

# Linear Operators

(1) Examples:

$$\textcircled{1} T: (K^n, \|\cdot\|_1) \rightarrow (K^m, \|\cdot\|_1), T = (T_{ij})_{m \times n}$$

$$\Rightarrow \|T\|_* = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \sum_{i=1}^m |T_{ij}|.$$

Pf:  $\|T e_j\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^m |T_{ij}| \leq \|T\|_* \Rightarrow RNS \leq \|T\|_*.$

$$\|Tx\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^m |(Tx)_i| \leq \sum_j \sum_i |T_{ij}| |x_j|$$

$$\leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \sum_{i=1}^m |T_{ij}| \cdot \|x\|_1$$

$$\textcircled{2} T: (K^n, \|\cdot\|_\infty) \rightarrow (K^m, \|\cdot\|_\infty), T = (T_{ij})_{m \times n}$$

$$\Rightarrow \|T\|_* = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \sum_{j=1}^n |T_{ij}|.$$

Pf:  $\|T(\sum_{j=1}^n (T_{ij}) e_j)\|_\infty = \sum_{j=1}^n |T_{ij}| \leq \|T\|_*.$

$$\|Tx\|_\infty \leq \max_i \left| \sum_j T_{ij} x_j \right| \leq \max_i \sum_{j=1}^n |T_{ij}| \cdot \|x\|_\infty.$$

$$\textcircled{3} T: (K^n, \|\cdot\|_2) \rightarrow (K^m, \|\cdot\|_2), T = (T_{ij})_{m \times n}$$

$$\Rightarrow \|T\|_* = \lambda_{\max}(T^T T).$$

Pf:  $\|Tx\|_2^2 = x^T T^T T x$

$$\textcircled{4} T: C([0,1]; K) \rightarrow K, x \mapsto x(0) \Rightarrow \|T\|_* = 1.$$

Pf:  $\|T\|_X \leq 1$ . And  $|T(I_{[0,1]})| = 1$ .

⑤  $T_g: C([0,1]) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ .  $T_g x = \int_0^1 x(t)g(t)dt$ .

$\Rightarrow \|T_g\|_X = \|g\|_{L^1}$ .

Pf:  $|T_g(x)| \leq \|x\|_\infty \|g\|_{L^1}$ . And let  $x_\varepsilon = \frac{\overline{g}}{|g|+\varepsilon}$

$\Rightarrow |T_g(x_\varepsilon)| \geq \|g\|_{L^1} - \varepsilon$ . let  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

(2) Basic Lem:

Len.  $E, F$  n.v.s.  $\dim E < \infty$ .  $L: E \rightarrow F$  linear.

Then: i) All norms in  $E$  are equi.

ii)  $L$  is conti.

Pf: i) Let  $(x_i)_{i=1}^\infty$  basis of  $E$ . Define:

$\|x\|_1 = \|\sum \lambda_i(x) x_i\|_1 := \sum |\lambda_i(x)|$

$\Rightarrow \|x\|_E \leq \max \|x_i\|_E \|x\|_1$

Conversely,  $(E, \|\cdot\|_1) \xrightarrow{\text{id}} (E, \|\cdot\|_E)$

$\|\cdot\|_E \xrightarrow{\text{id}} \mathbb{K}$  is conti.  $S_E$  is cpt.

So:  $\|\cdot\|_E \circ \text{id}: \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  attains min  $\square = c > 0$   
 $\|x\|_1 = 1$

$\Rightarrow \|x\|_E / \|x\|_1 > c$ .

ii)  $\|Tx\|_F \leq \max \|T(x_i)\|_F \|x\|_1 \lesssim \|x\|_E$

Cor.  $E$  is complete.

