Russ Johnson Problem Set #3 February 12, 2013

(15) Let  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$  denote the set of all functions from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Define addition and multiplication on  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$  as follows:

• For all  $f, g \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}), (f+g) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is the function defined by

$$(f+g)(x) = f(x) + g(x)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

•  $f, g \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}), (fg) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is the function defined by

$$(fg)(x) = f(x)g(x)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

(a) Prove that  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$  is an Abelian group under addition.

For this proof we will first show that  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$  is closed under addition, that addition is associative in  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ , that  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$  contains an identity element, and that each element in  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$  has an inverse. Finally, we will show that addition is commutative in  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ .

First of all, from the definition of addition in  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$  we see that this operation will always give us another function from the reals to the reals which is also an element in  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$  is closed under addition. Next, we will prove that addition is associative in  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f, g, h \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$  and let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . From the definition of addition in  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$  we know that

$$((f+g)+h)(x) = (f(x)+g(x))+h(x)$$
(1)

and

$$(f + (g+h))(x) = f(x) + (g(x) + h(x))$$
(2)

We also know that

$$f(x) \in \mathbb{R},$$
 (3)

$$g(x) \in \mathbb{R},$$
 (4)

and

$$h(x) \in \mathbb{R} \tag{5}$$

from the fact that the codomain of f, g, and h is  $\mathbb{R}$ . We already know that addition is associative in  $\mathbb{R}$ . From this fact and the equations (3), (4), and (5) we also know that

$$(f(x) + g(x)) + h(x) = f(x) + (g(x) + h(x)).$$
(6)

Applying the transitive property of equality to (6) and (1), we obtain

$$((f+g)+h)(x) = f(x) + (g(x)+h(x)). (7)$$

Applying this same property again to (7) and (2), we obtain

$$((f+g)+h)(x) = (f+(g+h))(x). (8)$$

Finally, from (8) we see that addition is associative in  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ .

Next we will prove that  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$  contains an identity element.

*Proof.* Let  $e \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$  such that

$$e(x) = 0 (9)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$  and let  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . We see that

$$(f+e)(a) = f(a) + e(a) = f(a) + 0 = f(a)$$

and

$$(e+f)(a) = e(a) + f(a) = 0 + f(a) = f(a).$$

In conclusion, we have shown that (f + e)(a) = (e + f)(a) = f(a) for some  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and therefore the function e defined e(x) = 0 for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  is the identity element in  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ .

Next will show that each function in  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$  has an inverse under addition.

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$  and let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . First we note that  $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ . Because the reals are a group under addition we know that there exits some  $g \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$  such that f(x) + g(x) = 0 and g(x) + f(x) = 0. Therefore, the arbitrary element  $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$  has an inverse.

In conclusion we have shown that every element in  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$  has an inverse.  $\square$ 

Finally, we will show that every element in  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$  commutes under addition.

*Proof.* Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$  and let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . We know that

$$(f+g)(x) = f(x) + g(x) \tag{10}$$

and

$$(q+f)(x) = q(x) + f(x)$$
 (11)

from the definition of a function in  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ . We also know that

$$f(x) \in \mathbb{R} \tag{12}$$

and

$$g(x) \in \mathbb{R} \tag{13}$$

from the fact that a function in  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$  has the codomain  $\mathbb{R}$ . Because addition is commutative in  $\mathbb{R}$ , from (12) and (13) we can conclude that

$$f(x) + g(x) = g(x) + f(x).$$
 (14)

Applying the transitive property of equality to (10) and (14) we obtain

$$(f+g)(x) = g(x) + f(x).$$
 (15)

Applying this same property to (15) and (11) we obtain

$$(g+f)(x) = (f+g)(x).$$

In conclusion, we have shown that (g+f)(x) = (f+g)(x) for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and therefore addition is commutative in  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ .

In conclusion, we have shown that  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$  is closed under addition, addition is associative in  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$  contains an identity element, each element in  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$  has an inverse, and addition is commutative in  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ . From this we know that  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$  is an Abelian group under addition.

(b) Does  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$  have an identity element for multiplication?

Yes, let  $e \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$  such that

$$e(x) = 1$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$  and let  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . We see that

$$(fe)(a) = f(a)e(a) = f(a) \cdot 1 = f(a)$$

and

$$(ef)(a) = e(a)f(a) = 1 \cdot f(a) = f(a).$$

Therefore, e is the identity in  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$  under multiplication.

(c) Find an element in  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$  that does not have a multiplicative inverse in  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ . Explain how this shows  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$  is not a group under multiplication.

