

# Malliavin Derivatives.

- The Malliavin calculus is infinite-dim calculus on Wiener space, aiming to give a prob. proof of Hörmander's theorem. originally.

Next, we fix a  $\mathbb{N}$ -isom. process  $w$ .

## (1) Definitions and properties:

- Set the underlying prob. space is  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ .

Def: i)  $C_p^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d) := \{h \in C_c^{\infty} \mid \forall \frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha} h \text{ has poly growth}\}$

$C_b^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d) := \{h \in C_p^{\infty} \mid \forall \frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha} h \text{ is bdd}\}$ .

$C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d) := \{h \in C_b^{\infty} \mid \text{supp } h \text{ is cpt}\}$ .

ii)  $\mathcal{I} := \{X = f(w_0, \dots, w_n) \mid f \in C_p^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)\}$ .

and def  $\mathcal{I}_0, \mathcal{I}_c$  similarly.

Rank:  $\mathcal{I}_0 \subset \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}_c \subset \mathcal{I}$ . all dense in  $L^p_{\mathbb{P}}$

for  $p \geq 1$

iii)  $X = f(w_0, \dots, w_n) \in \mathcal{I}$ . The Malliavin derivative of  $X$  is:

$$DX := \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_j f(w_0, \dots, w_n) h_j. \text{ a.s.}$$

Rmk: Then we have:

$$\langle Dx, h \rangle = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{(f(w_{t+h_1}) \dots w_{t+h_n}) - f(w_{t+h_1} \dots w_{t+h_n}))}{t}$$

e.g.  $D B_t^* = D_{CWL} I_{[0, t^*]}$  =  $I_{[0, t^*]}$ .

where  $t^*$  is n.s.-right point

since  $\text{IPC}(B_t - B_s = 0) = 0$ .

Lemma: (Wolff)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{If } X \in \mathcal{J} &= f(w_{h_1}, \dots, w_{h_n}) \\ &= g(CWL, \dots, WL_m) \end{aligned}$$

where  $CWL$  is o.n.b of  $\{h_k\}$

$$\text{Then: } \sum_j d_j f(w_{h_1}, \dots, w_{h_n}) h_j = \sum_j d_j f(CWL, \dots, e_j).$$

Pf: WLOG. Set  $\text{Span}\{h_k\} = \text{Span}\{e_j\}$ .

Otherwise. set  $\tilde{f}(x_1, \dots, x_{n+m}) = f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ .

and  $\tilde{f}(x_1, \dots, x_{n+m}) = g(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ .

Note:  $h_j = \sum_i \langle h_j, e_k \rangle e_k \Rightarrow \exists T. \text{ linear.}$

St.  $T(CWL, \dots, WL_m) = (w_{h_1}, \dots, w_{h_n})$ .

$\therefore f \circ T \in \mathcal{J}$ . (Or  $|f \circ T(x_0) - g(x_0)| > 0$ ,  $\text{IPC}(f(x_0), g(x_0))$ )

$$\text{RHS} = \sum_j d_j (f \circ T)(\dots, e_j) = \sum_j d_j f(\dots, T e_j)$$

$$= \sum_j d_j f(w_{h_1}, \dots, h_j).$$

Lemma. (Integration - by - part)

$$x \in \mathcal{I}, \text{ and } h \in \mathcal{H} \Rightarrow \mathbb{E} \langle Dx, h \rangle = \mathbb{E} \langle x W(h) \rangle.$$

Rmk. It's intuitive:  $\langle Dx, h \rangle = \langle x, \delta h \rangle = x W(h).$

Pf: wlog.  $\|h\|=1$ .  $x = f \circ W_{\text{end}} \cdots W_{\text{end}}$ . St.

(c.i) is o.m.b and  $c_i = h$ .

$$\Rightarrow \langle Dx, h \rangle = \sum_i f_i W_{\text{end}} \cdots W_{\text{end}}.$$

$$\text{Denote } L(x) = \left( \begin{array}{c} \dots \\ -y_0 \\ \dots \\ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_i x_k^2 \end{array} \right).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS} &= \int \partial_i f_i(x) L(x) \\ &= - \int f_i(x) \partial_i L(x) = \text{RHS}. \end{aligned}$$

cir.  $x, y \in \mathcal{I}, h \in \mathcal{H} \Rightarrow \mathbb{E} \langle Y \langle Dx, h \rangle \rangle =$

$$\mathbb{E} \langle XYW(h) - X \langle DY, h \rangle \rangle.$$

Pf: chark:  $D(XY) = XDY + YDX$ .

