

Nonlinear MPs

We have established $\text{DDSDE} \hookrightarrow \text{NLFPE}$ before

But not yet: $\text{DDSDE} \hookrightarrow \text{Markov Process} \leftrightarrow \text{NLFPE}$

Even for well-posed DDSDE, doesn't satisfy
Markov property.

Prop: \mathbb{P}_w solution satisfies flow property

(by coupling to NLFPE). but it's only
for single law. While Markov property
is prop. for family of path laws.

i) Definition:

$\pi_s := C(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A}), \pi^s_r$, with $Z_{tu}, \pi^s_r : \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_r$

Def: $\mathcal{P}_0 \subset \mathcal{P}$. Nonlinear mp is family $(\mathbb{P}_{s,t})_{s \leq t}$

so, $\mathbb{P}_{s,t}$ is p.m. on $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{M}_t}$ and \mathcal{P}_0 .

i) $\pi^{s,t} := \mathbb{P}_{s,t} \circ (Z^s_t)^{-1} \in \mathcal{P}_0$. $0 \leq s \leq t, f \in \mathcal{P}_0$.

ii) (Nonlinear Markov property): $A \subset \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{M}_t}$

$$(\mathbb{P}_{s,t} \circ Z^s_t \cap A \mid \mathcal{Q}_{s,r})(w) = P(s, f), (r, Z^s_r(w))$$

$(Z^s_t \in A)$. $\mathbb{P}_{s,t}$ -a.s. $0 \leq s \leq t, f \in \mathcal{P}_0$.

where $P_{(s,g), (r,z^r(w))} \in Z^r(A) = P_{r,\mu_r^{s,g}}$

$\langle Z^r(A) | Z^r = Z^r(w) \rangle$

Note: i) \emptyset_0 can be thought as the class
of "allowed initial data"

ii) The motivation of NLmp is to
corresp. solution $(\mu_t^{s,g})$ of NLFPE.

Note that $P_{r,\mu_r^{s,g}} \neq \int P_{r,g} \mu_r^{s,g}$
which holds in time-inhom. mp.

Rather the his integration family can
be replaced by $\langle P_{(s,g), (r,g)} \rangle$

iii) Also in markov property, we generalize
it by replacing (s,g) on index. of
RNS rather as classical case.

It's consistent with: $(\mu_t^{s,g})$ is s.l.
of NLFPE. And fix $(\mu_t^{s,g})$. Start
from another $(r,\mu_r^{s,g}(w))$ of $\mu_t^{s,g}$ -LFE.
But $\mu_t^{s,g}$ still be influential.

Prop. $P_{(s,j), cr, z_r^s(w)}(c) = Q_w(c). H(w, c) \in$
 $\mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{B}_{\text{ar}}$, where Q_w is r.c.p. of $P_{s,j}$
 over Z_r^s restricted on $\Gamma(Z_r^s / u \geq r)$

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\text{Pf. RNS}} &= \bar{E}_{P_{s,j} \subset I_c | Z_r^s(w)}^{s,s} \\ &= \bar{E}_{P_{s,j}} \subset E(I_c | \mathcal{J}_{s,r}) | Z_r^s(w) = LNS. \end{aligned}$$

prop. One-dim marginals $\mu_t^{s,s}$ of NLMF satisfy
 flow property

$$\begin{aligned} \text{If: } HA \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{ar}}, \mu_t^{s,s}(A) &= \bar{E}_{P_{s,j}}(P_{s,j} \circ z_t^s \in A | Z_r^s(w)) \\ &= \bar{E}_{P_{s,j}} \subset \Pr_{r, \mu_r^{s,s}}(Z_r^s \in A | Z_r^s(w)) = \mu_r^{s,s}(A) \end{aligned}$$

Rmk: $D(\mu_t^{s,s})$ doesn't satisfy time-inhom

$$C-F \text{ equation: } \mu_t^{s,x} = \int \mu_t^{r,t} \Pr_r^{s,x}(y)$$

$$\Rightarrow \Pr_r \mu_r^{s,s} \circ (Z_r^s)^{-1} = \mu_r^{r, \mu_r^{s,s}} \stackrel{\text{flow}}{=} \mu_r^{s,s}.$$

\Rightarrow We proved Rmk ii) above!

