection of func. $\{\hat{\mu}_n\}_n$ defined by $\hat{\mu}_n: \mathcal{X}^{A \times n} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_1(\mathcal{X}^A)$. s.t. $\forall \mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathcal{X}^A)$.

$\mathcal{X}^{A \times n} \ni (x_1, \dots, x_n) =: \mathcal{X} \mapsto L(\mu \| \hat{\mu}_n(\mathcal{X}))$ is measurable from $(\mathcal{X}^{A \times n}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{X}^{A \times n}})$ to $(\bar{\mathbb{R}}_{\geq 0}, \mathcal{B}_{\bar{\mathbb{R}}_{\geq 0}})$.

Remark: Supervised Stat. learning is an input-output model. But there's no input (i.e. labeled training data) here.

iii) $X_j: (\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{X}^A, \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{X}^A})$ is data model $\mathcal{X}_n = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is sample of size n .

Let $\hat{\mu}_n = \hat{\mu}_n \circ \mathcal{X}_n$ random SLA. $X_j \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \mu$.

We call μ is L -learnable for the divergence L if $L(\mu \| \hat{\mu}_n) \xrightarrow{pr} 0$.

RMK: It's kind of weak learnable.

$\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{M}^+(\mathcal{X}^d)$ is called λ -learnable if $\forall \mu \in \mathcal{J}$ is λ -learnable.

\mathcal{J} is called λ -PAC-learnable (probably approxi. correct) if $\exists n(\epsilon, \delta) = (0, 1) \times (0, 1)$

$\rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. s.t. $\forall \mu \in \mathcal{J}$. $X_j \sim \mu$. $\forall \epsilon < 1, \delta > 0$

$\exists n(\epsilon, \delta)$. We have:

$P(\lambda(\mu \| \hat{\mu}_n) > \epsilon) \leq \delta$. $\forall n \geq n(\epsilon, \delta)$.

RMK: i) PAC-learnable is stronger than λ -learnable. Since $n(\epsilon, \delta)$ won't depend on $\mu \in \mathcal{J}$.

ii) Restriction of data generating dist. on \mathcal{J} represent the prior knowledge of data.

iii) Note that $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \overline{\lim_n \text{Im}(\hat{\mu}_n)}^d$. But

if $\mathcal{J} \neq \mathbb{R}^d$, set $\text{Im}(\mu) = \mathbb{A}$

$\inf_{\mu \sim \text{Im}(\hat{\mu}_n)} \inf_{\nu} \lambda(\mu \| \nu)$ then, $\exists \mu \in \mathcal{J}$:

st. $\sum_n \epsilon(\mu) > 0$. We can replace
 $\epsilon(\mu \| \hat{\mu}_n) \rightarrow 0$ by $\epsilon(\mu \| \hat{\mu}_n) \rightarrow \sum_n \epsilon(\mu)$

① Learning on DTMC:

Define: $\mathcal{D} \epsilon_S(\mu, \nu) = \max_s |\mu(\{s\}) - \nu(\{s\})|$.

$$\mathbb{I}(\mu(\{s\}) = \nu(\{s\}) = 1) + \mathbb{I}(\mu \neq \nu) \cap \{\mu(\{s\}) = \nu(\{s\}) = 1\}^c$$

ii) Empirical measure $\hat{\mu}_{T-1} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \delta_{x_t}$

Lemma. $\{\hat{\mu}_t\}$ learns $\mathcal{J} = \{\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathcal{X}) \mid \mu(\{s\}) = 1\}$

w.r.t. ϵ_S for $\{x_t\}$ of a strongly
 mixing DTMC with invar. measure μ

Pf: Set $\bar{\epsilon}_s = \mu(\{s\})$. $\bar{\epsilon}_{s,T} = \hat{\mu}_T(\{s\})$

$$\mathbb{P}(\epsilon_S(\bar{\epsilon}, \hat{\epsilon}_T) > \epsilon) \leq$$

$$\sum_s \mathbb{P}(|\bar{\epsilon}_s - \bar{\epsilon}_{s,T}| > \epsilon) \xrightarrow[T \rightarrow \infty]{\text{mixing}} 0 \quad (|S| < \infty)$$

② Learning on i.i.d model:

Pf: $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \{f: \mathcal{X}^t \rightarrow \mathcal{X}' \mid f \text{ is bdd. measurable}\}$

\mathcal{F} -weak topo is generated by seminorms

$$\epsilon_f(\mu, \nu) = \left| \int_{\mathcal{X}^t} f d\mu - \int_{\mathcal{X}^t} f d\nu \right|, \quad f \in \mathcal{F}.$$

i.e. $\mu_n \xrightarrow{Z^w} \mu$ if $k_f(\mu, \mu_n) \rightarrow 0, \forall f \in \mathcal{Q}$.