Let  $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$  such that

$$f(x) = 0$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $g \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$  and let  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . We see that

$$(fg)(a) = 0 \cdot g(a) = 0 \neq 1.$$

And from this we can conclude that the function f defined as f(x) = 0 for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  has no inverse. Because a group must have an inverse for every element and f is an element in  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$  is not a group under multiplication.

(d) Find necessary and sufficient conditions for an element in  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$  to be a unit in  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ . State your result in a lemma of the form "The function  $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$  is a unit in  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$  if and only if ...". Your lemma must say something more than just a rehash of the definition of a unit; rather, it must actually characterize the functions that are invertible under multiplication in  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Conjecture.** An element in  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$  is a unit if and only if  $f(x) \neq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

First we will show that if f is a function in  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $f(x) \neq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , then f is a unit.

Proof. Let f be a function in  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $f(x) \neq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Because the set  $\mathbb{R} - \{0\}$  is a group under multiplication and f(x) is in this group we know that there exists some g in  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$  such that f(x)g(x) = 1 and g(x)f(x) = 1. From this we see that f has an inverse in  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ .

Next we will show that if f is a unit, then it must be true that  $f(x) \neq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* We assume to the contrary that f is a unit in  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$  such that there exists some  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  such that f(a) = 0. Because f is a unit, there must exist some g in  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$  such that g(x)f(x) = 1 for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . This implies that there exists a real number g(a) such that  $g(a) \cdot 0 = 1$ . This is a contradiction and so it must be necessary for  $f(x) \neq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  in order for f to be a unit.

Activity 20.12. In this activity, we will explore a simple relationship between the order of a group element and the order of its inverse.

(a) Determine the order of [2] in  $\mathbb{Z}_6$ . What is the inverse of [2] in  $\mathbb{Z}_6$ ? Directly compute the order of the inverse of [2] in  $\mathbb{Z}_6$ . What do you notice?

First of all, we note that  $\langle [2] \rangle = \{[0], [2], [4]\}$ . The magnitude of this set is 3 and therefore the order of [2] in  $\mathbb{Z}_6$  is 3. The inverse of [2] is [4] ([2]+[4] = [0]).

The order of [4] in  $\mathbb{Z}_6$  is equal to the magnitude of  $\langle [4] \rangle = \{[0], [2], [4]\}$ , and so the order of [4] is 3. The sets  $\langle [2] \rangle$  and  $\langle [4] \rangle$  are equal and therefore the orders [2] and [4] must be equal as well.

(b) Determine the order of  $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  in the group  $D_4$  of symmetries of a square. What is the inverse of  $\alpha$  in  $D_4$ ? Directly compute the order of the inverse of  $\alpha$  in  $D_4$ . What do you notice?

First of all, we note that

$$\langle \alpha \rangle = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

From this we see that the magnitude of  $\alpha$  is 4. The inverse of  $\alpha$  is  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$ . The cyclic group generated by  $\alpha^{-1}$  is

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Therefore the order of  $\alpha^{-1}$  is 4. Again, this is equal to  $\alpha$ .

(c) Based on your observations in parts (a) and (b), what relationship do you think exists between |a| and  $|a^{-1}|$  in a group G?

The order of a is equal to the order of  $a^{-1}$ .

(d) Let G be a group with identity e, and let  $a \in G$ . Show that if  $a^n = e$  for some positive integer n, then  $(a^{-1})^n = e$ .

*Proof.* Let G be a group with identity e and let  $a \in G$  such that

$$a^n = e (16)$$

for some positive integer n. Applying the definitions of an integer power of an element in a group we see that

$$(a^{-1})^n = a^{-1 \cdot n} = a^{-n} = (a^n)^{-1}$$
(17)

Now applying the transitive property of equality to (16) and (17) we obtain

$$(a^{-1})^n = e^{-1} = e.$$

In conclusion, we have shown that if G be a group with identity e and  $a \in G$  such that  $a^n = e$  for some positive integer n, then  $(a^{-1})^n = e$ .

(e) Let G be a group with identity e, and let a be an element of G with finite order. For this case, prove the relationship you conjectured between |a| and  $|a^{-1}|$  in part (c).

**Conjecture.** Let G be a group with identity e with element a of finite order. The order of a is equal to the order of  $a^{-1}$ .

*Proof.* We know that  $\langle a \rangle$  contains e and so there must exist some positive integer n such that

$$a^n = e. (18)$$

Let  $S = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^+ : a^x = e\}$ . From (18) we know that S is not empty. Therefore, from the Axiom of Choice we are able to choose the a smallest element  $k \in S$ . The set  $\{a, a^2, \ldots, a^k\}$  is equal to the set  $\langle a \rangle$  (it contains a and all of the elements up to e) and so the order of a is k. From part (d) we know that  $(a^{-1})^k = e$  and so  $|a^{-1}| \leq |a|$ .

