

Discretization of SDEs

Then Z_t is a-r.h.s. semimart. with

$Z_0 = 0$. $F: \mathbb{R}^{n_x} \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_x}$ is Lip. i.e.:

$$|F(t, x) - F(t, y)| \leq k_F |x - y|. \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then: $X_t = x_0 + \int_0^t F(s, X_s) ds$ admits a unique sol. X_t is semimart.

Rmk: For $F \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_x} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, $x \mapsto F(t-x)$ and $x \mapsto DF(t-x)F(t,x)$ are Lip. for $t \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x}$. $\forall 1 \leq i \leq n$. Where $F_i(t, x) = (F(t-x)_i^j)_{j=1}^n$. Then the result also works for stochastic case: $X_t = x_0 + \int_0^t F(s, X_s) ds$.

(1) Euler method

Consider $dX_t = V_i(X_t)dt + \sum_{j=1}^n V_j(X_t) dB_j^i \cdot \delta t$.

V_i 's are uniform Lip. and linearly bdd.

So its sol. X_t exists uniquely.

Set grid $\mathcal{D} = \{0 = t_0 < t_1, \dots < t_N = T\}$. $|D| = \max |\Delta t_k|$. $\Delta t_k = t_k - t_{k-1}$. $\lfloor t \rfloor = \sup \{t_i \mid t_i \leq t\}$.

Def: For approx.: \bar{X}^D for X .

i) $\bar{X}^D \rightarrow X$ strongly if $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} |X_T - \bar{X}_T| = 0$

And it has strong order γ if

$$\mathbb{E} |X_T - \bar{X}_T|^{\gamma} \leq C |D|^{\gamma}. (ID \rightarrow 0)$$

ii) For class \mathcal{G} of func. $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$.

$\bar{X}^D \rightarrow X$ weakly w.r.t \mathcal{G} if $\forall f \in \mathcal{G}$

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} (f(\bar{X}_T)) = \mathbb{E} (f(X_T)).$$

It has weak order $\gamma > 0$ if $\forall f$

$$\in \mathcal{G}, |\mathbb{E} (f(\bar{X}_T)) - \mathbb{E} (f(X_T))| \leq C |D|^{\gamma}.$$

Rem: For $\mathcal{G} = \text{Lip.}$: Strong \Rightarrow weak.

① Euler - Maruyama method:

Note for ODE $x'(t) = V(x(t)), x(0) = x_0$.

$$x(t_i) = x(t_{i-1}) + x'(t_{i-1}) \Delta t_i + O(\Delta t_i^2)$$

$$= x(t_{i-1}) + V(x(t_{i-1})) \Delta t_i + O(\Delta t_i^2)$$

Set $\bar{x}_{t_i} = V(\bar{x}_{t_{i-1}}) \Delta t_i + \bar{x}_{t_{i-1}}, \bar{x}_{t_0} = x_0$.

$$\text{So } |x(T) - \bar{x}_T| \leq \sum_i^n |O(\Delta t_i^2)| \leq T |O(D)|.$$

As for SDE:

$$\text{Set } \bar{X}_{t_i} = \bar{X}_{t_{i-1}} + V_i(\bar{X}_{t_{i-1}}) \Delta t_i + \sum_j^k V_j(\bar{X}_{t_{i-1}}) \Delta B_{t_i}^j,$$

extend it to whole path by interpolation:

$$\bar{X}_t = \bar{X}_{L(t)} + V(\bar{X}_{L(t)}) (t - L(t)) + \sum_i^k V_i(\bar{X}_{L(t)}) (B_t^i - B_{L(t)}^i)$$

RMK: Now the scheme may not also have order one, since $B_t \sim \sqrt{\varepsilon}$

Thm. Under $X(0) = x$. Approx. \bar{X}_t satisfies:

$$\bar{E} \leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |X_t - \bar{X}_t| \leq C |t|^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

RMK: So it has strong order $\frac{1}{2}$.

