

\mathcal{I} -Divergence

We want to learn about the entire dist. μ rather expected value. Because any value comes with risk and in order to quantify the value w.r.t the dist. Approx. knowledge of dist. μ is needed:

Next, we will consider \mathcal{I} -divergence.

Remark: For \mathcal{I} larger, $\mathcal{L}_\mathcal{I}$ obtains more guarantees for approx. recovery.

(1) Topo & Metric:

Def: i) V, W n.v.s. $L = (V, \|\cdot\|_V) \rightarrow (W, \|\cdot\|_W)$ is strongly conti. if it's BLD.

ii) $(V', \|\cdot\|_{V'})$ dense dual space of $(V, \|\cdot\|_V)$.

iii) $\|f\|_\infty := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|$. Set $L_B^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$
 $= \{f \text{ measurable} \mid \|f\|_\infty < \infty\}$.

Prop: $M_+^+(\mathbb{R}^k)$ can be embedded into $(L_0^\infty)^+$ by Set $L_V(g) = \int g dV$ for $V \in M_+^+(\mathbb{R}^k)$. $|L_V(g)| \leq \|g\|_\infty$.

iv) $M(\mathbb{R}^k)$ is finite signed measure on $(\mathbb{R}^k, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^k})$. It can be embedded in $(L_0^\infty)^+$ as well: for $\gamma = \alpha\mu + \beta V$.

$$\begin{aligned} L_\gamma(g) &= \alpha L_\mu(g) + \beta L_V(g) \\ &= \alpha \int g d\mu + \beta \int g dV = \int g d\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

v) Equip $M(\mathbb{R}^k)$ with norm $\|\gamma\|_{TV} := \sup \{ |L_\gamma(g)| \mid g \in L_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^k), \|g\|_\infty \leq 1 \}$.

Prop: By Jordan decomp, $\gamma = \gamma^+ - \gamma^-$.
 s.t. $\gamma^+ \perp \gamma^-$. So $\exists A^\pm \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^k}$ s.t.
 $\gamma = \mathbb{I}_{A^+} - \mathbb{I}_{A^-}$ retains its sup.

vi) $\mathcal{F}_{TV} := L_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^k)$. $\mathcal{L}_{TV}(\mu, \nu) = \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{F}_{TV}}(\mu, \nu)$
 $= \|\mu - \nu\|_{TV}$ for $\mu, \nu \in M_+^+(\mathbb{R}^k)$.

Prop: i) Set $\mathcal{F}_{KO} := C_b(\mathbb{R}^k)$. And we restrict on it. We can obtain

Rakon-Norm: $\|\cdot\|_{R0}$. Which's weaker than $\|\cdot\|_{TV}$. Also we can also consider $\mathcal{G}_C := C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

ii) We can also introduce $d_{TV}(\mu, \nu) = \frac{1}{2} \sup_{A \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^d}} |\mu(A) - \nu(A)|$. i.e. set $\mathcal{G} = \{ \geq I_A \mid A \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^d} \}$.

Lemma. $X_n \sim \mu_n, X \sim \mu$. \mathbb{R}^d -r.v. Then: We have

$$X_n \xrightarrow{d} X \Leftrightarrow \mu_n \rightarrow \mu \text{ in } C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)'.$$

Pf: prove that $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu \text{ in } C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)' \Leftrightarrow \mu_n \rightarrow \mu \text{ in } C_B(\mathbb{R}^d)'$.

For $g \in C_B(\mathbb{R}^d)$. $B = \|g\|_\infty$. Choose K

$$\text{st. } \mu(B_K(0)^c) \leq \varepsilon/B.$$

φ is bump func. st. $\varphi = 1$ on $B_K(0)$.

$$\varphi \geq 0, \|\varphi\|_\infty = 1, \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

$$\int g d\mu_n = \int \varphi g d\mu_n + \int (1-\varphi) g d\mu_n$$

$$|\int (1-\varphi) g d\mu_n - \int (1-\varphi) g d\mu| \leq$$

$$B(1 - \int \varphi d\mu_n) + B(1 - \int \varphi d\mu) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty}$$

$$2B(1 - \int \varphi d\mu) \leq B \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{B} = \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Cr. For $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mu^+(\mathbb{R}^k)$ to be the space of p.m.'s $\ll \lambda$ on \mathbb{R}^k . i.e. $\mu(\lambda) = f(x)\lambda$. Then: $\forall \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{T}$. we have:
 $\lambda_{\mu, \nu}(\mu, \nu) = \lambda_{\mathbb{C}}(\mu, \nu) = \lambda_{\mathbb{R}^k}(\mu, \nu)$.

