

# Applications in Finance.

Setting:  $\mathcal{F}_t^{(m)}$  is  $\sigma$ -algebra w.r.t.  $m$ -lim

SBM  $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ .  $\mathcal{W}^m = \{f \in \mathcal{F}_t^{(m)} |$

$\int_s^t f(u) du < \infty \text{ a.s.}\}$ .

## (1) Definitions:

Def: i) A market  $X(t) = (X_0(t), X_1(t), \dots, X_n(t))$

is  $\mathcal{F}_t^{(m)}$ -adapted Itô process.  $0 \leq t \leq T$ .

$$\begin{cases} dX_0(t) = (c(t, w) X_0(t)) dt + \sigma(t, w) X_0(t) dB_t, \\ dX_k(t) = M_k(w, t) dt + \sigma_k(w) dB_t, \quad X_k(0) = x_k \end{cases}$$

if  $X_0(t) \equiv 1$ . We call it's normalization

Rmt: i)  $X_k(t)$ , are prices of asset  $k$ .

Note  $X_0(t)$  is risk-free since

it's lack of diffusion term.

ii) We can normalize the market by  
setting  $\bar{X}_k(t) = X_k(t) / X_0(t)$ .

$$\text{Note: } X_0(t) = e^{\int_0^t c(s, w) ds} > 0.$$

$$\text{and } \bar{X}_k(t) = X_k(t) / e^{\int_0^t c(s, w) ds} > 0. \text{ Then:}$$

$$d\bar{X}_k(t) = f_k(t) (M_k - c_k) dt + \sigma_k dB_t$$

$$\text{i.e. } d\bar{X}_k(t) = S_k (R\bar{X}_k(t) - L_k \bar{X}_k(t)) dt + \sigma_k dB_t$$

ii) A portfolio in market  $(X_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$  is

$(\theta_t, w)$ -measurable and  $\mathcal{F}_t^{(m)}$ -adapted.

process  $\theta(t, w) = (\theta_1(t, w), \dots, \theta_n(t, w))$   $t \leq T$ .

Rank:  $\theta(t, w)$  can be seen as the number  
of unit of assets we hold at  $t$ .

iii) Value at time  $t$  of portfolio  $\theta(t, w)$

is  $V(t, w) = \theta(t, w) \cdot X(t, w) = \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i(t) X_i(t)$ .

iv) Portfolio  $\theta(t)$  is self-financing if:

$$\int_0^T \left| \theta_0(s) \cos X_{0s} + \sum_i \theta_{i0}(s) M_{is} s + \sum_{j=1}^m \left| \sum_i \theta_{ij}(s) r_{ij}(s) \right|^2 s \right| ds$$

$$< \infty \text{ a.s. and } \lambda V(t) = \theta(t) \lambda X_t.$$

Rank: i)  $\theta(t) \equiv \text{const.}$  is self-financing

ii) The first condition is for  
integrability.

iii) It stems from the discrete

$$\text{m.i.a.l. : } \Delta V(t_k) = V(t_{k+1}) - V(t_k)$$

$$= \theta(t_k) \Delta X(t_k). \text{ set } \Delta t_k \rightarrow 0$$

It means no money brought in  
or taken out. (which only depend  
on  $\theta_t$ ).

Prop.  $\theta$  is self-financing for  $X_t$ .

$\Leftrightarrow \theta$  is self-financing for  $\bar{X}_t$ .

Pf:  $\bar{V}_t^\theta = \theta(t) \cdot \bar{X}_{t+1} = \beta_{t+1} V^{\theta}_{t+1}$ .

using  $\mathcal{D}\theta$ :  $\lambda \bar{V}_{t+1}^\theta = \theta(t) \lambda \bar{X}_{t+1}$ .