prop. $(P_{s,j})_{s,t \in \mathcal{Q}_0}$ is NLMF. For $j \in \mathcal{Q}_0$, $s \leq t$.

$$\text{Set } P_{r,t}^{s,s}(x, \lambda z) := P_{(s,j), cr, x} \circ (Z_t^s)^{-1}(\lambda z)$$

$$\text{epr}^{s,s} - \text{a.s. Then: } \bar{E}_{P_{s,j}} \subset f \circ Z_{s+1}^{s+1} \cdots Z_{t-1}^{t-1}) =$$

$$\int_{\Gamma_{X^1}} \cdots \int_{\Gamma_{X^n}} f(x_0, \dots, x_n) P_{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n}^{s, i}(x_{n+1}, x_n, \dots) \mu_{t_0}^{s, i}(dx_0)$$

Pf: LHS = $E_{s, i} \circ E_{s, i}(\vdash | \varrho_{s, i})$

$$= E_{s, i} \circ E_{t_0, x_0} \circ f(x_0, \dots)$$

$$= \text{induction} = E_{s, i} \circ E_{t_0, x_0} \circ \cdots \circ E_{t_k, x_k} \circ \cdots$$

Rmk: i) Finite-lim path law of NLHP is uniquely determined by one-lim time marginal ($P_{r, t}^{s, i}(x, t; z)$)

ii) Even if $\varrho_0 = \varrho$, $P_{r, t}^{s, i}(x, t; z) \neq \text{IP}_{r, s_x} \circ (\mathcal{Z}_t^r)^{-1}(dz)$, i.e. the one-lim marginal to determine path law isn't w.r.t. (IP_{r, s_x}).

prop: $(P_{s, x})$ is classical time-inhom. m.p.

$\Rightarrow (P_{s, i})_{s \in \mathcal{S}, i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is NLHP with $\varrho_0 = \varrho$.

where $P_{s, i} := \int P_{s, x} g(dx)$.

Pf: Note $P_{r, \mu_r^{s, i}} = \int \text{IP}_{r, y} \lambda \mu_r^{s, i} dy$,

$\Rightarrow P_{(s, i), (r, j)} = \text{IP}_{r, j} = \text{IP}_{r} \circ (\mathcal{Z}_r^r = j)$

which's reduced to the classical markov property.

If $(P_{s,g})_{s,g}$ is NLFPE, consisting of sol.

law to DDSDE $\Rightarrow (\mu_t^{s,s})$ solves a resp

NLFPE. And the curve $(P_{r,t}^{s,s}(x, \lambda z))_{t \geq r}$

are weakly cont. p.m. Solutions to $\mu^{s,s}$

-LFPE. with initial $(r, s_x) \cdot \mu_r^{s,s} \text{ a.c.x.}$

\Rightarrow if $\forall (s, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathcal{D}_0$. $\mu^{s,s}$ -LFPE has a unique
weakly cont. p.m. solution for that y

$(r, s_x) \xrightarrow{(A) \text{ linear mp}} (P_{r,t}^{s,s})$ is trans. kernel of a
linear time-inhom Markov process $\{P_{r,x}^{s,s}\}_{r \geq s}$

And it's related to NLFPE by:

$$P_{r,s} \mu_r^{s,s} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} P_{r,x}^{s,s} k \mu_r^{s,s}(x) \quad (x). \quad \left(\xrightarrow{\text{ (s,s) } (r,z_r) \sim \mu_r^{s,s}} \right)$$

Prop: i) So from prop. above, the finite-lim
marginal of $P_{s,g}$ are uniquely deter-
mined by the sol's of linear MP,

ii) Reversely. given $\{P_{r,x}^{s,s}\}_{s \leq r}$ family of Mps

and let (A) holds. we get $(P_{s,g})$.

Even let $\mathcal{D}_0 = \emptyset$. $\mu_t^{s,s} = (P_{s,g} \circ (X^s)^{-1})$

$\overline{DPSDE}(s, \cdot)$

Fix $\downarrow \mu^{s,s}$

can't be solution for a NLFPE.

