

# Homework Assignment 2

Rushabh Ashok Dharia  
CSCI-B555 Machine Learning

February 27, 2019

**Note:** Homework was discussed with Varun Miranda and Bhushan Malgaonkar

**Ans 1.**

$$f(x/\alpha) = \alpha^x(1 - \alpha)^{1-x}$$

Given  $\alpha$  is selected from a uniform distribution(0,1)

$$\text{Therefore } f(\alpha) = \frac{1}{1-0} = 1$$

$$\text{argmax}_{\alpha}(f(\alpha)f(x/\alpha)) = 1. \prod_{i=1}^n \alpha^x(1 - \alpha)^{1-x}$$

Taking log

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n (x \log(\alpha) + (1 - x) \log(1 - \alpha))$$

Taking derivative w.r.t  $\alpha$

$$0 = \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^n x - \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \sum_{i=1}^n (1 - x)$$

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^n x = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \sum_{i=1}^n (1 - x)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x - \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n x = N\alpha - \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n x$$

$$\hat{\alpha}_{MAP} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^n x = \bar{x}$$

**Ans 2.**

$$\operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} \prod_{i=0}^n \theta e^{-\theta x}$$

Taking log

$$\sum_{i=0}^n \log \theta - \theta x$$

Taking derivative w.r.t.  $\theta$

$$\sum_{i=0}^n \left( \frac{1}{\theta} - x_i \right) = 0$$

$$\frac{n}{\theta} = \sum_{i=0}^n x_i$$

$$\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = \frac{1}{\bar{x}}$$

**Ans 3. a).**

For  $H_0$ ,

$$f_X(x) = f_N\left(\frac{x+s}{a}\right)$$

For  $H_1$ ,

$$f_X(x) = f_N\left(\frac{x-s}{b}\right)$$

$$f_N\left(\frac{x+s}{a}\right) \underset{H_1}{\overset{H_0}{\geq}} f_N\left(\frac{x-s}{b}\right)$$

Since  $a = b$

$$f_N\left(\frac{x+s}{a}\right) \underset{H_1}{\overset{H_0}{\geq}} f_N\left(\frac{x-s}{a}\right)$$

$$\frac{1}{\pi(1 + (\frac{x+s}{a})^2)} \underset{H_1}{\overset{H_0}{\geq}} \frac{1}{\pi(1 + (\frac{x-s}{a})^2)}$$

$$1 + \left(\frac{x-s}{a}\right)^2 \underset{H_1}{\overset{H_0}{\geq}} 1 + \left(\frac{x+s}{a}\right)^2$$

$$x^2 - 2xs + s^2 \underset{H_1}{\overset{H_0}{\geq}} x^2 + 2xs + s^2$$

$$0 \underset{H_1}{\overset{H_0}{\geq}} 4xs$$

Since  $s > 0$ ,  $s$  is positive

$$0 \underset{H_1}{\overset{H_0}{\geq}} x$$

□

b).

$$r(\hat{y}) = \int_{H0} f(x|y = H1).P(y = H1)dx + \int_{H1} f(x|y = H0).P(y = H0)dx$$

Since priors are equal  $P(y = H1) = P(y = H0) = \frac{1}{2}$

$$\begin{aligned} r(\hat{y}) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{H0} f(x|y = H1)dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{H1} f(x|y = H0)dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^0 f_N\left(\frac{x+s}{a}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} f_N\left(\frac{x-s}{b}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{1}{\pi(1 + (\frac{x+s}{a})^2)} + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{\pi(1 + (\frac{x-s}{b})^2)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{1}{1 + (\frac{x+s}{a})^2} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 + (\frac{x-s}{b})^2} \end{aligned}$$

put  $t = \frac{x+s}{a}$  and  $u = \frac{x-s}{b}$

When  $x = -\infty$ ,  $t = -\infty$ . When  $x = 0$ ,  $t = \frac{s}{a}$

When  $x = 0$ ,  $u = \frac{-s}{b}$ . When  $x = \infty$ ,  $u = \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} r(\hat{y}) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{s/a} \frac{1}{1+t^2} dt + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-s/b}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+u^2} du \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} (\tan^{-1}(\frac{s}{a}) + \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2} - \tan^{-1}(\frac{-s}{b})) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2\pi} (\tan^{-1}(\frac{s}{a}) - \tan^{-1}(\frac{-s}{b})) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2\pi} (\tan^{-1}(\frac{s}{a}) + \tan^{-1}(\frac{s}{b})) \end{aligned}$$

(If  $a = b$ )

$$r(\hat{y}) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \tan^{-1}(\frac{s}{a})$$

□

Ans 4. a).

