

## Course 8: 12.04.2021

## Chapter 2 RINGS

### 2.1 Rings and fields

Let us begin with the definition of the main algebraic structures with two binary operations.

**Definition 2.1.1** Let  $R$  be a set. Then a structure with two operations  $(R, +, \cdot)$  is called a:

- (1) *ring* if  $(R, +)$  is an abelian group,  $(R, \cdot)$  is a semigroup and the distributive laws hold, that is,

$$\forall x, y, z \in R, \quad x \cdot (y + z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z \text{ and } (y + z) \cdot x = y \cdot x + z \cdot x.$$

- (2) *unitary ring* if  $(R, +, \cdot)$  is a ring and there exists an identity element 1 with respect to “ $\cdot$ ”.

- (3) *division ring* (or *skew field*) if  $(R, +, \cdot)$  is a ring,  $|R| \geq 2$  and any  $x \in R^*$  has an inverse  $x^{-1} \in R^*$ , where  $R^* = R \setminus \{0\}$  and 0 is the identity element of the group  $(R, +)$ .

- (4) *field* if it is a commutative division ring.

**Definition 2.1.2** Let  $(R, +, \cdot)$  be a ring.

An element  $x \in R^*$  is called a *left (right) zero divisor* if  $\exists y \in R^*$  such that  $x \cdot y = 0$  ( $y \cdot x = 0$ ).

An element  $x \in R^*$  is called a *zero divisor* if it is a left **or** right zero divisor.

We say that  $R$  is an *integral domain* if  $R \neq \{0\}$ ,  $R$  is unitary, commutative and has no zero divisor. The last condition means that:

$$x, y \in R, \quad x \cdot y = 0 \implies x = 0 \text{ or } y = 0.$$

**Remark 2.1.3** (1) The name of zero divisor is justified by the very classical concept of divisibility in a commutative monoid  $(A, \cdot)$ , namely: if  $x \in A$ , then

$$x|0 \iff \exists y \in A \text{ such that } x \cdot y = 0.$$

- (2) Notice that  $x \in R^*$  is not a left (right) zero divisor if and only if

$$y \in R, \quad x \cdot y = 0 \implies y = 0 \quad (y \cdot x = 0 \implies y = 0).$$

Let us now give the most important examples of rings and fields.

**Example 2.1.4** (a)  $(\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$  is an integral domain, but not a field.

- (b)  $(\mathbb{Q}, +, \cdot)$ ,  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$  and  $(\mathbb{C}, +, \cdot)$  are fields.

(c) Let  $\{e\}$  be a single element set and let both “ $+$ ” and “ $\cdot$ ” be the only possible operation on  $\{e\}$ , defined by  $e + e = e$  and  $e \cdot e = e$ . Then  $(\{e\}, +, \cdot)$  is a commutative ring, called the *trivial ring*, where  $1 = 0 = e$ .

(d) Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . Then  $(\mathbb{Z}_n, +, \cdot)$  is a commutative ring, called the *ring of residue classes modulo  $n$* . The addition and the multiplication are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{x} + \widehat{y} &= \widehat{x + y}, \\ \widehat{x} \cdot \widehat{y} &= \widehat{x \cdot y}, \end{aligned}$$

for every  $\widehat{x}, \widehat{y} \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ .

Since  $\widehat{2} \cdot \widehat{3} = \widehat{0}$ , both  $\widehat{2}$  and  $\widehat{3}$  are zero divisors in the ring  $(\mathbb{Z}_6, +, \cdot)$ .

Note that the ring  $(\mathbb{Z}_n, +, \cdot)$  is a field if and only if  $n$  is a prime number (see seminar).

(e) Let  $\mathbb{Z}[i] = \{x + iy \mid x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . Then  $(\mathbb{Z}[i], +, \cdot)$  is a ring, called the *ring of Gauss integers*, where the operations are the usual addition and multiplication of complex numbers.

