

# Nonlinear FPEs

(1) Exist and Unique:

① Consider NLFPE:  $\partial_t M_t = \partial_{ij}^2 (a_{ij}(t, \mu_t, x) \rho_t) - b_i(t, \mu_t, x) \rho_t$   
 where  $a_{ij}, b_i: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathcal{M} \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

$M \in \mathcal{M}_b$ .  $a(t, \cdot, x)$  is sym. nonnegative definite.

$$\text{RHS}_0: \text{Linf}_M Y(t, x) = a_{ij}(t, \mu_t, x) \partial_{ij}^2 \varphi(x) + b_i(t, \mu_t, x) \partial_i \varphi(x)$$

Rank:  $a, b$  can also depend on the whole path measure  $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$  rather than just  $\mu_0$ .

Ex. 1 (Nemytskii case)

$$a_{ij}(t, \mu_t, x) = \tilde{a}_{ij}(t, \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x}(x), x). : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$b_i(t, \mu_t, x) = \tilde{b}_i(t, \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x}(x), x). \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$$

$\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x}$  is version of horiz. of  $\mu$  w.r.t  $dx$

Char by uniqueness iff Thm:  $\mu \in L^\infty \Rightarrow$

$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \lambda x \in B_r(x_0) \cap \mu \subset B_r(x_0)$  exists  $L^1$ -a.s.

We set  $\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x} = 0$  on limit-max-pt.)

Rank: If  $\mu, \eta \in \mathcal{M}_b^+$ , then  $\frac{\mu}{\eta}(\eta)$  is  $\mathcal{B}_{\mu_b^+} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\eta^2}$

measurable.  $M_{b,cc}^+ = \{\mu \ll dx, \mu \in \mu_b^+\}$ .

In Nemtščikov case. NLFPE written in density form:  $\partial_t u(t,x) = \partial_{ij} \left( \tilde{r}_{ij}(t, u(t,x), x) u(t) \right) - \text{div}(\tilde{b}(t, u(t,x), x) u(t))$

where  $\mu_{cc}(dt) = u(t,x) dx dt$ . e.g. FME.  $p$ -Laplace

Def: Borel curve  $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0} \subset M_b^+$  solve the NLFPE

with datum  $v \in M_b^+$  if  $a_{ij}, b_i \in L_{loc}([0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  &  $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}^d, \exists T_p < \infty : \text{sup}_{t \in [0,T_p]} v(t) = 1$ .

$$\int \varphi d\mu_t = \int \varphi dv + \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \int_0^t \int L_{a,b,\mu_s} \varphi d\mu_s ds. \quad \forall t \in [0, T_p]$$

Rank: For  $a_{ij}, b_i \in L_{loc}([0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ .  $\Rightarrow$

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \square = \int_0^t \int L_{a,b,\mu_s} \square d\mu_s ds.$$

Linearized equations:

Fix Borel curve  $(\mu_t) \subset \mu_b^+$ . Consider linear

$$\text{FPE: } \partial_t v_t = \partial_{ij} (a_{ij}(\mu_t) v_t) - \partial_i (b_i(\mu_t) v_t).$$

where  $a_{ij}(\mu_t)(t,x) = a_{ij}(t, \mu_t, x)$ .  $b_i(\square) = \square$ .

Rmk: We called the linear FPE associated with NLFPE by  $\mu$ -LFPF.

Note any NLFPE solution also solves  $\mu$ -LFPF.

Lemma: If the solution is weakly cont. Then:

$\tilde{J}^c = \varrho$ . for  $\forall \varrho \in C_c^{\infty}$ . If in addition  $a_{ij}, b_i \in L^1$  then the NLFPE hold for  $\forall \varrho \in C_{ub}^{\infty}$ .  $\mu_t$  has const mass as  $V$

Pf. As in linear case.

Lemma: If  $(\mu_t)$   $\subset M$  solve NLFPE with  $V$

$\in M_b^+$ . So.  $\sup_t \mu_t(\mathbb{R}^d) < \infty$ . Then  $\exists$  unique weakly cont. Lt-version modif.  $\tilde{\mu}_t$

Pf.:  $\mu_t$  solves  $(\mu$ -LFPF).

