

Course 10: 26.04.2020

2.4 Ideals

We study a notion which is the analogue for Ring Theory of normal subgroups for Group Theory.

Definition 2.4.1 Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a ring and let $\emptyset \neq U \subseteq R$. Then U is called a *left (right) ideal* of R if

- (1) $x, y \in U \implies x - y \in U$;
- (2) $r \in R, x \in U \implies rx \in U$ ($xr \in U$).

If U is both a left and a right ideal, then U is called a *two-sided ideal* (or simply *ideal*) of R .

We denote by $U \trianglelefteq R$ the fact that U is a (two-sided) ideal of R .

Remark 2.4.2 (1) If R is a commutative ring, then left, right and (two-sided) ideals coincide.

(2) Every left (right, two-sided) ideal is a subring.

Example 2.4.3 (a) Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a ring. Then the trivial subrings $\{0\}$ and R are clearly two-sided ideals. A ring that has only trivial two-sided ideals is called *simple*.

(b) The set of ideals of $(\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$ is

$$I(\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot) = \{n\mathbb{Z} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Indeed, since every ideal is a subring we have $I(\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot) \subseteq S(\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot) = \{n\mathbb{Z} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. On the other hand, for each n and for every $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x \in n\mathbb{Z}$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $x = nk$, whence $rx = r(nk) = n(rk) \in n\mathbb{Z}$ and consequently each $n\mathbb{Z}$ is an ideal of \mathbb{Z} .

(c) More generally, let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a ring and $a \in R$. Then $Ra = \{ra \mid r \in R\}$ and $aR = \{ar \mid r \in R\}$ are a left ideal and a right ideal of R respectively.

(d) Let $f : R \rightarrow R'$ be a ring homomorphism. Then $\text{Ker } f \trianglelefteq R$.

Indeed, $\text{Ker } f \neq \emptyset$, because $f(0) = 0'$, and so $0 \in \text{Ker } f$. Now let $r \in R$ and $x, y \in \text{Ker } f$. Then $f(x) = f(y) = 0'$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} f(x - y) &= f(x) - f(y) = 0' - 0' = 0', \\ f(rx) &= f(r) \cdot f(x) = f(r) \cdot 0' = 0', \\ f(xr) &= f(x) \cdot f(r) = 0' \cdot f(r) = 0', \end{aligned}$$

whence $x - y, rx, xr \in \text{Ker } f$. Therefore, $\text{Ker } f \trianglelefteq R$.

Theorem 2.4.4 Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a unitary ring and U a left (right) ideal of R . If U contains an invertible element of R , then $U = R$.

Proof. Assume that U contains an invertible element of R , say u . Since $u^{-1} \in R$, $u \in U$ and U is a left ideal of R , we deduce that $1 = u^{-1}u \in U$. But then $\forall r \in R$, we have $r = r \cdot 1 \in U$, so that $R \subseteq U$. Therefore, $U = R$. \square

Corollary 2.4.5 Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a unitary ring and U a left (right) ideal of R . If $1 \in U$, then $U = R$.

Theorem 2.4.6 Every division ring has only the trivial left or right ideals.

Proof. Let $(K, +, \cdot)$ be a division ring and let A be a left ideal of K . Assume that $A \neq \{0\}$. Let $a \in A^*$. It follows that $1 = a^{-1} \cdot a \in A$. Now by Corollary 2.4.5, we get $A = K$. \square

Corollary 2.4.7 Let K be a field, R a ring and $f : K \rightarrow R$ a ring homomorphism. Then f is either the trivial homomorphism or an injective homomorphism.

Proof. We know that $\text{Ker } f$ is an ideal of K (see Example 2.4.3). Then by Theorem 2.4.6, we must have either $\text{Ker } f = \{0\}$ or $\text{Ker } f = K$. In the first case, f is injective, whereas in the second case $f(x) = 0$, $\forall x \in K$, that is, f is the trivial homomorphism. \square

As for subrings, the intersection is compatible with ideals, whereas the union is not in general.

