

Stochastic MFG.

1) Limit Thm:

Consider n -player stochastic diff. game:

$$\lambda X_t^i = b(X_t^i, \hat{M}_t^n, \hat{\tau}_t^i) \lambda t + \sigma(X_t^i, \hat{M}_t^n, \hat{\tau}_t^i) \lambda W_t^i.$$

where $\hat{M}_t^n \triangleq \sum_{k=1}^n f_{X_k^k}(x_k^k)/n$. $(X_0^i) \sim \delta_0 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

$b: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$. W_i is m -dim.

$\sigma: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times m}$.

Perito: Objective $J_i^n(\bar{\tau}) := \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T$

$$f(X_t^i, \hat{M}_t^n, \hat{\tau}_t^i) \lambda t + g(X_t^i, \hat{M}_t^n)$$

Next, we want to describe Nash equi
for large n . and get MFG limit.

Def: $\phi: C([0, T], \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \rightarrow C([0, T], \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d))$

is defined as follow:

Fix $\mathcal{M} = (\mu_t) \in C([0, T], \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d))$. And α^*

solve control problem uniquely:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sup_{\alpha} \mathbb{E}^{\alpha} \int_0^T f(x_t^{n, \tau}, \mu_t, \tau_t) dt + g(x_T^{n, \tau}) \\ x_t^{n, \tau} = b(x_t^{n, \tau}, \mu_t, \tau_t) \alpha_t + \sigma(\dots) dW_t. \end{array} \right.$$

$$\text{Set } \varphi(\mathcal{M}) \triangleq \mathbb{E}^{\alpha} \int_0^T (x_t^{n, \tau})^* dt, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

We say \mathcal{M} is MFE if $\varphi(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{M}$.

Rmk: If α^* isn't unique, we can refine:

$$\varphi(\mathcal{M}) := \mathbb{E}^{\alpha} \int_0^T (x_t^*)_t \mid x_t^* \text{ is optimal}$$

ii) Mean field control problem is:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_t^* = b(x_t^*, \mu_t^*, \tau_t) \alpha_t + \sigma(x_t^*, \mu_t^*, \tau_t) dW_t \\ \sup_{\alpha} \mathbb{E}^{\alpha} \int_0^T f(x_t^*, \mu_t^*, \tau_t) \alpha_t + g(x_T^*) \end{array} \right.$$

$$\text{where } \mu_t^* := \mathbb{E}(x_t^*).$$

Prop: If $\tau^* = \tau$, then π^{q^*} is MFE.

The difference between i) is:

π is required to match the law of X_t before optimization.

Thm: If $(b, \sigma, f, g) \in C_B, C_b, \sigma$ are Lip.

with $\| \cdot \|_{B^2}, W_1$. $x_0^i, i.i.d \in L^2$.

And assume (π, τ^*) is MFE. St.

τ^* is Lipschitz. Then $\vec{\tau}^n := (\tau^{n,1}, \dots$

$\tau^{n,n})$ is open loop Σ_n -Nash equi.

St. $\Sigma_n \rightarrow 0$. where $q_t^{n,i} := q^*(t, X_t^i)$.

$$dX_t^i = b(X_t^i, \mu_t^n, \tau^*(t, X_t^i))dt + \sigma(\dots) dW_t$$

Prop: \vec{q}_n can also be shown it's a close loop (Markovian)

Pf: i) Consider \bar{X}_t satisfies:

$$\lambda \bar{X}_t = b(X_t, \mu_t, \zeta^*(\dots)) dt + \dots, \quad \mu_t = \zeta(\bar{X}_t).$$

As before, we have: $\forall i$.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_t |X_t^i - \bar{X}_t| + \sup_t h_i^2(\mu_t^i, \mu_t) \right) = 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_i^n(\vec{\tau}_n) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T f(X_t, \mu_t, \zeta^*(\zeta, \bar{X}_t)) dt + \dots \right) \\ = J^\infty(\zeta^*), \quad \text{for } \forall i$$

2) Consider player 1. (β_j sym.)

$$\text{Next, we prove: } \epsilon_n := \sup_{\beta} \overline{J}_i^n(\vec{\tau}_n, \beta) - \overline{J}_i^n(\vec{\tau}_n) \xrightarrow{\text{def}} 0$$

$$\text{Note: } \overline{J}^\infty(\zeta^*) \geq \overline{J}^\infty(\beta). \quad \forall \beta \in A.$$

$$\text{where } \overline{J}^\infty(\beta) = \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T f(Y_t^i, \mu_t, \beta_t) dt + \dots \right)$$

$$\lambda Y_t^i = b(Y_t^i, \mu_t, \beta_t) \lambda t + D. \quad Y_0^i = X_0^i.$$

We only need to prove: uniformly in β :

$$\overline{J}_i^n(\vec{\tau}_n, \beta) = \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T f(Y_t^i, V_t^i, \beta_t) dt + \dots \right) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \overline{J}^\infty(\beta).$$

$$\text{where } \begin{cases} \lambda Y_t^i = b(Y_t^i, V_t^i, \zeta_t^i) \lambda t + \dots & V_t^i = \sum_i \delta Y_i^i \\ \lambda Y_t^i = b(Y_t^i, V_t^i, \beta) \lambda t + \dots & / n. \end{cases}$$