Pf: Define $\hat{B}_t^0 = t$ and recall:

$$\bar{E} \leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |X_t| \leq C (1 + |x|^2).$$

Next estimate $\ell_t = \bar{E} \leq \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s - \bar{X}_s|^2$

$$X_s - \bar{X}_s = \sum_0^k \int_0^s (V_i(X_n) - V_i(\bar{X}_{L(n)})) \lambda B_n^i.$$

$$= \sum_0^k \left(\int_0^s (V_i(X_n) - V_i(\bar{X}_{L(n)})) + \right.$$

$$\left. (V_i(\bar{X}_{L(n)}) - V_i(\bar{X}_{L(n)})) \lambda B_n^i \right)$$

$$\text{So: } \ell_t \leq \sum_0^k \bar{E} \leq \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left| \int_0^s (V_i(X_n) - V_i(\bar{X}_{L(n)})) \lambda B_n^i \right|^2$$

$$+ \bar{E} \leq \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left| \int_0^s (V_i(\bar{X}_{L(n)}) - V_i(\bar{X}_{L(n)})) \lambda B_n^i \right|^2$$

$$\lambda |B_n^i|^2 =: \sum_0^k C_i^i + \lambda \ell_t^i.$$

Using Doob's inequai. and Hölder inequai:

$$L^0_t \leq K^2 T \int_0^t e_{ss} ds. \quad L^i_t \leq t k^2 \int_0^t e_{ss} ds. \quad 1 \leq i \leq k.$$

where K is common lip const. of V_i :

$$\begin{aligned} C^0_t &\stackrel{\text{Höld}}{\leq} K^2 T \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \left(\left| \sum_{j=0}^i \int_{\omega_j}^{\omega} V_i(x_s) dB_s^j \right|^2 \right) ds \\ &\leq K^2 T (k+1) \int_0^t \sum_{j=0}^k \mathbb{E} \left(\left| \int_{\omega_j}^{\omega} V_i(x_s) dB_s^j \right|^2 \right) ds \\ &\stackrel{\text{Höld}}{\leq} C k^2 \int_0^t (u - u_j) \left(\int_{\omega_j}^{\omega} \mathbb{E} \left(|t+x_s|^2 \right) ds \right) + \\ &\quad \lambda \int_{\omega_j}^{\omega} \mathbb{E} \left(|t+x_s|^2 \right) ds ds \\ &\leq C (1+x^2) \int_0^t (u - u_j) + \lambda (u - u_j) ds \\ &\leq T C (1+x^2) (D + \lambda) D. \end{aligned}$$

With Doob's inequai. we also have:

$$L^i_t \leq C (1+x^2) |b|. \quad 1 \leq i \leq k.$$

$$\text{So: } L_t \leq C |D| + C \int_0^t e_{ss} ds.$$

Apply Brownwall's inequai.: $L_t \leq C |D|$.

Apply Hölder again to get the result

Remark: It can be used to prove the uniqueness and existence of SDE:

Next first we also have:

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |\tilde{X}_t^0|^2 \right) \leq C (1 + \|x\|^2).$$

i) Uniqueness is obvious.

$$ii) \text{ Note: } \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |\tilde{X}_t^0 - \tilde{X}_t^{0'}|^2 \right) \leq C \|D\| V \|D'\|.$$

iii) Set $\tilde{X} = \lim \tilde{X}^0$. Since \tilde{X} also satisfies the SDE since \tilde{X}' has satisfied it.

Next, we consider the weak convergence of the Euler method.

