

Lanczos algorithm

Friday, March 11, 2022 1:50 PM

Why Lanczos algorithm?

- Local Hamiltonians describing qubit systems are SPARSE:

for nearest-neighbour interaction only:

$$\hat{H} = \sum_i w_i \hat{\sigma}_i^z + \sum_{i \neq j} g_{ij} (\hat{\sigma}_i^+ \hat{\sigma}_j^- + \hat{\sigma}_i^- \hat{\sigma}_j^+)$$

$$\hat{H} = \begin{pmatrix} w_1 & g_{12} & & \emptyset \\ g_{21} & w_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ \emptyset & & & g_{N-1} \\ & & & g_{N-1} & w_N \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\dim(H) = N \times N = 2^n \times 2^n \quad \text{with } n \text{ qubits}$$

- Full diagonalization does not make use of sparsity

↳ Calculating the ground state by diagonalizing H
doesn't use sparsity

↳ Calculating time evolution requires exponentiating H

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = e^{-iHt} |\psi(0)\rangle \quad \text{if } \Delta t = t_0 \text{ is small enough}$$

which can be done through diagonalization of H

$$H = S^\dagger D S \quad \text{where } D \text{ is a diagonal matrix} \\ S \text{ is unitary}$$

then

$$e^{-iHt} = 1 - iHt - \frac{1}{2} H^2 t^2 + \dots$$

$$= 1 - i(S^\dagger D S)^\dagger - \frac{1}{2} (S^\dagger D S)(S^\dagger D S) t^2 + \dots$$

$$= 1 - i(S^\dagger D S) t - \frac{1}{2} S^\dagger D^2 S t^2 + \dots$$

$$= S^+ \left(1 - i D t - \frac{i^2}{2} D^2 t^2 + \dots \right) S$$

$$= S^+ e^{-i D t} S$$

We can calculate the matrix exponential by first diagonalizing H and then exponentiating a diagonal matrix D (which means exponentiating each of the diagonal elements)

Time evolution doesn't use the sparsity of H

* Matrix-vector multiplication can use sparsity

for example, create a matrix with same # of rows of original matrix and a tuple for each non-zero matrix element which stores the column index and the value.

For each row of the matrix (each can be done in parallel) sum the product of the non-zero values in the marked column * by the corresponding element in the vector's rows

*

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \quad X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \cdot 1 + 0 \cdot 2 + 0 \cdot 3 \\ 0 \cdot 1 + 2 \cdot 2 + 0 \cdot 3 \\ 0 \cdot 1 + 0 \cdot 2 + 4 \cdot 3 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow 15 \text{ operations}$$

$$A_{\text{sparse}} = \begin{bmatrix} (0, 1) \\ (1, 2) \\ (2, 4) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A_{\text{sparse}} X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \cdot 1 \\ 2 \cdot 2 \\ 4 \cdot 3 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow 3 \text{ operations}$$

↳ Time-evolution can benefit from sparse matrices

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = e^{-iHt} |\psi_0\rangle$$

$$= \sum_n \frac{(-it)^n}{n!} H^n |\psi_0\rangle$$

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

while H^n becomes less and less sparse as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we can instead split the sum above as

$$|u_0\rangle = |\psi_0\rangle, |u_1\rangle = H|u_0\rangle, |u_2\rangle = H|u_1\rangle, \dots$$

so that we always multiply a sparse matrix with a vector

$$= \sum_n \frac{(-it)^n}{n!} |u_n\rangle$$

⚠ it only works for $t \rightarrow 0$, errors accumulate fast!