We can modify the solution of the linear FPE as before.

① Pf: i)  $T > 0$ ,  $M_1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  is a.v.s. of signed measure with finite TV. and  $\|\mu\| :=$

$\sup_{\text{Lip}^+} \int f d\mu_n$ .  $\text{Lip}' = \{f \in \text{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^k, \mathbb{R}) \mid \text{The Lip const. } \leq 1\}$ .

Rank: Topo. of  $M_b^+(\mathbb{C}_0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^k$  is weak convergence of measure.

ii) For  $V: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}'$ .  $T_0 \leq T$ .  $g \in C^+(\mathbb{C}_0, T)$ .

$M_{T_0, g}(V) := \sum (\mu_t)_{\mathbb{C}_0, T_0} \subset M_b^+ \left( \int V d\mu_t \in \right)$

iii)  $(\mu^n) := (\hat{\mu}_t^n)_{\mathbb{C}_0, T_0} \subset M_{T_0, g}(V)$  is  $g \in \mathbb{C}_0$ .  $t \in T_0$

$V$ -convergence if  $\int f d\hat{\mu}_t^n \rightarrow \int f d\mu_t$ . for

$\forall f \in C(\mathbb{R}^k)$ . s.t.  $V(x)/f(x) \rightarrow +\infty$ . ( $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ )

Assumptions:

---

i)  $\exists V \in C^2$ . s.t.  $V > 0$ .  $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V(x) = \infty$ . s.t.  $\exists A$ .

$A_1: C^+(\mathbb{C}_0, T) \rightarrow C^+(\mathbb{C}_0, T)$ . for  $\forall T_0 \leq T$ .  $f$

$\in C^+(\mathbb{C}_0, T)$ . we have L.s.b.v  $V(t, x) \leq A_1(g)(t)$

$+ A_2(g)(t)V(x)$  on  $\mathbb{C}_0, T \times M_{T_0, g}(V) \times \mathbb{R}^k$ .

Rank: Next. we fix this  $V$ .  $M_{T_0, g}(V) := M_{T_0, g}$ .

iv)  $a_{ij}, b_i$  satisfy sufficient regular condition.

$\in \mathcal{X}$ -local equivalent. (and hold,  $V$ -convergence.)

iii)  $\forall V \in M_{T,q}, \alpha(t, x, V_t)$  is symmetric  
and nonnegative definite.

Then. Under assmpt. i) - iii). For  $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{P}$ . st.  $V$

$\in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mu_0)$ . Then:  $\exists T_0 \leq T$ . s.t. NLFPE  
has a weakly cons. p.m solution  $\mu$ . on  $[0, T_0]$   
with initial mu. st.  $\sup_{[0, T_0]} \int V \lambda \mu_t < \infty$ .

Besides, if  $\beta_1 = g_1, \beta_2 = g_2, \gamma_i \in C([0, T])$ .

$$\Rightarrow T_0 = T$$

Next, we want to apply Schauder fixed  
point Thm. to find the solution.

Step: i)  $\alpha$  is sufficient smooth, nondegenerate

(i.e.  $\det(\alpha) > 0$ )

ii)  $\alpha$  is sufficient smooth, degenerate.

iii) general case.

We only prove case i). i.e. Add cond. to  
assumpt. iii) with:  $\text{det}(\alpha(t, x, V_t)) > 0$ . for all  
 $V_t \in M_{T,q}, t \in [0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

And assume  $H$  cpt k.  $\exists \lambda = \lambda(v, k) > 0$ . s.t.

$$|u(t, v_t, x) - u(t, v_s, y)| \leq \lambda |x - y|. \forall x, y \in K. t \leq T_0.$$

And also  $\exists C_i = C_i(v). \forall i$ .

$$|\sqrt{u(t, v_t, x)} - \sqrt{u(x)}| \leq C_i + C_2 U(x). H(t, x) \in [1, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Remark: Under the condition with assumption i)-iii).