Rem: Weak approx. of SDE can be used as a numerical method to solve the linear parabolic PDE:

Since $u(t, x) = \mathbb{E}(f(X_T) | X_t = x)$, solve the Kolmogorov backward eq.:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = -Lu & \text{where } L = \tilde{V}_0 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \tilde{V}_i^2 \\ u(T, x) = f(x) & \tilde{V}_0 = V_0 - \frac{1}{2} \int D V_i \cdot V_i. \end{cases}$$

$$\text{and } V_{g(s)} = \nabla g(s) \cdot V(s).$$

Thm. If V_i 's are C^∞ -bd. $\mathcal{G} = C^\infty \cap \mathcal{F}$ is

poly. and $\{ \cdot \}$. $h := \Delta t; = \tau / n$. ψ_1 . Then :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(T, h, f) &= \mathbb{E}(f(\bar{X}_T^0) - f(X_T)) \\ &= h \int_0^\tau \mathbb{E}(\psi_1(s, X_s)) ds + h^2 \mathbb{E}(T, f) + O(h^3) \end{aligned}$$

where ψ_1 is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1(t, x) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n V^i(x) V^j(x) \partial_{(i,j)} u(t, x) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n V^i(x) a_k^j(x) \partial_{(i,j,k)} u(t, x) + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8} \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n a_j^i(x) a_l^k(x) \partial_{(i,j,k,l)} u(t, x) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} u(t, x) + \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n V^i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi_i(x) \partial_i u(t, x) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d a_j^i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi_i(x) \partial_{(i,j)} u(t, x), \end{aligned}$$

where $\partial_I = \frac{\partial^k}{\partial x^{i_1} \dots \partial x^{i_k}}$ for a multi-index $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$ and $a_j^i(x) = \sum_{k=1}^d V_k^i(x) V_k^j(x)$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.

Lem. Under cond. above. $\mathbb{E}(u(t, x))$ of PDE
above is smooth and all its derivatives
are poly-growth.

Pf. By Fubini. Then.

Lem. Under cond. above. We have :

$$\mathbb{E}(u(t+i, \bar{X}_{i+1}) | \bar{X}_i = x) = u(t, x) + h^2 \psi_1(t, x) + O(h^3)$$

Pf. WLOG. Let $i = 0$.

Apply Taylor expansion on $u(h, x + \Delta x)$ on h and Δx :

$$u(h, x + \Delta x) = u(0, x) + h d + u(0, x) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot$$

$$h^2 d + u(0, x) + h \sum \Delta x^i d + d_i u(0, x) +$$

$$\sum h \sum_{i,j} \Delta X^i \Delta X^j dt d\zeta^{i,j} u(0, x) + \sum_k \sum_{i,n=1}^k \Delta X^i \dots \\ \Delta X^{ik} d\zeta^{i,\dots,ik} u(0, x) + O(h \Delta x^3) + O(\Delta x^4)$$

Insert $\Delta X = \Delta \bar{X} = V(x)h + \sum_i V_i(x) \Delta \beta_i^i$ and
take expectation on both sides.

Combine with $\Delta \beta_i^i \sim \mathcal{T}_h$ and eq.:

$$dt u(0, x) = - \langle u(0, x) \rangle.$$

$$\underline{\text{Pf.}}: N \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \mathbb{E}(T_h f) = \mathbb{E}(u(T, \bar{X}_N^0) - u(0, x)) \\ = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}(u(t_i, \bar{X}_{i+1}) - u(t_i, \bar{X}_i)) \\ = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h^2 \mathbb{E}(Y_i(t_i, \bar{X}_i)) + O(h^3) \\ \leq C h^2 + N O(h^3) = CT(h + O(h^2))$$

Since by Lnd.: $|\mathbb{E}(Y_i(t_i, \bar{X}_i))| \leq C$.

$$\text{Also: } |h \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}(Y_i(t_i, \bar{X}_i)) - \int_0^T \mathbb{E}(Y_i(t, x_t))| \\ \leq h \sum_i |\mathbb{E}(Y_i(t_i, \bar{X}_i) - Y_i(t_i, x_{t_i}))| + \\ |h \sum_i \mathbb{E}(Y_i(t_i, x_{t_i})) - \int_0^T \mathbb{E}(Y_i(t, x_t))| \\ := A + B.$$

$B = O(h)$. Besides, $Y_i \in C' \Rightarrow Y_i \in \mathcal{G}$. So:

$$|\mathbb{E}(Y_i(t_i, \bar{X}_i) - Y_i(t_i, x_{t_i}))| = O(h).$$

Remk: Note we only use scaling prop.
of BM rather other gaussian prop.
so we can use general Levy
process $Y_h \sim \mathcal{L}_h$.

