

# Preliminary

## (1) Intro.:

 

Mean field is high symmetry form

of interaction between particles which  
are represented by SDEs.

Topics: Move from micro. to macro.

## (1) Internat. Diffusion:

 

$(X_t^i)$  are Itô processes. defined by

$$dX_t^i = b(X_t^i \cdot \tilde{M}_t) dt + \sigma(X_t^i \cdot \tilde{M}_t) dW_t^i$$

$$\tilde{M}_t = \sum_i^n \delta X_i^i / n.$$

where  $(W^i)$  are i.i.d. BMs.

fmk: The system is symmetric

so  $(x^i)_i^n$  are exchangeable.

$\Rightarrow$  goal: Analyse the  $n$ -limit.  $x^\infty$

$\Theta$  Mean field game:

---

Next, we consider the controlled version:

$$dx_t^i = b(x_t^i, \hat{m}_t, q_t^i)dt + \sigma(x_t^i, \hat{m}_t, q_t^i)dw_t^i$$

where  $(q^i)$  are chosen control process.

Def: We call  $(x_t^i)$  by agents.

And we choose  $(x_t^i)$  to maximizes:

$$\begin{aligned} J_i^n(\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^n) &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T f(x_t^i, \hat{m}_t, q_t^i) dt \right. \\ &\quad \left. + g(x_T^i, \hat{m}_T) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow$  Study the  $n$ -limit of  $x_t^n$ .

(2) Convergence and Metric:

Equip metric space  $(X, \lambda)$  with  
Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra.

Denote:  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  is set of p.m.'s on  $X$ .

D Thm. c Prokhorov's

$(\mu_n)$  is tight in  $\mathcal{P}(X)$ .  $\xrightarrow{\quad}$   $(\mu_n)$   
 $X$  is polish  
is precpt in  $\mathcal{P}(X)$ .

Thm c Skorokhod's

If  $(X, \lambda)$  is separable.  $\mu_n \xrightarrow{w} \mu$ .

Then:  $\exists (N, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  supporting  $X$ -

values r.v.'s  $(X_n) \sim \mu_n$ . and

$X \sim \mu$ , s.t.  $X_n \rightarrow X$ . a.s.

Thm. (For empirical measure)

$(x_k) \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \mathcal{M}$ . If  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  is separable.

$$\text{Then : } m_n := \sum_1^n \delta_{x_k} / n \rightarrow \mathcal{M}.$$

Pf: Check on dense countable family  $(f_n) \subset C_b(X)$ .

Apply SLLN on  $\int_X f_k d\mu_n$ .

② Next. we assume  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  is separable.

Pf: i)  $P^P(X) := \{M \in P(X) \mid \int_X k(x_0, x) dm$   
 $< \infty\}$ .  $x_0$  is fixed.  $P \geq 1$ .

Rmk: It's inapt. of choice of  $x_0$ .

ii)  $W_p(M, V) := c \inf_{\substack{x \sim M \\ y \sim V}} E(k(x, y)^p)$

$p$ -Wass. distance on  $P^P(X)$ .

Rmk: Since  $W_p$  involves infimum.  $\Rightarrow$   
it's easy to bound.

Thm. If  $(X, \lambda)$  is complete and separable  
Then:  $W_p$  is metric on  $P^p(X)$  and  
 $(P^p(X), W_p)$  is complete and separable

Rmk:  $W_p \leq W_q$ . if  $p \leq q$ . So:  $P^p \supset P^q$ .

Thm. (Kantorovich duality)

If  $(X, \lambda)$  is polish. Then. for  $p \geq 1$ .

$$W_p^p(m, v) = \sup \left\{ \int_X f \lambda_M + \int_X g \lambda_V \mid f, g \in C_b(X), f(x) + g(y) \leq \lambda(x, y) \right\}.$$

Corr. For  $p = 1$ . we have:

$$W_1(m, v) = \sup \left\{ \left| \int f \lambda_M - \int f \lambda_V \right| \mid f \in \text{Lip}'(X) \right\}.$$

Thm. C Characterization of  $W_p$ -convergence

If  $\{M_n\}, M \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ ,  $p \geq 1$ . Then:

i)  $W_p(M_n, M) \rightarrow 0$

ii)  $M_n \xrightarrow{w} M \cdot \left[ \int_X \rho(x, x_0)^p dM_n \rightarrow \int_X \rho(x, x_0)^p dM \right]$  or [u.i.]

iii)  $\forall f \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$ , s.t.  $\exists x_0 \in X$ ,  $C > 0$ , s.t.

$$|f(x)| \leq C(1 + \rho(x, x_0)^p), \forall x \in X.$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_X f dM_n \rightarrow \int_X f dM.$$

We have i). ii). iii) equi.

