For this homework assume all matrices are square and have entries from a field \mathbb{F} .

1. Show by induction that the determinant of an upper triangular matrix is the product of the diagonal entries.

Solution: We will proceed by induction on the size of the matrix.

Proof.

Base Case: For a 1×1 matrix the result is obvious.

Induction Hypothesis: Suppose that every upper triangular matrix of size $n \times n$ has determinant equal to the product of its diagonal, i.e. if A is $n \times n$ then

$$\det(A) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{ii}$$

Now suppose that A is a $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$ upper triangular matrix. We compute the determinant of A by cofactor expansion along the first column. Hence,

$$\det(A) = a_{1,1} \det(\hat{A}_{1,1})$$

We note that since A is upper triangular then $\hat{A}_{1,1}$ is upper triangular of size $n \times n$. By the induction hypothesis we have that

$$\det(A) = a_{1,1} \prod_{i=2}^{n+1} a_{i,i} = \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} a_{i,i}$$

2. Call a matrix A nilpotent if $A^k = 0$ for some positive integer k. Show that every square nilpotent matrix has determinant zero.

Solution: I will break the proof into two versions. In one version, the field should be either \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{R} . In the second version, any field will work. I will accept either version as correct.

Version 1:

Proof. If $A^k = 0$ then $\det(A^k) = \det(0) = 0$. By the multiplicative property we have that

$$\det(A)^k = 0$$

and hence

$$\det(A) = 0$$

by taking k-th roots.

Version 2:

Proof. Suppose that A is nilpotent but has a non-zero determinant. By the question above and the question below we have that A must be invertible. Let A^{-1} denote its inverse. Let k be the smallest positive integer such that $A^k = 0$.

$$I = A^{-1}A$$

$$A^{k-1} = IA^{k-1} = A^{-1}AA^{k-1} = A^{-1}A^k = A^{-1}0 = 0$$

Which is a contradiction on the condition we put on k.

3. Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. Show from the definition of determinants that

$$\det(kA) = k^n \det(A)$$

for $k \in \mathbb{F}$.

Solution: We will proceed by induction on the size of the matrix.

Proof.

Base Case: For a 1×1 matrix the result is obvious.

Induction Hypothesis: Suppose for every matrix B of size $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ that $\det(kB) = k^{n-1} \det(B)$ for $k \in \mathbb{F}$.

Now suppose that A is an $n \times n$ matrix and $k \in \mathbb{F}$. We compute the determinant of kA by cofactor expansion along the first column. Thus,

$$\det(kA) = ka_{1,1} \det(k\hat{A}_{1,1}) + \dots + ka_{n,1} \det(k\hat{A}_{n,1})$$

$$= k \left[a_{1,1} \det(k\hat{A}_{1,1}) + \dots + a_{n,1} \det(k\hat{A}_{n,1}) \right]$$

$$= k \cdot k^{n-1} \left[a_{1,1} \det(\hat{A}_{1,1}) + \dots + a_{n,1} \det(\hat{A}_{n,1}) \right]$$

$$= k^n \left[a_{1,1} \det(\hat{A}_{1,1}) + \dots + a_{n,1} \det(\hat{A}_{n,1}) \right]$$

$$= k^n \det(A)$$

by our induction hypothesis since each $\hat{A}_{i,1}$ is an $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix.

4. Let $T: V \to V$ where V is a finite dimensional vector space. Let $\beta = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ and $\gamma = \{v'_1, \ldots, v'_n\}$ be two ordered bases for V. Show there exists an invertible matrix P such that

$$[T]_{\beta} = P^{-1}[T]_{\gamma}P$$

Hint: Construct a linear transformation that switches out the basis and figure out how it relates to the matrix construction.

Solution: Before we prove the result we will recall how matrix multiplication represents the application of a linear transformation.

Review:

Let $T: V \to W$ be a linear transformation from an n-dimensional vector space V to an m-dimensional vector space W. Let $\beta = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ be an ordered basis for V and $\gamma = \{w_1, \ldots, w_m\}$ be an ordered basis for W. If $v \in V$ then $v = c_1v_1 + \ldots + c_nv_n$ for some unique choice of c_1, \ldots, c_n .