We also know that  $\langle a^{-1} \rangle$  contains e and so there must exist some positive integer m such that

$$(a^{-1})^m = e. (19)$$

Let  $T = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^+ : ((a)^{-1})^x = e\}$ . From (19) we know that T is not empty. Therefore, from the Axiom of Choice we are able to choose the a smallest element  $p \in T$ . The set  $\{a^{-1}, (a^{-1})^2, \dots, (a^{-1})^p\}$  is equal to the set  $\langle a^{-1} \rangle$  (it contains  $a^{-1}$  and all of the elements up to e) and so the order of  $a^{-1}$  is p. From part (d) we know that  $a^p = e$  and so  $|a| \leq |a^{-1}|$ .

In conclusion we have shown that the order of a is less than or equal to the order of  $a^{-1}$  and the order of  $a^{-1}$  is less than or equal to the order of a. Therefore, the order of a and the order of  $a^{-1}$  is equal.

(f) Let G be a group with identity e, and let  $a \in G$ . Prove that if a has infinite order, then  $a^{-1}$  has infinite order.

*Proof.* Assume to the contrary that a has infinite order, but  $a^{-1}$  does not. Because the order of  $a^{-1}$  is finite, there must exist some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that

$$|a^{-1}| < n \tag{20}$$

The inverse of  $a^n$  is  $a^{-1}$ )<sup>n</sup>, but because the order of  $(a^{-1}$  is less than n there must exist some k < n such that  $(a^{-1})^n = (a^{-1})^k$ . But this would mean that  $(a^{-1})^k$  has two unique inverses,  $a^k$  and  $a^n$ . This is a contradiction and so  $a^{-1}$  has infinite order.

(3) Let H denote the set of all  $2 \times 2$  matrices of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} x & 0 \\ y & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Is H a subgroup of  $\mathcal{M}_{2\times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ ?

Conjecture. The set H is a subgroup of  $\mathcal{M}_{2\times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ .

*Proof.* First of all, the identity of  $\mathcal{M}_{2\times 2}(\mathbb{R})$  under addition is  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and this is in H (x=0,y=0). Next, let  $a,b,c,d\in\mathbb{R}$ . Then  $\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} c & 0 \\ d & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  are both in H. When we add these two matrices together we get

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a+c & 0 \\ b+d & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Because the reals are closed under addition, we know that both a+c and b+d are in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Therefore,  $\begin{bmatrix} a+c & 0 \\ b+d & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is in H and from this we can conclude that H is closed under addition. Finally let  $x,y\in\mathbb{R}$ . The inverses of x and y are also in  $\mathbb{R}$  and so both  $\begin{bmatrix} x & 0 \\ y & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} -x & 0 \\ -y & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  are in H. We also see that

$$\begin{bmatrix} x & 0 \\ y & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -x & 0 \\ -y & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

From this, we can conclude that every element in H has an inverse. In conclusion, we have shown that the subset H of  $\mathcal{M}_{2\times 2}(\mathbb{R})$  is closed under addition, the identity of  $\mathcal{M}_{2\times 2}(\mathbb{R})$  under addition is in H, and every element of H has an inverse. From this we have shown that H is a subgroup of  $\mathcal{M}_{2\times 2}(\mathbb{R})$  under addition.

- (4) Let G be a group and H a subgroup of G. Which of the following conjectures do you think are true, and which do you think are false? Provide brief arguments or examples to justify your answers.
- (a) If G is finite, then H is finite.

This statement is true due to the fact that H is a subset of G and therefore cannot have a magnitude greater than its superset G.

(b) If H is finite, then G is finite.

This is not necessarily true. For example,  $\{0\}$  is a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}$  under addition. In this case H is finite, but G is infinite.

(c) If G is Abelian, then H is Abelian.

This is a property of the operator of G and therefore it will also be true for H whose elements are all in G.

(d) If H is Abelian, then G is Abelian.

This is not always true. For example, we have the group G containing the symmetries of a square with the subgroup H containing only its identity. In this case H is Abelian, but G is not.

- (8) Intersections of subgroups. Let G be a group with subgroups H and K.
- (a) Is  $H \cap K$  a subgroup of G? Prove your answer.