(f) Let  $(R, +, \cdot)$  be a commutative unitary ring. Then  $(R[X], +, \cdot)$  is a commutative unitary ring, called the *polynomial ring over  $R$  in the indeterminate  $X$* , where the operations are the usual addition and multiplication of polynomials. We will come back later to the study of polynomial rings.

(g) Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 2$  and let  $(R, +, \cdot)$  be a ring. Then  $(M_n(R), +, \cdot)$  is a ring, called the *ring of  $n \times n$  matrices with entries in  $R$* , where the operations are the usual addition and multiplication of matrices.

Let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Since  $A \cdot B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $A$  and  $B$  are zero divisors in the ring  $(M_2(\mathbb{C}), +, \cdot)$ .

(h) Let  $M$  be a non-empty set and let  $(R, +, \cdot)$  be a ring. Define on  $R^M = \{f \mid f : M \rightarrow R\}$  two operations by:  $\forall f, g \in R^M$ , we have  $f + g : M \rightarrow R$ ,  $f \cdot g : M \rightarrow R$ , where

$$(f + g)(x) = f(x) + g(x), \quad \forall x \in M,$$

$$(f \cdot g)(x) = f(x) \cdot g(x), \quad \forall x \in M.$$

Then  $(R^M, +, \cdot)$  is a ring, called the *ring of functions with a set as domain and a ring as codomain*.

The zero element is the function  $\theta : M \rightarrow R$  defined by  $\theta(x) = 0$ ,  $\forall x \in M$ . The symmetric of any function  $f : M \rightarrow R$  is the function  $-f : M \rightarrow R$  defined by  $(-f)(x) = -f(x)$ ,  $\forall x \in M$ .

If  $R$  is unitary, then  $R^M$  is unitary and its identity element is the function  $\varepsilon : M \rightarrow R$  defined by  $\varepsilon(x) = 1$ ,  $\forall x \in M$ . If  $R$  is commutative, then so is  $R^M$ .

But even if  $R$  has no zero divisor, then  $R^M$  might have. Actually, this happens for any set  $M$  with  $|M| \geq 2$ . For instance, take  $M = R = \mathbb{R}$  and consider the functions  $f, g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } x \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x \neq 0 \end{cases}.$$

Then  $f \neq \theta$  and  $g \neq \theta$ , but  $f \cdot g = \theta$ . Hence the ring  $(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}, +, \cdot)$  has zero divisors, even if the initial ring (in fact field)  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$  does not.

(i) Let  $(G, +)$  be an abelian group. Define on the set  $End(G, +)$  of its endomorphisms two operations by:  $\forall f, g \in End(G, +)$ ,

$$(f + g)(x) = f(x) + g(x), \quad \forall x \in G,$$

$$(f \circ g)(x) = f(g(x)), \quad \forall x \in G,$$

that is, the addition defined in the previous example and the composition of functions. Then  $(End(G, +), +, \circ)$  is a unitary ring, called the *endomorphism ring of the abelian group  $(G, +)$* .

The zero element is the trivial endomorphism  $f \in End(G, +)$ , defined by  $f(x) = 0$ ,  $\forall x \in G$ . The symmetric of any  $f \in End(G, +)$  is  $-f \in End(G, +)$  defined by  $(-f)(x) = -f(x)$ ,  $\forall x \in G$ . The identity element is the identity endomorphism  $1_G \in End(G, +)$ .

(j) On the set  $\mathbb{H} = \{a_1 + a_2i + a_3j + a_4k \mid a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \in \mathbb{R}\}$  one defines the following operations of addition and multiplication. For every  $q = a_1 + a_2i + a_3j + a_4k$ ,  $q' = b_1 + b_2i + b_3j + b_4k \in \mathbb{H}$ ,