Theorem 2.4.8 Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a ring and let $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of ideals of $(R, +, \cdot)$. Then $\bigcap_{i \in I} U_i$ is an ideal of $(R, +, \cdot)$.

Proof. For each $i \in I$, U_i is an ideal of $(R, +, \cdot)$, hence $0 \in U_i$. Then $0 \in \bigcap_{i \in I} U_i \neq \emptyset$. Now let $x, y \in \bigcap_{i \in I} U_i$ and $r \in R$. Then $x, y \in U_i, \forall i \in I$. But U_i is an ideal of $(R, +, \cdot), \forall i \in I$. It follows that $x - y, rx, xr \in U_i, \forall i \in I$, hence $x - y, rx, xr \in \bigcap_{i \in I} U_i$. Therefore, $\bigcap_{i \in I} U_i$ is an ideal of $(R, +, \cdot)$. \square

Example 2.4.9 In Example 2.4.3 (b), we have seen that $I(\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot) = \{n\mathbb{Z} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Take $U = 2\mathbb{Z}, V = 3\mathbb{Z} \in I(\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$. Then $U \cap V = 2\mathbb{Z} \cap 3\mathbb{Z} = 6\mathbb{Z}$ is an ideal of $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$. But $U \cup V = 2\mathbb{Z} \cup 3\mathbb{Z}$ is not an ideal of $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$, because, for instance, we have $2, -3 \in U \cup V$, but $2 - (-3) = 5 \notin U \cup V$. Therefore, in general the union of ideals is not an ideal.

Definition 2.4.10 Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a ring and let $X \subseteq R$. Then we denote

$$(X) = \bigcap \{A \trianglelefteq R \mid X \subseteq A\}$$

and we call it the *ideal generated by X*.

In fact, (X) is the "least" ideal of R containing X .

Here X is called the *generating set* of (X) .

If $X = \{x\}$, then we denote $(x) = (\{x\})$.

Remark 2.4.11 Notice that $(\emptyset) = \{0\}$ by Definition 2.4.10.

Let us see how a generated ideal looks like, in the case of a *commutative ring with identity*.

Theorem 2.4.12 Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a commutative ring with identity, and let $\emptyset \neq X \subseteq R$. Then

$$(X) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i \mid a_i \in R, x_i \in X, i = 1, \dots, n, n \in \mathbb{N}^* \right\},$$

that is, the set of all finite linear combinations of elements in X with coefficients in R .

Proof. Denote by U the right hand side of the above equality. We are going to prove that U is the least ideal of R containing X , that is, to show the following 3 properties:

- (i) $U \trianglelefteq R$;
- (ii) $X \subseteq U$;
- (iii) If $V \trianglelefteq R$ and $X \subseteq V$, then $U \subseteq V$.

Let us discuss them one by one.

(i) Clearly, we have $U \neq \emptyset$, because $X \neq \emptyset$. Let $x = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i x_i$ and $y = \sum_{j=1}^n b_j y_j \in U$. Then we have $x - y, rx, xr \in U$, so that $U \trianglelefteq R$.

(ii) Clear.

(iii) If $V \trianglelefteq R$ and $X \subseteq V$, then $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i \in V$ for every $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$ and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X \subseteq V$. It follows that $U \subseteq V$.

Hence U is the least ideal of R containing X , which shows the conclusion of the theorem. \square

Corollary 2.4.13 Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a commutative ring with identity, and $a \in R$. Then

$$(a) = aR = Ra.$$

Theorem 2.4.14 Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a ring. Then the partially ordered set $(I(R, +, \cdot), \subseteq)$ of ideals of R is a complete lattice, where

$$\inf(U_i)_{i \in I} = \bigcap_{i \in I} U_i,$$

$$\sup(U_i)_{i \in I} = \left(\bigcup_{i \in I} U_i \right)$$

for every family $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ of ideals of R .