## ② Properties:

prop.  $p \geq 1$ . The multilinear derivative:  $D : \mathcal{L}^p(\mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^p(\mathcal{N}; \mathcal{M})$  is closable.

L:  $\mathcal{L}^p(\mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^p(\mathcal{N}; \mathcal{M})$  is closable.

Pf: If  $(x_n) \subset \mathcal{I}$ . s.t.  $x_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$ .  $\|x_n\| \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}^p} \mathcal{J}$ .

$$\mathbb{E} \langle Y \langle \mathcal{J}, h \rangle \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \langle Y \langle Dx_n, h \rangle \rangle$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \langle Y X_n W(h) - X_n \langle DY, h \rangle \rangle = 0, \forall Y \in \mathcal{I}_p, h \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Def: Denote  $D$  is closure extension of  $D$  on  $L^p(\Omega)$ , with Domain  $\mathbb{D}^{1,p}$

Rmk: i)  $\mathbb{D}^{1,p}$  is closure of  $\mathcal{J}$  under norm:

$$\|x\|_{1,p} := (\sum \|x_i\|^p + \|\Delta x\|_q^p)^{1/p}.$$

ii)  $\mathbb{D}^{1,p}$  is reflexive since it's isometric to CLS of  $L^p(\Omega) \times L^p(\Omega; \eta)$ .

Prop. (Chain Rule)

For  $p \geq 1$ .  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_m)$ ,  $X_k \in \mathbb{D}^{1,p}$ . and

$\varphi \in C^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ . s.t.  $|\nabla \varphi|$  is bdd. Then:

$$\varphi(x) \in \mathbb{D}^{1,p} \text{ and } D\varphi(x) = \sum_j \partial_j \varphi(x_j) Dx_j.$$

Pf: Find  $(X_k^{(n)}) \subset \mathcal{J} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{D}^{1,p}} X_k$ .

$$(\varphi_n) \subset C^{1,\alpha} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{D}^{1,p}} \varphi.$$

By DCT. we have:  $D\varphi_n(x^{(n)}) =$

$$\sum_j \partial_j \varphi_n(x^{(n)}) Dx_j \xrightarrow{L^p} \sum_j \partial_j \varphi(x_j) Dx_j$$

$$\text{with } (\varphi_n(x^{(n)})) \xrightarrow{L^p} \varphi(x).$$

$\therefore \varphi(x) \in \mathbb{D}^{1,p}$  from its closeness.

Next. we will prove general one for  $\varphi$  Lipschitz.

Lemma For  $p > 1$ .  $X_n \in \mathbb{D}^{1,p}$ . st.  $X_n \xrightarrow{L^p} X$ . and

$\sup_n \mathbb{E} \|DX_n\|_n^p < \infty$ . Then  $X \in \mathbb{D}^{1,1}$ . and

$DX_n \rightarrow DX$ . weakly. in  $\mathbb{D}^{1,p}$ .

Pf: By reflexive:  $\exists X_{nk} \rightarrow Y \in \mathbb{D}^{1,p}$  in  $\mathbb{D}^{1,p}$ .

So:  $X_{nk} \rightarrow Y$  in  $L^p \Rightarrow Y = X \in \mathbb{D}^{1,p}$ .

Besides. If convergent subseq of  $DX_n$

$\rightarrow DX$ .  $\Rightarrow DX_n \rightarrow DX$ . in  $\mathbb{D}^{1,p}$ .

Prop. For  $p > 1$ .  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ . st.  $X_j \in \mathbb{D}^{1,p}$ .  $\varphi$  is

Lipschitz conti. with const. =  $L$ . Then:

$\varphi(x) \in \mathbb{D}^{1,p}$  and  $\exists Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ .  $|Y_i| \leq L$ .

st.  $D\varphi(x) = \sum_i^n Y_i$ :  $DX_i$  in weak sense.