Prop.  $\theta(t)$  is self-financing ( $\Leftrightarrow \theta_0(t) = V^{\theta}_{t+1}$ )

$$+ \beta_{t+1} A(t) + \int_t^{\infty} \rho(s) A(s) ds \text{ - where } A,$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n (\int_t^{\infty} \theta_i(s) dX_i(s) - \theta_i(t) X_i(t))$$

Rmk. Given  $(\theta_i(s))_{i=1}^n$ . we can make  $\theta(t)$  self-financing by choosing  $\theta_0$  as above and choose  $V^{\theta}$  freely.

Pf:  $\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i(t) X_i(t) = V^{\theta}_{t+1} + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \int_t^{\infty} \theta_i(s) dX_i(s)$ .

$$\text{set } Y_0(t) = \theta_0(t) X_0(t).$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \lambda Y_0(t) = \rho(t) Y_0(t) \lambda t + \lambda A(t).$$

$$\text{Solve it: } \beta(t) Y_0(t) = \theta_0(t) + \int_t^{\infty} \rho(s) dA(s).$$

Def: A self-financing portfolio  $\theta(t)$  is admissible if  $\exists k = k(\theta) < \infty$ . s.t.  $V^{\theta}(t) \geq -k$ . a.s.  
for  $[0, T] \times \Omega$ .

Lemma.  $\theta_{t+1}$  is admissible for  $X_{t+1}$

$\Leftrightarrow \theta_{t+1}$  is admissible for  $\bar{X}_{t+1}$ .

Def: An admissible portfolio  $\theta_{t+1}$  is arbitrage if  $V^{\theta_{t+1}}(0) = 0$ ,  $V^{\theta_{t+1}}(T) > 0$ .  
i.e. and  $\mathbb{P}(V^{\theta_{t+1}}(T) > 0) > 0$

Rmk:  $\theta_{t+1}$  can generate a profit without risk of losing money.

A market can't exist for a long time if arbitrage exists!

Rmk: These definitions have additional conditions on self-financing portfolio.

Actually, if we only require self-financing on a portfolio. Then we can generate any final value  $V^{\theta}(T)$  from it

Def: A measure  $\alpha$  is equi. local mart. measure if  $\alpha \sim P$  and  $\bar{X}_t$  is local mart. w.r.t  $\alpha$ .

Lemma. If equi. local mart. measure  $\alpha$  exists.

Then, market  $(X_t)_{t \leq T}$  has no arbitrage.

Pf:  $\omega \sim IP \Rightarrow \bar{V}^{\theta}(t_0)$  is local mart. w.r.t.  $\mathcal{F}$ .

with lower bdd  $\Rightarrow \bar{V}^{\theta}(t_0)$  is supermart.

$$S_1: \mathbb{E}_{\omega}[\bar{V}^{\theta}(t_0)] \leq \bar{V}^{\theta}(0) = 0.$$

If  $IP \subset \bar{V}^{\theta}(T) > 0 > 0$ . Then  $\omega \in \bar{V}^{\theta}(T) > 0$

which is a contradiction!

Rmk: Actually, the market also satisfies  
a stronger condition "no free lunch  
with vanishing risk" (NFLVR)

Thm. i) If exist  $u(t, w)$  is  $(t, w)$ -measurable  
and  $\mathcal{F}_t^{(m)}$ -adapted.  $\mathbb{E}_w \int_0^T \|u\|^\infty dt < \infty$ .

$$\text{St. } \sigma(t, w) u(t) = M(t) - L(t) X(t), \text{ a.s. } (t, w).$$

$$\text{and } \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbb{E} \left[ \tilde{L} \right] \right] = \int_0^T u(t, w) dt < \infty.$$

Then. the market  $X_t$  has no arbitrage.

ii) Conversely. if  $X_t$  has no arbitrage.

then  $\exists u(t, w)$ .  $\mathcal{F}_t^{(m)}$ -adapted.  $(t, w)$ -measurable.

satisfies:  $\sigma(t, w) u(t, w) \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} M(t, w) - L(t, w) X(t)$

Pf: i) WLOG.  $X_t$  is normalized. So  $L \equiv 0$ .

$$\text{set } \alpha^* = L - \int_0^T u \lambda B_t - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T u' \lambda' \lambda t$$

$IP$ .

