1. Let  $\mathcal{F}:\mathbb{C}^N\to\mathbb{C}^N$  be the operator defined by

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ \vdots \\ x_{N-1} \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} X_0 \\ \vdots \\ X_{N-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$X_k = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x_n e^{-i2\pi kn/N}.$$

This is the discrete Fourier transform. Define the map  $\mathcal{D}: \mathbb{C}^N \to \mathbb{C}^N$  given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_0 \\ \vdots \\ X_{N-1} \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ \vdots \\ x_{N-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$x_n = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} X_k e^{i2\pi kn/N}.$$

Construct the matrices with respect to the standard basis (for both the domain and codomain) for both  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathbb{C}^4$  and use these matrices to show  $\mathcal{F}$  is invertible and its inverse is  $\mathcal{D}$ .

**Hint:**  $e^{i\theta} = \cos(\theta) + i\sin(\theta)$ .

**Solution:** Before we dive into a solution let's see where the discrete Fourier transform comes from. Let  $C^1(\mathbb{T})$  denote the set of complex valued (or real valued) functions with domain [0,1] which are continuously differentiable and periodic, i.e.

$$C^1(\mathbb{T}) = \{x: [0,1] \to \mathbb{C} | x \text{ is continuously differentiable }, x(0) = x(1)\}$$

For a function in this space it is possible to represent it with a Fourier series, i.e.

$$x(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} X[n]e^{i2\pi nt}$$

where

$$X[n] = \int_{0}^{1} x(t)e^{-i2\pi nt}dt$$
 (1)

Equation 1 is called the *Fourier transform* of the function x(t). The Fourier transform is interpreted as transforming a function over the *time domain* into a function over the *frequency domain*. Some applications include signal analysis and solving differential equations. The formula

$$X_k = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x_n e^{-i2\pi kn/N}$$

can be considered the Riemann sum approximation to the Fourier transform of x(t) where the function x(t) was sampled at a finite number of evenly spaced points. To find out more about the Fourier transform and the discrete Fourier transform consider looking here:

### https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fourier\_transform

Now, onto the solution of problem 1. To construct the matrix of a linear transformation we apply the transformation to each basis element. For clarity, our basis is the following:

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0\\1\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\1\\0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\0\\1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

Below we expand out our formula for the entries of our vector.

$$\begin{split} X_0 &= x_0 e^{\frac{-i2\pi\cdot0\cdot0}{4}} + x_1 e^{\frac{-i2\pi\cdot0\cdot1}{4}} + x_2 e^{\frac{-i2\pi\cdot0\cdot2}{4}} + x_3 e^{\frac{-i2\pi\cdot0\cdot3}{4}} \\ X_1 &= x_0 e^{\frac{-i2\pi\cdot1\cdot0}{4}} + x_1 e^{\frac{-i2\pi\cdot1\cdot1}{4}} + x_2 e^{\frac{-i2\pi\cdot1\cdot2}{4}} + x_3 e^{\frac{-i2\pi\cdot1\cdot3}{4}} \\ X_2 &= x_0 e^{\frac{-i2\pi\cdot2\cdot0}{4}} + x_1 e^{\frac{-i2\pi\cdot2\cdot1}{4}} + x_2 e^{\frac{-i2\pi\cdot2\cdot2}{4}} + x_3 e^{\frac{-i2\pi\cdot2\cdot3}{4}} \\ X_3 &= x_0 e^{\frac{-i2\pi\cdot3\cdot0}{4}} + x_1 e^{\frac{-i2\pi\cdot3\cdot1}{4}} + x_2 e^{\frac{-i2\pi\cdot3\cdot2}{4}} + x_3 e^{\frac{-i2\pi\cdot3\cdot3}{4}} \end{split}$$

Upon inspection, we see that the matrix for the discrete Fourier transform is given by:

$$[\mathcal{F}] = \begin{bmatrix} e^{\frac{-i2\pi \cdot 0 \cdot 0}{4}} & e^{\frac{-i2\pi \cdot 0 \cdot 1}{4}} & e^{\frac{-i2\pi \cdot 0 \cdot 2}{4}} & e^{\frac{-i2\pi \cdot 0 \cdot 3}{4}} \\ e^{\frac{-i2\pi \cdot 1 \cdot 0}{4}} & e^{\frac{-i2\pi \cdot 1 \cdot 1}{4}} & e^{\frac{-i2\pi \cdot 1 \cdot 2}{4}} & e^{\frac{-i2\pi \cdot 1 \cdot 3}{4}} \\ e^{\frac{-i2\pi \cdot 2 \cdot 0}{4}} & e^{\frac{-i2\pi \cdot 2 \cdot 1}{4}} & e^{\frac{-i2\pi \cdot 2 \cdot 2}{4}} & e^{\frac{-i2\pi \cdot 2 \cdot 3}{4}} \\ e^{\frac{-i2\pi \cdot 3 \cdot 0}{4}} & e^{\frac{-i2\pi \cdot 3 \cdot 1}{4}} & e^{\frac{-i2\pi \cdot 3 \cdot 2}{4}} & e^{\frac{-i2\pi \cdot 3 \cdot 3}{4}} \end{bmatrix}$$