Pf:  $x_i \in E \mapsto \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is isomorphism

Lemma.  $E, F$  n.v.s.  $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ .  $S \in \mathcal{L}(F, G)$

$$\Rightarrow \|S \circ T\| \leq \|S\| \|T\|.$$

Rmk: It's not optimal.  $\exists \|S \circ T\| < \|S\| \|T\|$

4.7. i)  $\mathcal{L}(n, n)$   $\mathcal{L}(D)$   $Du = u'$  on  $u \in C([0,1], \mathbb{R})$

ii)  $T: (x_n) \in \ell_1 \mapsto (x_n/n) \in \ell_2$  has no bounded inverse  $T^{-1}(x_n) = (n x_n)$

Lemma.  $E, F$  n.v.s. Then:  $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$  is Banach

$\Leftrightarrow F$  is Banach.

Pf:  $(\Leftarrow)$   $(T_n)$  Cauchy implies  $(T_n x)$  Cauchy.

$$S_n: T_n x \mapsto \tilde{x} =: T x. \quad T \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$$

$(\Rightarrow)$   $(\eta_n)$  Cauchy in  $F$ .

$$\exists \alpha \in E^*. \text{ s.t. } |\alpha(x_n)| = \|x_n\| = 1.$$

$$\text{Set } A^n x := \alpha(x) \eta_n \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$$

$\Rightarrow A^n$  is Cauchy.

Lemma.  $E, F$   $\mathbb{K}$ -n.v.s.  $T: E \rightarrow F$  is linear.

Then:  $\exists B > 0: T^{-1}: R(T) \rightarrow E \Leftrightarrow$

$$\exists c > 0. \|x\| \leq c \|Tx\| \quad \begin{matrix} T \in L \\ \Leftrightarrow \\ \text{if E.F. Bounded} \end{matrix}$$

$N(T) = \{0\}$ .  $R(T)$  is closed.

Lemma: i)  $L$  is convex  $\Leftrightarrow \ker(L)$  is closed.

ii)  $L$  is not convex  $\Leftrightarrow \ker(L) \not\subseteq E$ . hence.

Pf: i)  $\Rightarrow$  is trivial. For ii)  $(\Rightarrow)$ :

$\exists (x_n) \rightarrow 0$ . But  $L(x_n) \not\rightarrow 0$ , i.e.

$\exists (x_{n_k}) \rightarrow 0$ . and  $|L(x_{n_k})| > c > 0$ .

Set  $y_k = x_{n_k} / L(x_{n_k}) \Rightarrow \|y_k\| \rightarrow 0$ .

Notice  $\forall x \in E$ .  $z_k = x - L(x)y_k \in \ker(L)$ .

And  $z_k \rightarrow x$ . So  $\ker(L)$  is dense.

So: i)  $(\Leftarrow)$  and ii)  $(\Leftarrow)$  are true

(3) Basic Thm:

① Hahn Banzach:

Def: " $\leq$ " is partial order on set  $A$  if:

a)  $x \leq x$ .  $\forall x \in A$ . b)  $x \leq y$ ,  $y \leq x \Rightarrow x = y$ .

$$c) \quad x \leq y, y \leq z \Rightarrow x \leq z$$

$m \in A$  is max if  $\forall x \geq m \Rightarrow x = m$ .

$s \in K \subset A$  is upper bound if  $s \geq x, \forall x \in K$ .

And  $K \subset A$  is chain if " $\leq$ " on  $K$  is a total order

e.g.  $(P(X), \leq)$  is a poset.

Lemma (Zorn's)

In poset  $(A, \leq)$ , if  $\forall$  chain  $K$  has a upper bound. Then:  $A$  has max element.

Thm. (Hahn-Banach)

Only require  $F \subset E^{\mathbb{K}}$  L.S. And  $f \in F'$  (only algebraic dual space).  $f(x) \leq \ell(x)$  on  $F$ . where  $\ell$  is sublinear ( $\ell(x+y) \leq \ell(x) + \ell(y)$ ,  $\ell(\lambda x) = |\lambda| \ell(x), \lambda > 0$ )

$\Rightarrow \exists \tilde{f} \in E'$ .  $\tilde{f}|_F = f$ .  $\tilde{f} \leq \ell$  on  $\bar{E}$ .