By Hishinov:  $\omega \sim IP$ . and.

$$\tilde{B}_t = \int_0^t u ds + B_0 \text{ is } \omega-B_m$$

$\Rightarrow \lambda X_{t \in \mathcal{A}} = \sigma_k \lambda \tilde{B}_{\mathcal{A}}, \text{ local mart.}$

ii) Set  $F_t = \{w \in \mathcal{W} \mid \sigma_w = m \text{ has no solutions}\}$

$$= \{w \in \mathcal{W} \mid \exists v \in \mathcal{V}, \sigma_{t,w}^T \cdot v_{t,w} = 0, V_{t,w} M_{t,w} > 0\}$$

Def  $\theta_{t,w} = \begin{cases} 0, & w \in F_t \\ \text{sgn } V_M, v_i, & w \in F_t \end{cases}$

Choose  $V^{\theta_{t,0}} = 0$ ,  $\theta_{t,0}$  s.t.  $\theta$  is self-financing (Note it's also measurable)

$$\text{Note: } V^{\theta_{t,0}} = \int_0^T I^{\theta_i} \lambda X_i dt$$

$$\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_0^T I_{F_t} \cdot I_{V,M} dt \geq 0 \text{ a.s.}$$

$$\Rightarrow I_{F_t} = 0 \text{ a.s. } (t,w), \forall t.$$

prop. i)  $X_t$  has no arbitrage  $\Leftrightarrow \bar{X}_t$  has no arbitrage.

ii)  $\bar{X}_t$  has no arbitrage  $\Leftrightarrow \exists$  admissible portfolio  $\theta_t$  s.t.  $\bar{V}^{\theta_{t,0}} = \bar{V}^{\theta_{t,T}} \text{ a.s.}$   
and  $\mathbb{E}[\bar{V}^{\theta_{t,0}} - \bar{V}^{\theta_{t,T}}] \geq 0$ .

Rmk: So for a normalized market,  $\bar{V}^{\theta_{t,0}}$   
 $= 0$  is not essential.

Pf: i) Note  $\bar{V}^{\theta}(t)$  =  $(\cdot, V^{\theta}(t))$

ii) ( $\Leftarrow$ ) Def  $\tilde{\theta}(t)$  by:  $\tilde{\theta}_k(t) = \theta_k(t), k > 0$   
choose  $\tilde{\theta}_0(t)$

St.  $\bar{V}^{\tilde{\theta}}(0) = 0$  and satisfies self-financing.

$$\begin{aligned} S_0 : \quad \bar{V}^{\tilde{\theta}}(t) &= \int_0^t \tilde{\theta}(s) \lambda \bar{X}(s) \\ &= \int_0^t \theta(s) \lambda \bar{X}(s) \\ &= \bar{V}^{\theta}(t) - \bar{V}^{\theta}(0) \end{aligned}$$

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Choose  $\tilde{\theta}_k(t) = \theta_k(t)$ . and  
 $\tilde{\theta}_0(t) = \bar{V}^{\theta}(0) + \theta_0(t)$  where  
 $\theta$  is an arbitrage for  $\bar{X}^t$ .

(2) Attainable and Complete:

---

Lemma. For  $u$  is  $(t, w)$ -measurable.  $\mathcal{F}_t^{(m)}$ -adapted.  
and  $\mathbb{E}^u \int_t^\tau \|u\|^2 dt < \infty$ . Set  $A^u =$   
 $\exp(-\int_0^\tau u \lambda B_t - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\tau \|u\|^2 \lambda t) \lambda P$ . on  $\mathcal{F}_T^{(m)}$ .  
 $\tilde{B}(t) = \int_0^t u \lambda s + B(s)$ . We have:

i) If  $F \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T^{(m)}, \lambda) \Rightarrow \exists \phi$  satisfies  
 $\mathbb{E}^u \left( \int_0^\tau \phi^2 \lambda t \right) < \infty$ .  $(t, w)$ -measurable. and  
 $\mathcal{F}_t^{(m)}$ -adapted. St.  $F = \mathbb{E}^u(F) + \int_0^\tau \phi \lambda \tilde{B}_t$

ii)  $\int_0^t \sum \theta_i \sigma_i \lambda \tilde{B}_s$  will be  $\alpha$ -mart.  
if  $X_t$  is complete.

