1.1 We need to prove that  $\sum_{j=1}^k r_j \log p_j - \sum_{j=1}^k r_j \log r_j \le 0$ , which, by properties of the logarithm, can be re-written as:

 $\sum_{j=1}^{k} r_j \log \frac{p_j}{r_j} \le 0$   $\Longrightarrow$  we can use Jensen's inequality to prove that the left-hand side of the inequality is less than or equal to 0

 $\implies \sum_{j=1}^k r_j \log \frac{p_j}{r_j} \le \log \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{r_j \cdot p_j}{r_j}$ , where the right side can be simplified to the logarithm of the sum of all probabilities, which must be equal to 1.

$$\implies \log(1) = 0$$

$$\therefore \sum_{j=1}^k r_j \log \frac{p_j}{r_j} \le 0 \blacksquare$$

1.2 Prove that to obtain maximum log-likelihood (and therefore maximum likelihood) for fixed  $(f_1, \ldots, f_k)$  we have to put  $p_i = r_i$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, k$ .

Given the proof above, it can be deduced that the maximal likelihood is 0, which is satisfied only when  $\frac{p_j}{r_j} = 1$ .

$$\implies \max(\sum_{j=1}^k r_j \log \frac{p_j}{r_j}) = 0 \iff \frac{p_j}{r_j} = 1 : p_j = r_j$$