Thm. If V_i 's $\in C^1$ and has poly.-bd
deri. Then: it has weak order one.

Thm. If V_i 's $\in C^\infty$ satisfies Kormander's
cond. and their deri.'s are bdd.

Then: If f. exp. measur. it has weak
order one.

Remk: It's a trading on regularity
between f and V_i 's.

Sometimes the Euler scheme
can still work in a.s. smooth case

③ Euler - monte - Carlo method:

Note we can approxi. $E[f(X_T)]$ by $E[f(\bar{X}_T)]$. So next step we want to
approxi. the integral $E[f(\bar{X}_T)]$.

Rmk: Assume the SPE is driven by a Lévy process. $\Rightarrow \bar{X}_N$ is func. of const. $: 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq n \leq N$. So $\mathbb{E}^c(f(\bar{X}_N))$ is integral on \mathbb{R}^{nk} , which still cause lim. problem if N large.

$$\begin{aligned}\Rightarrow \text{Error} &= |\mathbb{E}^c(f(x_T)) - m^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^m f(\bar{x}_N^{(i)})| \\ &\leq |\mathbb{E}^c(f(x_T)) - \mathbb{E}^c(f(\bar{X}_N))| + \\ &\quad |\mathbb{E}^c(f(\bar{X}_N)) - m^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^m f(\bar{x}_N^{(i)})| \\ &\stackrel{\Delta}{=} \text{error}_{\text{discret}}(N) + \text{error}_{\text{int}}(m).\end{aligned}$$

It left us two para. m, N to choose:

$$\text{error}_{\text{discret}}(N) \leq C \cdot N^{-p}, \quad p \in \{\frac{1}{2}, 1\}.$$

$$\text{error}_{\text{int}}(m) \leq C_2 m^{-2}, \quad 2 \in \{\frac{1}{2}, 1-\varepsilon\}.$$

Note the computational work will be proportional to mN

so we want to optimize:

$$\min \{mn \mid C_2 m^{-2} + C_0 N^{-p} \leq \varepsilon\}$$

$$\text{Lagrangian Form. } L = mn + \lambda \cdot (C_2 m^{-2} + C_0 N^{-p} - \varepsilon)$$

$$\text{Let } \frac{\partial F}{\partial n} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial m} = 0 \Rightarrow m \approx N^{\frac{p}{2}}.$$

$$\Rightarrow m \approx \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}, n \approx \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{p}}, mn \approx \varepsilon^{-c\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{2}}.$$

Problem description	p	q	$M(N)$	k
Euler (Lipschitz) + MC	$1/2$	$1/2$	N	4
Euler (Lipschitz) + QMC	$1/2$	$1 - \delta$	$N^{1/2+\delta}$	$3 + \delta$
Euler (regular) + MC	1	$1/2$	N^2	3
Order p + MC	p	$1/2$	N^{2p}	$2 + 1/p$

$k = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{2}$ is complexity of EMC.

Remark: Note higher order method can't improve the cost significantly if combined with a low order scheme.

Next, consider Lévy noise case:

$$dx_t = \sum_i V_i (X_{t-}) dZ^i_t.$$

For the Euler scheme, we can replace $\beta_{t_i, t_{i+1}}$ by $Z_{t_i, t_{i+1}}$ directly. If we can sample increments of Z_t . Then:

Thm. If $(V_i), f \in C_B^\infty$. Then its Euler scheme \rightarrow sol. of SDE weakly.

Moreover, if Lévy measure ν of Z_t has bad moments up to 8. then, it has weak rate one.

$\underline{R^m}$: i) The rate is smaller than B_m case (even no such rate)
 ii) In the proof: Z_t is approx.
 by \tilde{Z}_t^m (exclude the jumps $> m$)
 so the error estimate will
 base on $|D|$ and m . And if
 V admits high order moments,
 then this step can be avoided.