Applying Euler's Formula gives us the following:

$$[\mathcal{F}] = egin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \ 1 & -i & -1 & i \ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \ 1 & i & -1 & -i \end{bmatrix}.$$

A similar process for  $\mathcal{D}$  gives us

$$[\mathcal{D}] = rac{1}{4} egin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \ 1 & i & -1 & -i \ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \ 1 & -i & -1 & i \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since a linear transformation is invertible if and only if its matrix is invertible, a quick matrix multiplication shows,

$$[\mathcal{F}][\mathcal{D}] = \mathrm{Id}$$

$$[\mathcal{D}][\mathcal{F}]=\mathrm{Id}.$$

Hence  $\mathcal{F}$  is an invertible transformation and  $\mathcal{D}$  is its inverse.

**2.** Let  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$  be the space of "formal" power-series over the reals i.e.

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n \, \middle| \, a_i \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

with the usual operations of addition and scalar multiplication on powerseries. Let  $\frac{d}{dx}: \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$  be the linear map of "differentiation", i.e.

$$\frac{d}{dx}(f(x)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} na_n x^{n-1}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{A}_{n.c}(\mathbb{R})$  be the space of formal power series without a constant term, i.e.

$$\mathcal{A}_{n.c}(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x^n \, \middle| \, a_i \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

Construct an explicit isomorphism  $T: \mathcal{A}_{n.c}(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})/\text{null}(\frac{d}{dx})$ .

# MATH 3210 Exam 1

**Solution:** As noted in class a possible candidate for our isomorphism is the following:

$$T: \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x^n \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x^n + \text{null}\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right).$$

For notational convenience, let  $\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n x^n\right]$  denote the element  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n x^n + \text{null}\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)$  in the quotient space  $A(\mathbb{R})/\text{null}\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)$ . Note that

$$\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n x^n\right] = \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n x^n\right]$$

if and only if there exits a constant  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$k = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n x^n - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n x^n.$$

Under this notation the map T looks rather natural since

$$T: \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x^n \mapsto \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x^n \right] = \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n \right]$$

where  $a_0$  is any constant. We will now show that T is well-defined, linear, onto, and one to one.

#### T is well-defined:

*Proof.* This proof is more or less obvious, since if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n x^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n x^n$  then  $b_n = c_n$  for all  $n \ge 1$ . Hence,  $0 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n x^n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n x^n$  and  $[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n x^n] = [\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n x^n]$ .

### T is linear:

*Proof.* Again, this proof is more or less obvious. By the definition of addition in the quotient space we have that for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

$$\lambda \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n x^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n x^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\lambda b_n + c_n) x^n \mapsto \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\lambda b_n + c_n) x^n \right] = \left[ \lambda \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n x^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n x^n \right]$$

and

$$\left[\lambda \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n x^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n x^n\right] = \lambda \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n x^n\right] + \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n x^n\right].$$

# MATH 3210 Exam 1

#### T is onto:

*Proof.* Let  $\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n\right] \in A(\mathbb{R})/\text{null}\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)$ . It is clear that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x^n$  maps to  $\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n\right]$ under T.

#### T is one to one:

*Proof.* Suppose  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n x^n \neq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n x^n$ . There exists an  $n \geq 1$  such that  $b_n \neq c_n$ . Hence there does not exist a constant  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $k = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n x^n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n x^n$ . Thus,  $\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n x^n\right] \neq \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n x^n\right]$ .

**3.** Determine the dimension of  $U = \{[a_1, \dots, a_n]^\top | \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 0\}$  as a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Hint:** Consider the linear map  $S: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  given by  $S([a_1, \dots, a_n]^\top) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$ .