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_{Y|X}(y|x) &= \frac{f_{X|Y}(x|y) \cdot f_Y(y)}{f_X(x)} \\
 f_Y(y) &= \alpha e^{-y\alpha} \\
 f_X(x) &= \int_0^\infty f_{X|Y}(x|y) \cdot f_Y(y) dy \\
 &= \int_0^\infty y e^{-yx} \cdot \alpha e^{-y\alpha} dy \\
 &= \alpha \int_0^\infty y e^{-y(x+\alpha)} dy
 \end{aligned}$$

Using Integration by parts and LIATE Rule

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \alpha \left( y \int e^{-y(x+\alpha)} dy - \int \left( \frac{d(y)}{dy} \int e^{-y(x+\alpha)} dy \right) dy \right) \\
 &= \alpha \left[ \frac{-y e^{-y(x+\alpha)}}{x+\alpha} - \frac{e^{-y(x+\alpha)}}{(x+\alpha)^2} \right]_0^\infty \\
 &= \alpha \left[ \frac{-e^{-y(x+\alpha)}}{x+\alpha} \left( y + \frac{1}{x+\alpha} \right) \right]_0^\infty \\
 &= \alpha \left[ \frac{e^{-y(x+\alpha)}}{x+\alpha} \left( y + \frac{1}{x+\alpha} \right) \right]_\infty^0 \\
 &= \alpha \left[ \frac{1}{x+\alpha} \left( 0 + \frac{1}{x+\alpha} \right) - 0 \right] \\
 &= \frac{\alpha}{(x+\alpha)^2} \\
 f_{Y|X}(y|x) &= \frac{y e^{-yx} \alpha e^{-y\alpha}}{\frac{\alpha}{(x+\alpha)^2}} \\
 &= (x+\alpha)^2 \cdot y \cdot e^{-y(x+\alpha)}
 \end{aligned}$$

□

b).

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{y}_{MAP} &= \operatorname{argmax}_y f_Y(y) \cdot f_{X|Y}(x|y) \\
 &= \alpha e^{-\alpha y} y e^{-yx}
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking log

$$= \log(\alpha) - \alpha y + \log(y) - yx$$

Taking derivative w.r.t. y

$$0 = 0 - \alpha + \frac{1}{y} - x$$

$$\hat{y}_{MAP} = \frac{1}{x+\alpha}$$

□

c).

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{y}_{MMSE} &= E[y|x] \\
 &= \int_0^\infty y f_{Y|X}(y|x) dy \\
 &= \int_0^\infty y^2 e^{-(\alpha+x)y} (\alpha+x)^2 dy \\
 &= (\alpha+x)^2 \int_0^\infty y^2 e^{-(\alpha+x)y} dy
 \end{aligned}$$

Using integration by parts and LIATE Rule

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= (\alpha+x)^2 \left( y^2 \int_0^\infty e^{-(\alpha+x)y} dy - \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{d}{dy} y^2 \int_0^\infty e^{-(\alpha+x)y} dy \right) dy \right) \\
 &= (\alpha+x)^2 \left[ \left( y^2 \frac{e^{-(\alpha+x)y}}{-(\alpha+x)} \right)_0^\infty + \frac{2}{\alpha+x} \int_0^\infty y e^{-(\alpha+x)y} dy \right] \\
 &\quad [\text{From a) } \int_0^\infty y e^{-(\alpha+x)y} dy = \frac{1}{(\alpha+x)^2}] \\
 \hat{y}_{MMSE} &= (\alpha+x)^2 \left[ -0 + 0 + \frac{2}{\alpha+x} \cdot \frac{1}{(\alpha+x)^2} \right] \\
 &= \frac{2}{\alpha+x}
 \end{aligned}$$

□

**Ans 5. a).**

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(S=1|V=1) &= P(S=1) \text{ (Since S is independent of V)} \\
 P(S=1) &= P(S=1|G=0).P(G=0) + P(S=1|G=1).P(G=1) \\
 &= (1-\gamma)\alpha + (1-\beta)(1-\alpha)
 \end{aligned}$$

□

b).

