Russ Johnson Problem Set #3 February 10, 2013

(15) Let $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ denote the set of all functions from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R} . Define addition and multiplication on $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ as follows:

• For all $f, g \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}), (f+g) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is the function defined by

$$(f+g)(x) = f(x) + g(x)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

• $f, g \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}), (fg) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is the function defined by

$$(fg)(x) = f(x)g(x)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

(a) Prove that $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ is an Abelian group under addition.

For this proof we will first show that $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ is closed under addition, that addition is associative in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$, that $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ contains an identity element, and that each element in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ has an inverse. Finally, we will show that addition is commutative in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$.

First of all, from the definition of addition in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ we see that this operation will always give us another function in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$. Therefore, $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ is closed under addition. Next we will prove that addition is associative in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. Let $f, g, h \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ and let $x \in \mathbb{R}$. From the definition of addition in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ we know that

$$((f+g)+h)(x) = (f(x)+g(x)) + h(x)$$
(1)

and

$$(f + (g+h))(x) = f(x) + (g(x) + h(x))$$
(2)

We also know that

$$f(x) \in \mathbb{R},$$
 (3)

$$g(x) \in \mathbb{R},$$
 (4)

and

$$h(x) \in \mathbb{R} \tag{5}$$

from the fact that the codomain of f, g, and h is \mathbb{R} . We already know that the addition is associative in \mathbb{R} . From this fact and the equations (3), (4), and (5). We know that

$$(f(x) + g(x)) + h(x) = f(x) + (g(x) + h(x)).$$
(6)

From applying the transitive property of equality to (6) and (1), we obtain

$$((f+g)+h)(x) = f(x) + (g(x)+h(x)). (7)$$

Applying this same property again to (7) and (2), we obtain

$$((f+g)+h)(x) = (f+(g+h))(x). (8)$$

Finally, from (8) we see that addition is associative in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$.

Next we will prove that $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ contains an identity element.

Proof. Let $e \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$e(x) = 0 (9)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$. We see that

$$(f+e)(x) = f(x) + e(x) = f(x) + 0 = f(x)$$

and

$$(e+f)(x) = e(x) + f(x) = 0 + f(x) = f(x).$$

In conclusion, we have shown that (f+e)(x)=(e+f)(x)=f(x) for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and therefore e is the identity element in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$.

Next will show that each function in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ has an inverse under addition.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ and let $x \in \mathbb{R}$. First we note that (f - f)(x) = f(x) - f(x) = 0 coming from the fact that $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ and each element in \mathbb{R} has an inverse under addition. We know that for a We know that \mathbb{R} is a group under addition. Therefore each element in \mathbb{R} has an inverse Let $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ and let $g \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ such that

Finally, we will show that every element in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ commutes under addition.

Proof. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ and let $x \in \mathbb{R}$. We know that

$$(f+g)(x) = f(x) + g(x) \tag{10}$$

and

$$(g+f)(x) = g(x) + f(x)$$
 (11)

from the definition of a function in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$. We also know that

$$f(x) \in \mathbb{R} \tag{12}$$

and

$$g(x) \in \mathbb{R} \tag{13}$$

from the fact that a function in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ has the codomain \mathbb{R} . Because addition is commutative in \mathbb{R} , from (12) and (13) we can conclude that

$$f(x) + g(x) = g(x) + f(x).$$
 (14)

Applying the transitive property of equality to (10) and (14) we obtain

$$(f+g)(x) = g(x) + f(x).$$
 (15)

Applying this same property to (15) and (11) we obtain

$$(g+f)(x) = (f+g)(x).$$

In conclusion, we have shown that (g+f)(x) = (f+g)(x) for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and therefore addition is commutative in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$.

In conclusion, we have shown that $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ is closed under addition, addition is associative in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$, $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ contains an identity element, each element in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ has an inverse, and addition is commutative in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$. From this we know that $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ is an Abelian group under addition.

(b) Does $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ have an identity element for multiplication?

Yes, let $e \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$e(x) = 1$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ and let $a \in \mathbb{R}$. We see that

$$(fe) = f(a)e(a) = f(a) \cdot 1 = f(a)$$

and

$$(ef) = e(a)f(a) = 1 \cdot f(a) = f(a).$$

Therefore e is the identity in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ under multiplication.

(c) Find an element in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ that does not have a multiplicative inverse in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$. Explain how this shows $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ is not a group under multiplication.

Let $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$f(x) = 0$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $g \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ and let $a \in \mathbb{R}$. We see that

$$(fg)(a) = 0 \cdot g(a) = 0 \neq 1.$$

And from this we can conclude that the function f has no inverse.