$\mu^{s,t} - SDE$

This is because the argument above

\downarrow
sol. ($\Pr_v^{s,s}$)

holds for $\forall \mu$ satisfies cond. (D).

family of μ won't be sol. of a NLFPE
classical mps

(2) Construction of NLmps:

Next, we don't impose any regularity on
coefficient. below also works for Nemytskii's

Reptc: i) $\mu^{s,s} := \{\text{weakly conti. p.m. sol. to}$

NLFPE from $(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathcal{Q}$, s.t. a.b
 $\in L^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n, \text{dom } f)$.

ii) $\mu_\eta^{s,s} := \{\text{replace NLFPE by } \eta\text{-LFE}$
on above).

iii) $\mu_{\eta, ex}^{s,s} := \{\mu \in \mu_\eta^{s,s} \mid \mu \text{ is extreme pt.}$

i.e. if $\mu = q\mu^1 + (1-q)\mu^2$ for some q

$\in (0, 1)$. $\mu^i \in \mu_\eta^{s,s} \Rightarrow \mu^1 = \mu^2$.

Thm: $\{\mu^{s,t}\}_{s \leq t} \subset \mathcal{P}_v \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ is sol. flow to
 NLFPE so. $\mu^{s,s} \in \mu_{\mu^{s,s}, ex}^{s,s} H(s, s)$.

Then: i) $H(s, s)$. \exists unique weak sol. $X^{s,s}$

NLFPE to the DDSDE with datum (s, s)

\downarrow and one-dim marginals $\in \{\mu^{s,t}\}$.

NLmp

s

DDSDE

ii) $\mathbb{P}_{s,s} := \{X^{s,s}\}$ is \sim NLmp. And its one-dim marginal are $\mu_t^{s,s}$.

Proof: i) Assert i) means the subclass of weak solutions has singleton.

Rather than the solution is unique and has extra property

ii) If NLFPE is well-posed. Then:

$|\mu_{\mu^{s,s}, ex}^{s,s}| = 1$. And sol. has flow prop.

So satisfy cond. above.

Pf: Set $A_{s,\leq c\mu, c} = \{\eta_c\}_{c \geq 1} \in C([s, \infty), \mathcal{P})$

$\eta_t \in C_{\mu t}, \forall t \geq s\}$. $A_{s,\leq} = \bigcup_{c \geq 1} A_{s,\leq c\mu, c}$.

Rmk: $c \geq 1$ is necessary since $\eta_t \in \mathcal{P}$.

Lem¹: $(s, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathcal{P}$. $\eta \in C([s, \infty), \mathcal{P})$ and

$(\mu_t)_{t \geq s} \in M_\eta^{s,s}$. Then:

$$M_\eta^{s,s} \cap A_{s,s}(\mu) = \{\mu\} \iff \mu \in M_{\eta, \text{ex}}^{s,s}.$$

Pf: i) To see it, we let $\eta = \mu^{s,s}$

ii) It holds for linear FPE
by ignoring " η ".

Pf: $\mu \in M_\eta^{s,s} \cap A_{s,s}(\mu)$. is obvious

(\Rightarrow) Otherwise $\mu = t\mu^1 + (1-t)\mu^2$
 $\mu^1 \neq \mu^2$. $t \in (0,1)$. So:

$$\mu^i \in M_\eta^{s,s} \cap A_{s,s}(\mu). \quad i=1,2.$$

(\Leftarrow) For $v \in M_\eta^{s,s} \cap A_{s,s}(\mu)$. $\exists \ell_t$

$$\text{s.t. } v_t = \ell_t \mu_t, \quad \ell_t \leq c, \quad c > 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_t &= \frac{c}{c-1} \ell_t \mu_t + (1 - \frac{c}{c-1} \ell_t) \mu_t \\ &= \frac{c}{c-1} v_t + (1 - \frac{c}{c-1}) \lambda_t. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } \lambda_t = (1 - \frac{c}{c-1} \ell_t) / (1 - \frac{c}{c-1}) \cdot \mu_t$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_t = v_t \Rightarrow v_t = \mu_t.$$

Lem²: For linear FPE with vacuum (S.f.) $\in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathcal{P}$.

\Rightarrow i) If the solution of it is unique
 Then: $H\eta \sim g_0$. $(S_0 \cdot \eta) - s.l.$ is unique
 ii) If $V_{\tau}^{s_0, g_0}$ is unique sol. in $A_{s_0, \tau} \subset V^{s_0, g_0}$
 Then in $A_{s_0, \tau} \subset V^{s_0, g_0}$, $H(S_0 \cdot g_0) -$
 solution is unique. for $g \in B_b^+({\mathbb R}^n)$.
 $\int g(x) f_n(dx) = 1$. $f = \text{const} > 0$.