Pf: Find  $\varphi_n \in C^\infty \rightarrow \varphi$ . pointwise.  $|D\varphi_n| \leq L$ .

By prop. above:  $D\varphi_n(x) = \sum_i^n \delta_j \varphi_n(x) X_j$ .

By Lemma:  $D\varphi_n(x) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{D}^{1,p}} D\varphi(x)$ .

By reflexive:  $\exists n_k$ .  $d_j \varphi_{n_k}(x) \xrightarrow[\substack{L^p \\ (p>1)}]{} Y_j \in L^p$  ans.

### ③ High order:

Next, we will refine high order Malliavin calc.:

Def. For  $X = \sum_i^n Y_j v_j \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ ,  $Y_j = f_j \circ w_{h(j)}$ .  
 $\dots w(h_j) \in \mathcal{J}$ .  $f_j \in C_p^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n_j})$ .

$DX$  is a  $H \otimes V$  r.v. (or  $L^p(H, V)$ -valued r.v.) defined by:

$$DX := \sum_i \sum_j d_i f_j \circ w_{h(j)} \dots w(h_j) h_j \otimes v_j \\ = \sum_j (DY_j) \otimes v_j$$

Rmk: i) When  $V = \mathbb{R}$ , it coincides the lot of Malliavin derivative before.

ii) It's indepd of choice of  $(v_j)$  in representation of  $X$ .

check as before:  $v_j = I < e_k, v_j > e_k \dots$

Lemma:  $D : L^p(\Omega; V) \rightarrow L^p(\Omega; H \otimes V)$  is closable.

for  $\forall p \geq 1$ .

Pf:  $\sum t_i CV_j$  is o.n.b of  $V$ .

$$X_n = \sum Y_j^n v_j \xrightarrow{L^p} 0.$$

$$DX_n = \sum (DY_j^n) \otimes v_j \xrightarrow{L^p} g.$$

$$\Rightarrow Y_j^n \rightarrow 0 \quad \forall j \quad \text{so: } DY_j^n \rightarrow 0 \quad \forall j.$$

Then by DCT.  $DX_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $L'$ .

Rmk: We refine remain of closure  
of  $D$  by  $D^{1,p}(U)$ .

Def: For  $x \in D^{1,p}$ .  $Dx \in D^{1,p}(U)$ . we refine  
 $D^2x$  by  $D(Dx)$ . which's Hahn valued  
r.v. (or  $C_{ns}(U) - r.v.$ )

Inductively  $D^{k,p} := \sum_{x \in D^{k-1,p}} D^k x$

Rmk:  $D^{k,p}$  is Banach space with norm

$$\|x\|_{k,p} := (\sum_{j=1}^k \|D^j x\|_H^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

prop. characterization of  $D^{1,2}$ .

$J_n : L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_n$ . orthogonal projection.

For  $x \in L^2(\Omega)$ .  $x = \sum_{n \geq 0} J_n x$ . chaos decop.

Then:  $x \in D^{1,2} \Leftrightarrow \sum_{n \geq 0} n \|J_n x\|^2 < \infty$ .

Besides.  $D J_n x = J_{n+1} D x$  and  $\sum_{n \geq 0} \|D x\|_H^2 =$

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} n \|J_n x\|^2.$$

Pf: Consider basis  $(\phi_\alpha)_{|\alpha|=n}$  of  $\mathcal{H}_n$ .

$$D \phi_\alpha = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{H_{\alpha_j}(w(e_j))} H_{\alpha_{j+1}}(w(e_{j+1})) \sqrt{\tau_j}$$

follows from  $H_n' = H_{n+1}$ .

$$\Rightarrow D \phi_\alpha = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sqrt{\tau_j} \phi_{\beta_j}. \quad \beta^j = c_1, \dots, \alpha_{j-1}, \dots$$

$\Rightarrow D\phi_\tau \in \mathcal{H}_{n+1}(H)$ . and we have:

$$\mathbb{E} \|D\phi_\tau\|^2 = |\tau| = n.$$

So:  $\mathcal{H}_n \subset D^{1/2}$ .  $D\mathcal{H}_n \subset \mathcal{H}_{n+1}(H)$

Besides.  $\mathbb{E} \|D\gamma\|^2 = n \mathbb{E} |\gamma|^2$ .  $\forall \gamma \in \mathcal{H}_n$ .

