

Insurance Maths

- The fire insurance starting in 1681 is the first insurance due to the great fire in London.
- Next, we will use compound Poisson process to model the arrivals of claim with random claim sizes.

Suppose the rate of claim arrival is λ .
each claim (X_k) are i.i.d. with mean m .
So the total value of claim in $[0, t]$ is:

$$S(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{N(t)} X_k, \text{ where } N(t) \sim \text{Po}(\lambda t), X_k \sim F$$

- Rmk: i) The main weakness here is "indep".
ii) By Wald's: $E(S_{t+\delta}) = \lambda m t$.

- Next, we consider the Ruin Problem:

The initial capital from the company is u .

The premium income comes in from the policy
holders at const. rate c .

\Rightarrow The total capital is $ct + u$ at time = t .

Denote W_i is the inter-claim waiting time.

$$Z_i \stackrel{d}{=} X_i - cW_i. \quad E(Z_i) = m - c/\lambda.$$

By SLLN, to avoid bankruptcy, we require:

$$E(Z_i) < 0. \text{ i.e. } c > \lambda m \quad (\text{NPC})$$

We call it Net profit condition (NPC).

Premium Calculation:

First, we evaluate safety loading (SL) $\epsilon > 0$.

$$\text{Set } c = (1+\epsilon) \frac{E(X_k)}{E(W_k)} = (1+\epsilon) \lambda m.$$

$$\text{Rmk: } \epsilon = \frac{c - \lambda m}{\lambda m} > 0. \Leftrightarrow \text{NPC holds.}$$

B Lundberg's Ineqn.:

$$\text{Set } M(s) := E(e^{sX_0}). \text{ Assume:}$$

i) Small claim condition (SCC). $\exists s_0 > 0$. s.t.

$$M(s_0) < \infty \text{ for } |t| \leq s_0.$$

Rmk: i) It implies $\Pr(X_0 > x_0) \leq M(s_0)/e^{sx_0}$. decy exponentially on this prob.

ii) $M(s)$ is smooth. convex. $M(0) = 1$.

ii) Lundberg coefficient $r > 0$. exists. defined by

$$r > 0. \quad M_{Z_0}(r) = \overline{E}(e^{rX_0 - cW_0}) = 1. \text{ i.e.}$$

$$M(r) = 1 + \frac{cr}{\lambda}.$$

rk: The bigger r means the bigger S_n .

\Rightarrow The better.

Thm. If NPC. SCC, LC holds. Then the ruin prob. $\gamma(n)$ with initial capital n satisfy:

$$\gamma(n) \leq e^{-rn}$$

Pf. Denote $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n z_k$.

$$\gamma_{n+1}(n) := \Pr_{\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} S_k > n} (\gamma(n)).$$

\Rightarrow Next, we prove: $\gamma_{n+1}(n) \leq e^{-rn} \ \forall n$.

by induction on n .

$n=1$. by Chebyshev: $\gamma_1(n) \leq M_2(c_r) / e^{rn} = e^{-rn}$

$k=n+1$: By one-step argument:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{n+1}(n) &= \Pr(z_1 > n) + \Pr(z_1 \leq n, \max_{2 \leq k \leq n+1} (S_k - z_1) \\ &> n - z_1) \stackrel{*}{=} p_1 + p_2. \end{aligned}$$

$$p_1 \leq \int_{(n, \infty)} e^{-r(x-n)} \lambda F(x)$$

$$p_2 \stackrel{M_1}{=} \int_{(-\infty, n]} \Pr_{\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} (X + S_k) > n} \lambda F(x)$$

$$= \int_{(-\infty, n]} \gamma_n(n-x) \lambda F(x)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{hyp}}{\leq} \int_{(-\infty, n]} e^{-r(n-x)} \lambda F(x).$$

$$S_0 = \gamma_{n+1}(n) \leq e^{-rn} M(r) = e^{-rn}.$$

$$\text{Set } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad S_0 = \gamma(n) \leq e^{-rn}.$$

② Renewal Equation:

Recall renewal equation for \tilde{F} and $Z_{0(t)}$ is

$$Z_{0(t)} = Z_{0(t-n)} + \int_0^t Z_{0(t-u)} \lambda \tilde{F}(u) du.$$

Next, we will show $\varphi_{0(n)}$ almost satisfies renewal-type equation.

$$\text{Note: } m = \bar{F}(x_0) = \int_0^\infty (1 - F(x)) dx.$$

Set $\lambda h(x) = \frac{1 - F(x)}{m} \lambda x$ is also a density.