Note that for an non-nonnegative claim  $F_{\text{clm}}$ .  
which has represe:  $S(T)F = z + \int_0^T \phi \lambda \tilde{B}_t$ .  
if we want to find portfolio  $\theta$  to  
judge it.  $\theta$  will be:  $\theta(t) = X_0 \alpha(t) \phi(t) \lambda \tilde{B}_t$ .

So: Next we want to find  $\phi(t)$ . given  $F$ .

Then: For Ito's diffusion  $Y_{0,t}$ :

$$\lambda Y_t = b(Y_t)dt + \sigma(Y_t) \lambda \tilde{B}_t. \quad Y_{0,0} = y.$$

$h: \mathbb{R}^K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ : st.  $(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \mathbb{E}_a^n \circ h(Y_{T-t}))_i^K$  exists.

If  $\mathbb{E}_a^n \circ \int_0^T \phi^2(u) du < \infty$ . where  $\phi(t,u) =$

$$\sum_{i=1}^K \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \mathbb{E}_a^n \circ h(Y_{T-t})|_{y_i=y_{0,i}} \sigma_i(Y_{0,t}). \quad \text{Then:}$$

$$h(Y_{0,T}) = \mathbb{E}_a(h(Y_T)) + \int_0^T \phi(u) \lambda \tilde{B}_u.$$

Pf: set  $g(t, y_i) := \mathbb{E}_a(h(Y_{T-t}))$   
 $\mathbb{E}_a(g(t, Y_{0,t})) = g(t, Y_{0,t})$ .

By Kolmogorov backward equation:

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial t} + \sum b_i \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum (\sigma \sigma^T)_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} = 0.$$

By Itô:  $\lambda \mathbb{E}(t) = \sum \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_i}(t, Y_t) \sigma_i(Y_t) \lambda \tilde{B}_t$ .

$$\Rightarrow \text{Find } z(0), z(T). \Rightarrow h(Y_{0,T}) = \dots$$

ii)  $\bar{X}_t$  satisfies:  $\begin{cases} \lambda \bar{X}_0(t) = 0 \\ \lambda \bar{X}_k(t) = (\alpha_k, \sigma_k(t)) \lambda \tilde{B}(t) \end{cases}$

if  $\alpha$  satisfies  $\sigma \alpha = M - \lambda X$ .

So:  $\bar{V}_t^\theta$  is also a local mart.

Rmk: Note  $\tilde{Z}_t^{cm}$  not necessarily equals to  $Z_t^{cm}$ .  $\Rightarrow$  i) isn't direct cor. of Itô's representation.

Next. we assume  $w, w_0$  in the initial setting of Lemma exists. So:  $(X_t)_{t \in T}$  has no arbitrage.

Ref: i) A European  $T$ -claim is r.v.  $F(w)$ . s.t.

$F \in \mathcal{G}_T^{cm}$ .  $F \in L^2(\Omega)$ . has lower bdd.

ii) Claim  $F$  is attainable if  $\exists z \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\theta$  admissible portfolio. s.t.

$$F(w) = z + \int_0^T \theta(t) \lambda X(t) =: V_\theta^z(t). a.s.$$

and  $\bar{V}_\theta^z(t) =: z + \int_0^T g^z(t) \gamma(t) \lambda X(t)$  is  $\mathcal{Q}$ -mart.  $0 \leq t \leq T$ .