But generally, given Lévy-c. I. V.). We
 don't know its dist. so can't sample
 it. We can still approx. incre. AZ_t by
 compound poi-Process $\Delta \tilde{Z}_t^\varepsilon$.

Thm. If i) SDE sol. X_t and i.i.d. r.v.'s
 (g_i^h) ; satisfy: $Hf \in C_0^\infty$. Then const. of h
 $|\mathbb{E}(g_i g_j^h) - \mathbb{E}(g_i) \mathbb{E}(g_j^h)| \leq K_L \|h\|_H \|f\|_\infty$.

ii) $(V_i) \subset C_0^\infty$. Z has finite moments
 up to order eight.

Then Euler scheme for partition D

$$= \sum f_k h)_{k=0}^n = \bar{x}_0 = x_0. \quad \bar{x}_{n+1} = \bar{x}_n + \sum_i^n V(\bar{x}_n) g_i^h,$$

satisfies: $\forall f \in C_b^4$.

$$|\bar{E}[f(x_t)] - E[f(\bar{x}_n)]| \leq C \max_{(k,n,h)} \|f\|_{C_b^4}$$

Rem: i) Note if we can simulate z ,

then we can choose $u_n = 0$.

ii) To obtain approx. \mathcal{G}_i^h of z , we can choose $\mathcal{G}_i^h = z_{(i-1)h, ih}^\varepsilon$. So u_n will also depend on ε .

Lem. If $\lambda_\varepsilon := V\{|z| > \varepsilon\} \leq C/\varepsilon^2$.

$\exists \gamma \in [0, 2]$. If $\varepsilon \leq 1$. Then:

$$u_h = \varepsilon^{3-\gamma}.$$

Note by integrability unk. in V

of z_t . it always satisfies for

$\gamma = 2$. So to get $u_h \sim h$:

we let $\varepsilon \sim h^{1/(3-2)}$.

(2) Advanced methods:

① Multilevel mc simulation:

Next, we consider a simulation of schemes over different time grids, i.e. $\Delta t_k > \dots > \Delta t_1$ time increments. Sol. X_t will be approx. by $\bar{X}^{(h_k)}$. And $E(f(x))$ will base on samples of $(\bar{X}^{(h_k)})_k$.

Remark:

- The discret. error is given by the finest discretization $\bar{X}^{(h_k)}$. And the computational cost will be average of the works w.r.t. different h_k .
- The idea of MNC is based on the variance reduction. Note $\bar{X}^{(h_k)}$ and $\bar{X}^{(h_{k+1})}$ should be close. So: $Cov(f(\bar{X}^{(h_k)}), f(\bar{X}^{(h_{k+1})}))$ will be high.

Steps:

- Use MC simulation to compute $E(f(\bar{X}^{(h_k)}))$
- Use variance reduction to compute $E(f(\bar{X}^{(h_k)}))$ based on $f(\bar{X}^{(h_{k+1})})$.

ii) Repeat it on $\{f(\bar{x}^{(h\ell)}), f(\bar{x}^{(h\ell+1)})\}$.

Rmk: We can use Brownian bridge since the Brs on finer grid can base on the coarse one.

Fix $N \in \mathbb{N}$. $\mu > 1$. $h_\ell := N^{-\ell} T$. $\ell = 0, \dots, L$. Let $P_\ell := f(\bar{x}^{(h\ell)})$. $I_\ell := \max_{i=1}^m (P_\ell^{(i)} - P_{\ell-1}^{(i)})$ and assume I_ℓ 's are indept.

