

2.

(a) The operation table for  $U_{44}$  is given in Table 1. Explain why  $U_{44} = \langle [3] \rangle \times \langle [21] \rangle$ , the internal direct product of the subgroups  $\langle [3] \rangle$  and  $\langle [21] \rangle$ .

First of all,  $\langle [3] \rangle = \{[1], [3], [9], [15], [23], [25], [27], [31], [37]\}$  and  $\langle [21] \rangle = \{[1], [21]\}$ . From this we see that the intersection of  $\langle [3] \rangle$  and  $\langle [21] \rangle$  contains only  $[1]$ . From Theorem 26.6 (2) we can conclude that each element in  $\langle [3] \rangle \times \langle [21] \rangle$  has a unique representation  $kn$  where  $k \in \langle [3] \rangle$  and  $n \in \langle [21] \rangle$ . And so,  $|\langle [3] \rangle \times \langle [21] \rangle| = |\langle [3] \rangle| \cdot |\langle [21] \rangle| = 10 \cdot 2 = 20$ . From the closure property of the group  $G$  and the fact that  $\langle [3] \rangle$  and  $\langle [21] \rangle$  are subgroups of  $G$  we know that each element in  $\langle [3] \rangle \times \langle [21] \rangle$  is also in  $G$ . From this fact and the fact that  $\langle [3] \rangle \times \langle [21] \rangle$  and  $G$  have the same order, it must be the case that  $G = \langle [3] \rangle \times \langle [21] \rangle$ .

(b) When we decompose a group as an internal direct product, it is convenient for classification purposes to identify that internal direct product with an external direct product. Let  $G$  be an arbitrary group with identity element  $e$  and let  $K$  and  $N$  be normal subgroups of  $G$  with  $K \cap N = \{e\}$ . Prove that

$$K \times N \cong (K \oplus N).$$

*Proof.* We will prove that  $\phi : K \oplus N \rightarrow K \times N$  such that  $\phi((k, n)) = kn$  for all  $k \in K$  and for all  $n \in N$  is an isomorphism. In doing so we will have proven that  $K \oplus N$  and  $K \times N$  are isomorphic and therefore  $(K \oplus N) \cong (K \times N)$ .

First we will show that the  $\phi$  is in fact a function. This means that it is well-defined. Let  $(k, n) = (k', n') \in K \oplus N$ . We will prove that  $\phi((k, n)) = \phi((k', n'))$ . We see that

$$\phi((k, n)) = kn = k'n'\phi((k', n'))$$

and therefore  $\phi$  is a function.

Next we will show that  $\phi$  preserves structure and therefore is a homomorphism. Let  $(k, n), (k', n') \in K \oplus N$ . First we see that

$$\phi((k, n)(k', n')) = \phi((kk', nn')) = (kk')(nn').$$

From Theorem 26.6 (1) we know that  $k'n = nk'$ . Therefore,

$$\phi((k, n)(k', n')) = (kk')(nn') = k(k'n)n' = k(nk')n' = (kn)(k'n') = \phi((k, n))\phi((k', n'))$$

and  $\phi$  preserves the operation in  $G$ .

Finally, we will show that  $\phi$  is both injective and surjective. Let  $\phi((k, n)) = \phi((k', n'))$ . First we note that

$$kn = \phi((k, n)) = \phi((k', n')) = k'n'$$

and so  $kn = k'n'$ . From Theorem 26.6 (2) we know that  $kn$  is a unique representation of an element in  $K \times N$ , which means that  $k$  must be equal to  $k'$  and  $n$  must be equal to  $n'$ . Therefore,  $(k, n) = (k', n')$  and  $\phi$  is injective.

Let  $kn \in K \times N$ . The element  $(k, n) \in K \oplus N$  is such that  $\phi((k, n)) = kn$  and so  $\phi$  is surjective.  $\square$

Explain why  $U_{44} \cong (\mathbb{Z}_{10} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2)$ .

Because  $\mathbb{Z}_{10} \cap \mathbb{Z}_2 = [1]$ , it follows that  $\mathbb{Z}_{10} \times \mathbb{Z}_2 = U_{44}$  in a similar manner to part (a). Also from part (b) we know that  $U_{44} = \mathbb{Z}_{10} \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}_{10} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Therefore,  $U_{44} \cong (\mathbb{Z}_{10} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2)$ .