2.5 Factor ring. Isomorphism theorems for rings

Definition 2.5.1 Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a ring and let $U \trianglelefteq R$.

(1) Define on R the relation r_U by:

$$x r_U y \iff x - y \in U.$$

(2) Denote $R/r_U = \{x + U \mid x \in R\}$ by R/U and define on R/U the operations " + " and " · " by

$$(x + U) + (y + U) = (x + y) + U,$$

$$(x + U) \cdot (y + U) = (x \cdot y) + U,$$

for every $x, y \in R$.

Theorem 2.5.2 In the context of the previous definition, r_U is an equivalence relation on R and $(R/U, +, \cdot)$ is a ring, called the quotient (factor) ring of R modulo U . If R has the identity 1, then R/U has identity, namely $1 + U$.

Proof. Since U is a (normal) subgroup of the abelian group $(R, +)$, r_U is an equivalence relation on R from Group Theory. Also, $(R/U, +)$ is an abelian group.

Let us show that $(R/U, \cdot)$ is a semigroup (monoid). Let $x, y, z \in R$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} (x + U) \cdot [(y + U) \cdot (z + U)] &= (x + U) \cdot (yz + U) = x(yz) + U = (xy)z + U \\ &= (xy + U) \cdot (z + U) = [(x + U) \cdot (y + U)] \cdot (z + U). \end{aligned}$$

If R has the identity 1, then R/U has identity, namely $1 + U$.

Also, the distributive laws hold. Indeed, for every $x, y, z \in R$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (x + U) \cdot ((y + U) + (z + U)) &= (x + U) \cdot ((y + z) + U) = x(y + z) + U = (xy + xz) + U \\ &= (xy + U) + (xz + U) = (x + U) \cdot (y + U) + (x + U) \cdot (z + U) \end{aligned}$$

and similarly on the other side.

Hence $(R/U, +, \cdot)$ is a ring (with identity). □

Example 2.5.3 For $R = \mathbb{Z}$ and $U = n\mathbb{Z}$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) the above construction gives the ring $\mathbb{Z}_n = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ of residue classes modulo n . Note that $\mathbb{Z}_0 = \{\{x\} \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, $\mathbb{Z}_1 = \{\mathbb{Z}\}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_n = \{\widehat{0}, \dots, \widehat{n-1}\}$ for $n \geq 2$.

Recall that the ring \mathbb{Z}_n is a field if and only if n is a prime (see seminar).

Theorem 2.5.4 (The First Isomorphism Theorem) Let $f : R \rightarrow R'$ be a ring homomorphism. Then:

- (i) $\text{Ker } f \trianglelefteq R$;
- (ii) $R/\text{Ker } f \simeq \text{Im } f$.

Proof. (i) We have already shown this.

(ii) Let $\bar{f} : R/\text{Ker } f \rightarrow \text{Im } f$ be defined by

$$\bar{f}(x + \text{Ker } f) = f(x), \quad \forall x \in R.$$

We denote $K = \text{Ker } f$ and $\widehat{x} = x + \text{Ker } f$, hence $\bar{f}(\widehat{x}) = f(x)$.

We already know that \bar{f} is a well-defined group isomorphism between the abelian groups $(R, +)$ and $(R', +)$. For every $x, y \in R$, we have

$$\bar{f}(\widehat{x} \cdot \widehat{y}) = \bar{f}(\widehat{x \cdot y}) = f(x \cdot y) = f(x) \cdot f(y) = \bar{f}(\widehat{x}) \cdot \bar{f}(\widehat{y}),$$

hence \bar{f} is a ring isomorphism. □

Example 2.5.5 Let us show the ring isomorphism $\mathbb{R}[X]/(X + 1) \cong \mathbb{R}$ by using the first isomorphism theorem. Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $\varphi(f) = f(-1)$ for every $f \in \mathbb{R}[X]$. Recall that if R is a commutative unitary ring and $a \in R$, then the ideal generated by a is $(a) = aR$. Also, according to the Bézout theorem, for $a \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $f(a) = 0 \iff (X - a) \mid f$. Hence $(X + 1) = (X + 1)\mathbb{R}[X]$. It follows that:

$$\text{Ker } \varphi = \{f \in \mathbb{R}[X] \mid f(-1) = 0\} = \{f \in \mathbb{R}[X] \mid (X + 1) \mid f\} = (X + 1)\mathbb{R}[X] = (X + 1).$$