Rmk: If replace  $\rho$  by  $\bar{\rho} = 1 \wedge \rho$ .

$\Rightarrow \bar{W}_p$  is b.m metric  $\Rightarrow$  ii) ✓.

$\mathcal{S}_0: M_n \xrightarrow{w} M \Leftrightarrow \bar{W}_p(M_n, M) \rightarrow 0$ .

Cor.  $\{X_k\} \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} M \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ .  $X$ -valued r.v.'s.

For  $M_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{X_k}/n$ . we have:

i)  $W_p(M_n, M) \rightarrow 0$ . a.s.

ii)  $\mathbb{E}(W_p(M_n, M)) \rightarrow 0$ .

Pf: Lemma. c Ponssin

For  $x \in L'$ .  $\mathbb{R}^+$ -valued. Then:

$\exists \gamma: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ . g. convex. st.

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \gamma(t)/t = \infty \text{ and } \mathbb{E}(\gamma(x)) < \infty.$$

Cor. ( $z_i \in L'$  i.i.d.  $\mathbb{R}'$ -valued)

$$\Rightarrow S_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n z_k \text{ is u.i.}$$

Pf: WLOG. set  $z_i \geq 0$ .

By Lemma.:

$$\sup_n \mathbb{E}(\gamma(S_n)) \stackrel{\text{convex}}{\leq} \mathbb{E}(\gamma(x_0))$$

$$\begin{aligned} i) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \lambda(x, x_0)^p \mu_{M_n} = & \lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda(x_k, x_0)^p \\ & \stackrel{\text{SLN}}{=} \mathbb{E}(\lambda(x_0, x_0)^p). \end{aligned}$$

With  $M_n \xrightarrow{w} M \Rightarrow W_p(\mu_{n,M}) \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$

ii) By  $C_p$ -inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} W_p^p(\mu_{n,M}) &\leq 2^{p-1} \cdot W_p^p(\mu_n - \delta_{x_0}) + W_p^p(\delta_{x_0, M}) \\ &= \frac{2^{p-1}}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda(x_i, x_0)^p + D \xrightarrow{\text{lem.}} 0 \end{aligned}$$

### (3) Stochastic Control:

$$dX_s = \alpha X_s^{t,x,T} = b(X_s^{t,x,T} - \zeta_s) ds + \sigma(X_s^{t,x,T} - \zeta_s) dW_s$$

where  $b, \sigma$  satisfy E & U cond's.

Define: i)  $A := \{ \text{ctrls progressive } | \bar{E} \in \int_t^T$

$$\|b(0, \tau_s)\|^2 + \|\sigma(0, \tau_s)\|^2 dt < \infty\}$$

ii)  $J(t, x) := \bar{E} \left[ \int_t^T f(X_s^{t,x}, \tau_s) + g(X_s^{t,x}) \right]$

iii)  $V(t, x) = \sup_{\tau \in A} J(t, x).$

Thm. (Dynamic Programming Principle)

For  $0 \leq t \leq s \leq T$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . We have:

$$V(t, x) = \sup_{\alpha \in A} \bar{E} \left[ \int_t^s f(X_r^{t,x}, \alpha_r) dr + V(s, X_s^{t,x}) \right]$$

If: i) by markov prop.:

$$J(t, x, \alpha) = \bar{E} \left[ \int_t^s f(\dots) + V(\dots) \right].$$

2')  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ .  $w \in \mathcal{N}$ .  $\exists \zeta^{\varepsilon, w} \in \mathcal{A}$ .