Cor. For  $\ell$  is seminorm ( $\ell(x+y) \leq \ell(x) + \ell(y)$ ,  $\ell(\lambda x) = |\lambda| \ell(x), \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ )

and  $|f(x)| \leq \ell(x)$  on  $F$ . Then: the extension  $|\tilde{f}(x)| \leq \ell(x)$  on  $\bar{E}$ .

Pf:  $k = k' : -\tilde{f}(x) = \tilde{f}(-x) \leq \ell(-x) = \ell(x)$ .

$k = \mathbb{C} : \text{By linearity in } \mathbb{C}. f(ix) = if(x).$

Assume  $f(x) = f_1(x) + if_2(x) \Rightarrow$

$f_2(x) = -f_1(ix)$ . i.e.  $f(x) = f_1(x) - if_1(ix)$

Apply Thm on  $f_1(x)$ .  $\exists \tilde{f}_1$  extend it

Set  $\tilde{f}(x) = \tilde{f}_1(x) - i\tilde{f}_1(ix) \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ -linear

And  $|\tilde{f}(x)|^2 = \tilde{f}\tilde{f} = \tilde{f}(\tilde{f}(x)x)$

$= \tilde{f}_1(\tilde{f}(x)x) \quad (\text{LHS} \in \mathbb{R})$

$\leq \ell(\tilde{f}(x)x) = |\tilde{f}(x)|\ell(x)$

Cor. For  $F \subset E$  n.v.s.  $\Rightarrow \forall L \in F^* \exists L \in E^*$

Es.  $L|_F = \ell. \quad \|\ell\| = \|L\|.$

Pf: Set  $\ell(x) = \|L\|\|x\|$ . Semilinear.

$\Rightarrow \|L\| \leq \|\ell\|$ . with  $L|_F = \ell \Rightarrow \|L\| = \|\ell\|.$

Prop: For  $F \subset E$  dense. By continuity

extension,  $L$  is unique. Also for

a Banach.  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}(F, G)$  can also

be uniquely extended to  $\mathcal{L}(E, G)$

Pf:  $(x_n) \in F \rightarrow x \in E. \Rightarrow (Tx_n)$  is  
 $\text{Cauchy} \rightarrow \widehat{Tx}$  since  $L$  is Banach

But generally,  $\mathcal{L} = \{L \in E^* \mid L|_F = \ell$   
 $\|L\| = \|\ell\|\}$  may not be singleton.

i)  $\mathcal{L}$  is convex (easy to check)

ii) If  $E^*$  is strictly convex (i.e.  $\|L\|$   
 $= \|L_1\| = 1 \Rightarrow \|\frac{L_1 + L_2}{2}\| < 1, \forall L_1 \neq L_2 \in E^*$ )

Then:  $\#\mathcal{L} = 1$ .

Pf: If  $\exists L_1 \neq L_2 \in \mathcal{L} \Rightarrow \|\frac{1}{2}(\frac{L_1}{\|L_1\|} + \frac{L_2}{\|L_2\|})\|$   
 $< 1 \Rightarrow \|L_1 + L_2\|/2 < \|L_1\|$ .

But  $L_1 + L_2/2$  extend  $\ell$ . So:

$\|L_1 + L_2\|/2 \geq \|L_1\|$ . Contradiction!

e.g.  $\ell_p$  is strictly convex,  $\forall 1 < p < \infty$

(By Minkowski:  $\|y_1 + y_2\|_p < \|y_1\|_p + \|y_2\|_p$

for  $y_1 \neq y_2$ . Since  $y_1, y_2$  l.i.)

So for  $E = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\} \subset \ell_p$ .

Since  $E^* = \{x \mapsto f_y(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i\}$ .