We also have $\text{Im } \varphi = \mathbb{R}$, because for every $a \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists $f = a \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ such that $\varphi(f) = f(-1) = a$. By the first isomorphism theorem for rings we have $\mathbb{R}[X]/(X + 1) \cong \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 2.5.6 (The Second Isomorphism Theorem) *Let R be a ring and A, U subrings of R such that $U \trianglelefteq \langle A \cup U \rangle$. Then:*

- (i) $\langle A \cup U \rangle = A + U$.
- (ii) $A \cap U \trianglelefteq A$.
- (iii) $(A + U)/U \simeq A/(A \cap U)$.

Proof. (i) Note that every subring is a subgroup, and the group $(R, +)$ is abelian. Then $A + U = U + A$, hence from Group Theory we have $\langle A \cup U \rangle = \sup(A, U) = A + U$ as subgroups of R . But this also holds in the subring lattice of R .

(ii), (iii) Let $i : A \rightarrow A + U$ be the homomorphism defined by $i(a) = a + U$ for every $a \in A$, and let $p : A + U \rightarrow (A + U)/U$ be the homomorphism defined by $p(x) = x + U$ for every $x \in A + U$. Denote $p' = p \circ i : A \rightarrow (A + U)/U$, and use the first isomorphism theorem for p' . We have

$$\text{Ker } p' = \{a \in A \mid p'(a) = U\} = \{a \in A \mid a + U = U\} = A \cap U,$$

hence $A \cap U \trianglelefteq A$. Also, p' is surjective, because for every class $(a + u) + U$ ($a \in A$, $u \in U$), we have $(a + u) + U = a + U = p'(a)$. It follows that $(A + U)/U \simeq A/(A \cap U)$. \square

Theorem 2.5.7 (The Third Isomorphism Theorem) *Let R be a ring, and U, V ideals of R such that $U \subseteq V$. Then:*

- (i) $V/U \trianglelefteq R/U$.
- (ii) $R/V \simeq (R/U)/(V/U)$.

Proof. (i) We know that V/U is a subgroup of R/U . For every $r \in R$ and $v + U \in V/U$, we have $r(v + U) = rv + U \in V/U$ and $(v + U)r = vr + U$. Hence $V/U \trianglelefteq R/U$.

(ii) From the third isomorphism theorem for groups,

$$g : (R/U)/(V/U) \rightarrow R/V, \quad g((x + U) + (V/U)) = x + V$$

is a well-defined isomorphism between the additive groups. For every $x_1, x_2 \in R$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(((x_1 + U) + (V/U)) \cdot ((x_2 + U) + (V/U))) &= g((x_1 + U) \cdot (x_2 + U) + (V/U)) \\ &= g((x_1 x_2 + U) + (V/U)) \\ &= x_1 x_2 + V \\ &= (x_1 + V) \cdot (x_2 + V) \\ &= g((x_1 + U) + (V/U)) \cdot g((x_2 + U) + (V/U)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence g is a ring isomorphism. \square

Example 2.5.8 Consider the ring $(\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$. Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $m \mid n$. Then we have $U = n\mathbb{Z} \subseteq m\mathbb{Z} = V$. By the third isomorphism theorem we have

$$(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})/(m\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_m.$$

Hence the factor rings of $\mathbb{Z}_n \simeq \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ are isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_m for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \mid n$.