We have existence of unique weakly conti. p.m. solution  $f = f(v)$  to  $V\text{-LFPF}$  on  $[1, T_0]$ . with  $\mu_v$  for  $v \in M_{T_0, j}$ .

We let  $\alpha: M_{T_0, j} \rightarrow M_b([1, T_0] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  by

$$\alpha(v) = f(v). \text{Capac. } \sim T_0, j.$$

Def:  $N_{T_0, j} := \{g(v) \in M_{T_0, j} \mid \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)\}$ .

$$|\int \varphi d\mu_0 - \int \varphi d\mu_1| \leq \sup_{\substack{C([1, T_0] \times \mathbb{R}^d) \\ M_{T_0, j} \times \mathbb{R}^d}} |L_{\text{adv}, \nu}(f(t, x))| |t - s|$$

Lemma:  $H(\mu^n) \subset N_{T_0, j}$  has weakly convergence

Subseq  $(\mu^{n_k})$ .  $\xrightarrow{w} \mu \in N_{T_0, j}$ . so.  $H \leq T_0$ .

$$\mu_t^{n_k} \xrightarrow{\text{w}} \mu_t.$$

Rank: Note  $\mu^n \xrightarrow{w} \mu \Leftrightarrow \hat{\mu_t} \xrightarrow{w} \mu$ . Since  $(\mu^{n_k}) \xrightarrow{w} \mu \Leftrightarrow \forall \varphi \in C_c^{\infty}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ .

$$\int_{[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(t, x) \mu_t^n dx \rightarrow \int_{[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(t, x) \mu_t dx.$$

Pf: Consider  $\langle t_k \rangle \subset [0, T]$ . by diagonal argument.  $\exists (\mu_t^{n_k})$  is tight for  $\forall t \in \langle t_k \rangle$ .

Then - we prove it also holds for

$\forall t \in [0, T]$  by left of  $N_{T, g}$ .

$(\mu^{n_k}) \xrightarrow{w} \mu$  is from DCT. i.e.

$\int h(t, x) \mu_t^{n_k} dx$  is  $t$ -uniformly bounded.

Gr  $N_{T, g}$  is convex. opt. in  $M_b([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ .

Pf: convex is from  $M_{T, g}$  is convex.

All  $M_b(\cdot)$  is n.v.s. So =

eq. opt  $\Leftrightarrow$  opt. We use Lem. above.

Lem:  $\mu^n \xrightarrow{w} \mu$  in  $N_{T, g} \Rightarrow \hat{\mu}^n \xrightarrow{V} \hat{\mu}$ .

Pf: i)  $(\mu_t^n) \xrightarrow{w} \mu_t$   $\forall t \in [0, T]$  follows

from Lemma'. above. (By Contradict.):

if  $\exists t_0 \in (n_\varepsilon), \text{ s.t. } \mu_{t_0}^{\omega} \xrightarrow{w} \tilde{\mu} \neq \mu_{t_0}$

$\exists (n_{\varepsilon k}) \subset (n_\varepsilon), \mu_{t_0}^{n_{\varepsilon k}} \xrightarrow{w} \mu_{t_0}.$  (Contradict!)

2) Let  $h = f(x)/V(x). \Rightarrow h \in C_0.$

So:  $\exists \varrho \in C_c^\infty, \text{ s.t. } \|h - \varrho\|_\infty < \varepsilon.$

So:  $|\int f \chi \mu_t^\omega - \int f \chi \mu_t| \leq$

$$|\int (\varrho V \chi \mu_t^\omega - \int (\varrho V) \chi \mu_t) + 2\varepsilon| \xrightarrow{1)} \rightarrow 0.$$