\Leftarrow prove $(Y, V^n) \rightarrow (Y^*, M)$, uniformly

3') First. show $V^n \rightarrow M$, uniformly in θ .

$$\text{Set } M_s^{n,-1} := \frac{1}{h-1} \sum_{s=1}^n \delta_{Y_s^k}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} W_i \in M_t^{n,-1}, M_t^n \rangle \leq \frac{c}{n}. \quad (\star)$$

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} W_i \in M_s^{n,-1}, V_s^n \rangle$$

$$i) \leq \frac{c}{n} + \frac{1}{h-1} \mathbb{E} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \sum_{s=1}^n |X_s^k - Y_s^k|^2 \quad \begin{matrix} \text{write in} \\ \downarrow \text{SDE.} \end{matrix}$$

$$\text{Lip.} \quad \leq \frac{c}{n} + \frac{c}{h-1} \sum_{s=1}^n \mathbb{E} \sup_{r \in [0, s]} |X_r^k - Y_r^k|^2 + \sup_{r \in [0, s]} W_i \in M_r^{n,-1}, V_r^n \rangle$$

Apply Gronwall's on i):

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \frac{1}{h-1} \sum_{s=1}^n |X_s^k - Y_s^k|^2 \leq \frac{c}{n} + c \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \sup_{r \in [0, s]} |X_r^k - Y_r^k|^2 ds$$

$$\text{replace } \Rightarrow \mathbb{E} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} W_i \in M_s^{n,-1}, V_s^n \rangle = c/n.$$

$$\mathcal{S}_1: \overline{\mathbb{E}} \left(\sup_{[0,T]} W_1^2(V_t, M_t) \right) \leq C/n.$$

$$4) |Y_t' - Y_t^\beta| \stackrel{\text{Lip.}}{\sim} \int_0^t (|Y_s' - Y_s^\beta| + W_1(V_t^\beta, M_t))$$

β_2 Brownian's inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathbb{E}} \left(\sup_{[0,T]} |Y_t' - Y_t^\beta| \right) &\leq \overline{\mathbb{E}} \left(\sup_{[0,T]} W_1(V_t^\beta, M_t) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{C}{h} + \overline{\mathbb{E}} \left(\sup_{[0,T]} W_1(M_t^\beta, M_t) \right) \\ &\sim O\left(\frac{1}{h}\right) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow (Y', V^n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} (Y^\beta, M). \text{ uniformly.}$$

(2) Example: Semilinear MFG:

Next, we consider $f, g \in C([X^T \times \mathcal{P}(X^T); X^T])$.

$$\begin{aligned} (P_n) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sup_{[0,T]} \overline{\mathbb{E}} \left(\int_0^T f(x_t, M_t) - \frac{1}{2} |\dot{x}_t|^2 dt + g(x_T, M_T) \right) \\ x^T = \tau_t x_t + \lambda W_t, \quad x_0 \sim \lambda_0 \cdot \mathcal{E} \mathcal{P}^2(X^T) \end{array} \right. \end{aligned}$$

where α is adapted and $\mathbb{E}[\int_0^T |x_t|^2] < \infty$.

Define $\phi(\alpha) = \mathcal{L}(x^{q^*})$, where q^* is the optimal control.

Thm. (Schauder's fixed point)

\mathcal{K} is cpt convex subset of a t.v.s.

$\psi: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ is conti. $\Rightarrow \psi$ has a fix point

Thm. Using $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}^2}, W_1$ metric. If (f, g)

$\in C^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, and their derivatives are uniformly bdd.

Then. there exists a MFE. And if $T > 0$ is small enough. (f, g) and their derivatives are lip. then:

the MFE is unique.