$\ell \in E^*$ , s.t.  $\ell(x) = \sum_i x_i y_i$ . its extension  
 $L \in \mathcal{L}_p^*$ , s.t.  $L|_E = \ell$ .  $\|L\| = \|\ell\|$  is uniquely  
 define by  $L(x) = \sum_i x_i y_i$ .

ex. (Momentum Problem)

$(\tau_n) \leq K'$ . Then:  $\exists \mu \in M(K)$ , s.t.  $\tau_n = \int t^n d\mu$

$K \leq K'$  iff  $(\Leftrightarrow) \exists C > 0$ .  $\forall n$ .  $\forall \lambda_i$ .  $|\sum_i \lambda_i \tau_i| \leq$

$C \|\sum_i \lambda_i t^i\|_{C(K)}$

Pf:  $t^i \in C(K)$ . Note  $C(K)^* \cong M(K)$ .

By Hahn-Banach,  $(\Leftrightarrow) \ell(x) = C\|x\|$

and  $(\Rightarrow) C = \|\ell\|$ .

Remark: It's criterion that whenever we can  
 find p.m.  $\mu$ , s.t.  $E^n(X^\mu) = \tau_n$ .

Cor. i)  $\exists \ell \in E^*$ , s.t.  $|\ell(x)| = \|x\|$ ,  $\|\ell\| = 1$ .

CS:  $\forall x_1 \neq x_2$ .  $\exists \ell \in E^*$ .  $\ell(x_1) \neq \ell(x_2)$

Pf: extend on  $\text{span}\{X\}$ . (let  $X = x_1 - x_2$ )

ii)  $F \subset E$ . subspace of n.v.s.  $x \in E$ , s.t.

$\ell(x, F) > 0$ .  $\Rightarrow \exists \ell \in E^*$ .  $\ell|_F = 0$ .  $\|\ell\| = 1$



and  $\mathcal{L}(x) = \mathcal{L}(x, F)$ .

Pf: Extend  $f(q + \lambda x) = \lambda \delta$ .  $q \in F$  on  $G$   
 $= F + \lambda x$ .  $\Rightarrow \|q\| = 1$ .

Cor.  $F$  is dense  $\Leftrightarrow \forall \mathcal{L} \in E^*$ .  $\mathcal{L}|_F = 0$   
implies  $\mathcal{L} = 0$ .

## ② Open mapping:

Lemma.  $E$  n.v.s.  $F$  Banach  $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$  surjective  
 $\Rightarrow \exists r > 0$  s.t.  $K_1^F(0) \subset \overline{T(K_r^E(0))}$

Pf:  $F$  Banach is for applying Baire then  
on  $F = \bigcup \overline{T(K_n^E(0))}$

Lemma.  $E$  Banach.  $F$  n.v.s.  $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ . s.t.  $\exists r > 0$   
 $K_1^F(0) \subseteq \overline{T(K_r^E(0))} \Rightarrow K_1^F(0) \subset T(K_r^E(0))$

and  $T$  is surjective.

Pf: Fix  $q \in K_1^F(0)$ . Construct  $(q_n) \subset T(K_r^E(0))$   
s.t.  $\|q - \sum_{k=1}^n q_k / 2^{k-1}\| \leq 2^{-n}$  by induction.

s. :  $\exists (x_n) \subset K_r^E(0)$  s.t.  $Tx_n = q_n$ .

And  $(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{x_k}{2^{k-1}})$  is Cauchy  $\rightarrow x \in K_{2r}^E(0)$

$$\Rightarrow Tx = T(\lim \sum \frac{x_n}{2^{n+1}}) = \lim \sum \frac{y_n}{2^{n+1}} = z.$$

So: open mapping Thm requires  $E, F$  are both Banach to apply the two Lemmas.

LEM. Set  $(b_n)$  is Schauder basis in Banach space  $E$ . Then:  $b_n^*: x = \sum \lambda_n b_n \in E \mapsto \lambda_n \in \mathbb{K}$  is linear, conti.  $\forall n$ .