$$q + q' = (a_1 + b_1) + (a_2 + b_2)i + (a_3 + b_3)j + (a_4 + b_4)k,$$

$$\begin{aligned} q \cdot q' &= (a_1b_1 - a_2b_2 - a_3b_3 - a_4b_4) + (a_1b_2 + a_2b_1 + a_3b_4 - a_4b_3)i \\ &\quad + (a_1b_3 - a_2b_4 + a_3b_1 + a_4b_2)j + (a_1b_4 + a_2b_3 - a_3b_2 + a_4b_1)k. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $(\mathbb{H}, +, \cdot)$  is a division ring, called the *quaternion division ring*. Note that the quaternion group  $Q = \{\pm 1, \pm i, \pm j, \pm k\}$  is a subgroup of the group  $(\mathbb{H}^*, \cdot)$ , and the product in  $\mathbb{H}$  is determined by the product of the elements of the group  $(Q, \cdot)$ .

If  $q = a_1 + a_2i + a_3j + a_4k \in \mathbb{H}$ , then

$$\bar{q} = a_1 - a_2i - a_3j - a_4k \in \mathbb{H}$$

is called the *conjugate of  $q$* . One can easily see that  $\overline{q + q'} = \bar{q} + \bar{q'}$ ,  $\overline{q \cdot q'} = \bar{q} \cdot \bar{q'}$ ,  $\bar{\bar{q}} = q$  and  $\overline{aq} = a\bar{q}$  for every  $q, q' \in \mathbb{H}$  and every  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

For every  $q \in \mathbb{H}$ , the positive real number

$$N(q) = q \cdot \bar{q} = \bar{q} \cdot q = a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2 + a_4^2$$

is called the *norm of  $q$* . Note that  $N(q \cdot q') = N(q) \cdot N(q')$  for every  $q, q' \in \mathbb{H}$ . For every  $q \in \mathbb{H}^*$ ,  $q^{-1} = \frac{1}{N(q)} \bar{q}$ .

**Remark 2.1.5** If  $(R, +, \cdot)$  is a ring, then  $(R, +)$  is a group and  $(R, \cdot)$  is a semigroup, so that we may talk about multiples and positive powers of elements of  $R$ .

**Definition 2.1.6** Let  $(R, +, \cdot)$  be a ring, let  $x \in R$  and let  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . Then we define

$$\begin{aligned} n \cdot x &= \underbrace{x + x + \cdots + x}_{n \text{ times}}, \\ 0 \cdot x &= 0, \\ (-n) \cdot x &= -n \cdot x, \\ x^n &= \underbrace{x \cdot x \cdot \cdots \cdot x}_{n \text{ times}}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $R$  is a unitary ring, then we may also consider  $x^0 = 1$ .

If  $R$  is a division ring, then we may also define negative powers of  $x$ , namely

$$x^{-n} = (x^{-1})^n.$$

**Remark 2.1.7** Notice that in the definition  $0 \cdot x = 0$ , the first 0 is the integer zero and the second 0 is the zero element of the ring  $R$ , i.e., the identity element of the group  $(R, +)$ .

Clearly, the first computational properties of a ring  $(R, +, \cdot)$  are the properties of the group  $(R, +)$  and of the semigroup  $(R, \cdot)$ . Some relationship properties between the two operations are given in the following result.

**Theorem 2.1.8** Let  $(R, +, \cdot)$  be a ring and let  $x, y, z \in R$ . Then:

- (i)  $x \cdot (y - z) = x \cdot y - x \cdot z$ ;
- $(y - z) \cdot x = y \cdot x - z \cdot x$ ;
- (ii)  $x \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot x = 0$ ;
- (iii)  $x \cdot (-y) = (-x) \cdot y = -x \cdot y$ .

*Proof.* (i) We have

$$x \cdot (y - z) = x \cdot y - x \cdot z \iff x \cdot (y - z) + x \cdot z = x \cdot y \iff x \cdot (y - z + z) = x \cdot y,$$

the last equality being obviously true. Similarly,  $(y - z) \cdot x = y \cdot x - z \cdot x$ .

(ii) We have

$$x \cdot 0 = x \cdot (y - y) = x \cdot y - x \cdot y = 0.$$

Similarly,  $0 \cdot x = 0$ .