\mathcal{Q} -strong topo is generated by \mathcal{Q} -divergence

$$d_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mu \parallel \mu_n) := \sup_{\mathcal{Q}} k_f(\mu, \mu_n) \rightarrow 0.$$

Remark: i) We say \mathcal{Q} is separating if:

$k_{\mathcal{Q}}$ is a norm, i.e. $k_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mu, \nu) = 0$

$$\Leftrightarrow \mu = \nu$$

ii) $M_+^*(\mathbb{R}^k)$ isn't LS. But it can be embedded into sign measure space which is LS. So the "norm" will make sense.

iii) The Lem. in ① satisfies Def by choosing $\mathcal{Q} = \{I_{\mathbb{R}^k} | s \in S\}$.

Next, we want to investigate the empirical measure $\tilde{\mu}_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{x_j}$.

Lem. $\mathcal{Q} := \{f \text{ is measurable, } |f| \leq 1\}$. For $\mu \in \mathcal{T}$, let $\mu = f \lambda_X$, $f \geq 0$, $f \in L^1$. Then:

$$k_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mu \parallel \tilde{\mu}_n) \leq 2, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Pf: Set $g_n^w(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n I_{[x_j, w)}(x) - I_{[x_j, w), j \in \mathbb{N}}(x)$

We have $\sup_{\{g_n^w\}_{w \in \mathbb{R}}}$ $k_g(\mu, \tilde{\mu}_n) = 2$

Def: $\mathcal{F}_{ac} := \{f = I_{(-\infty, \vec{a}]} , \vec{a} \in \mathbb{R}^k\}$. Glivenko-

Cantelli: Divergence $k_{ac}(\mu, \nu) = k_{\mathcal{F}_{ac}}(\mu, \nu)$

$= \sup_n |F_n(a) - F_\mu(a)|$. F_n is d.f. of μ .

Proof: i) By Lem. above, we restrict \mathcal{F} on a small family.

ii) k_{ac} is truly metric. Since:

$$F_\mu(a) = F_\nu(a), \forall a \Rightarrow \mu \sim \nu.$$

Thm. (Glivenko - Cantelli)

$\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{M}_+^1(\mathbb{R}^k)$ is separable w.r.t. k_{ac} by

S.L.A. $\tilde{\mu}_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{x_j}$

Proof: It holds for \mathbb{R}^k . $\forall k \geq 1$.

Pf: Set $F_x(a-) = X_* P(-\infty, a)$

$$F_x(a) = X_* P(-\infty, a].$$

and similarly for $\hat{F}_n(a)$, $\hat{F}_n(a-)$.

Set $z_{\frac{j}{n}} = \inf \{x \mid F_X(x) \geq \frac{j}{n}\}$. $\frac{j}{n}$ -quantile of $X \sim \mu$. $z_0 = 0$. $z_1 = \infty$.

$$\text{Let } \Gamma_n^{(n)} := \max_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} |F_X(z_{\frac{j}{n}}) - \hat{F}_n(z_{\frac{j}{n}})| \vee |F_X(z_{\frac{j}{n}-}) - \hat{F}_n(z_{\frac{j}{n}-})|.$$

By SLLN. $\Gamma_n^{(n)} \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$), \mathbb{P} -a.s.

For $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$. $\exists j$. s.t. $x \in (z_{\frac{j}{n}}, z_{\frac{j}{n}+})$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So: } \hat{F}_n(x) &\leq \hat{F}_n(z_{\frac{j}{n}+}) \leq F_X(z_{\frac{j}{n}+}) + \Gamma_n^{(n)} \\ &\leq F_X(x) + \frac{1}{n} + \Gamma_n^{(n)}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{(Note: } F_X(z_{\frac{j}{n}+}) - F_X(z_{\frac{j}{n}-}) \leq \frac{1}{n} \text{)}.$$

$$\text{Similar for } \hat{F}_n(x) \geq F_X(x) - \frac{1}{n} - \Gamma_n^{(n)}.$$

$$\text{So } K_{AC}(\hat{\mu}_n, \mu_X) \leq \frac{1}{n} + \Gamma_n^{(n)} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mu \rightarrow \mu} 0. \text{ } \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

Remark: For $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{M}_+^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, it's only learnable for some weak distance like K_{AC} .