BERKELEY • DAVIS • IRVINE • LOS ANGELES • MERCED • RIVERSIDE • SAN DIEGO • SAN FRANCISCO



9500 GILMAN DRIVE LA JOLLA, CALIFORNIA 92093-0348

Student ASE Evaluation for Ruobing Zhao Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

MAE 107 - Computational Methods/Engineer (McEneaney, William Michael) Fall 2014

Number of Evaluations Submitted: 36 Number of Students Enrolled: 162

1. The Teaching Assistant/Tutor was well organized and prepared for class.

8 (22.2%): Strongly Agree

21 (58.3%): Agree

4 (11.1%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

2 (5.6%): Disagree

1 (2.8%): Strongly Disagree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

2. The Teaching Assistant/Tutor consistently arrived at lecture, section/lab, office hours and exams on time.

14 (38.9%): Strongly Agree

20 (55.6%): Agree

0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

2 (5.6%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

3. The Teaching Assistant/Tutor presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately in class.

10 (27.8%): Strongly Agree

11 (30.6%): Agree

7 (19.4%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

5 (13.9%): Disagree

2 (5.6%): Strongly Disagree 1 (2.8%): Not Applicable 4. The Teaching Assistant/Tutor helped develop my thinking skills on the subject.

8 (22.2%): Strongly Agree

11 (30.6%): Agree

9 (25.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

5 (13.9%): Disagree

2 (5.6%): Strongly Disagree 1 (2.8%): Not Applicable

5. Feedback from the Teaching Assistant/Tutor on assignments, exams and/or papers was helpful and constructive.

13 (36.1%): Strongly Agree

10 (27.8%): Agree

5 (13.9%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

2 (5.6%): Disagree

3 (8.3%): Strongly Disagree 3 (8.3%): Not Applicable

6. The Teaching Assistant/Tutor's explanations were appropriate, being neither too complicated nor too simple.

12 (33.3%): Strongly Agree

14 (38.9%): Agree

6 (16.7%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

3 (8.3%): Disagree

1 (2.8%): Strongly Disagree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

7. The Teaching Assistant/Tutor answered questions clearly and effectively, helping students to make connections among the course readings, assignments, and lectures.

12 (33.3%): Strongly Agree

12 (33.3%): Agree

7 (19.4%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

3 (8.3%): Disagree

1 (2.8%): Strongly Disagree 1 (2.8%): Not Applicable

8. The Teaching Assistant/Tutor was genuinely interested in and enthusiastic about teaching.

9 (25.0%): Strongly Agree

11 (30.6%): Agree

11 (30.6%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

4 (11.1%): Disagree

1 (2.8%): Strongly Disagree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

9. The Teaching Assistant/Tutor was accessible to students outside of class (office hours, e-mail, etc.).

13 (36.1%): Strongly Agree

14 (38.9%): Agree

3 (8.3%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

2 (5.6%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 4 (11.1%): Not Applicable

10. The Teaching Assistant/Tutor effectively connected the section/lab exercises with the material covered in lecture.

12 (33.3%): Strongly Agree

16 (44.4%): Agree

5 (13.9%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

1 (2.8%): Disagree

1 (2.8%): Strongly Disagree 1 (2.8%): Not Applicable

11. In terms of communication skills, did the Teaching Assistant/Tutor demonstrate any of the following? (check all that apply)

26 (37.7%): No issues 18 (26.1%): Too quiet 0 (0.0%): Too loud 5 (7.2%): Too fast 3 (4.3%): Too slow

5 (7.2%): Poor grammar and/or English language skills

6 (8.7%): Used filler words such as "um"

6 (8.7%): Other (please describe)

- Bad handwriting
- Messy writing, writing too small
- Handwriting was difficult to read.
- Wrote too small on the board
- Hard to understand at some points
- When writing on the board, it was often difficult to read, even when sitting towards the front of the classroom.

12. I would recommend this Teaching Assistant/Tutor to other students.

12 (33.3%): Strongly Agree

11 (30.6%): Agree

9 (25.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

3 (8.3%): Disagree

1 (2.8%): Strongly Disagree

13. Please describe this person's greatest strengths as a Teaching Assistant/Tutor.

- Good TA! She was always very nice and prompt when asked questions. She also responded to emails well. I think what i like most is how she typed up all her notes from problem sessions, and is an example of her going above and beyond for the benefit of us students!
- Helped us with homework
- Lecture summaries for quizzes were brief and exact on what material to study.
- Makes time to help students who need it
- She did try and help us understand how the solution is reached. Probably not the most clear and effective matter, but she did her best to help clear any misunderstandings.
- She is great at clearly explaining the topics being covered, and answering questions.
- She often sums up the discussion notes and sends them via email to students. Thank you, Ruobing!
- She really helped with the homeworks and to clearly explain concepts that I was having trouble with.
- smart
- · Super helpful! Super smart!
- Understanding of the material
- Very patient
- Very willing to help students succeed through homework and exam preparation.
- Whenever we needed her, she was always helpful.
- 14. Please describe this person's greatest weaknesses as a Teaching Assistant/Tutor.
- Communicating the material to students
- Did not understand her explanations at all. And her accent made it hard for me to understand during section
- Just really hard to understand her speech, she pretty much mutters everything.
- · Lack of organization when writing on the board
- Nothing in particular

- She was sometimes not well-informed on what was covered in lecture.
- She's a bit quiet, and has a slight accent, but that doesn't interfere when she is answering student questions
- Sometimes hard to understand and tended to ramble without any awareness of how the class was responding/ felt about the material.
- Sometimes in problem sessions was too quiet... and also didn't hold the attention very well she just read off her paper... but mostly good.
- Sometimes seems a little unprepared, though she clearly demonstrates an understanding of the material.
 - Also seems to have trouble understanding students' questions
- Sometimes talks at the board rather than to the students
- There were times she told us wrong equations. She was confusing. She was also very slow in lecturing.
- Very hard to read handwriting
- Very quiet speaker, often difficult to hear.

15. Do you have any other comments to add to your evaluation? *Please provide any additional constructive comments*

- a little shy..but overall still a very good TA.
- Grading accuracy is horrible. TAs consistently grade question on homework and quizes wrong to the point of dropping scores an entire letter grade. I emailed this TA about fixing the grading on an assignment 3 weeks after emailing her and she still had not addressed the issue.
- Great TA. Both of the TA's for this class were very instructive and helpful
- Nothing in particular
- TA's on boards are cooler than average.
- Thank you Ruobing you're a great TA and I hope I have you again!
- Very helpful TA
- You're good at teaching and show an interest in helping students succeed, which is great. You seem a little timid when teaching; be more confident! More importantly, I have seen many cases where a student asks a question and you seem to answer something else. Try listening more carefully when a student speaks. Other than that, keep up the good work!

Please note that any responses or comments submitted by evaluators do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express his or her opinion.