

License Name	Short Description
No License	Code is copyrighted; others cannot use, modify, or distribute it.
Apache License 2.0	Permissive license with patent protection; allows commercial use.
GNU General Public License v3.0 (GPL-3.0)	Strong copyleft; modified code must be open-sourced.
MIT License	Very permissive; allows almost any use with attribution.
BSD 2-Clause “Simplified” License	Permissive; minimal restrictions, similar to MIT.
BSD 3-Clause “New/Revised” License	BSD 2-Clause plus non-endorsement rule.
Boost Software License 1.0	Highly permissive; commonly used for C++ libraries.
Creative Commons Zero v1.0 (CC0)	Public domain; no restrictions or attribution needed.
Eclipse Public License 2.0 (EPL-2.0)	Weak copyleft; only modified files must be shared.
GNU Affero General Public License v3.0 (AGPL-3.0)	Strong copyleft; network/SaaS use requires source release.
GNU General Public License v2.0 (GPL-2.0)	Strong copyleft; derivatives must remain GPL-licensed.
GNU Lesser General Public License v2.1 (LGPL-2.1)	Weak copyleft; allows linking with proprietary software.
Mozilla Public License 2.0 (MPL-2.0)	File-level copyleft; balances open source and commercial use.
The Unlicense	Public domain; free use with no conditions.

License	Type	Commercial Use	Source Code Must Be Shared?	Network (SaaS) Clause	Restriction Level
No License	Proprietary	✗ No	✗ Not allowed	✗ No	🔴 Very High
AGPL v3.0	Strong Copyleft	✗ Limited	✓ Yes (Always)	✓ Yes	🔴 Very High
GPL v3.0	Strong Copyleft	✗ Limited	✓ Yes	✗ No	🔴 High
GPL v2.0	Strong Copyleft	✗ Limited	✓ Yes	✗ No	🔴 High
LGPL v2.1	Weak Copyleft	✓ Yes	△ Library only	✗ No	🟡 Medium
EPL 2.0	Weak Copyleft	✓ Yes	△ Modified files only	✗ No	🟡 Medium
MPL 2.0	File-level Copyleft	✓ Yes	△ Modified files only	✗ No	🟡 Medium
Apache 2.0	Permissive	✓ Yes	✗ No	✗ No	🟢 Low
MIT	Permissive	✓ Yes	✗ No	✗ No	🟢 Low
BSD 2-Clause	Permissive	✓ Yes	✗ No	✗ No	🟢 Low
BSD 3-Clause	Permissive	✓ Yes	✗ No	✗ No	🟢 Low
Boost 1.0	Permissive	✓ Yes	✗ No	✗ No	🟢 Low
CC0	Public Domain	✓ Yes	✗ No	✗ No	🔵 None
Unlicense	Public Domain	✓ Yes	✗ No	✗ No	🔵 None

Platform	Type	Open Source	Best For	Key Points
GitLab	Cloud / Self-hosted	✓ Yes	DevOps, CI/CD	Built-in CI/CD, issue tracking, very powerful
Bitbucket	Cloud / Self-hosted	✗ No	Teams using Jira	Strong Atlassian integration
Gitea	Self-hosted	✓ Yes	Lightweight Git server	Simple, fast, low resource usage
Forgejo	Self-hosted	✓ Yes	Community-driven	Fork of Gitea, fully open-source
SourceForge	Cloud	✗ No	Open-source hosting	Oldest platform, still used
Azure DevOps	Cloud	✗ No	Enterprise projects	Git repos + pipelines + boards
Codeberg	Cloud	✓ Yes	Open-source projects	Privacy-focused, EU-based
AWS CodeCommit	Cloud	✗ No	AWS users	Secure Git repos inside AWS
Phabricator	Self-hosted	✓ Yes	Code review	Advanced code review tools
Launchpad	Cloud	✓ Yes	Ubuntu projects	Used mainly by Canonical
Pagure	Self-hosted	✓ Yes	Fedora projects	Red Hat ecosystem
RhodeCode	Self-hosted	✗ No	Enterprises	Git + Mercurial + SVN