Pf. For the latter part. we set ϕ_n is bump func. on $B_n(0)$.
 and $\|\phi_n\|_{\infty} \leq 1$

And use DCT. we can get:
 $\int \phi_n g d\mu \rightarrow \int g d\mu$.

Remark: Note that C_B, L_B^∞ are not separable. So it may lead to some measurability problem when considering SLAs.

(2) Wasserstein metric:

Def: For δ metric on \mathbb{R}^k . s.t. $\delta(\cdot, \cdot) \in B_{\mathbb{R}^k, \mathbb{R}^k}$. $\forall \gamma$.

1) $Lip(\delta) = \{f: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f \text{ has Lip-const} = 1\}$. $Lip_\gamma(\delta) := Lip(\delta) \cap \{f | \int f d\gamma = 0\}$

Remark: $g = x \in \text{Lip}_0^{\text{''}}$. $\mu \sim \text{Cauchy}(1)$, $L_\mu(g) = \infty$

So $L_\mu(g)$ doesn't have to exist.

ii) $\mu^{\delta, \text{''}}(\mathbb{R}^d) := \{ \nu \in \mu^{\text{''}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \mid \exists g \in \text{Lip}_g^{\text{''}} \text{ s.t.}$

$$\int \delta(x, y) \mu(\nu)(x) < \infty \}.$$

iii) Wasserstein 1-norm $\|\mu - \nu\|_{W, \delta} = \sup \{ |L_{\mu-\nu}(g)| \mid g \in \text{Lip}_g^{\text{''}}(\delta) \}$ for some $g \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\mu, \nu \in \mu^{\delta, \text{''}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

iv) Set $\mu^{\delta, \text{''}}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \mu_+^{\delta, \text{''}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap \mu_-^{\delta, \text{''}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Lip metric $L_{W, \delta}(\mu, \nu) := \|\mu - \nu\|_{W, \delta}$

is defined on $\mu^{\delta, \text{''}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Lem. For $\mu, \nu \in \mu^{\delta, \text{''}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We have:

i) $\|\mu\|_{W, \delta} < \infty$.

ii) If $\mu(\mathbb{R}^d) = \nu(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then we can replace $\text{Lip}_g^{\text{''}}(\delta)$ by $\text{Lip}(\delta)$. i.e.

$$\|\mu - \nu\|_{W, \delta} = \sup_{\text{Lip}(\delta)} |L_{\mu-\nu}(g)|$$

iii) $\|\cdot\|_{W, \delta}$ is a semi-norm on $\mu^{\delta, \text{''}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

iv) If δ -topo isn't weaker than 1.1

δ is
initial
topo.

δ -topo, $(X_n \xrightarrow{\delta} x \Rightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{1.1} x)$. Then:

$k_{w.c.s.}(\mu, \nu) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mu = \nu$ for $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}_+^{s.t.}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. So it's metric on $\mathcal{M}_+^{s.t.}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Pf: i) Note $f(x) \stackrel{\text{Lip}}{=} |f(q)| + \delta(x, q) = \delta(x, q)$

ii) Let $f^*(x) = f(x) - f(q)$. Then =

$L_{\mu-\nu}(f) = L_{\mu-\nu}(f^*)$ from and.

iii) $\|\cdot\|_{w.c.s.}$ is supremum of seminorm.

iv) $k_{w.c.s.}(\mu, \nu) = 0 \stackrel{\text{ii)}}{\Rightarrow} L_{\mu}(f) = L_{\nu}(f)$

for $\forall f$ is Lip-conv.

Next, we prove $\mu(A) = \nu(A)$ for

$\forall A$ closed in \mathbb{R}^d .

Let $f_{\varepsilon, A}(x) = (1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \delta(x, A)) \vee 0$.

$\Rightarrow f_{\varepsilon, A}$ is Lip-conv. with const.

$= \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$. And $f_{\varepsilon, A} \downarrow \mathbb{I}_A$ because

$\delta(x, A) = 0 \stackrel{\text{conv.}}{\Rightarrow} \inf_{q \in A} |x - q| = 0$.

App'g DCT on $\int f_{\varepsilon, A} d\mu = \int f_{\varepsilon, A} d\nu$.

