

Exploitation Research



Version Date: 24 SEP 2018

[Student Guide Printable Format](#)

Skills and Objectives

Section 7.1: Network Scanning and Reconnaissance

Table of Contents

Skills and Objectives	2
Initial Access	4
Exploitation Research	4
DEMO: Vulnerability research	6

Initial Access

What is initial access?

- First hook into a system. The method to gain first foothold into a network.

What is the most common method for gaining initial access?

- Spear phishing combined with malicious attachments. ~95% of initial access achieved this way.
- We do not teach spear phishing paired with malicious files in this class, but it is important to understand that this is still the most common method.

What are some other techniques to gain initial access?

- Spear Phishing
- Stolen Credentials
- Password Spraying
- Password/Credential Reuse
- Watering Hole Attacks
- Targets of Opportunity
- Public Service Exploitation
- Webserver Exploitation
- Network Binary Exploitation

Exploitation Research

Outcome:

- Understand how to conduct vulnerability and exploit pairing/research based on collected technical information

Introduction

Information collected during Reconnaissance provides an initial picture of a network and attack surface. Technical information, such as OS types and software, can be used to pair with possible exploits if vulnerabilities can be identified or developed.

The goal of exploit research is determine initial access vectors to be utilized to gain a foothold into the network, perform privilege escalation, remote C2, and lateral movement.

Discussion:

You should have been able to identify a system running Proftpd during the Reconnaissance Activity

- What was the kernel version?

4.8.0-41 generic kernel

what can we do with this information and how can we leverage it?

What resources could be used to identify possible vulnerabilities?

- Databases (such as exploit-db, rapid7, cvedetails), online articles, etc.

If a vulnerability is found what information are we most interested in?

- Depends on what our objectives are. If a vulnerability is a DoS but our objective is to gain access then the vulnerability is not something we would research further.

If vulnerabilities can not be found what do we do?

- Develop new exploit: see if there are possible code flaws that we could leverage. Maybe develop a fuzzer or buffer overflow, this requires advanced knowledge and resources.

Exploit-DB and CVE's are just a few places that one can use when researching vulnerabilities and exploits. Additional areas to research include:

- [Vulnerability-labs](#)
- Security firms such as [Symantec Threat db](#) and [Rapid7 Threat db](#)
- Security blogs
- [Git Repositories](#)
- Vendor sites such as [Cisco Talos Group](#) and [Microsoft Security Intelligence](#)
- Organizational tools (nation state actors might have already developed zero-days or developed tools)

After pairing an exploit to a vulnerability attackers will tailor those exploits with additional code in order to provide additional capabilities. Some of these include:

- Remote access (call backs, bind sockets, etc)
- Automation (priv esc, self propagation)
- Encrypt communication flows
- Additional binaries for additional functionality
 - such as tools that would not be native on system like socat or nmap
- Encrypt hard drives (Ransomware)

Even though pairing is completed and code is tailored what would happen next?

- *Accruing the type of systems and softwares that you are attempting to exploit.*

- Code testing against vulnerabilities in an sandbox environment to ensure functionality and identify any issues that could occur.
- Develop tactics, techniques, and procedures (TTPs) on the usage of the current exploit (we could now call it a tool).

IMPORTANT

It is imperative to conduct testing before attempting delivery of exploits

Proven testing provides:

- Improved breakout time from initial access
- Reduced risk of detection
- Faster lateral movement


DEMO: Vulnerability research




NOTE

Research Ubuntu system kernel

Start with the 4.8.0-41 kernel

- Simple Google search: **ubuntu 4.8.0-41 kernel vulnerabilities** should lead to [exploit-db](#)



GET CERTIFIED

Linux Kernel 4.8.0-41-generic (Ubuntu) - Packet Socket Privilege Escalation

EDB-ID: 41994	CVE: 2017-7308	Author: ANDREY KONOVALOV	Type: LOCAL	Platform: LINUX	Published: 2017-05-11
E-DB VERIFIED: ✓		EXPLOIT: 📄 / {}		VULNERABLE APP:	

⬅
➡

```

// A proof-of-concept local root exploit for CVE-2017-7308.
// Includes a SMEP & SMAP bypass.
// Tested on 4.8.0-41-generic Ubuntu kernel.
// https://github.com/xairy/kernel-exploits/tree/master/CVE-2017-7308
//
// Usage:
// user@ubuntu:~$ uname -a
// Linux ubuntu 4.8.0-41-generic #44~16.04.1-Ubuntu SMP Fri Mar 3 ...
// user@ubuntu:~$ gcc pwn.c -o pwn
// user@ubuntu:~$ ./pwn
// [.] starting
// [.] namespace sandbox set up
// [.] KASLR bypass enabled, getting kernel addr
// [.] done, kernel text:  ffffffff87000000
// [.] commit_creds:      ffffffff870a5cf0
// [.] prepare_kernel_cred: ffffffff870a60e0

```

EDB-ID: ID for the exploit inside DB

Author: Name of who developed the exploit

- You may also click to see all contributions the author has

E-DB Verified: Provided exploit tested and works

Exploit: May download or view raw code of the exploit

Bottom plane Shows the exploit code

CVE: Links this exploit to National Vulnerability Database (NVD)

- Click this to show the NVD

CVE-2017-7308 Detail

MODIFIED

This vulnerability has been modified since it was last analyzed by the NVD. It is awaiting reanalysis which may result in further changes to the information provided.

Current Description

The packet_set_ring function in net/packet/af_packet.c in the Linux kernel through 4.10.6 does not properly validate certain block-size data, which allows local users to cause a denial of service (integer signedness error and out-of-bounds write), or gain privileges (if the CAP_NET_RAW capability is held), via crafted system calls.

Source: MITRE

Description Last Modified: 05/11/2017

[+View Analysis Description](#)

QUICK INFO

CVE Dictionary Entry:

[CVE-2017-7308](#)

NVD Published Date:

03/29/2017

NVD Last Modified:

06/19/2018

Impact

CVSS v3.0 Severity and Metrics:

Base Score: 7.8 HIGH

Vector: (AV:L/AC:L/PR:L/UI:N/S:U/C:H/I:H/A:H) (V3 legend)

Impact Score: 5.9

Exploitability Score: 1.8

Attack Vector (AV): Local

Attack Complexity (AC): Low

Privileges Required (PR): Low

User Interaction (UI): None

Scope (S): Unchanged

Confidentiality (C): High

CVSS v2.0 Severity and Metrics:

Base Score: 7.2 HIGH

Vector: (AV:L/AC:L/Au:N/C:C/I:C/A:C) (V2 legend)

Impact Subscore: 10.0

Exploitability Subscore: 3.9

Access Vector (AV): Local

Access Complexity (AC): Low

Authentication (AU): None

Confidentiality (C): Complete

Integrity (I): Complete

Availability (A): Complete

Additional Information:

NOTE

The NVD site will give use a description of the vulnerability, impacts, references, versions, and history of the vulnerability.

- Type of exploit.
 - local priv esc
- What is the exploit taking advantage of

(SMEP) Supervisor Mode Access Prevention - protection in the kernel to stop MALWARE from using user-space data

(SMAP) Supervisor Mode Execution Prevention - protection to prevent supervisor mode from unintentionally executing user-space code.