Lemma'. If  $\varrho(N_{T_0, T}) \subset N_{T_0, T}$  for some  $T_0 \leq T.$  &

$\varrho \in C^+(I_0, T).$  Then  $\varrho$  is conti. on  $N_{T_0, T}$

Pf: For  $(\mu^n) \rightarrow \mu$  in  $N_{T_0, T}.$  Set  $f :=$

$\varrho \circ \mu^n, \in N_{T_0, T}.$

By Lemma'. above.  $\exists (f^n) \xrightarrow{w} f \in N_{T_0, T}.$

Note:  $\int \varrho \chi f_t^n - \int \varrho \chi \mu_0 = \int_0^T \int_{\mu^n} L_a \circ \phi \cdot \mu_t^n$

$\varrho \chi f_t^n \text{ for } \varrho \in C_c^\infty.$

From assumption ii). We have Ascoli. Then

hold locally for  $\pi_j(t, \mu_t^n, x), b_j(\dots).$

Let  $k \rightarrow \infty.$  (since  $\text{supp}(\varrho)$  is cpt.)

with DCT. (since  $|L_{a,b,m} \circ \varphi| \leq L(T, g, \varphi)$ )

$$S_t : \mathcal{R}ns \rightarrow \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} L_{a,b,m} \circ \varphi \, d\mu_s.$$

which means  $\langle \varphi \circ \mu_t \rangle = S_t$ .

By Subseq Convergence Thm  $\Rightarrow \langle \varphi \circ \mu^* \rangle \rightarrow \langle \varphi \circ \mu \rangle$

Lemma.  $\forall v \in N_{T, g}, S = \langle \varphi \circ v \rangle$ . Then:  $\forall t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$\int V \lambda S_t \leq S E_{g^*}(t) + R_{Eg^*}(t) \int V \lambda \mu_0. \text{ where}$$

$$R_{Eg^*}(t) = \mathcal{L}^{S_0, A_0, (g^*)_{\text{cos}, t}}. \quad S E_{g^*}(t) = R_{Eg^*}(t) \int_0^t A_s (g^*)_{\text{cos}, s} ds.$$

Pf: Let  $\eta_m \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $0 \leq \eta_m \leq 1$ ,  $\eta_m'' \leq 0$ .

$$\eta_m(x) = x \text{ if } x \leq m, \quad \eta_m(x) \equiv m \text{ if } x > m$$

$$\text{Thus } \varphi(x) = \eta_m \circ V(x) - m \in C_c^\infty$$

$$L_{a,b,v} \varphi = \eta_m'(V(x)) L_{a,b,v} V(t,x) + \eta_m''(V(x)) \alpha_{(t,V_t,x)} \nabla V(x) \cdot \nabla V(x). \quad (*)$$

Since  $S_t$  solves  $V$ -FPE. we have:

$$\int_{|x| \leq m} V \lambda S_t \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi \, dS_t \stackrel{\text{FPE}}{=} 0$$

$$\stackrel{(*)}{\leq} \int V \lambda \mu_0 + \int_0^t \int_{|x| \geq m} \eta_m'(V(x)) L_{a,b,v}$$

$V(s, x) \neq g_s(x) \text{ for}$

Since  $\|g\|_{L^1} \leq 1$ . with assupt. i). :

$$RHS \leq \int_0^T A_1(g)(s) + A_2(g)(s) \int_{V \in \mathcal{M}} V d\mu_s ds$$

For  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . (mct). Apply Gronwall's:

$$\int_{V \in \mathcal{M}} V d\mu_T \leq S_{Cg}(t) + R(g)(t) \int V d\mu_0.$$

Gr.  $\exists T_0 \leq T$ .  $f \in C([0, T_0])$ .  $\forall \epsilon \in N_{f, T_0}$

$\subset N_{f, T_0}$ . Besides. if  $A_1, A_2 = \text{const}$

in  $C^1([0, T_0])$ . then  $T_0 = T$ .