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(S=1|V=0) &= P(S=1) \text{ (Since S is independent of V)} \\
 &= P(S=1|V=1) \\
 &= P(S=1|G=0).P(G=0) + P(S=1|G=1).P(G=1) \\
 &= (1-\gamma)\alpha + (1-\beta)(1-\alpha)
 \end{aligned}$$

Explanation : S is independent of V. Hence value of V doesn't affect S

□

Ans 6. a).

$$\begin{aligned}P(X_2 = \text{salmon}) &= P(X_1 = \text{Winter}).P(X_2 = \text{salmon}|X_1 = \text{Winter})+ \\&\quad P(X_1 = \text{Autumn}).P(X_2 = \text{salmon}|X_1 = \text{Autumn}) \\&= 0.5 * 0.9 + 0.5 * 0.8 \\&= 0.85 \\P(X_2 = \text{seabass}) &= P(X_1 = \text{Winter}).P(X_2 = \text{seabass}|X_1 = \text{Winter})+ \\&\quad P(X_1 = \text{Autumn}).P(X_2 = \text{seabass}|X_1 = \text{Autumn}) \\&= 0.5 * 0.1 + 0.5 * 0.2 \\&= 0.15\end{aligned}$$

□

b).

$$\begin{aligned}P(\text{Winter}) &= P(X_1 = \text{Winter}) * P(X_2 = \text{salmon}|X_1 = \text{Winter}) * P(X_3 = \text{Dark}|X_2 = \text{salmon}) \\&\quad * P(X_4 = \text{Wide}|X_2 = \text{salmon}) + P(X_1 = \text{Winter}) * P(X_2 = \text{seabass}|X_1 = \text{Winter}) \\&\quad * P(X_3 = \text{Dark}|X_2 = \text{seabass}) * P(X_4 = \text{Wide}|X_2 = \text{seabass}) \\&= 0.25(0.9 * 0.34 * 0.4 + 0.1 * 0.1 * 0.95) \\&= 0.0323 \\P(\text{Spring}) &= P(X_1 = \text{Spring}) * P(X_2 = \text{salmon}|X_1 = \text{Spring}) * P(X_3 = \text{Dark}|X_2 = \text{salmon}) \\&\quad * P(X_4 = \text{Wide}|X_2 = \text{salmon}) + P(X_1 = \text{Spring}) * P(X_2 = \text{seabass}|X_1 = \text{Spring}) \\&\quad * P(X_3 = \text{Dark}|X_2 = \text{seabass}) * P(X_4 = \text{Wide}|X_2 = \text{seabass}) \\&= 0.25(0.3 * 0.34 * 0.4 + 0.7 * 0.1 * 0.95) \\&= 0.0268 \\P(\text{Summer}) &= P(X_1 = \text{Summer}) * P(X_2 = \text{salmon}|X_1 = \text{Summer}) * P(X_3 = \text{Dark}|X_2 = \text{salmon}) \\&\quad * P(X_4 = \text{Wide}|X_2 = \text{salmon}) + P(X_1 = \text{Summer}) * P(X_2 = \text{seabass}|X_1 = \text{Summer}) \\&\quad * P(X_3 = \text{Dark}|X_2 = \text{seabass}) * P(X_4 = \text{Wide}|X_2 = \text{seabass}) \\&= 0.25(0.4 * 0.34 * 0.4 + 0.6 * 0.1 * 0.95) \\&= 0.02785 \\P(\text{Autumn}) &= P(X_1 = \text{Autumn}) * P(X_2 = \text{salmon}|X_1 = \text{Autumn}) * P(X_3 = \text{Dark}|X_2 = \text{salmon}) \\&\quad * P(X_4 = \text{Wide}|X_2 = \text{salmon}) + P(X_1 = \text{Autumn}) * P(X_2 = \text{seabass}|X_1 = \text{Autumn}) \\&\quad * P(X_3 = \text{Dark}|X_2 = \text{seabass}) * P(X_4 = \text{Wide}|X_2 = \text{seabass}) \\&= 0.25(0.8 * 0.34 * 0.4 + 0.2 * 0.1 * 0.95) \\&= 0.03195\end{aligned}$$

It is most likely to be winter

□

Ans 7. a).