Pf: Set  $\|x\| = \sup_N \|\sum_{n=1}^N b_n^*(x) b_n\|$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So: } |b_n^*(x)| &= \|\sum_{k=1}^n b_k^*(x) b_k - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} b_k^*(x) b_k\| \\ &\leq 2 \|x\| \end{aligned}$$

Next, we prove  $\|\cdot\| \sim \|\cdot\|$  in  $E$ .

$(E, \|\cdot\|) \xrightarrow{i^N} (E, \|\cdot\|)$  is BLO by:

$$\|x\| = \lim \|\sum_{n=1}^N b_n^*(x) b_n\| \leq \|x\|.$$

To apply open mapping Thm. Next we show  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  is Banach space.

For  $(x^n)$  is  $\|\cdot\|$ -Cauchy seq.

$$\begin{aligned} |b_n^*(x^k) - b_n^*(x^l)| &= \|b_n^*(x^k - x^l), b_n\| \\ &\leq 2 \|x^k - x^l\|. \end{aligned}$$

$$s.o.: b_n^* \in X^k \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n \Rightarrow \|\sum_{j=1}^N b_j^* \in X^k b_j - \lambda_k b_k\| < \varepsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow \|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k b_k\| \leq 2\varepsilon + \|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_j^* \in X^k b_j\|.$$

$$\leq 3\varepsilon. \quad \forall N, N_2 \text{ large enough}$$

$$s.o.: \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k b_k \text{ converge in } (E, \|\cdot\|) \rightarrow x$$

$$\text{Similarly we have } \|x^k - x\| \rightarrow 0.$$

ex.  $(E, F)$  Banach is necessary)

$$T: C([0,1], \|\cdot\|_{\infty}) \rightarrow C([0,1]: g(1)=1), \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$$

$$f \mapsto \int_0^1 f(x)$$

is l.h.d. surjective ( $T(g') = g \in C'$ ). But

$$T^{-1}u = u' \text{ isn't l.h.d. } (T^{-1}t^n = n \rightarrow \infty)$$

③ Closed Graph Thm:

1) Require  $E, F$  are Banach & to apply open mapping thm.)

2)  $T$  is linear operator is necessary.

ex.  $f(x) = x^{-1} \mathbb{I}_{\{x>0\}}$  on  $\mathbb{R}'$  has closed graph. But it's not conti.

Lemma.  $F \subset (E, \|\cdot\|_1)$  subspace of Banach space. If  $(F, \|\cdot\|_1), (F, \|\cdot\|_2)$  are both Banach  $\xrightarrow{id, id} E$  is conti. embedding  $\Rightarrow \|\cdot\|_1 \sim \|\cdot\|_2$

Pf: Prove:  $(F, \|\cdot\|_1) \xrightarrow{I} (F, \|\cdot\|_2)$  is isomorphism.

Check by  $C_h T: (X_n) \rightarrow x$  in  $\|\cdot\|_1$ ,

and  $x_n \rightarrow y$  in  $\|\cdot\|_2$ . Since  $id, id$

are conti.  $\Rightarrow x = y$ . So  $I$  is closed

④ Banach-Steinhaus Thm:

• KDP require  $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ .  $E$  is Banach

Since we need to apply it on  $E =$

$\bigcup_n \{x \mid \|Tx\| \leq n, \forall T \in \mathcal{T}\}$ .

e.g. (Banach is necessary)

$f_n: \mathbb{C} \ni x \mapsto nx_n \in \mathbb{K}'$ .  $(f_n)$  is

pointwise bdd but not uniformly.