We can obtain the conclusion by it!

cor. If  $X \in D^{1/2}$ .  $DX = 0$ . a.s. Then:

$X \equiv \text{const. a.s.}$

Pf: By prop. above:  $J_n X = 0$ .  $\forall n \geq 1$

So:  $X = J_0 X$ , a.s.

cor.  $A \in \mathcal{I}_w$ . Then  $J_A \in D^{1/2} \Leftrightarrow (\rho(A) \in \text{Co.1})$ .

Pf: ( $\Leftarrow$ ) It's trivial.  $J_A \equiv \text{const. a.s.}$

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Find  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty$ .  $\varphi = t^2$  on  $(-2, 2)$

$$\Rightarrow D\varphi(J_A) = DJ_A$$

$$= -2 J_A \cdot DJ_A.$$

follows from chain rule.

So:  $DJ_A = 0$ . Then  $J_A \equiv \text{const.}$

(2) Divergence Operator:

Next, we consider adjoint. op. of  $D$ :

Pf: Divergence operator is adjoint  $\delta$  of  $D$  on  $L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{H})$ . with  $D(\delta) := \{u \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{H}) \mid$

$$\exists X \in L^2(\Omega), \text{ s.t. } \mathbb{E} \langle DX, u \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} = \mathbb{E} \langle X, u \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} \quad \forall Y \in W^1\}$$

and set  $\delta(u) := X$ .

Rank: Note  $D^{1/2} \circ f$  is dense in  $L^2(\Omega)$ .

So there's at most one  $X$ , a.s.

Lemma'  $u \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{H}), u \in D(\delta) \Leftrightarrow \exists c \geq 0$ . s.t.

$$|\mathbb{E} \langle DX, u \rangle| \leq c \mathbb{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|Y\|^2 \text{ for } \forall Y \in D^{1/2}.$$

Pf: By Riesz representation on  $D^{1/2}$ .

Lemma  $f(u) \subset D(\delta)$ . So  $D(\delta)$  is dense in  $L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{H})$ .

Besides, for  $u = \sum_i^n x_i h_i$ . we have:

$$\delta(u) = \sum_i^n x_i \delta(h_i) - \langle Dx_i, h_i \rangle.$$

Pf: Use integrate-by-part formula and  
approximate  $D^{1/2}$  by  $J$ .

Lemma<sup>3</sup>  $\langle J D_h, \delta J(u) \rangle = \langle u, h \rangle$  for  $\forall u \in D(\delta), h \in \mathbb{H}$ .

where  $D_h X = \langle DX, h \rangle$  for  $X \in D^{1/2}$ . and

$$D_h u = Du(h). \quad (Du \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{H})).$$

Pf: WLOG. Let  $u \in f(u)$ . and  $u = x_j$   
 $= f \cap \text{span}\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ .  $g, h \in \text{span}\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ .  $e_i \perp e_j$ .

By Lemma above.  $\delta u = Xw(g) - \langle Dx, g \rangle$ .

We can check  $\langle D\delta(u), h \rangle = \delta(\langle Du, h \rangle) = \langle u, h \rangle$  directly by expand  $g, h$  into  $(CE)_i^j$ .

prop.  $D^{1,2}(H) \subset D(\delta)$ . Besides, for  $u, v \in D^{1,2}(H)$ ,

We have  $\overline{E}(\delta(u) \delta(v)) = \overline{E}\langle u, v \rangle + \overline{E}(\text{tr } D_u D_v)$ .

Pf: Lemma<sup>4</sup>  $D\langle u, h \rangle = Du(h)$ .

Pf:  $u = \sum_i^n x_i h_i$ . This is o.n.b.

$\in \mathcal{G}(H)$ . easy to check.

Then use approximation.

Next, we assume  $u, v \in \mathcal{G}(H)$  first.

and set  $(CE)$  is o.n.b. of  $H$ .

Note:  $LHS = \sum E\langle u, c_k \rangle \langle D\delta(c_k), c_l \rangle$ .

Then apply Lemma<sup>3</sup> and Lemma<sup>4</sup> to obtain the equation.