Krylov subspace

Given a matrix H and a vector $|\psi_0\rangle$, the k -order

Krylov subspace is defined as the space spanned by

$$K_k = \{ |\psi_0\rangle, H|\psi_0\rangle, H^2|\psi_0\rangle, H^3|\psi_0\rangle, \dots, H^{k-1}|\psi_0\rangle \}$$

$$K_k = \{ |u_0\rangle, |u_1\rangle, \dots, |u_{k-1}\rangle \}$$

Using Gram-Schmidt we can find an orthonormal

basis for the space:

$$|\tilde{\phi}_0\rangle = |u_0\rangle \rightarrow |\phi_0\rangle = \frac{|\tilde{\phi}_0\rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \tilde{\phi}_0 | \tilde{\phi}_0 \rangle}}$$

$$|\tilde{\phi}_1\rangle = |u_1\rangle - (\langle \phi_0 | u_1 \rangle) |\phi_0\rangle \rightarrow |\phi_1\rangle = \frac{|\tilde{\phi}_1\rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \tilde{\phi}_1 | \tilde{\phi}_1 \rangle}}$$

$$|\tilde{\phi}_{k+1}\rangle = |\mu_{k+1}\rangle - \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (\langle \phi_i | \mu_{k+1} \rangle) |\phi_i\rangle \rightarrow |\phi_{k+1}\rangle = \frac{|\tilde{\phi}_{k+1}\rangle}{\langle \tilde{\phi}_{k+1} | \tilde{\phi}_{k+1} \rangle}$$

Using the basis $|\phi\rangle$, we can define the matrix Q

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_0 & \phi_1 & \phi_2 & \dots & \phi_{k-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \end{bmatrix} \quad \dim(Q) = N \times k$$

$$\text{Then } H \approx Q T Q^+$$

$$\alpha_i = \langle \phi_0 | \underbrace{H | \phi_i \rangle}_{\langle \phi_i \rangle}$$

$$\beta_i = \langle \phi_i | H | \phi_0 \rangle = \langle \phi_i | \phi_0 \rangle$$

$$\text{where } T = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0 & \beta_0 \\ \beta_0 & \alpha_1 & \beta_1 \\ & \beta_1 & \ddots & \beta_{k-1} \\ & & \beta_{k-1} & \alpha_{k-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{is a real, symmetric tridiagonal matrix}$$

$$\dim(T) = k \times k$$

↳ For - Time evolution

$$|\psi\rangle = e^{-iHt} |\psi_0\rangle$$

$$= e^{-iQ T Q^+ t} |\psi_0\rangle$$

$$= Q e^{-i T t} Q^+ |\psi_0\rangle$$

$$= Q V e^{-i \text{diag}(T)} V^+ Q^+ |\psi_0\rangle$$

we only need to diagonalize T which

has dimension $k \ll N$

↑ size of the Krylov subspace

Overview of the algorithm

$$\text{set } |\phi_0\rangle = \frac{|\psi_0\rangle}{\langle \psi_0 | \psi_0 \rangle}, \quad \beta_{-1} = 0$$

for $k=0$ to $k-1$:

$$|v\rangle = H|\phi_j\rangle \rightarrow HQ$$

$$\alpha_j = \langle \phi_j | v \rangle \rightarrow \text{comes from } Q^+(HQ) = T \text{ on the diagonal}$$

$$|v_1\rangle = |v\rangle - \alpha_j |\phi_j\rangle - \beta_{j-1} |\phi_{j-1}\rangle \rightarrow \text{Gram-Schmidt}$$

$$\beta_j = \langle v_1 | v_1 \rangle \rightarrow \text{from } Q^+(HQ) = T \text{ off diagonal}$$

if $\beta_j = 0 \rightarrow \text{stop: found a smaller subspace than } k$

else:

$$|\phi_{j+1}\rangle = \frac{|v_1\rangle}{\beta_j}$$

store $\alpha_j, \beta_j, |\phi_j\rangle$

$$\rightarrow Q = \begin{bmatrix} |\phi_0\rangle & \vdots & |\phi_1\rangle & \vdots & \dots & |\phi_{k-1}\rangle \end{bmatrix}$$

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_0 & \beta_0 & & & \\ \beta_0 & \alpha_1 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \alpha_k & \\ & & & \beta_{k-2} & \beta_{k-1} \end{bmatrix}$$