Rmk: If such  $\theta$  exists. we call it hedging portfolio of  $F$ . and  $z$  must be  $\mathbb{E}_\lambda(F|T)$ .

iii) Market  $(X_t)_{t \leq T}$  is complete if

A claim is attainable

Rmk: By def.  $X_t$  complete  $\Rightarrow$  so

is  $\bar{X}_t$ . (normalization)

Theorem (Criterion)

$(X_t)_{t \leq T}$  is complete  $\Leftrightarrow \sigma$  has one  $\mathcal{F}_t^{(m)}$ -adapted left inverse  $A(t, w) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$

i.e.  $A(t, w) \sigma(t, w) = I_m$ . a.s.

Pf: ( $\Leftarrow$ )  $S(t, T)$  flows  $\in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T^{(m)})$ .

Then use Lemma. to represent it.

We can solve  $\hat{\theta}(t, w) = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$

s.t.  $S(t, \hat{\theta}(t, w)) \sigma(t, w) = \phi(t, w)$ .

$\Rightarrow$  choose  $\theta_0(w)$ . s.t.  $\theta$  is self-financing.

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Choose  $F(w) = \int_0^T \phi \lambda \tilde{B}_t$ . where

$\phi \in L^2(\Omega, [0, T])$ .  $\phi$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t^{(m)}$ -adapted.

We can also obtain  $\exists \theta$ . s.t.

$\hat{\theta} \sigma = \phi$ . for  $\theta$  such  $\phi$ .

$\Rightarrow r(\sigma) = m$ . So  $\Lambda$  exists!

Cor.  $X_t$  is complete  $\Rightarrow \exists$  unique  $a(t, w)$ .

s.t.  $\sigma(t, w) u(t, w) = m(t, w) - e(t, w) X_t(w)$ .

Thm. Market  $X_t$  is complete  $\Leftrightarrow$  There exists unique ign. mkt. measure for  $(\bar{X}_t)_{t \leq T}$  normalized market.

### (3) Option Pricing:

#### ① European Options:

Def. A European option on claim  $F$  is a guarantee to be paid  $F_{\text{now}}$ , n.s.  $t = T$ .

Buyer :

Pay  $\gamma$  to buy the option. To profit:

$$V_\gamma^{\gamma}(T) + F \geq 0, \text{ n.s.}$$

Seller :

Receive  $\gamma$  to sell the option. To profit:

$$V_\gamma^{\gamma}(T) - F \geq 0, \text{ n.s.}$$

$S_1 : p(F) = \sup \{ \gamma \mid \exists \text{ admissible portfolio } \gamma,$

$$\text{st. } V_\gamma^{\gamma}(T) + F \geq 0, \text{ n.s.} \}$$

$Z(F) = \inf \{ z \mid \exists \text{ admissible portfolio } \gamma,$

$$\text{st. } V_\gamma^{\gamma}(T) - F \geq 0, \text{ n.s.} \}$$

$p(F), Z(F)$  are resp. the max / min which buyer / seller can accept.

Def: i) If  $p(F) = \mathbb{E}(F)$ . We call it common value, the price of  $T$ -claim  $F$ ,

ii)  $F(w) = (X_i(t, w) - k)^+$ .  $k > 0$  is called the European call.

iii)  $F(w) = (k - X_i(t, w))^+$ .  $k > 0$  is called the European put.

Prop: European put / call permits the owner to sell / buy one unit <sup>i<sup>th</sup></sup> asset at price  $k$  (specified) at  $t = T$ .

Thm. For  $n$  and  $\lambda$ . (def as before) exists and  $F$  is a  $T$ -claim. Then :

i)  $\text{essinf } F(w) \leq p(F) \leq \mathbb{E}_\alpha(\mathbb{E}(T)F) \leq \mathbb{E}(F) \leq \infty$

ii)  $X_t$  is complete  $\Rightarrow p(F) = \mathbb{E}_\alpha(\mathbb{E}(T)F) = \mathbb{E}(F)$ .

Pf: i)  $\forall \gamma \leq \text{essinf } F \cdot \exists \psi \text{ admissible. } \psi \equiv 0$ .

satisfies:  $V_{-\eta}^\psi(T) \geq -F(w), \forall s$ .