(iii) We have

$$x \cdot (-y) = -x \cdot y \iff x \cdot (-y) + x \cdot y = 0 \iff x \cdot (-y + y) = 0 \iff x \cdot 0 = 0,$$

the last equality being true by (ii). □

**Remark 2.1.9** Note that all zeros appearing in Theorem 2.1.8 (ii) are the zero element of the ring  $R$ .

**Theorem 2.1.10** Let  $(R, +, \cdot)$  be a ring and let  $a \in R^*$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $a$  is not a left (right) zero divisor;
- (ii) the left (right) cancellation law holds for  $a$ , that is,

$$a \cdot x = a \cdot y \implies x = y \quad (x \cdot a = y \cdot a \implies x = y),$$

where  $x, y \in R$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\implies$  (ii) Let  $x, y \in R$  be such that  $a \cdot x = a \cdot y$ . Then  $a \cdot (x - y) = 0$  and since  $a$  is not a left zero divisor, we must have  $x - y = 0$ , i.e.,  $x = y$ .

(ii)  $\implies$  (i) Let  $b \in R$  be such that  $a \cdot b = 0$ . Then we have  $a \cdot b = a \cdot 0$ , whence it follows by the left cancellation law that  $b = 0$ . Hence  $a$  is not a left zero divisor.

**Theorem 2.1.11** *Let  $(R, +, \cdot)$  be a unitary ring and let  $a \in R^*$ . Consider the following statements:*

*(1)  $a$  is invertible;*

*(2)  $a$  is not a zero divisor.*

*Then  $(1) \implies (2)$  and if  $R$  is finite, then  $(1) \iff (2)$ .*

*Proof.*  $(1) \implies (2)$  Let  $b \in R$  be such that  $a \cdot b = 0$ . By multiplying by  $a^{-1}$  on the left hand side, we get  $b = 0$ . Hence  $a$  is not a left zero divisor. Similarly,  $a$  is not a right zero divisor.

$(2) \implies (1)$  Now we know that  $R$  is finite. Consider the function  $t_a : R \rightarrow R$  defined by  $t_a(x) = a \cdot x$ ,  $\forall x \in R$ . Then  $t_a$  is injective, since

$$t_a(x) = t_a(y) \implies a \cdot x = a \cdot y \implies a(x - y) = 0 \implies x - y = 0 \implies x = y.$$

But since  $R$  is finite, the injective function  $t_a : R \rightarrow R$  must be surjective as well. Then  $\exists b \in R$  such that  $a \cdot b = 1$ . Similarly, by considering the function  $t'_a : R \rightarrow R$  defined by  $t'_a(x) = x \cdot a$ ,  $\forall x \in R$ , there exists  $c \in R$  such that  $c \cdot a = 1$ . But then we have

$$cab = (ca)b = 1 \cdot b = b$$

and, on the other hand,

$$cab = c(ab) = c \cdot 1 = c,$$

hence  $b = c$ . Therefore,  $a$  is invertible. □

**Corollary 2.1.12** *(i) Every field has no zero divisor. Moreover, every field is an integral domain.*

*(ii) Every finite integral domain is a field.*

**Example 2.1.13** Let  $p$  be a prime. The ring  $(\mathbb{Z}_p, +, \cdot)$  of residue classes modulo  $p$  is non-zero, unitary and commutative. If  $\hat{x}, \hat{y} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  are such that  $\hat{x} \cdot \hat{y} = \hat{0}$ , then  $\widehat{xy} = \hat{0}$ , and thus  $p|xy$ . Hence  $p|x$  or  $p|y$ , which implies that  $\hat{x} = \hat{0}$  or  $\hat{y} = \hat{0}$ . This shows that  $(\mathbb{Z}_p, +, \cdot)$  has no zero divisor. Therefore,  $(\mathbb{Z}_p, +, \cdot)$  is an integral domain, and consequently, it is a field by the above corollary.