Lemma.  $E, F$  n.v.s.  $(T_n) \in \mathcal{L}(E, F) \rightarrow T$  point-

wise.  $(T_n)$  is uniform bdd  $\Rightarrow T \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$

Pf:  $\|Tx\| = \liminf_n \|T_n x\| \leq \sup \|T_n\| \cdot \|x\|$

Cr. For  $E$  Banach.  $T_n \in L(E, F) \xrightarrow{\text{ptwise}} T$

$$\Rightarrow T \in L(E, F). \quad \|T\| \leq \liminf \|T_n\|$$

Pf:  $(T_n)$  is pointwise bdd. Apply  
UBP  $\Rightarrow ( \|T_n\| )$  is bdd.

$$\text{Choose } \|T_{n_k}\| \rightarrow \liminf \|T_n\|.$$

$$\text{So: } \|Tx\| = \lim \|T_{n_k}x\| \leq \liminf \|T_n\| \|x\|$$

Ex. ptwise convergence  $\not\Rightarrow$  Unif. conv.

$$f_n: X \in C_0 \mapsto X_n \in \mathbb{K} \rightarrow 0 \text{ pointwise.}$$

$$\text{But } \|f_n\| = 1 \not\rightarrow 0.$$

Thm. (Dunford - Steinhaus)

$E, F$  Banach. Then:  $(T_n) \subset L(E, F) \rightarrow$

$T \in L(E, F)$  ptwise  $\Leftrightarrow$  i)  $\sup \|T_n\| \leq \infty$

ii)  $\exists D \subset E$  dense.  $(T_n \eta)$  converge  $\forall \eta \in D$ .

Pf:  $(\Rightarrow)$  is Cr. above. For  $(\Leftarrow)$

$$\text{We have } \|T_n x - T_m x\| \leq \|T_n\| \|x - \eta\|$$

$$+ \|T_m\| \|x - \eta\| + \|T_n \eta - T_m \eta\|. \quad \eta \in D.$$

$\Rightarrow (T_n x)$  is Cauchy in  $F$ .

Cor. (Num. Quadrature)

Let  $I : f \in (a, b) \mapsto \int_a^b f \in \mathbb{R}$  and

$I_n : f \in (a, b) \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^n w_j^{(n)} f(x_j^{(n)})$ . Then:

$I_n \rightarrow I$  pointwise  $(\Leftrightarrow)$

i)  $I_n(p) \rightarrow I(p)$   $\forall p$  polynomial.

ii)  $\sup_n \sum_{j=1}^n |w_j| \leq M < \infty$ .

Pf: Nice polynomials is dense in

$C([a, b], \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ . Besides:

$$\|I_n f\| \leq \sum_{j=1}^n |w_j^{(n)}| \|f\|_\infty.$$

$$\text{And let } f(x_j^{(n)}) = \text{sgn}(w_j^{(n)}) \|f\|_\infty$$

$$\Rightarrow \|I_n\| = \sum_{j=1}^n |w_j^{(n)}|.$$

Ex. For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $A : f \in \mathcal{L}_p \mapsto (A f(n)) \in \mathcal{L}_p$

$$A f(n) := \sum_{k=1}^n a_{nk} f(k) \Rightarrow A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}_p).$$

Pf: Set  $\mathcal{L}_n^{(ci)} : f \in \mathcal{L}_p \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} f_j \in \mathbb{R}$ .

By assumption:  $A f(n)$  is finite

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n^{(ci)} \rightarrow A(\cdot)(ci)$  pointwise.

So:  $A(\cdot)(ci) \in \mathcal{L}_p^*$ .  $(a_{ij})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ . And

$$\|A f(i)\| \leq \|(\alpha_{ij})_j\|_q \|f\|_p.$$

$$\Rightarrow A_n f = (A f(1), \dots, A f(n), 0, 0, \dots) \in L(L_p)$$

$$\text{and } A_n f \xrightarrow{r.t.} A f \text{ by cond. } \Rightarrow A \in L(L_p)$$

Cor.  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  is  $\sigma$ -finite.  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . If

$$k(x, \cdot) f(\cdot) \in L^1(\mu) \text{ and } K f(x) = \int k(x, y) f(y) d\mu(y) \in L^p(\mu), \forall f \in L^p \Rightarrow k \in L(L^p(\mu))$$

$$f(y) d\mu(y) \in L^1(\mu). \forall f \in L^p \Rightarrow k \in L(L^p(\mu))$$

Pf: Set  $\mathcal{A}_n^* = \mathcal{A}_n \cap \{k(x, \cdot) < n\}$ . s.t.