Pf.: 1) Solve optimal problem $(P_\alpha) \iff$

$$\text{Solve } H(x, y) = \sup_{a \in \mathbb{R}^n} \langle a^T y - \frac{1}{2} \|a\|^2 \rangle + f$$

$\Rightarrow \hat{a} = y$. we have HJB equation:

$$dt V(t, x) + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla V(t, x)\|^2 + f + \frac{1}{2} A V = 0$$

$$V(T, x) = g(x, M^\top)$$

Apply Hopf-Cole transf.:

Set $u(t, x) = \exp(-V(t, x))$. Then:

$$dt u(t, x) + \frac{1}{2} A u(t, x) + f \cdot u = 0.$$

\curvearrowleft generator of B_m .

Feymann-Kac

$$\Rightarrow u(t, x) = \mathbb{E}_{w \sim \mathcal{E}}^{g(w_t, \mu_t) + \int_t^T f(s)} | w_t = x)$$

formula

$$\text{So, we have: } \vartheta_m(t, x) = \hat{\varphi}(x, \nabla V)$$

$$= \nabla V = \frac{\vec{x}_h}{n}.$$

2) Next, establish conti. prop. of ϑ_m :

i) It's uniformly bdd since

$$\vartheta_m = \mathbb{E}_{w \sim \mathcal{E}}^{g(\dots) + \int_t^T f(\dots)} < \text{diag}^{(\dots)} + \int_t^T f(\dots) / \mathbb{E}(\dots)$$

ii) And it's also jointly conti. on
 $(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathcal{P}^{CC^1}$.

iii) $|\partial_j h_n^{(t,x)}|$ is also uniformly
bdd on (t, x, M, i, j)

iv) f, g and their derivatives

are Lip. on M -Var. $\Rightarrow h_n^{(t,x)}$

is W.-Lip on $M \cdot \mathbb{R}^d \cdot X$.

(since $x \mapsto e^x$ is Lip.).

3') Establish conti. property of ϕ :

first. Note $|X_i^m| \leq C_t + |W_t| + |X_0|$

$\Rightarrow \sup_{M \in \mathcal{P}^1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |X_i|^m_T \phi(M)(x) \leq C(T, X_0, W).$

So: $\phi(M) \in \mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{R}^d \times C^1} \subset \mathcal{P}^{CC^1}$.

i.e. $\phi(\mathcal{P}^{CC^1}) \subset \mathcal{P}^{CC^1}$.

Next, $W_c(\phi(M), \phi(V)) \leq \bar{E}(||X^n - X^v||)$.

So we estimate $\|X_t^m - X_t^v\|$

Lip.

$$\leq C \int_0^t \|X_s^m - X_s^v\| ds + \int_0^t |\tau_m(s, X_s^v) - \tau_v(s, X_s^v)| ds$$

of τ_m

Gronwall

$$\Rightarrow \|X^m - X^v\|_T \leq C \int_0^t |\tau_m(s, X_s^v) - \tau_v(s, X_s^v)| ds$$

So: if $m^n \rightarrow m$ in W_1 .

By conti. of τ_m . we have:

$$W_1(\phi(m^n), \phi(m)) \rightarrow 0.$$

Additionally. if Lip. prop. iv) in 2)

holds. then: $W_1(\phi(m), \phi(v)) \leq \frac{1}{T} W_1(m, v)$.

When T small enough. $\Rightarrow \phi$ is

a contraction. So MFE is unique.

Whatever. $\phi \in C([0, T]; \mathcal{P}(C^k))$.

4) Next, we want to use the Schauder's fix point Thm. to find

the fix point (MFE.)

Recall $m := \sup_{m \in \mathcal{P}(C^{\alpha})} \int_{C^{\alpha}} \|x\|_T^{-1} \phi(m, dx) < \infty$.

Lemma. (Aldous's criterion)

$c(X_t^n) \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^k; S)$: = \mathcal{E} (cidlag func.

$: K^+ \rightarrow S$. S is polish } is tight

if $\lim_{h \rightarrow 1} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\sigma, z} \overline{\mathbb{E}}[c(X_{\sigma}, X_z) \wedge 1] = 0$

where $\sigma \leq z \leq \sigma + h$. σ , z . Stopping times

Note $\overline{\mathbb{E}}[c(X_{\tau \wedge (z_n + \delta_n)}^{m^n} - X_{z_n}^{m^n})] \stackrel{SPE}{\leq}$

$\overline{\mathbb{E}}[c \int_{z_n}^{\tau \wedge (z_n + \delta_n)} c_{m^n}(t, X_t^{m^n}) dt + \|\sigma\|_{\infty} |W_{\tau \wedge (\cdot)} - W_{z_n}|]$

$\leq C \delta_n \|\sigma\|_{\infty} + \|\sigma\|_{\infty} \sqrt{\delta_n} \quad \forall z_n$.