Thm. If \exists const's $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$, $C_1, C_2, \beta > 0$ satisfy

$$\mathbb{E}(f(x_T) - P_\ell) \leq C_1 h_\ell^\alpha, \quad \text{Var}(I_\ell) \leq C_2 h_\ell^\beta / m.$$

Thm: $\exists L \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(m_i)_0^L$. s.t. the multilevel estimate $I = \sum_0^L I_\ell$ satisfy:

$$(\mathbb{E}[I(I - \mathbb{E}[f(x_T)])^2])^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \Sigma.$$

And computational cost C satisfies:

$$C \leq \begin{cases} C_3 \varepsilon^{-2} & \cdot \beta > 1 \\ C_3 \varepsilon^{-2} (\log \varepsilon)^2 & \cdot \beta = 1 \\ C_3 \varepsilon^{-2 - (1-\beta)/\alpha} & \cdot 0 < \beta < 1 \end{cases}$$

Rmk: $\mathbb{E}[I] = \sum \mathbb{E}[I_\ell]$

$$= I \mathbb{E}[P_\ell] - \mathbb{E}[P_{\ell-1}] = \mathbb{E}[P_L]$$

which explains the error comes from
the finest term

Cor. Given Euler method of weak order one
and strong order $\frac{1}{2}$. Choose $L = \log \varepsilon^{-1}$
 $\lceil \log N + O(1) \rceil \leq L+1$ and $m_L \propto \varepsilon^{-2} (L+1)$
 $\cdot h_L$. Then: for error $O(\varepsilon)$ of I , its
 Comp. Cost = $O(\varepsilon^{-2} (\log \varepsilon)^2)$

Rmk: Choose $\alpha = \beta = 1$ than above. We
 can obtain this cor.

Pf: i) Set $L := \lceil \frac{\log(C_1 T \varepsilon^{-1})}{\log N} \rceil$

$$\Rightarrow C_1 h_L \in [\varepsilon/\sqrt{m}, \varepsilon/\sqrt{2}]$$

$$\text{So: } (\mathbb{E}(I) - \mathbb{E}(f(x_T)))^2 \leq \varepsilon^2/2$$

$$\text{Choose } m_L := \lceil 2\varepsilon^{-2} (L+1) C_2 h_L \rceil$$

$$\text{Var}(I) = \sum \text{Var}(I_L)$$

$$\leq C_2 I h_L / m_L \leq \varepsilon^2/2$$

$$\text{So: } m \mathbb{E}(I) = \text{Var}(I) +$$

$$(\mathbb{E}(I) - \mathbb{E}(f(x_T)))^2 \leq \varepsilon^2$$

$$2)L+1 \leq C \log \varepsilon^{-1}. m_L \leq 2\varepsilon^{-2} (L+1) C_2 h_L + 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{last} \leq C \sum_0^L m_i / h_i \leq \sum_{\epsilon=0}^L (2 \epsilon^{-2} (L+1) C + h_i^{-1})$$

$$\leq 2 \epsilon^{-2} (L+1)^2 (C + \sum h_i^{-1})$$

$$\sum h_i^{-1} = h_i^{-1} \sum N^{-\epsilon} = h_i^{-1} \frac{N^{-(L+1)}}{N^{-1}-1}$$

$$< h_i^{-1} N / N^{-1} \leq N^{2 \int_0^1 C_1 \epsilon^{-1}} / (n-1)$$

$$\text{So: last} \leq C \sum_{\epsilon=0}^L (\log \epsilon^{-1})^2.$$

Key: Set $L = \lceil \log(\int_0^1 C_1 T^\alpha \epsilon^{-1}) \rceil / \alpha$

$$m_i = \lceil 2 \epsilon^{-2} (L+1) (-h_i^\alpha) \rceil$$

We can prove general (T-P).

② Stochastic Taylor schemes:

Note in deterministic case. high order Taylor expansion can lead to high order scheme while in stochastic case. have to behave differently with BV. we can try to obtain it by Zto's.

