

# Finance in Conti Time.

## i) Geometric BM:

Consider  $X_t = X_0 e^{(m - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)t + \sigma B_t}$ . By Itô's:  
( $B_t$  is SPM)  
 $\Delta X_t = X_t(m \Delta t + \sigma \Delta B_t)$

We call such  $X_t$  by geometric BM.

Remark:  $\log X_t$  is normally distributed.

Bachelier first used BM to model the price development in economics. But it's inadequate:

- i) it can be negative
- ii) one should think in term "return" rather than "price".

But we can use the geometric one:

$$\Delta X_t / X_t = m \Delta t + \sigma \Delta B_t.$$

- i)  $\Delta X_t / X_t = (X_{t+\Delta t} - X_t) / X_t$ . the gain per unit of value in stock. i.e. return.
- ii)  $m \Delta t$  deterministic term. is the riskless investment in bank with interest rate  $m$ .
- iii)  $\sigma \Delta B_t$  the random term models uncertainty in market.

## return intervals:

- i) Long (Macroscopic): invest in time-scale of month / year. So the price-change is the sum of price-change over days and these are indept. By CLT  $\Rightarrow$  normal dist.
- ii) Intermediate (Mesoscopic): in scale of day.  
It has fatter tail so normality won't come in. The model we use is hyperbolic dist.
- iii) Short (Microscopic): High-frequency data is available nowadays from Internet. So the tail is much fatter if we consider the interval of order of seconds.

## (c) Black-Scholes Model:

Consider:  $R_{t+} = r + \beta_t \pi_t$  models the riskless invest in bank with interest rate  $r_t$ .  $\Rightarrow \beta_t = \beta_0 e^{\int_0^t r_s ds}$ .

and:  $S_{t+} = \mu S_t \pi_t + \sigma S_t \lambda W_t$ . models the risky invest in stock. it's GBM-like. ( $W_t$  is SBM)

Lemma:  $S_t = S_0 e^{\sigma W_t - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t + \int_0^t \mu ds}$ .

Pf. By Itô's formula.

Set  $\tilde{S}_t = S_t/B_t$  the discounted stock price.

$$\Rightarrow \lambda \tilde{S}_0 = \tilde{S}_t e^{(r_t - \lambda t) \lambda t} + \sigma \tilde{S}_t \lambda W_t.$$

$$= \sigma \tilde{S}_t (\theta_t \lambda t + \lambda W_t) . \quad \theta_t := \frac{m_t - r_t}{\lambda}$$

Apply Girsanov Thm. we can find the risk neutral p.m.  $\lambda P^* = e^{-\int_0^t \theta_s dW_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \theta_s^2 ds}$   $\lambda P$ .

which shift:  $\theta_t \mapsto 0$ .

Thm (Risk-neutral Valuation Formula)

The no-arbitrage price of claim  $h(S_T)$  is

$$F_{t,x} = \mathbb{E}_{t,x}^* \left[ \frac{B_t}{B_T} h(S_T) | \mathcal{G}_t \right], \quad S_t = x.$$

Besides, under  $\lambda P^*$ .  $\lambda S_t = V_t S_t \lambda t + \sigma S_t \lambda W_t$ .

Pf:  $\lambda \tilde{V}_t = \mu_t \lambda \tilde{S}_t = \mu_t \cdot \sigma \tilde{S}_t \lambda W_t$  under  $\lambda P^*$ .

where  $\mu_t$  is perfect hedging of  $\lambda$ .

$\Rightarrow \tilde{V}_t$  is  $\lambda P^*$ -mart. as before.

Thm (Conti. BS Formula)

The value of European call option with the striking price  $K$  and expire time  $T$  at  $t=t$  is  $C(t, S_t, K, T) = S_t \phi(z) - \frac{K B_t}{B_T} \phi(z - \sqrt{T-t})$

$$\text{where } z = (\ln(S_t/K) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 (T-t) + \int_t^T r_s ds) / \sigma \sqrt{T-t}$$

Pf: By Thm above. WLOG. set  $t=0$ .  $S_0 =$

$$C(0, S_0, k, T) = \mathbb{E}^F_c \tilde{S}_T - k/B_T)_+$$

$$\tilde{S}_T \sim S_0 e^{S_0 r_{SD} - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 T + \sigma \sqrt{T} Z} \sim N(S_0, 1).$$

### ① BS PDE:

Apply Itô's formula on  $B_t^T C(t, S_t)$ . (Note  $C(t, x)$   $\in C^{2,1}$ ).

$$\Rightarrow B_t \lambda (B_t^T C(t, S_t))$$

$$= \partial_x C(t, S_t) \sigma S_t \lambda W_t + (\partial_x C(t, S_t) r + \lambda S_t + \frac{\sigma^2 S_t^2}{2}) \partial_{xx} C(t, S_t) \\ + \partial_t C(t, S_t) - r t C(t, S_t) \lambda t$$

Since  $\tilde{F}(t, S_t) = C(t, S_t)/B_t$  is  $P^F$ - mart.

$$S_t : -r t C(t, x) + \partial_t C(t, x) + r_t x \partial_x C(t, x) + \frac{\sigma^2 x^2}{2} \partial_{xx} C(t, x) = 0$$

with boundary cond.:  $C(T, x) = (x - k)_+$ .

rk: For general contingent claim  $F \circ S_T$ .