Finally.  $\exists (u_n) \in \mathcal{G}(H) \xrightarrow{L^2} u$ . and

$Du_n \xrightarrow{L^2} Du$  for  $\forall n \in D^{1,2}(H)$ .

S.:  $(\delta(c_k))$  is  $L^2$ -Cauchy since  $\overline{E}$  is  $L^2$ -

$$= \overline{E}\|u\|^2 + \overline{E}\|Du\|_{H^0}^2$$

$$\overline{E}(\langle Dy, u \rangle) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \overline{E}(\langle Y\delta(c_n), \frac{Ex}{\sqrt{L}} \rangle) = \overline{E}\langle Yx \rangle$$

combine with polarization we can obtain the result from  $E_{Hausdorff} = \dots$

Prop.  $\delta$  is scalar by  $D^{1,2}$ .

For  $x \in D^{1,2}$ ,  $n \in D(\delta)$ . st.  $\begin{cases} x_n \in L^2(n; \mathbb{H}) \\ x_{\delta(n)} - \langle Dx, n \rangle \in L^1(n) \end{cases}$

Then:  $x_n \in D(\delta)$  and  $\delta(x_n) = x_{\delta(n)} - \langle Dx, n \rangle$ .

Pf: For  $\tilde{x} \in J$  and  $y \in J_b$ .

$$E(\langle Dy, \tilde{x}_n \rangle) = E(y \langle \tilde{x}_{\delta(n)} - \langle Dx, n \rangle \rangle)$$

Then by density of  $J$  and  $J_b$ .

Def:  $T$  on  $(\mathbb{H}, \Sigma, \|\cdot\|)$  is local if  $\forall X = 0$ . a.s.  
on  $A \in \Sigma \Rightarrow TX = 0$ . a.s. on  $A$ .

Rank: For vector space  $R$ . If  $S \in R_{loc}$ . i.e.  
 $\exists (A_n, f_n) \subset \Sigma \times R$ . st.  $A_n \neq \emptyset$  and  
 $J = J_n$  on  $A_n$ . Then for  $\forall$  linear  
local operator  $T$  on  $R$ . we can def:

$TJ := Tg_n$  on  $A_n$ . since  $T(g_n - f_m) = 0$   
on  $A_m$ .  $m < n$ . it's well-def.

Prop. i)  $\delta$  is local in  $D^{1,2}$

ii)  $D$  is local in  $D^{1,1}$

Rank: We can extend  $\delta, D$  to  $D_{loc}^{1,2}(Y)$  and  $D_{loc}^{1,1}$ , respectively.

(Note  $\forall f \in D_{loc}^{1,1}$ ,  $\langle A_n, f|_{A_n} \rangle$  is the eigenvalue where  $A_n \ll n$ .)

Pf: i) Prove:  $\delta_{\text{can}} \cdot I_{\{\|u_n\|=\infty\}} = 0$ . n.s.

$$\Leftrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{E}}(X \delta_{\text{can}} I_{\{\|u_n\|=\infty\}}) = 0, \forall X \in \mathcal{G}_c.$$

Find  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ , s.t.  $I_{(-1,1)} \leq \varphi \leq I_{(-2,2)}$

Set  $\varphi_n(x) = \varphi(x/n)$ .  $\rightarrow \delta$ . and also:

$$\varphi_n(x) \rightarrow \infty \cdot \delta.$$

Consider:  $\overline{\mathbb{E}} \langle u_n, D(X \times \varphi_n(\|u_n\|^2)) \rangle = \dots$

Let  $n \rightarrow \infty$  with DCT.

ii) WLOG. Set  $X \in D^{1,1}$  and  $X \in L^\infty$ .

(Otherwise replace  $X$  by  $\text{rect}(X)$ .)