Assume: $dX_t = \sum_0^L V_i(X_t) dB_t$. (Stratonovich)

By Zto's: $f(X_t) = f(X_0) + \sum_0^L \int_0^t V_i f(X_s) dB_s$

Apply it on $x \mapsto V_i f(x)$. we have:

$$V_i f(x_s) = V_i f(x_0) + \sum_{j=0}^k \int_0^s V_j V_i f(x_u) dB_u^j. \quad \forall i.$$

insert back on $f(x_t) = f(x_0) + \square$:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_t) &= f(x_0) + \sum_0^k V_i f(x_0) \int_0^t dB_t^i \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j}^k \int_0^t \int_0^s V_j V_i f(x_u) dB_u^j \cdot dB_s^i \end{aligned}$$

\Rightarrow we can get high order expansion by iteration. For n th order of expansion:

$$\text{Note } B_t^0 = t \sim t. \quad B_t^i \sim \sqrt{t} B_1^i. \quad \forall i > 0.$$

$$\int_0^t B_t^{\mathcal{I}} \stackrel{A}{=} \int_{0 \leq t_1 \leq t \dots \leq t_k \leq t} o \lambda B_{t_1}^{i_1} \cdots o \lambda B_{t_k}^{i_k} \sim t^{\deg(\mathcal{I})/2} B^{\mathcal{I}}.$$

where $\mathcal{I} = (i_1, \dots, i_k) \in \{0, \dots, k\}^k$ and $\deg(\mathcal{I}) = k + \#\{1 \leq j \leq k \mid i_j = 0\}$.

Thm. If $f, (V_i)_i \in C_B^{m+1}$. $x_0 = x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then:

$$f(x_t) = f(x_0) + \sum_{\substack{i \in \{0, \dots, k\}^k, k \leq m \\ \deg(\mathcal{I}) \leq m}} V_{i_1} \cdots V_{i_k} f(x) B_t^{\mathcal{I}} + R_m^{t, f, x}$$

st. $t \leq 1$.

$$\sup_x \mathbb{E}_c |R_m^{t, f, x}|^2 \leq C t^{\frac{m+1}{2}} \sup_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m+1 \\ 1 \leq j \leq m+2}} \|V_{i_1} \cdots V_{i_k} f\|_\infty$$

Pf: Expand $f(x_t)$ up to m th order and transf. back to this integral to get the estimate.

Rmk: For $Zt^{\hat{0}}$ case, $f(x_t) = \sum_i V_i(x_t) \lambda B_t^i$.

Let $\tilde{V}_0 f(x) = Vf(x) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_i V_i(x)^T Vf(x) V_i(x)$

where Vf is Hessian matrix of f .

Rmk: \tilde{V}_0 isn't vector field! (2^{nd} -order)

$\Rightarrow f(x_t) = f(x_0) + \int_0^t \int_0^s \tilde{V}_i f(x_s) \lambda B_s^i ds$ where
 $\tilde{V}_i = V_i . i=1, 2, \dots, k$.

Dif: $A_m := \{I = (i_1, \dots, i_k) \in \{0, \dots, k\}^k \mid 1 \leq i_j \leq m, \log(I) \leq m\} . A_m^* = A_m \cup \{k = \#\ell_j \mid i_j = 0\} = \frac{m+1}{2}\}$.

Rmk: A_m^* contains one term with
order $= \frac{m+1}{2} \cdot 2 = m+1$.

i) $V_I = V_{i_1} \cdots V_{i_k}$ for $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k) \in \{0, \dots, k\}^k$

Rmk: By the above:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_t) &= f(x) + \sum_{I \in A_m} V_I f(x) B_t^I + \tilde{K}_m^{t, f, x} \\ &= f(x) + \sum_{I \in A_m} \tilde{V}_I f(x) \tilde{B}_t^I + \tilde{K}_m^{t, f, x} \end{aligned}$$

(where $\tilde{B}_t^I = \int_0^t \lambda B_{t,i}^{i_1} \cdots \lambda B_{t,k}^{i_k} dt$)