St.  $V(s, X_s^{t,x}(w)) - \varepsilon \leq J(s, X_s^{t,x}(w), \zeta^{\varepsilon, w})$

$$\text{S.t. } \hat{q}_r^{\varepsilon}(w) = \begin{cases} \text{Tr}(w), & r \leq s \\ \text{Tr}_r^{\varepsilon, w}(w), & r > s \end{cases}$$

And  $\tilde{q}^\varepsilon$  is modification of  $\hat{q}^\varepsilon$ .

$$\Rightarrow V(t, x) \geq J(t, x, \tilde{q}^\varepsilon).$$

$$\stackrel{\text{m.p.}}{\geq} E \left[ \int_t^s f(X_{r-}, \zeta_r) dr + V(s,$$

$$X_s^{t,x}(w)) - \varepsilon \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0$$

Def:  $\psi: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , regular enough. set generator

$$\mathcal{L}^\alpha \psi(x) = b(x, \alpha) \cdot \nabla_x \psi(x) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\sigma \sigma^T(x, \alpha) \mathcal{P}^2 \psi(x))$$

Thm: (Feynman-Kac)

Consider  $\tilde{X}_r^{t,x} = b(\tilde{X}_r^{t,x}, \alpha_r) + \sigma(\tilde{X}_r^{t,x}) \mathcal{L} W_r$

If  $V(t, x) \in C^1([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ . satisfy:

$$\{ \partial_t V(t, x) + \mathcal{L} V(t, x) + f(t, x) = 0.$$

$$V(T, x) = g(x).$$

$$\text{Then: } V(t, x) = \overline{E} \left[ \int_t^T f(r, \tilde{X}_r^{t,x}) dr + g(\tilde{X}_T^{t,x}) \right]$$

Pf: Apply Bellman's formula on  
 $V(t, \tilde{X}_t^{t,x})$ , and use MP.

Thm. (Verification)

If  $V(t, x) \in C^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ , satisfies  
 $V(T, x) = g(x)$  and HJB equation:

$$\partial_t V(t, x) + \sup_{\alpha \in A} \{ L^\alpha V(t, x) + f(x, \alpha) \} = 0. \text{ Then:}$$

For  $\hat{\tau} : [t, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow A$ . measurable. s.t.

$$\hat{\tau}(t, x) \in \arg \max_{\alpha \in A} \{ L^\alpha V + f(x, \alpha) \} \text{ and } (\hat{x}_t, \hat{q})$$

has solution.  $\Rightarrow V(t, x) = \bar{V}(t, x)$

and  $\hat{\tau}(t, x)$  is optimal control.

$$\underline{\text{Pf:}} \quad g(X_T^{t,x}) = V(T, X_T^{t,x})$$

$$\text{Bellman} \\ = V(t, X_t^{t,x}) + \int_t^T \langle \dots \rangle$$

Take expectation and use HJB:

$$\mathbb{E} \langle g(X_T^{t,x}) \rangle \leq V(t, x) - \mathbb{E} \cdot \int_t^T f(X_r, \hat{q}_r) dr$$

(1)

Def.: Hamilton  $H(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{2} x^T x + f^T x + \int g_m^{xx} \rightarrow \dot{V}(x)$

defined by  $H(x, y, z) = \sup_{a \in A} \{ b(x, a) \cdot y + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_x (g^T g)(x, a) \cdot z + f(x, a) \}$ .

Rmk: i) HJB equation can be written

First solve  $\hat{a} \leftarrow$  in:  $\lambda_t V(t, x) + H(x, \nabla V(t, x), \hat{a}) = 0$

&  $\sup \{ \dots \}$  and which is parabolic PDE

obtain  $H(x, y, t)$  ii) If  $\sigma$  isn't controlled. Then

it's semilinear PDE

iii) Note  $H$  can be  $\infty$ . The

verif. Thm can be applied if

We can find  $V(t, x)$  with  
the kind point at  $H = \infty$ .

E.g., (Infinite horizon problems)

Consider  $\sup_a \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^\infty e^{-\beta t} f(x_t, a_t) dt \right]$

Apply Zad on  $e^{-\beta t} w(x_t)$ . We know

the HJB equation should be:

$$-\beta w(x) + \sup_a ( \dots + f(x, a) ) = 0, \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\beta T} \mathbb{E}[w(x_T)] = 0$$