Set  $\gamma_n(x) := \int_{-\infty}^x \varphi_n$ .  $\gamma_n$  is func. above.

$$\text{Note: } |\overline{\mathbb{E}} \langle D\gamma_n(x), u_n \rangle| = |\overline{\mathbb{E}} \langle \gamma_n(x) \langle Dx, u_n \rangle \rangle|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{n} \overline{\mathbb{E}} |\gamma_n(x)| \cdot \|Dx\|_1 \rightarrow 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{G}_c$$

$$\therefore \overline{\mathbb{E}} \langle I_{\{\|x\|=0\}} \langle Dx, u_n \rangle \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow I_{\{\|x\|=0\}} \delta_X = 0$$

(3) Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Semigroup:

Def. O-U semigroup  $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$  is defined by:

$$T(t)X = \sum_{n \geq 0} e^{-nt} T_n X \quad \text{for } X \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{P}).$$

Lemmn. i)  $T(t)$   $\in L^{\infty}(L^2)$  and it's self-adjoint.

ii)  $(T(t))_{t \geq 0} \subset C_0$  on  $L^2(\Omega)$ .

prop. The generator  $L$  of  $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$  is given by

$$LX := \sum_{n \geq 0} -n J_n X, \quad \forall X \in D(L) := \{X \in L^2(\Omega) \mid \sum_{n \geq 0} n^2 \|J_n X\|_2^2 < \infty\}.$$

Pf: Check:  $D$ -val operator  $AX := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{T(t)x - x}{t}$

coincides  $Lx$ . Note:  $[J_n, T(t)] = 0$ .

1) If  $X \in D(A)$ .  $AX = Y$ . Then:

$$J_n Y = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{T(t)J_n X - J_n X}{t} = -n J_n X.$$

2) If  $X \in D(L)$ .  $\mathbb{E} \left| \frac{T(t)x - x}{t} - Lx \right|^2 \rightarrow 0$ .

prop.  $L = -\delta D$ . i.e.  $D(L) = \{X \in D^{1,2} : DX \in D(\delta)\}$ .

Pf: 1)  $\forall X \in D^{1,2}, DX \in D(\delta), \forall Y \in D^{1,2}$ .

$$\mathbb{E} \langle Y \delta DX \rangle = \mathbb{E} \langle DY, DX \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{E} \langle J_n DY, J_n DX \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{n \geq 0} n \mathbb{E} \langle J_n Y J_n X \rangle = \mathbb{E} \langle Y (-LX) \rangle$$

$\Rightarrow$  Set  $Y \in \mathcal{H}_m$ . Then: we have,

$$\mathbb{E} \langle Y J_m (\delta DX - n J_m X) \rangle = 0.$$

It also holds for  $Y \in \mathcal{H}_m^\perp$ . So:

$$J_m (\delta DX) = m J_m X \stackrel{n.s.}{=} \sum_{n \geq 0} n^2 \|J_n X\|_2^2 < \infty$$

2)  $\forall X \in D(L) \Rightarrow X \in \text{ID}^{1,2}$ .

Because,  $\overline{\mathbb{E}} \langle DY, DX \rangle = \overline{\mathbb{E}} \langle Y \langle -LX \rangle \rangle$

So:  $DX \in D(\delta)$  and  $\delta DX = -LX$ .

Thm. ( $L^p$  per contractivity)

$\forall p, q > 1, t \geq 0$ . st.  $\frac{p-1}{q-1} = e^{-2t}$ . Then:

$\|T_t x\|_{L^p} \leq \|x\|_{L^q}, \forall x \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n, \mu)$ .

Cor. (Reverse Jensen's inequality.)

$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, p \geq 1, \mathbb{E} |x|_p^p \leq (2p-1)^{\frac{np}{p}} (\mathbb{E}|x|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}}$

Rmk: An important feature is that it  
works without appearance of my const.  
which makes it have tensor-property.

Cor. (Tensorization property)

$T_i: L^p(\Omega_i, \mu_i) \xrightarrow{\text{BLD}} L^p(\Omega_i, \mu_i), i=1, 2$ .

If we set  $\Omega = \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, \mu = \mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$ .

$T = T_1 \otimes T_2$ . Then:  $\|Tx\|_p \leq \|x\|_2$ .

Pf: Lemma. If  $p \geq q \geq 1$ . Then, we have:

$$\| \|x\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mu)} \|_{L^p(\Omega, \mu)} \leq \| \|x\|_{L^2} \|_{L^p}^p$$

Pf: First prove when  $q=1$ .

$$\text{Note: } \|x\|_2 = \| |x|^2 \|_1^{\frac{1}{2}}$$