Pf: For  $\forall$  fix  $g$ .  $S_{Cg}(t) \rightarrow 0$ . &

$$R(g)(t) \rightarrow 1 \quad (t \rightarrow 0).$$

$$\text{Set } f = 2 \int V d\mu_0 + 1.$$

Choose  $T_0 = T_0(g)$ , s.t. On  $[0, T_0]$

$$S_{Cg}(t) \leq 1. \quad R(g)(t) = 2$$

$$\Rightarrow \int V d\mu_t = g(t) \text{ on } [0, T_0].$$

for  $\forall V \in N_{f, T_0}$ .  $f = Q(V)$ .

$$\text{we can set } g(r) = \sup_{[0, T_0]} (S_{Cr})$$

$$+ R(r) \int V d\mu_0) \text{ if } A_1, A_2 \text{ are}$$

const.  $\int_0^T S_{Cg}(t) dt$ .  $R(\cdot)(t)$ , we  
indep. of choice of  $g$ .

Return to the proof:

Since  $\exists T_0, f, g, \varphi : N_{T_0} \rightarrow N_{T_0}$  is  
cont.  $N_{T_0}$  is convex. opt.  $\subset M_b(C(T) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$

Appl'g Schauder's fixed pt. Thm.  $\exists \varphi(v) = v$ .

(2) Superposition principle:

① consider McKean - Vlasov SDEs / OOSDEs:

$$dX_t = b(t, X_t, L_{X_t})dt + \sigma(t, X_t, L_{X_t})dB_t.$$

rk: We can fix  $m_t = v_t$  inside  $b$

and  $\sigma$  to get a V-LSDE:

$$dX_t = b(t, X_t, v_t)dt + \sigma(t, X_t, v_t)dB_t$$

And solution for DDSDEs also solves

$\mu$ -LSDE where  $\mu = L_X$ .

prop. If  $X$  is weak solution for DDSDEs

above. Then:  $L_{\mu(t)} = L_{X_t}$  is weakly  
conv. p.m. solution to NLFPE with

$$\text{coeff. } b \text{ and } \mu = \frac{1}{2} \sigma \sigma^T.$$

Pf: By Zvon's formula.

(A0)  $b(t, \cdot, \cdot)$  is continuous on  $\mathcal{P}_p \times \mathbb{R}^d$  for all  $t \geq 0$ .

(A1)  $\exists K_1, K_2 \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+)$  non-decreasing such that for all  $t \geq 0, \zeta, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_p, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$|\sigma(t, \zeta, x) - \sigma(t, \nu, y)|^2 \leq K_1(t)|x - y|^2 + K_2(t)\mathcal{W}_p(\zeta, \nu)^2.$$

(A2)  $2(b(t, \zeta, x) - b(t, \nu, y)) \cdot (x - y) \leq K_1(t)|x - y|^2 + K_2(t)\mathcal{W}_p(\zeta, \nu)|x - y|$ .

(A3)  $b$  is bounded on bounded sets in  $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathcal{P}_p \times \mathbb{R}^d$ , and

$$|b(t, \zeta, 0)|^p \leq K_1(t)(1 + \zeta(|\cdot|^p)),$$

where  $\zeta(|\cdot|^p) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^p d\zeta(x)$ .

**Theorem 3.3.4** (Thm.2.1 from [22]). Assume there is  $p \geq 1$  such that (A0)-(A3) are satisfied. (If  $p < 2$ , additionally assume  $K_2 = 0$ .) Then for every initial datum  $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{P}_p$ , the DDSDE has a unique weak solution  $X(\mu_0)$  with  $\mathcal{L}_{X_t} \in \mathcal{P}_p$  for all  $t \geq 0$ .

Moreover, if  $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{P}_q$  for  $q \geq p$ , then can be strong.

$$\mathbf{E} \left[ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X(\mu_0)_t|^q \right] < \infty, \quad \forall T > 0.$$

[Finally, there is  $\psi \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+)$  non-decreasing such that

$$\mathcal{W}_p(\mathcal{L}_{X(\zeta)_t}, \mathcal{L}_{X(\nu)_t})^p \leq \mathcal{W}_p(\zeta, \nu)^p e^{\int_0^t \psi(r) dr}, \quad \forall t > 0.$$

② Thm. (Superposition pr.)