Lem.³: $0 \in {\mathbb R}^n$. $P \in \mathcal{P}_{(n,r)}$ is s.l. to a linear
 mp start at s . $\ell \in Q_{s,r}$. bdd: r_s
 $\rightarrow {\mathbb R}^r$. prob. density. w.r.t. P . Then:
 $(\ell P) \circ (\pi_r^s)^{-1}$ solves same mp. where
 $\pi_r^s: (W_u)_{u \geq s} \mapsto (W_u)_{u \leq r} \subset {\mathbb R}^r$.

If of i):

β_2 superposition Thm. weak sol. $X^{s,s}$ to the
 DDE exists for $H \in L^1$ loc.

β_2 Lem': $(\mu_t^{s,s})_{t \geq r}$ is the unique sol. of
 $\mu^{s,s} - LFE$. from $(x, \mu_r^{s,s})$ in $A_{s,r} \subset \mathcal{P}^{ss}$.

Next, we prove: If $(s, r) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathcal{P}_0$, $r \geq s$. Any μ -emp with 1-line margins μ_t^{ss} has unique sol. from (r, μ_t^{ss}) . for $\mu \in \Lambda_{s,r}(\mu^{ss})$.

Then: Correlated SPE has such sol. uniquely.

If PDSDE has 2 sol. as in i). Then:

μ^{ss} -emp has 2 sol. (contradict!)

Pf: If μ^i are 2 such sol. $i = 1, 2$.

$$\Rightarrow \mu_t^i := (\mu^i)_0 (z_t^r)^{-1} = \mu_t^{ss}.$$

$$\text{By Z-X Thm: Check } \bar{E}_{\mu^i}(u_n) = \bar{E}_{\mu}(u_n)$$

for $\forall u_n = \sum_i h_i (z_{ti}^r)$. $h_i \in \mathcal{B}_L$. $h_i \geq c > 0$

We induce on n :

Set $\ell = n / \bar{E}_{\mu^i}(u_n)$. $\ell \in (\frac{1}{c}, \infty)$ for

some $c > 1$. And $\bar{E}_{\mu^i}(u_n) = 1$ by

induction hypothesis.

And $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(z_{tn}) (\ell \mu^i) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(z_{tn}) \mu^i$. If $f \in \mathcal{B}_0^{ss}$

from induc hypothesis

$$\text{L.H.S. } \tilde{u}_n = \sum_i h_i (z_{ti}^r) \cdot (h_n (z_{tn}) f(z_{tn}^r))$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle \exp' \rangle \circ (\lambda_{t_n})^{-1} = (\exp') \circ (\lambda_{t_n})^{-1}$$

With lim^{s.s.} $\langle \exp' \rangle \circ (\lambda_{t_n})^{-1}$ solves

same LMP from t_n with same datum.

$$\text{So } \gamma^i := \langle \exp' \rangle \circ (\lambda_{t_n})^{-1} \text{ b.th s.l.}$$

$n^{s.s.}$ -LFFE. We can also check:

$$\eta_t^i \sim \mu_t^{s.s.} \text{ for } t \geq t_n.$$

$$\text{By lim: } \langle \eta_t^i \rangle_{t \geq t_n} = \langle \eta^i \rangle_{t \geq t_n}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{E}_{\mu^i} \langle \eta_n \cdot h_{n+1} \cdot \lambda_{t_{n+1}} \rangle / \bar{E}_{\mu^i} \langle \eta_n \rangle$$

$$= \int h_{n+1}(x) \eta_{t_{n+1}}^i(x).$$

$$\text{So: } \bar{E}_{\mu^i} \langle \eta_{n+1} \rangle = \bar{E}_{\mu^i} \langle \eta_n \rangle.$$

If of ii):

Note in i). $P_{S,S}$ p.m. of $X^{s.s.}$ has 1-lim marginal $(\mu_t^{s.s.})_t$ correct the DDE.

Next, we need to check $P_{S,S}$ has markov property.

By 2-1 Thm., we prove: for $\forall h \in \mathbb{B}_b^{s.s.}$. It.