Dif: Strong stochastic Taylor scheme of order
 m for $Zt^{\hat{0}} - SDE$ is: $\bar{x}_s = x_0$. and

$$\bar{x}_{j+1} = \bar{x}_j + \sum_{I \in A_m^k} \tilde{V}^I(x) \Delta \tilde{\beta}_j^I \text{ where } \Delta \tilde{\beta}_j^I :=$$

$$\int_{t_i \leq s_1 \leq \dots \leq s_k \leq t_{j+1}} \lambda \beta_{s_1}^{i_1} \dots \lambda \beta_{s_k}^{i_k}. I = (i_1, \dots i_k).$$

Thm. If $\forall I \in A_m$, $\tilde{V}^I \in C^\infty$. Then the strong stochastic Taylor scheme $\rightarrow X_T$ s.o.l. of Zt⁰-SDE with strong order $m/2$.

Proof. Euler scheme is strong Taylor scheme with $m=1$.

Def. Weak stochastic Taylor scheme of order m for Zt⁰-SDE is : $\bar{x}_0 = x_0$. and

$$\bar{x}_{j+1} = \bar{x}_j + \sum_{\substack{I \in U \cup \{0, \dots, j\}^k \\ 1 \leq k \leq m}} \tilde{V}^I(x) \Delta \tilde{\beta}_j^I$$

Thm. $(V_i) \in C^{2(m+1)}$. Then for $\mathcal{G} = \{f \in C,$

its for. is poly. bdd). the weak Taylor scheme have weak order m .

Proof. \Rightarrow Euler scheme is weak Taylor scheme with $m=1$ as well.

i) We can also refine the weak Stratonovich Taylor scheme :

$$\bar{X}_{j+1} = \bar{X}_j + \sum_{I \in \mathcal{A}} V^I(\bar{X}_j) A B_j^I$$

it has weak order $(m-1)/2$ for
if $f, (V_i)$ regular enough.

e.g. (Milstein scheme)

—

It's a scheme with weak order one
and strong order two, given by:

$$\bar{X}_{j+1} = \bar{X}_j + V(\bar{X}_j) \Delta t_j + \sum_{i=1}^d V_i(\bar{X}_j) \Delta B_j^i + \sum_{(i_1, i_2) \in \{1, \dots, d\}^2} V^{(i_1, i_2)}(\bar{X}_j) \Delta \tilde{B}_j^{(i_1, i_2)}.$$

Here, $V^{(i_1, i_2)}(x) = DV_{i_2}(x) \cdot V_{i_1}(x)$ and

$$\Delta \tilde{B}_j^{(i_1, i_2)} = \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} B_s^{i_1} dB_s^{i_2}.$$

Rank: i) For $i_1 = i_2 = i$. $\Rightarrow \Delta \tilde{B}_j^{(i, i)} = (\Delta B_j^i)^2 - \Delta t_j$

For $i_1 \neq i_2$. there is no explicit formula for the increments.

ii) For $[V_{i_1}, V_{i_2}] = 0$. Note:

$$B_t^{i_1} B_t^{i_2} = \int B_s^{i_1} dB_s^{i_2} + \int B_s^{i_2} dB_s^{i_1} + [B^{i_1}, B^{i_2}]_t$$

\Rightarrow we can just calculate:

$$B_t^{i_1} B_t^{i_2} - [B^{i_1}, B^{i_2}]_t.$$

Next, we consider the sampling of B^z :

E.g. (Milstein scheme in 2-dim)

We need to sample (B^t, \dot{B}^t, A_t) .

A_t is Lévy's area of B^t, \dot{B}^t

Rmk: There exists explicit formula

for ch.f. of (B^t, \dot{B}^t, A_t) . But
no way to obtain its density.

So Accept-Reject method do
not work.

We can use def of Zor's integral
in Richardson's sum to approx.:

$$B^t \approx \sum_1^n A \beta_k^i, \quad i=1, 2, \quad D = (t/n)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$A_t \approx \sum_1^n B_{t_{j-1}}^i \Delta \beta_j - B_{t_{j-1}}^2 \Delta B_j$$

Rmk: It's not a competitive algo.

and we can consider mo-
ment matching (up to two.)