$\varphi_{0(n)} = 1 - \varphi_{0(n)}$, the prob. of survival.

$$\varphi_{0(n)} = \bar{F} \cdot I_{\{z_i \leq n\}} \bar{F} \cdot I_{\{z_{n+1} > n-z_i\}} \cup z_{23} \{z_{11}\}$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \lambda e^{-\lambda w} dw \int_0^{n+w} \varphi_{0(n+w-x)} \lambda F(x) dx$$

$$= \frac{\lambda e^{\lambda w_0}}{c} \int_{w_0}^\infty e^{-\lambda z/c} g(z) \lambda z dz.$$

$$\text{where } g(z) = \int_0^z \varphi_{0(z-x)} \lambda F(x) dx$$

Dif:

$$\Rightarrow \dot{\varphi}_{0(n)} = \frac{\lambda}{c} \varphi_{0(n)} - \frac{\lambda}{c} \int_0^n \varphi_{0(n-x)} \lambda F(x) dx.$$

integrate

$$\Rightarrow \varphi(0) - \varphi(c) = \frac{\lambda}{c} \int_0^c \dot{\varphi}_{0(n)} - \frac{\lambda}{c} \varphi_{0(n)} \int_0^c F + \frac{\lambda}{c} \int_0^c \lambda n \int_0^n$$

$$= \frac{\lambda}{c} \int_0^c \varphi_{0(c-x)} (1 - F(x)) \lambda x$$

$F(x) \varphi_{0(x-n)} \lambda x$

$$= (1+c)^{-1} \int_0^c \varphi_{0(c-x)} \lambda h(x).$$

Note: $\varphi_{0(n)} \not\rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. by SLLN. $S_n \rightarrow -\infty$.

Set $n \rightarrow \infty$. we can find $\phi(0) = \frac{c}{1+c}$.

$$\text{So: } \phi(n) = \frac{c}{1+c} + \frac{1}{1+c} \int_0^n \phi(n-x) \frac{1-F(x)}{m} dx$$

$$\text{i.e. } \psi(n) = \frac{1}{1+c} \int_n^\infty \frac{(1-F(x))}{m} dx + \frac{1}{1+c} \int_0^n \psi(n-x) \frac{1-F(x)}{m} dx$$

③ Cramer's estimate of ruin:

By LC and integrate by part: $\int_0^\infty (1-F(x)) e^{-rx} = \frac{c}{r} = m$ (true)

$\Rightarrow \frac{\lambda}{c} (1-F(x)) e^{-rx} dx$ is density on \mathbb{R}^+ . which is called Esscher transform.

Then. Under NPC. SCC. LC. we have:

$$e^{rn} \psi(n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{const.} = (\lambda - \lambda m) / \lambda r \int_0^\infty x e^{-rx} (1-F(x)) dx.$$

Pf: It's direct from key renewal thm.

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z(t) = \tilde{m}^{-1} \int_0^\infty Z_0(s) ds. \quad \tilde{m} = E(\hat{F}).$$

so we only need to calculate:

$$\int_0^\infty e^{rn} \lambda n \int_n^\infty (1-F(x)) dx = \frac{\lambda - \lambda m}{\lambda r}$$

follows from integrate by part.

$$\text{Besides, } \tilde{m} = \frac{\lambda}{c} \int_0^\infty x e^{-rx} (1-F(x)) dx$$

follow from the observation below.

Note $\psi(n)e^{rn}$ satisfies (CRE):

$$(\psi(n)e^{rn}) = e^{rn} \int_n^\infty \frac{(1-F(x))}{(1+c)m} dx + \int_0^n$$

$$(\psi(n-x) e^{r(n-x)}) \frac{e^{rx} (1-F(x))}{(1+c)m} dx.$$