Lemma. $\delta(x, q) = |x - q|$ and $B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ bdd. Let

$\mathcal{M}_+^{s.t.}(B) = \{ \mu \in \mathcal{M}_+^{s.t.}(\mathbb{R}^d) \mid \text{supp}(\mu) \subset B \}$.

Let $r_B = \inf_{g \in B} \sup_{x \in B} f(x, g)$. Then: We have,

$$L_{W,(\varepsilon)}(\mu, \nu) \leq r_B L_{RD}(\mu, \nu) \text{ for } \forall \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}_+^*(B).$$

Pf: $\exists g_2 \in B$ s.t. $\sup_{x \in B} f(x, g_2) \leq \varepsilon + r_B$.

$$S. : \forall g \in Lip_{g_2}(\varepsilon) \Rightarrow g \leq r_B + \varepsilon \text{ on } B.$$

$$\text{Let } \bar{g} = g(x) I_B + (r_B + \varepsilon) I_{B^c}.$$

$$\Rightarrow L_{\mu-\nu}(g) = L_{\mu-\nu}(\bar{g}).$$

$$= (r_B + \varepsilon) L_{\mu-\nu}\left(\frac{\bar{g}}{r_B + \varepsilon}\right)$$

$$\leq (r_B + \varepsilon) \|\mu - \nu\|_{RD}.$$

Cor. Under condition above, we have:

i) If B is cpe, then, $\|\cdot\|_{W,(\varepsilon)}$ is weaker than $\|\cdot\|_{RD}$ or $\|\cdot\|_{TV}$.

ii) $\|\cdot\|_{W,(\varepsilon)} \rightarrow \rho_0 =$ Weak convergence

(3) Metrics w.r.t density / para.:

① For $\mu = f_\mu dx$, $\nu = f_\nu dx$. We set:

$$L_p(\mu, \nu) = \|f_\mu - f_\nu\|_{L^p} \text{ on } \mathcal{T} = \{\mu \in \mathcal{M}_+^* \mid \mu \ll dx\}$$

Rank: For $p=1$. Note $\text{sgn}(f_1 - f_2) \in L^\infty$.

So it corresponds to $\|\cdot\|_{TV} = \|\cdot\|_{p_0}$.

For $p>1$. They're not comparable.

② For $(\Omega) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. Consider map $\mu_{(\cdot)} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^{(\mathbb{R}^d)}$.

is injective. And for $v, v' \in \text{Im}(\mu)$. Set

$$d_\Omega(v, v') = \|\theta - \theta'\|, \text{ where } v = \mu_\theta, v' = \mu_{\theta'}.$$

Rank: It can develop para. seq. approach

$$K(\hat{\mu}_n \| \mu) = K(\mu_{\hat{\theta}_n} \| \mu_\theta) \leq C \|\hat{\theta}_n - \theta\| \rightarrow 0.$$

lem. $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ is divergence on $\mathbb{R}_+^{(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ and

assume $\mu_{(\cdot)} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^{(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ is injective

and conti. v.v.t. $K_0 = 1.1$. Then:

i) K_Ω -topo is stronger than K -topo.

ii) If Ω is cpt. Then: $K_\Omega \sim K$ on $\text{Im}(\mu_{(\cdot)})$

Pf: i) $\theta_n \xrightarrow{1.1} \theta_0 \xRightarrow{\text{conti.}} K(\mu_{\theta_0} \| \mu_{\theta_n}) \rightarrow 0.$

ii) If $K(\mu_{\theta_0} \| \mu_{\theta_n}) \rightarrow 0, \|\theta_n - \theta_0\| \not\rightarrow 0$

$$\Rightarrow \exists \varepsilon > 0, \exists n_k. \text{ s.t. } (\theta_{n_k}) \subset \Omega_\varepsilon = \Omega / B_\varepsilon(\theta_0)$$

Note \mathcal{N}_ε is also cpt.

So $\delta = \inf_{\theta \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon} \lambda(\mu_{\theta_0} \parallel \mu_\theta) > 0$ follows

from the uni. condition of $\mu(\cdot)$
(Otherwise it will contradict with
that " $\mu(\cdot)$ is injective" and λ
is separating. i.e. $\exists \theta_x \neq \theta_y, \mu_{\theta_x} = \mu_{\theta_y}$)

$$\Rightarrow \lambda(\mu_{\theta_0} \parallel \mu_{\theta_{n_k}}) \geq \delta > 0.$$

which contradict with $\mu_{\theta_k} \xrightarrow{\lambda} \mu_{\theta_0}$.