**Solution:** We will prove the result directly using rank-nullity.

*Proof.* Let S be the linear map  $S: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  given by  $S([a_1, \dots, a_n]^\top) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$ . Notice that U = null(S). By rank-nullity,

$$\dim(\mathbb{R}^n) = \dim(\operatorname{ran}(S)) + \dim(\operatorname{null}(S)).$$

Note that  $1 \in \operatorname{ran}(S)$  since  $[1/n, \dots, 1/n]^{\top} \mapsto 1$  under S. Hence  $\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{ran}(S)) = 1$ . Thus  $\dim(U) = \dim(\operatorname{null}(S)) = n - 1 \text{ since } \dim(\mathbb{R}^n) = n.$ 

**4.** Let  $P_n(x) = \{p(x) = a_n x^n + \ldots + a_1 x + a_0 \mid a_i \in \mathbb{R}, p : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}\}$  be the space of polynomials of degree  $\leq n$ . Let  $P_{per}(x)$  be the subspace of polynomials in  $P_n(x)$  with periodic boundary conditions, i.e.

$$P_{\text{per}}(x) = \{ p \in P_n(x) \mid p(0) = p(1) \}.$$

Determine the dimension of  $P_{per}(x)$  as a subspace of  $P_n(x)$ .

**Hint:** Try to construct a basis for  $P_{per}(x)$  as a subspace of  $P_2(x)$  and then generalize the argument for an arbitrary n. Alternatively, reduce to the above problem.

## MATH 3210 Exam 1

**Solution:** We claim that if  $P_{per}(x) \subseteq P_n(x)$  has dimension n. We will prove the result in two ways. One by constructing a basis and one by reducing to the above problem.

**Solution 1:** We will use the following lemma, the proof of which is easily seen from the fundamental theorem of algebra.

Lemma 1: Suppose p(x) is a non-constant polynomial with real coefficients. If p(x) has a zero at x = c then p(x) = (x - c)s(x) for some polynomial s(x) (with real coefficients).

We can now prove our main result. We will do so by constructing a basis for  $P_{per}(x)$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $P_n(x)$  is an n+1 dimensional vector space. We claim  $P_{per}(x)$  is n dimensional and that

$$\{v_0(x) = 1, v_1(x) = x(x-1), v_2(x) = x^2(x-1), \dots, v_{n-1}(x) = x^{n-1}(x-1)\}$$

is a basis for the space. Let  $p(x) \in P_{per}(x)$  where p(x) is a non-constant polynomial. By our lemma,

$$p(x) = x(x-1)s(x)$$

where  $deg(s(x)) \leq n-2$ . We have that  $s(x) = a_{n-2}x^{n-2} + \ldots + a_1x + a_0$ , where each  $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$  for each  $0 \leq i \leq n-2$ . Hence, if p(x) is a non-constant polynomial then

$$p(x) = x(x-1)s(x) = a_{n-2}x^{n-1}(x-1) + \dots + a_1x^2(x-1) + a_0x(x-1).$$

If p(x) is a constant polynomial then  $p(x) = a \cdot 1$  for some  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Hence,  $\{v_0(x), v_1(x), \dots, v_{n-1}(x)\}$  generates  $P_{per}(x)$ . Moreover  $\{v_0(x), v_1(x), \dots, v_{n-1}(x)\}$  is a linearly independent set since if

$$0 = c_0 v_0(x) + c_1 v_1(x) \dots + c_{n-1} v_{n-1}(x)$$

is a n degree polynomial which is 0 for all  $x \in [0, 1]$  then  $c_0v_0(x) + c_1v_1(x) \dots + c_{n-1}v_{n-1}(x)$  must be the zero polynomial and  $c_0 = c_1 = \dots = c_{n-1}$ .

**Solution 2:** We will now give a solution by reduction to the above problem. Suppose  $p(x) = a_n x^n + \ldots + a_1 x + a_0$  is a polynomial of degree n such that p(0) = p(1). Thus,

$$a_0 = p(0) = p(1) = a_n + \ldots + a_1 + a_0$$

i.e.

$$a_1 + \ldots + a_n = 0.$$

Hence,  $p(x) = a_n x^n + \ldots + a_1 x + a_0$  has the property that p(0) = p(1) if and only if  $a_1 + \ldots + a_n = 0$ . Define a linear isomorphism  $T: P_n(x) \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  by

$$T(a_0 + a_1 x + \ldots + a_n x^n) = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be the subspace defined by

$$U = \left\{ [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n]^\top \middle| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 0 \right\}.$$

Note that  $T(P_{per}(x)) = U$ . This is now similar to the previous problem. Construct a linear operator  $S: \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$S([a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n]) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$$

and note U = null(S). By rank-nullity

$$n + 1 = \dim(\operatorname{ran}(S)) + \dim(\operatorname{null}(S))$$

Hence U is n-dimensional and its isomorphic image,  $P_{\rm per}(x)$ , is n-dimensional.