Define the linear transformation $\phi_{\beta}: V \to \mathbb{F}^n$ be defined by

$$\phi_{\beta}(v) = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

For $T: V \to W$ and choices of bases β and γ (for V and W respectively) we can construct the matrix $[T]^{\gamma}_{\beta}$. If we denote $\phi_{\beta}(v)$ by $[v]_{\beta}$ (and likewise for ϕ_{γ}) we have the following relationship:

$$[T(v)]_{\gamma} = [T]_{\beta}^{\gamma}[v]_{\beta}. \tag{1}$$

For clarity, let $\hat{T}^{\gamma}_{\beta}: \mathbb{F}^n \to \mathbb{F}^m$ be the linear transformation given by multiplication by the matrix $[T]^{\gamma}_{\beta}$ i.e.

$$\hat{T}^{\gamma}_{\beta}(\vec{x}) = [T]^{\gamma}_{\beta} \cdot \vec{x}.$$

Note both ϕ_{β} and ϕ_{γ} are invertible. Hence,

$$T(v) = \phi_{\gamma}^{-1} \circ \hat{T}_{\beta}^{\gamma} \circ \phi_{\beta}(v)$$

by Equation (1). More specifically, applying T to a vector v is the same as applying the coordinate transformation ϕ_{β} , multiplying by $[T]_{\beta}^{\gamma}$, and then applying ϕ_{γ}^{-1} . Another way to say this is to say the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \stackrel{T}{\longrightarrow} & W \\ \phi_{\beta} \downarrow & & \uparrow_{\phi_{\gamma}^{-1}} \\ \mathbb{F}^{n} & \stackrel{\hat{T}^{\gamma}_{\beta}}{\longrightarrow} & \mathbb{F}^{m} \end{array}$$

We can now prove the result we want. Recall that $[T]_{\beta}$ is the simplified notation for $[T]_{\beta}^{\beta}$.

Proof. Let $T: V \to V$ where V is a finite dimensional vector space. Let $\beta = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ and $\gamma = \{v'_1, \ldots, v'_n\}$ be two ordered bases for V. From the above discussion we have the

MATH 3210 Homework 3

following combined diagram

$$\mathbb{F}^{n} \xrightarrow{\hat{T}_{\gamma}} \mathbb{F}^{n}$$

$$\phi_{\gamma} \downarrow \qquad \qquad \uparrow^{\phi_{\gamma}^{-1}}$$

$$V \xrightarrow{T} W$$

$$\phi_{\beta} \downarrow \qquad \qquad \uparrow^{\phi_{\beta}^{-1}}$$

$$\mathbb{F}^{n} \xrightarrow{\hat{T}_{\beta}} \mathbb{F}^{n}$$

where \hat{T}_{γ} and \hat{T}_{β} are multiplication by $[T]_{\gamma}$ and $[T]_{\beta}$ respectively. Note,

$$\begin{split} \hat{T}_{\gamma} &= \phi_{\gamma} \circ T \circ \phi_{\gamma}^{-1} \\ &= \phi_{\gamma} \circ \phi_{\beta}^{-1} \circ \hat{T}_{\beta} \circ \phi_{\beta} \circ \phi_{\gamma}^{-1}. \end{split}$$

These linear transformations have matrices (with respect to the standard basis for \mathbb{F}^n). Hence,

$$[T]_{\gamma} = P^{-1}[T]_{\beta}P$$

where P is the matrix for the transformation $\phi_{\beta} \circ \phi_{\gamma}^{-1} : \mathbb{F}^n \to \mathbb{F}^n$.

Note: A similar proof results in a more general result:

Proposition 0.1. If β and β' are bases for V and γ and γ' are bases for W then

$$[T]_{\beta'}^{\gamma'} = Q^{-1}[T]_{\beta}^{\gamma} P$$

for some invertible matrices Q and P.

5. Define the determinant of a linear transformation $T: V \to V$ by

$$\det(T) = \det([T]_{\beta})$$

where $[T]_{\beta}$ is a matrix of T with respect to an ordered basis. Does this definition rely on the choice of basis?

Solution: This definition does not depend on the choice of basis. If $A = P^{-1}BP$ then

$$\det(A) = \det(P^{-1}BP) = \det(P^{-1})\det(B) = \det(P) = \det(B)$$

since $\det(P^{-1}) = \frac{1}{\det(P)}$. Thus, $\det([T]_{\gamma}) = \det([T]_{\beta})$ for any choices of bases γ and β .