**Conjecture.** Let G be a group with subgroups H and K. Then  $H \cap K$  is a subgroup of G.

Proof. Let G be a group with identity e and let H and K be subgroups of G. We know from the fact that H and K are subgroups of G that  $e \in H$  and  $e \in K$ , and so  $e \in H \cap K$ . Next, let  $a, b \in H \cap K$ . From the definition of intersection of sets we know that  $a, b \in H$  and  $a, b \in K$ . Because H and K are groups,  $ab \in H$  and  $ab \in K$ . Therefore  $ab \in H \cap K$ , coming from the definition of intersection. From this we have shown that  $H \cap K$  are closed under the operation of G. Finally, let  $x \in H \cap K$ . From the definition of intersection of sets we know that  $x \in H$  and  $x \in K$ . Because H and K are groups,  $x^{-1} \in H$  and  $x^{-1} \in K$ . Therefore  $x^{-1} \in H \cap K$ , coming from the definition of intersection of sets.

In conclusion, we have shown that the identity of G is in  $H \cap K$ , the set  $H \cap K$  is closed under the operation of G, and each element in  $H \cap K$  has an inverse in  $H \cap K$ . Therefore,  $H \cap K$  is a subgroup of G.

(b) Can we generalize? That is, if  $H_{\alpha}$  is a collection of subgroups of G indexed by  $\alpha$  in an indexing set I, is it the case that  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} H_{\alpha}$  is a subgroup of G? Prove your answer.

**Conjecture.** Let H be a subgroup of G in the collection  $H_{\alpha}$ . We know that  $e \in H$  from the fact that H is a subgroup of G. Because H is an arbitrary element in  $H_{\alpha}$  we have shown that e is in every element of  $H_{\alpha}$ .

Therefore, from the definition of intersection of sets  $e \in \cap_{\alpha \in I} H_{\alpha}$ . Next let  $a, b \in \cap_{\alpha \in I} H_{\alpha}$ . From the definition of intersection we know that  $a, b \in H$ . Because H is a group,  $ab \in H$ . Again, H is an arbitrary element in  $H_{\alpha}$  and so  $ab \in \cap_{\alpha \in I} H_{\alpha}$ . From this, we see that  $\cap_{\alpha \in I} H_{\alpha}$  is closed under the operation of the group G. Finally, let  $x \in \cap_{\alpha \in I} H_{\alpha}$ . From the definition of intersection we know that  $x \in H$ . Because H is a group,  $x^{-1} \in H$ . And because H is an arbitrary element in  $H_{\alpha}$ ,  $x^{-1} \in \cap_{\alpha \in I} H_{\alpha}$ .

In conclusion, we have shown that  $\cap_{\alpha \in I} H_{\alpha}$  contains the identity  $e \in G$ , it is closed under the operation of G, and each element in  $\cap_{\alpha \in I} H_{\alpha}$  has an inverse. Therefore,  $\cap_{\alpha \in I} H_{\alpha}$  is a subgroup of G.

*Proof.* We will prove this by induction. Let  $H_{\alpha}$  be a collection of subgroups of G indexed by  $\alpha$  in an indexing set I with |I|=1. In this case,  $\bigcap_{\alpha\in I}H_{\alpha}=H_1$  where  $H_1$  is the one and only element in the collection  $H_{\alpha}$ . We already know that  $H_1$  is a subgroup of G and so  $\bigcap_{\alpha\in I}H_{\alpha}$  is a subgroup of G. For the next step in the induction proof we must show that if  $\bigcap_{\alpha\in I}H_{\alpha}$  is a subgroup of

- (12) Determine whether H is a subgroup of G.
- (a)  $G = \mathbb{Z}_{20}$  under addition,  $H = \{[0], [3], [6], [9], [12], [15], [18]\}.$

The inverse of [3] is [17] which is not in H. Therefore H is not a subgroup of G.

(b)  $G = U_7$  under multiplication,  $H = \{[1], [2], [4]\}.$ 

First of all, the identity [1] is in H. Now we will construct an operation table to see if H is closed under multiplication and each element of H has an inverse.

•	[1]	[2]	[4]
[1]	[1]	[2]	[4]
[2]	[2]	[4]	[1]
$\overline{[4]}$	[4]	[1]	[2]

From this operation table we see that H is closed under multiplication and each element of H has an inverse. And so, we can conclude that H is a subgroup of G.

(c) 
$$G = U_{16}$$
 and  $H = \{[1], [7], [9], [15]\}.$ 

First of all, the identity [1] is in H. Now we will construct an operation table to see if H is closed under multiplication and each element of H has

an inverse.

	[1]	[7]	[9]	[15]
[1]	[1]	[7]	[9]	[15]
[7]	[7]	[1]	[15]	[19]
[9]	[9]	[15]	[1]	[7]
[15]	[15]	[9]	[7]	[1]

From this operation table we see that H is closed under multiplication and each element of H has an inverse. And so, we can conclude that H is a subgroup of G.