(d) Find necessary and sufficient conditions for an element in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ to be a unit in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$. State your result in a lemma of the form "The function $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ is a unit in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if ...". Your lemma must say something more than just a rehash of the definition of a unit; rather, it must actually characterize the functions that are invertible under multiplication in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$.

Conjecture. An element in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ is a unit if and only if $f(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

First we will show that an if f is a function in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $f(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, then f is a unit.

Proof. Let f be a function in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $f(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. There exists some g in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ such that (fg)(x) = (gf)(x) = 1 for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $g \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $g \in \mathbb{R}$ a function in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ such that f(a)g(a) = 1.

Activity 20.12. In this activity, we will explore a simple relationship between the order of a group element and the order of its inverse.

(a) Determine the order of [2] in \mathbb{Z}_6 . What is the inverse of [2] in \mathbb{Z}_6 ? Directly compute the order of the inverse of [2] in \mathbb{Z}_6 . What do you notice?

First of all, we note that $\langle [2] \rangle = \{[0], [2], [4]\}$. The magnitude of this set is 3 and therefore the order of [2] in \mathbb{Z}_6 is 3. The inverse of [2] is [4], ([2] + [4] = [0]). The order of [4] in \mathbb{Z}_6 is equal to the magnitude of $\langle [4] \rangle = \{[0], [2], [4]\}$, and so the order of [4] is 3. The sets $\langle [2] \rangle$ and $\langle [4] \rangle$ are equal and therefore the orders [2] and [4] must be equal as well.

(b) Determine the order of $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ in the group D_4 of symmetries of a square. What is the inverse of α in D_4 ? Directly compute the order of the inverse of α in D_4 . What do you notice?

First of all, we note that

$$\langle \alpha \rangle = \{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \}.$$

From this we see that the magnitude of α is 4. The inverse of α is $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$. The cyclic group generated by α^{-1} is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \}.$$

There the order of α^{-1} is 4. Again, this is equal to α .

(c) Based on your observations in parts (a) and (b), what relationship do you think exists between |a| and $|a^{-1}|$ in a group G?

The order of a is equal to the order of a^{-1} .

(d) Let G be a group with identity e, and let $a \in G$. Show that if $a^n = e$ for some positive integer n, then $(a^{-1})^n = e$.

Proof. Let G be a group with identity e and let $a \in G$ such that

$$a^n = e \tag{16}$$

for some positive integer n. Applying the definitions of an integer power of an element in a group we see that

$$(a^{-1})^n = a^{-1 \cdot n} = a^{-n} = (a^n)^{-1}$$
(17)

Now applying the transitive property of equality to (16) and (17) we obtain

$$(a^{-1})^n = e^{-1} = e.$$

In conclusion, we have shown that if G be a group with identity e and $a \in G$ such that $a^n = e$ for some positive integer n, then $(a^{-1})^n = e$.

(e) Let G be a group with identity e, and let a be an element of G with finite order. For this case, prove the relationship you conjectured between |a| and $|a^{-1}|$ in part (c).

Conjecture. Let G be a group with identity e with element a of finite order. The order of a is equal to the order of a^{-1} .

Proof. Assume to the contrary that $|a| > |a^{-1}|$. We know that $\langle a \rangle$ contains e and so there must exist some positive integer n such that

$$a^n = e. (18)$$

Let $S = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^+ : a^x = e\}$. From (18) we now that S is not empty. Therefore from the Axiom of Choice we will choose k to be the From the Axiom of Choice we know that there must exist some least value $k \in S$. \square

(f) Let G be a group with identity e, and let $a \in G$. Prove that if a has infinite order, then a^{-1} has infinite order.

Proof. Assume to the contrary that a has infinite order, but a^{-1} does not.

(3) Let H denote the set of all 2×2 matrices of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} x & 0 \\ y & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Is H a subgroup of $\mathcal{M}_{2\times 2}(\mathbb{R})$?

Conjecture. The set H is a subgroup of $\mathcal{M}_{2\times 2}(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. First of all, the identity of $\mathcal{M}_{2\times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ under addition is $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and this is in H. Next let $a,b,c,d\in\mathbb{R}$. Then $\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} c & 0 \\ d & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ are both in H. When we add these two matrices together we get

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a+c & 0 \\ b+d & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Because the reals are closed under addition, we know that both a+c and b+d are in \mathbb{R} . Therefore, $\begin{bmatrix} a+c & 0 \\ b+d & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is in H and from this we see that H is closed under addition.