$$\mathcal{A} = \bigcup \mathcal{A}_n. \mu(\mathcal{A}_n) < \infty.$$

$$K_n^* f = \int_{\mathcal{A}_n^*} k(x, y) f(y) d\mu(y) \in L_p^* \xrightarrow{r.t.} K f(x)$$

$$J_0 : K f(x) \in L_p^* = L_q$$

$$\text{Set } D_n = \{ \|k(x, \cdot)\|_{L_2} < n \} \cap \mathcal{A}_n \text{ and}$$

$$T_n f(x) = \mathbb{I}_{D_n} \cdot \int k(x, y) f(y) d\mu(y) \in L(L_p)$$

$$\xrightarrow{r.t.} T f \in L(L_p)$$

#### ④ Separation Thm.

Thm. For  $E$  n.v.s.

$$i) M \subset E. \text{ closed convex. } x_0 \notin M. \Rightarrow$$

$$\exists \ell \in E^*. \text{ s.t. } \ell(x, \ell) \leq \ell(x_0, \ell) \quad \forall x \in M.$$

ii)  $M_1, M_2 \subset E$  convex.  $M_1 \cap M_2 = \emptyset$ .

if a)  $M_2$  open

or b)  $M_1$  closed,  $M_2$  cpt.

then:  $\exists \ell \in E^*$  s.t. for  $\forall x_1 \in M_1, x_2 \in M_2$

$$R_\ell(x_1, \ell) \leq \alpha < R_\ell(x_2, \ell)$$

Pf: i) For  $IK = IK'$ : Apply Hahn-Banach on

$f(\lambda x_0) = \lambda p_m(x_0)$  on  $\text{span}\{x_0\}$  s.t.

$p_m$  is gauge func. to  $\ell \in E^*$ .

For  $IK = \mathbb{C}$ : Set  $\tilde{\ell}(x) = \ell(x) - i\ell(ix)$

ii) Similarly, we prove case  $IK = K'$  and

Let  $\tilde{\ell}(x) = \ell(x) - i\ell(ix)$  in  $IK = \mathbb{C}$ .

a) Let  $M = M_1 - M_2 = U_{M_1}([x]) - M_2$  open

and  $0 \notin M$ . So by i):  $\exists \ell \in E^*$  s.t.

$$\ell(x_1 - x_2) = \ell(x_1) - \ell(x_2) < \ell(0) = 0 \quad \forall x_i \in M_i$$

$$\Rightarrow \ell(x_1) \leq \inf_{M_2} \ell(x_2) \leq \ell(x_2).$$

Next prove:  $\inf_{M_2} \ell(x_2)$  can't attain

otherwise.  $\exists x_0 \in M_2, \ell(x_0) = \inf_{M_2} \ell(x_2) \square$

$$\Rightarrow \exists B_r(x_0) \subset M_2$$



If  $\mathcal{L} \equiv \mathcal{L}$  on  $B_r(x_0) \Rightarrow B_r(0) \in \ker(\mathcal{L})$

$\exists_0: \mathcal{L} \equiv 0. \Rightarrow \exists x_0 + y \in B_r(x_0). \text{ s.t.}$

$\mathcal{L}(x_0 + y) \neq \mathcal{L}(x_0) \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}(y) \neq 0. \exists_0:$

$\mathcal{L}(x_0 + y) \wedge \mathcal{L}(x_0 - y) < \mathcal{L}(x_0). \text{ Contradiction}$

Prop: linear functional can't attain its  
inf/sup on open set.

b) check  $M_1 - M_2$  is closed and convex

Then  $\exists B_r(0) \cap (M_1 - M_2) = \emptyset.$

$\Rightarrow$  reduce b) to i).