$\mathbb{E}_\alpha = p(F) \geq \gamma \rightarrow \text{essinf } F$ .

For other inequal. only need to notice.

$\int \sum \psi_i \mathbb{E}_\alpha \tilde{\sigma} \lambda \tilde{B}_t$  is lower bdp local a-mart.

$\Rightarrow$  it's Supermart.

Rmk: To apply on Finance.

First assume:

$$\begin{cases} \lambda X_{0,t} = C(X_t) X_{0,t}, dt \\ \lambda X_{t+dt} = M_k(X_t) dt + \sigma_k(X_t) \tilde{\epsilon}_{dt} \end{cases}$$

Write  $F_{0,w}$  into  $\log X_{0,T}$

Set  $\mu(t) = g(t) \log X_{0,t}$

## ② American options:

The difference between ① and ② is:

American option permits buyer to choose any exercise time  $\tau$  before time  $T$ .

Rmk: To be reasonable.  $\tau$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t^{(m)}$ -stopping time.

Def: American  $T$ -claim  $F_{0,w}$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t^{(m)}$ -adapted. conti. a.s. - lower bdd.  $t \in T$ .

Buyer:

$$V_{-\gamma}^{\varphi}(z_{0,w}, w) + F_{0,z(w), w} \geq 0 \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{R}$$

Seller:

$$V_z^{\varphi}(t, w) - F_{t,z(w), w} \geq 0, \quad \forall t.$$

$\mathcal{D}_0: P_A(F) := \sup \{ \gamma \mid \exists z(w), \text{ and } \varphi \text{ admissible}$

portfolio. st.  $V_{-\gamma}^{\varphi}(z, w) + F_{z,w} \geq 0, \text{ a.s.} \}$

$\mathcal{I}_A(F) := \inf \{ z \mid \exists \varphi \text{ admissible. } \forall t \in T, \text{ st.}$

$$V_z^{\varphi}(t, w) - F_{t,z(w), w} \geq 0, \text{ a.s.} \}$$

Thm. i) If  $\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}_a^c(g(z) F(z)) < \infty$ . Then:

$$P_A(F) \leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}_a^c(g(z) F(z)) \leq Z_A(F) \leq \infty.$$

ii) If  $X_t$  is complete in addition to i).

$$\text{Then: } P_A(F) \stackrel{1)}{=} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}_a^c(g(z) F(z)) \stackrel{2)}{=} Z_A(F).$$

Pf. i) Proceeds as before.

ii) i) Let  $f_k = F \wedge k$ .  $h_k = X_0(t) g(z) F_k(z)$   
 $\Rightarrow h_k$  is  $T$ -claim. using completeness  
 and  $\mathcal{Q}$ -mart. as before. Let  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

$$ii) \text{ Let } S_t = \max_{z \leq z \leq T} \mathbb{E}_a^c(g(z) F(z) | \mathcal{F}_t^{(m)})$$

use Doob's Decomp:  $S_t = M_t - A_t$ .

$$M_t = z + \int_0^t \phi_s d\bar{B}_s = S_0 + A_t \geq S_t. z = S_0$$

Observe  $\hat{\theta}_t = X_0(t) \phi(t) A(t)$  by complete.

$$\Rightarrow z + \int_0^t \hat{\theta} d\bar{X} = z + \int_0^t \phi_s d\bar{B}_s \geq S_t.$$

$$J_0 := z + \int_0^T \theta dX \geq F(t). t \leq T.$$

Rank: When the market is Itô diffusion  
 and  $F(t) = h(X_t)$ . It connects with  
 the optimal control problem and  $P_A(F)$   
 is the optimal solution if  $X_t$  complete.

Crit. For American call  $F(t) = (X_{1,t+1} - k)^+$ .

$$P_A(F) = e^{-rt} \mathbb{E}_a^c(X_{1,T} - k)^+. X = (X_0, X_1) \text{ is B-S. market.}$$