$\delta_0 : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\mathbb{E}}[|X_{\delta_n + z_n}^{m^n} - X_{z_n}^{m^n}|] = 0$

$\Rightarrow \phi(c_{\mathcal{P}(C^{\alpha})})$ is tight. (Prokhorov)

Set $K := \{P \in \mathcal{P}^{CC^A} \mid E^P_C \|X\|_T^2 \leq m, E^P_C |X_{t+\delta \wedge T} - X_t| \leq \delta \|x\|_\infty + \sqrt{\delta} \|\sigma\|_\infty\}$

$\leq m, E^P_C |X_{t+\delta \wedge T} - X_t| \leq \delta \|x\|_\infty +$

$\Rightarrow K$ is convex. tight.

Prakhor's $\phi \in \mathcal{P}^{CC^A}$,

$\Rightarrow \bar{K}$ is convex. opt. in \mathcal{P}^{CC^A} .

Note ϕ is W_1 -anti. on \mathcal{P}^{CC^A} .

So it's also weakly anti. on \bar{K} .

\Rightarrow Apply Schauder's fix point Thm

Rmk: Procedure:

HJB Analysis \Rightarrow establish conti.

prop. if optimal control \Rightarrow Argue

the law of optimal process can

be confine in opt set of \mathcal{P}^{CC^A} .

\Rightarrow Apply Schauder / Kakutani's Thm

(2) Uniqueness:

Thm. If $f(x, m, n) = f_1(x, n) + f_2(x, m)$.

and monotonicity condition:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (f(x, m_1) - f(x, m_2))(m_1 - m_2) \lambda x \leq 0$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (f_1(x, n_1) - f_1(x, n_2))(n_1 - n_2) \lambda x \leq 0$$

holds. Then: there's at most
one MFE

Pf: By contradiction:

if $m \neq v$ are 2 MFEs.

then $\exists \alpha \neq \beta$. as optimal

control of $(p_m), (p_v)$.

\Rightarrow obtain 2 inequi. and

add them up to get control.

(3) MFG with different type agents:

Denote \mathcal{Q} is a polish space. And each agent is assigned a type parameter θ_i . t \mathcal{Q} . Consider

$$dx_t^i = b(x_t^i, \bar{m}_t^n, \bar{\tau}_t^i, \theta_i) dt + \sigma(\dots) dW_t.$$

where $\bar{m}_t^n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{x_k^k, \theta_k}$ $x_0^i = x_0^i$

Set objective function for agent i is:

$$\tilde{J}_i(\tau) = \bar{E} \left[\int_0^\tau f(x_t^i, \bar{m}_t^n, \bar{\tau}_t^i, \theta_i) dt + g(x_\tau^i, \bar{m}_\tau^n, \theta_i) \right]$$

Def: Consider $(f, \theta) \sim \mu$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{Q}$.

given initial dist. Set \bar{P} as follow:

Fix $\bar{m}_\cdot \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{Q})$. Solve

$$\begin{cases} \sup_{\tau} \bar{E} \left[\int_0^\tau f(x_t^{m,\tau}, \bar{m}_t, \bar{\tau}_t, \theta) dt + g(x_\tau^{m,\tau}, \bar{m}_\tau, \theta) \right] \\ x_t^{m,\tau} = b(x_t^{m,\tau}, \bar{m}_t, \bar{\tau}_t, \theta) dt + \square dW_t. \end{cases}$$

$x_0 = \underline{x}$.

ii) u^* is optimal control, which is assumed unique.

then let $\phi(m) = \mathcal{L}(x^{m,u^*}, \theta)$.

if $\phi(m) = m \Rightarrow$ we say m is MFE

Def: \Leftarrow Equivalent MFE.)

Given $m_0 \in \mathcal{P}(C^k \times \Theta)$. Let $\phi:$

$P(C^k \times \Theta) \rightarrow P(C^k \times \Theta)$ as follow

i) Fix $m \in \mathcal{P}(C^k)$. $(x_0, \theta) \in C^k \times \Theta$.

Solve control problem :

$$\begin{cases} \sup_T \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T f(x_t^{m,u}, \eta_t, \tau_t, \theta) dt + g(x_T^{m,u}) \right] \\ x_T^{m,u} = b(x_T, \eta_T, \tau_T, \theta) u + D \eta_T. \end{cases}$$

$$x_0 = x_0$$

ii) u^* is the optimal control.

which's assumed to be unique.

iii) Set $V_{x_0, \theta} = \int c X^{n, \alpha^*}).$ we define

$$Y = \int_{x_0, \theta} V_{x_0, \theta} M_c dx_0 d\theta.$$

Let $\phi(m) = V \cdot m$ is MFE if

$$\phi(m) = m.$$

Rmk: We can solve each optimal control. under deterministic initial state (x_0, θ) and the integrand.