$\exists \alpha > 1$. $\frac{1}{\alpha} < h < \alpha$. It's s.t. $s \leq t_n \leq r \leq t$. We have:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mu^{s,j}}(h(z_{t_1}, \dots, z_{t_n}) | z_t^s(A)) =$$

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}^s} p_{c(s), cr, z_t^s(w)}(z_t^s \in A) h(z_{t_1}, \dots, z_{t_n}) P_{s,j}(dw)$$

where $p_{c(s), cr, z_t^s}$ is no integration of $P_{r, \mu_r^{s,j}}$

$$\text{w.r.t } z_t^s := P_{r, \mu_r^{s,j}}(\cdot) = \int p_{c(s), cr, z_t^s}(\cdot) d\mu_r^{s,j} dz_t^s.$$

$$(\Rightarrow h = I_B \cdot D \in \mathcal{F}_{s,r} \text{ LHS} = \mathbb{E}_{\mu^{s,j}}(P_B I_B) P_{r,s}$$

$$(z_t^s \in A | z_{t,r})) = RHS = \mathbb{E}_{\mu^{s,j}}(P_B I_B).$$

First note that $p_{c(s), cr, z_t^s}$ solves $\mu^{s,j}$ -emp

with known $(r, \mu_r^{s,j})$. by last KMK in '1)

For $\forall \ell \in \mathcal{B}_0^{>0}$. s.t. $\int \ell \mu_r^{s,j} = 1$.

$\Rightarrow \ell_P := \int_{\mathcal{X}^s} p_{c(s), cr, z_t^s}(\ell z_t^s) \mu_r^{s,j} dz_t^s$ solves emp

with known $(r, \ell \mu_r^{s,j})$ (As a Lem.)

$$\text{Let } \mathbb{E}_{\mu^{s,j}}(h(z_{t_1}, \dots, z_{t_n}) | \ell z_t^s) = \tilde{g}(z_t^s)$$

$$g = c \cdot \tilde{f} \cdot \sigma \cdot \int g \ell \mu_r^{s,j} = 1. \text{ Let } \ell = f$$

$$\text{Also. } \theta : \mathcal{N}_s \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^s. \quad \theta = \begin{cases} h(z_{t_1}, \dots, z_{t_n}) \end{cases}$$

$$\text{So } \mathbb{E}_{\mu^{s,j}}(\theta) = 1. \text{ Let } \ell_P^\theta := (\theta \mu_{s,j}) \cdot (z_t^s)^{-1}$$

Apply Lem³ again. $\Rightarrow \mu^{\theta}$ solve same Eq.

with $(r, \mathcal{P}^{\theta}, \mu_r^{\theta})$. (check $\mu^{\theta} \circ (\mathcal{L}^{\theta})^{-1}(A) = \Omega$)

Besides, $\mu^{\theta} \circ (\mathcal{L}^{\theta})^{-1}, \mu_g \circ (\mathcal{L}^{\theta})^{-1} \ll \mu_t^{\theta}$

s belong to Ar.s (μ^{θ}) .

$$\Rightarrow \mu^{\theta} \circ (\mathcal{L}^{\theta})^{-1} = \mu_g \circ (\mathcal{L}^{\theta})^{-1}$$

Now Lus of the eq. we want to prove

$$= C \circ \mu^{\theta} \circ (\mathcal{L}^{\theta})^{-1}(A) = C \circ \mu_g \circ (\mathcal{L}^{\theta})^{-1}(A) = \dots = \text{Lus}$$

Gr. $B_0 \subset \mathcal{P}_0 \subset \mathcal{P}$. (μ_t^{θ}) is s.t. flow to

NLFPE. Sc. $\mu_t^{\theta} \in B_0$. $\forall t \geq s$. if $s \in B_0$,

& $\mu_t^{\theta} \in B_0$, $\forall t > s$ if $s \in \mathcal{P}_0$ (trapped)

If $(\mu^{\theta}) \in M_{\mu^{\theta}, \text{ex}}^{s, 1}$. If $(s, j) \in \mathbb{R}^0 \times B_0$

Then \exists nonlinear map $(P_{s, j})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$. Sc. $P_{s, j}$

$\circ (\mathcal{L}^{\theta})^{-1} = \mu_t^{\theta}$ If $(s, j) \in \mathbb{R}^0 \times \mathcal{P}_0$. consist

of corresp path law of weak sol.

for DDSDE.

