Visit the Dome

Extracting arguments in parliamentary debates

Anh Phuong Le, Lukas Peter Trautner, Ruta Bakhda, Thang Nguyen, Yamen Ajjour, Wei-Fan Chen

Parliamentary Debates

Citizens care about **societal issues** and await changes by their governments. To discuss those problems, governments frequently have debates in their parliament.

Some countries publish those debates, yet they are often not easy to comprehend. In this project, we analyze those debates and present the essential arguments to internet users.

Data Preprocessing

We obtain a record of 3,796,847 speeches from the Canadian Parliament and combine several • Cleansing data (e.g. removing duplicates/clichés) methods to extract only those concerning controversial issues (21,332 speeches).

Methods used:

- Finding controversial topics
- Sentiment analysis

Argument Mining

Manual Annotation:

We set up a tool to manually argumentative texts (claim/premise) and stance of an entire speech towards a topic.

Statistics (after cleaning)

- Online Debates (47,427 sentences)
- Student Essays (6,089 sentences)
- Parliamentary Debates (108 sentences sampled)

Features used: syntactic, semantic and positional

Predicting argumentative texts:

Using annotated datasets from other domains, we train several machine learning models with different combination of features and test them.

		Training Set	
		Online Debates	Student Essays
Test Set	Online Debates	0.92 / 0.84	0.80 / 0.51
	Student Essays	0.47 / 0.55	0.76 / 0.66
	Parliamentary Debates	0.72 / 0.17	0.79 / 0.15

Best Results (Accuracy and F₁ score) of In-/Cross-domain experiments

Integrating into args.me

We integrate the results into the argument search engine args.me, together with arguments from online debate portals. Also, we highlight statements along with the details of the speakers.