To show that,  $E[x] = \sum_k \pi_k \mu_k$

Given,  $p[x] = \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k \mathcal{N}(x|\mu_k, \Sigma_k)$

$$E[x] = \sum_k \frac{\pi_k}{\sqrt{2\pi_k\sigma_k}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x e^{\frac{-1}{2}(\frac{x-\mu_k}{\sigma_k})^2} dx$$

Let,  $x = x + \mu_k - \mu_k$

$$= \sum_k \frac{\pi_k}{\sqrt{2\pi_k\sigma_k}} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x - \mu_k) e^{\frac{-1}{2}(\frac{x-\mu_k}{\sigma_k})^2} dx + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mu_k e^{\frac{-1}{2}(\frac{x-\mu_k}{\sigma_k})^2} dx \right] \quad -[1]$$

$$\text{For } \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x - \mu_k) e^{\frac{-1}{2}(\frac{x-\mu_k}{\sigma_k})^2} dx$$

$$\text{Put } e^{\frac{-1}{2}(\frac{x-\mu_k}{\sigma_k})^2} = t$$

$$\frac{dt}{dx} = -\frac{x - \mu_k}{\sigma_k} \cdot e^{\frac{-1}{2}(\frac{x-\mu_k}{\sigma_k})^2}$$

$$-\sigma_k dt = (x - \mu_k) e^{\frac{-1}{2}(\frac{x-\mu_k}{\sigma_k})^2} dx$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x - \mu_k) e^{\frac{-1}{2}(\frac{x-\mu_k}{\sigma_k})^2} dx = -\sigma_k \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt$$

$$= -\sigma_k [t]_{-\infty}^{\infty}$$

$$= 0$$

-[2]

$$\text{Now } \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mu_k e^{\frac{-1}{2}(\frac{x-\mu_k}{\sigma_k})^2} dx = \mu_k \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\frac{-1}{2}(\frac{x-\mu_k}{\sigma_k})^2} dx$$

$$= \mu_k \cdot \sqrt{2\pi_k\sigma_k} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi_k\sigma_k}} e^{\frac{-1}{2}(\frac{x-\mu_k}{\sigma_k})^2} dx$$

$$= \mu_k \cdot \sqrt{2\pi_k\sigma_k} (1)$$

-[3]

Substituting [2] and [3] in [1]

$$E[x] = \sum_k \frac{\pi_k}{\sqrt{2\pi_k\sigma_k}} (0 + \mu_k \cdot \sqrt{2\pi_k\sigma_k} (1))$$

$$= \sum_k \pi_k \mu_k$$

□

b).

$$\begin{aligned}
cov[x] &= cov[x, x^T] \\
&= E[(x - \mu_x)(x - \mu_x)^T] \\
&= E[xx^T - x\mu_x^T - \mu_x x^T + \mu_x \mu_x^T] \\
&= E[xx^T] - \mu_x^T E[x] - \mu_x E[x]^T + \mu_x \mu_x^T \\
&= E[xx^T] - \mu_x^T \mu_x - \mu_x \mu_x^T + \mu_x \mu_x^T
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Therefore, } cov[x] = \Sigma = E[xx^T] + \mu_x \mu_x^T$$

$$\text{Therefore, } E[xx^T] = \Sigma - \mu_x \mu_x^T$$

$$\text{For } k \text{ Gaussians, } E[x] = \sum_k \pi_k \mu_k$$

$$\text{Therefore, } E[XX^T] = \sum_k \pi_k (\Sigma_k - \mu_k \mu_k^T)$$

$$\text{Finally, } cov[x] = E[xx^T] - E[x]E[x]^T$$

$$\text{Therefore, } cov[x] = \sum_k \pi_k (\Sigma_k - \mu_k \mu_k^T) - E[x]E[x]^T$$

□

Ans 8. b)

As shown in the plots notebook attached  $\sigma$  decreases as number of Gaussians increases.

This is because the probability of a point lying in each Gaussian decreases with increase in number of G.

Also, we can see that 2 Gaussians in  $k=4$  almost overlap as we are trying to over fit the GMM.

Also, the  $P(c_i)$  for each Gaussian decreases with increase in number of Gaussians