Moreover, if $s \in B_0$. $\Rightarrow P_{s, j}$ is the

unique weak sol. to the DPDDE with
marginals (μ^{ss}). possible

Rmk: $\exists \alpha \in B_+ = \mathcal{P} \cap L^\infty. \mathcal{P}_\alpha = \mathcal{P}, \Rightarrow \exists$

sol. flow $(\mu^{\text{ss}})_{t \geq 0}$ to NLFPE

will $\in \bigcap_{s \geq s_*} L^\infty(s, \infty) - L^1$.

It's called $L^1 - L^\infty$ regularization

(Note μ^{ss} will be regular as
it evolves. think $\delta_x \in L'$ but
not in L^∞)

(3) Applications:

① Examples:

i) Well-posed NLFPE:

If it has unique weakly non. sol μ^{ss} .

& $\mu^{\text{ss}}\text{-CEPE}$ also well-posed. Then by

main Thm in (2). We have unique NL
markov process with marginal = μ

Parz. But those well-posedness can't help
for Nemytskii type coefficients.

ii) Generalized PDE:

$$\partial_t u(t, x) = \Delta \beta(u) - \operatorname{Div}(D(x) B(u(t))) u(t)$$

For β, D, B regular enough. $\mathcal{P}_0 := \mathcal{P} \cap L^\infty$

\exists sol. $\mu^{ss}_t = u^{ss}_t(x) \wedge x$ is weakly conti. p.m.

$\in \bigcap_{s < t} L^\infty(s, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and has flow prop

in \mathcal{P}_0 . And it's unique in $\bigcap_{T > s} L^\infty(s, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n$

which solves μ^{ss} -LFPE from (s.g.).

$$f_1: \text{Solv } A_{s, \cdot}(\mu) \subseteq \bigcap_{T > s} L^\infty(s, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n$$

\Rightarrow Applying main Thm in (2) admits $P_{s, g}$

which is path-law for PDE:

$$dX_t = B(u_t(X_t)) \rho(X_t) dt + \sqrt{\frac{2\beta(u_t(X_t))}{u_t(X_t)}} dB_t$$

$$L_{X_t} = u_t \Delta X, \quad t \geq s.$$

Parz. Drift term is easy to obtain.

$$\text{Note } \Delta \beta(u) = A(\beta(u)/u \cdot u).$$

5. We artificially set $\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2$

$$= \beta(u)/u \Rightarrow \text{obtain } \sigma \text{ term.}$$

ii) The PDE sol. u has probabilistic
repr. as marginal of PMP.

iii) Classical PDE:

$$\partial_t u = \Delta (\ln |u|^{m-1} u), m \geq 1.$$

If $\zeta(s, t) \in \mathcal{P}_0 = \mathcal{D}$, \exists unique weakly conti.

p.m. $u^{s,t}$ in $\cap_{T>t>s} L^\infty((2,T) \times \mathbb{R}^n) \subset A_{1,\infty}(\mu)$

So it has flow prop. from uniqueness.

And for $s = \delta_x$, u^{s,s_x} can be written

explicitly (called Barenblatt sol.)

It corresponds to DDSDE.

$$dx_t = (2\kappa_t(x_t)^{m-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} dB_t, \quad \kappa_t = u_t(x_t)x_t.$$

Set $\mathcal{P}_0 = \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{B}_0 = \mathcal{D} \cap L^\infty$.

If coeff. is regular again, results in
example ii) also work here \Rightarrow apply cor.
with $(\mathcal{B}_0, \mathcal{P}_0)$ in (2).

① P-Brownian Motion:

Consider P-Laplace equation when $p > 2$:

$$\partial_t u(t, x) = \operatorname{Div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u). \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Rmk: The sol. can be given explicitly:

$$w^*(t, x) = t^{-\frac{2}{p}} \left(C - 2^{t^{-\frac{2}{p}}/(p-1)} (x-y)^{\frac{2}{p-1}} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p-2}}.$$

called Brownian sol.

$$\text{Also } w^* \xrightarrow{w} \delta_y \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that it isn't Fokker-Planck type
prop. (FP Reformulation)

$t \mapsto w^*(t, x)/x$ is weakly conti. p.m.