If  $\langle \mu_t \rangle$  is weakly continuous solution to NLFPE. w.  $a, b \in L^{\infty}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d, \mu_t dt)$

Then  $\exists$  weak solution  $X$  to PDSDE

$$\text{ie. } \mathcal{L}_{X_t} = \mu_t. \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Rmk: i) There's still no extra regularity assumption. It can be applied on Nemyskii type NLFPE.

ii) Integrable cond. can be weakened as before.

Pf:  $\mu_t$  also solves  $\bar{m}$ -LFP $\bar{E}$ .

$\exists$  weak solution to  $m$ -LSDE  $s.t.$

$Lx_t = \mu_t \Rightarrow X_t$  also solves DDSDE.

C.R. At most one weak solution to DDSDE

with initial datum  $\xi \Rightarrow$   $\mu_t$  must  
be p.m.  $S.t.$  associate NLFPE with  
datum  $\xi$ . Sc.  $a_{ij}, b \in C^1$  (smooth).

Pf: Any 2 solutions of NLFPE can be  
be lifted as solution of DDSDE  
as like case  $\Rightarrow$  1-dim marginal  
dist.  $\eta_t$ 's in particular.

prop. For  $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{D}$ . If:

i) NLFPE has unique weakly cons. p.m.  
solution  $\mu$  with datum  $\mu_0$ .

ii)  $\bar{m}$ -LFP $\bar{E}$  has unique weakly cons. p.m.  
solution for  $\theta$  datum (s.d.).

Then weak solution for DDSDE with  
datum  $\mu_0$  is unique.

Pf: If  $X, Y$  are 2 weak solution to  
DDSDE with datum  $\mu_0$ .

$$\text{So } \mu' := Lx \cdot \mu^2 = Ly.$$

We have  $\mu = \mu_1 = \mu_2$  from i)

And from ii),  $\mu$ -LFPE also have  
unique solution. And  $X, Y$  also satis-  
fy  $\mu$ -LSDE.  $\Rightarrow (X_t)_{t \geq 0} \stackrel{\mu}{\sim} (Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$ .

② With Mart. problem:

---

i) Uniqueness of DDSDE for any  $\delta_x$ .  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  can't  
imply uniqueness for any initial datum  $\mu_0$ :

Note if  $X$  is solution to DDSDE with datum  
 $\mu_0$ . The disintegration family  $(\alpha_x)_x$  of  $Lx$   
isn't the law of solution to DDSDE:

$$dX_t = b(t, X_t) dt + \sigma(t, X_t) dB_t. X_0 \sim \delta_x.$$

since  $L_{\delta_x}^t \neq L_{X_t}^{\mu_0}$  unless  $\mu_0 = \delta_x$ .

$\alpha_x$  rather solves  $Lx^{\mu_0}$ -LSDE with the  
initial dist. =  $\delta_x$ . (i.e. fix  $\mu_0$ -variable).

ii) Unlike linear case, Mart. Problem doesn't hold. i.e. well-posed solution ( $\mathbb{P}^x$ ) for  $DD\sigma\beta E$  isn't Markov process:  
 Since linear case heavily depends on the stability of  $m^p$  for his integration family. But this fails as in i).

But if we fix  $\mu_t^x = \mathbb{P}^x \circ \bar{\gamma}_t^{-1}$ . And assume  $\mu^x$ -SDE is weakly well-posed  
 $\Rightarrow \exists (\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_z^x)_{z \in \mathbb{R}^n}$  unique solution to the  $\mu^x$ -SDE with initial eq. It's also family of Markov process.

And  $\mu_t^x \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_x^x = \mathbb{P}^x$ . i.e.  $(\mathbb{P}^x)$  can be embedded in family of Markov process

Program:

D Existence of weakly contr. p.m. s.t.

$N \subset F \bar{P} E$ :

$\exists V.$  lya poorv. + contr. of n.b. +  $\alpha \geq 0$

rank: i) to issuing work for Neugtakii.

i) If  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2$  constant = const in  $C_{\geq 0}$

$\Rightarrow$  Existence interval =  $[0, T]$ .