We can change the boundary condition:

$$C(T, x) = F(x).$$

### ② Hedging:

$$i) \text{ By BS-PDE: } \lambda C(t, S_t) = \left( -\frac{S_t \partial_x C(t, S_t)}{B_t} + \frac{C(t, S_t)}{B_t} \right) \lambda B_t \\ + \partial_x C(t, S_t) \lambda S_t.$$

$$\underline{Thm} \quad \forall t \leq T, \quad \underline{N_t^0} = \partial x(c(t, S_t)).$$

$$N_t = (-S_t \partial x(c(t, S_t)) + (c(t, S_t))) / \beta t$$

is the perfect hedging for the call option

If: easy to see  $N_t$  is SF.

ii) For  $h \in \mathcal{G}_T$ ,  $h \in L^1(\Omega)$ . If  $N$  is hedging:

$$\tilde{V}_t = N_t^0 + N_t \tilde{S}_t = \bar{E}^*(c(B_T))^{-1} h | \mathcal{F}_t \stackrel{d}{=} M_t.$$

By mart. representation:  $M_t = M_0 + \int_0^t f_s dW_s$ .

with Ocone - Clark formula, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} f_s &= (B_T)^{-1} \bar{E}(c(psh | \mathcal{F}_s)) \\ &= (B_T)^{-1} \bar{E}(c(h(s_T) \sigma s_T | \mathcal{F}_s)), \quad \text{if } h = h(s_T). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $S_T = e^{(t-s)W + I_{[0,T]}}$ ,  $\sigma$  is const.

set  $\begin{cases} N_t = B \sigma f_t / \sigma s_t \\ N_t^0 = M_t - N_t \tilde{S}_t \end{cases}$  the hedging.

$$\Rightarrow \lambda N_t = \frac{f_t}{\sigma s_t} \cdot \sigma \tilde{S}_t \lambda W_t = N_t \lambda \tilde{S}_t \text{ under } P^*.$$

(3) Barrier Option:

Def. The Barrier option with expire time  $T$   
and payoff function  $\eta = I \{ \max_{[0,T]} S_t \geq k \}$ .

Consider model :  $\begin{cases} B_t = B_0 e^{rt} \text{. Deterministic} \\ S_t = S_0 e^{(r - r^*)t + \sigma w_t} \end{cases}$

$\Rightarrow$  The arbitrage value of Barrier option is :

$$\begin{aligned} V_0 &= e^{-rt} \mathbb{P}_0 \left( \max_{[0,T]} S_t \geq k \right) \\ &= e^{-rt} \mathbb{P}_0 \left( \max_{[0,T]} (W_t + (\tilde{r} - \frac{\sigma}{2})t) \geq T \right). \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{r} = r/\sigma$ .  $T = (\log k)/\sigma$ .

Recall :  $S_{0t} \quad \theta = -(\tilde{r} - \frac{\sigma}{2})$ . under  $\mathbb{P}_0 = e^{\theta W_t - \frac{\theta^2}{2}t} \mathbb{P}_0$ .  $\tilde{W}_t = W_t + (\tilde{r} - \frac{\sigma}{2})t$  has

same law as  $W_t$  under  $\mathbb{P}_0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} S_0 : \mathbb{P}_0 \left( \max_{[0,T]} S_t \geq k \right) &= e^{\frac{1}{2}(b^2 - 2\theta r + \theta^2)T} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_0} [e^{-\theta \tilde{W}_T}] I_{\{\max_{[0,T]} \tilde{W}_t \geq T\}} \\ &= e^{\theta T} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_0} [e^{-\theta \tilde{W}_T}] I_{\{\max_{[0,T]} \tilde{W}_t \geq T\}} \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow$  Apply Reflection principle, we can obtain  $V_0$ .

Remark: For Knockin's option payoff with payoff

$$at T : (K - S_T)_+ I_{\{\max_{[0,T]} S_t \geq H\}}. H > k.$$

We can also find  $V_{0k1}$  as above.

(4) American put option in  $[0, \infty)$ :

Recall we use small envelop to solve it on finite time-horizon. Next, we consider it on  $[0, \infty)$ .

Consider under  $\mathbb{P}^x$ :  $dX_t = rX_t dt + \sigma X_t \mu N_t$ .

For stopping time  $\tau$ . solve:  $V(x) = \sup_{\tau} \mathbb{E}_x e^{-r\tau} (k - X_{\tau})_+$ .

which is the value of option.

Denote  $L_x = rx dx + \frac{\sigma^2 x}{2} dx$  generator of  $X$ .

Set  $\tau_b = \inf \{t \geq 0 \mid X_t \leq b\}$ .  $b < k$ .

Rank: We will stop at  $\tau_b$ . Since the closer  $X \rightarrow 0$ . we're less likely to profit

It converts to solve:

$L_x V = rV$ . for  $x > b$ ;  $V(b) = (k-b)_+$  (b.c.).

$V'(x) = -1$  for  $x = b$ . (smooth fit)

Besides,  $V(x) > (k-x)_+$ .  $\forall x > b$ ;

$$V(x) = (k-x)_+ \quad \forall x < b.$$

Set  $\lambda = \sigma^2/2$ .  $\Rightarrow$  solve:  $\lambda x^2 V'' + rxV' - rV = 0$ .

$\Rightarrow$  we have  $V(x) = c_1 x + c_2 x^{-r/\lambda}$ . C1 const.

But  $V$  is bad  $\Rightarrow V(x) = c x^{-r/\lambda}$ .

with  $V(b) = -1$ . we have  $c = \frac{\lambda}{r} \left( \frac{k}{1+r/\lambda} \right)^{1+r/\lambda}$ .

$$\text{So: } V(x) = \begin{cases} k-x & . \quad x \leq b \\ \frac{\lambda}{r} \left( \frac{k}{1+r/\lambda} \right)^{1+r/\lambda} x^{-r/\lambda} & . \quad x > b. \end{cases}$$

Rank: It's applicable in real option, which concerns with the business - decision making.