Sol. with datum δ_y to NLFPE:

$$\partial_t u = A(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) - \operatorname{Div}(\nabla(|\nabla u|^{p-2}) u)$$

Rmk: i) It corresponds to DDSDE:

$$X_t = \nabla(|\nabla u(t, X_t)|^{p-2}) u(t, X_t) + \sqrt{2}$$

$$|\nabla u(t, X_t)|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \kappa W_t, \quad dX_t = u(t, X_t) dt.$$

ii) Note that the NLFPE coeff.

depend on nbd of u rather
than single value from ∇u .

\therefore the NL superposition prin.
doesn't work here.

Pr. 1. \exists weak sol. $(X^t)_{t \geq 0}$ to ODE in
rang i) above. Ex. $L_{X_t^t}(dx) = W^t(t, x)dx$

for $t > 0$ & $L_{X_t^t}(dx) = \delta_x(dx)$.

Pr. 2. Apply linear superposition princ.

by freezing W^t (i.e. $\nabla W^t \Rightarrow d\mu$)
 $= A + |\nabla W^t|^{p-2}u - \operatorname{div}(\nabla(|\nabla W^t|^{p-2}u))$

Pr. 3. $\mathcal{P}_0 := \{W^t(s, x)dx : t \in \mathbb{R}^+, s \geq 0\} \subset \mathcal{P}$. i.e.
all possible dist. from Brzniak's sol.

Rmk: i) $\{\delta_y\}_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \subset \mathcal{P}_0$.

ii) $\forall s \in \mathcal{P}_0$. \exists unique (δ, g) . s.t.
 $s = W^t(s, x)dx$.

iii) For $s = W^t(s, x)dx \in \mathcal{P}_0$. We set

$\mu_s^t := W^t(s+t-s, x)dx$. $\forall t \geq s$.

Remark: $(\mu_t^{s,j})_{t \geq s}$ is weakly conti. s.l.

to NLFPE of w^* with horizon (S_j) . And by construction. \Rightarrow $(\mu_t^{s,j})$ is s.l. flow.

Thm. For $d \geq 2$, $p > 2$. \exists nLmp $(P_{s,j})_{s \geq -\infty, j \in \mathbb{Q}}$ s.t.

it has marginal $(\mu_t^{s,j})$. And it's path law of $X_t^{s,j}$ to DDSE of w^* .

Besides, $X^{s,j}$ is the unique wak s.l. with marginal $\mu_t^{s,j}$ to the DDSE.

Remark: $(P_{s,j})$ is uniquely determined by

the DDSE & Barenblatt s.l.

lem. $(P_{s,j})$ above is time-homo. i.e. $P_{s,j} =$

$$= P_{0,j} \circ (\hat{\pi}_s)^{-1}, \quad \forall s, j. \quad \hat{\pi}_s \circ (w_t)_{t \geq s} =$$

$(w_{t-s})_{t \geq s}$. Bes, pos. for $j = w^*(s, x) + x$.

$$\Rightarrow P_{0,j} = P_{0,j} \circ (\tilde{\pi}_j)^{-1} \text{ where } \tilde{\pi}_j \circ (w_t)_{t \geq s} = (w_{t+r})_{t \geq s}.$$

Range: i) $P_{s,j}$ is uniquely determined

$$\text{by } \{P_j := P_{0,s_j}\}.$$

ii) For translation $T_j : \overset{C_{\geq 0} \rightarrow C_{\geq 0}}{w \mapsto w + j}$

$$P_j \neq P_0 \circ T_j^{-1} \text{ except } p=2.$$

Def: For $\lambda \geq 2, p > 2$. $\{P_j\}$ defined above is called p -Bm, and P_0 is p -Wm measure.

Range: For $p=2$. the p -Laplace measure.

u_j is just heat u_j . So it corresponds to Bm case.

Restricted Uniqueness:

Note if we let $B_0 := \{w^s(\delta \cdot x) dx\}_{\delta > 0}$

$t \in [1, \infty) \times B_0$. Then $\overset{\leftrightarrow}{\text{Can}}$ can be proved

by applying Cr. in (2)

Besides. $\partial_s u = \Delta(1 \triangleright w^s(\delta + t - s, x))^{p-2} u - \Delta(1 \triangleright w^s(u))$

$u(\delta, x) \underset{s \rightarrow s}{\rightarrow} f$ has a unique sol. $(u_t)_{t \geq s}$.

Under restrict: $\exists C > 0$. If, $0 \leq u \leq C w^s(\delta + t - s, x)$