

Method

Sun Minni

March 27, 2025

1 model

We use a switching state space model similar to [1]. In our model, leaving and returning states are represented by a discrete latent variable z_t , where $z_t = 0$ indicates returning state, and $z_t = 1$ indicates leaving state. Memory of entry $m_t^{(0)}$, and memory of exit $m_t^{(1)}$ are 2-d continuous latent variables. At time step t , z_t selects memory $m_t^{(z_t)}$ as the current 2-d goal velocity. The joint probability of velocities and latent variables can be factored as:

$$\begin{aligned} p(z_{1:n}, m_{1:n}^{(0)}, m_{1:n}^{(1)}, v_{1:n}) &= p(z_1) \prod_{t=2}^n p(z_t | z_{t-1}, o_t) \\ &\quad \cdot \prod_{k=0}^1 p(m_1^{(k)}) \prod_{t=2}^n p(m_t^{(k)} | m_{t-1}^{(k)}, o_{(t-c):t}, v_{(t-c):(t-1)}) \\ &\quad \cdot \prod_{t=1}^n p(v_t | m_t^{(0)}, m_t^{(1)}, z_t) \end{aligned}$$

where hyperparameter c is the interval (in number of time steps) over which we define a crossing (entry or exit) event. The initial state $z_1 \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p_1)$; initial entry memory $m_1^{(0)} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_1^{(0)}, \sigma_1^{(0)} I)$; initial exit memory $m_1^{(1)} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_1^{(1)}, \sigma_1^{(1)} I)$. We set $\mu_1^{(0)}$ to $\mathbf{0}$ and $\sigma_1^{(0)}$ to 0 so that the initial entry memory is a null vector.

The transition matrix of discrete latent variable:

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \begin{bmatrix} p(z_t = 0 | z_{t-1} = 0) & p(z_t = 1 | z_{t-1} = 0) \\ p(z_t = 0 | z_{t-1} = 1) & p(z_t = 1 | z_{t-1} = 1) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} p_{0 \rightarrow 0} & 1 - p_{0 \rightarrow 0} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} & \text{if } o_t = 1 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 - p_{1 \rightarrow 1} & p_{1 \rightarrow 1} \end{bmatrix} & \text{if } o_t = 0 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

After training, we refit the duration in returning state after entry $\Delta t_{z=0, o=1}$ with negative binomial distribution $\text{NB}(r_{0 \rightarrow 0}, 1 - p_{0 \rightarrow 0})$, the duration in leaving state after exit $\Delta t_{z=1, o=0}$ with $\text{NB}(r_{1 \rightarrow 1}, 1 - p_{1 \rightarrow 1})$. This allows the mode of the distribution to be larger than 0.

We define an entry event at time step t as:

$$o_i = 0 \text{ for } t - c \leq i < t - \frac{c}{2}, \text{ and } o_i = 1 \text{ for } t - \frac{c}{2} \leq i \leq t$$

an exit event at time step t as:

$$o_i = 1 \text{ for } t - c \leq i < t - \frac{c}{2}, \text{ and } o_i = 0 \text{ for } t - \frac{c}{2} \leq i \leq t$$

For an event at time step t , the crossing travel direction is defined as:

$$\hat{v}_{t-1} = \frac{\bar{v}_{t-1}}{\|\bar{v}_{t-1}\|}, \text{ where } \bar{v}_{t-1} = \frac{1}{c} \sum_{t-c}^{t-1} v_i$$

The continuous latent variable $m_t^{(k)}$ is only updated by \hat{v}_{t-1} at entry (for $k=0$) or at exit (for $k=1$).

$$m_t^{(k)} = \begin{cases} a_m^{(k)} m_{t-1}^{(k)} + b_m^{(k)} \hat{v}_{t-1} + \epsilon_t, & \epsilon_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_m^{(k)} I) \quad \text{if event} \\ m_{t-1}^{(k)} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The scale of $m^{(k)}$ is controlled by the parameter $b_m^{(k)}$, which can be interpreted as $(1 - a_m^{(k)}) \cdot s^{(k)}$ where $s^{(k)}$ is the goal speed for state k .

Velocity v_t is modeled as a linear combination of velocity at the previous time step v_{t-1} and the current goal velocity $m_t^{(z_t)}$.

$$p(v_t | m_t, z_t = k) = \mathcal{N}(v_t | a_v^{(k)} v_{t-1} + (1 - a_v^{(k)}) m_t^{(k)}, \sigma_v^{(k)} I)$$

2 variational inference

To learn the parameters of the model and infer the latent variables, we optimize the evidence lower bound $\mathcal{L}_q(\theta)$ on the log probability of observed data $\log p(v|\theta)$:

$$\mathcal{L}_q(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{q(z, m)} [\log p(z, m, v | \theta) - \log q(z, m)]$$

$\mathcal{L}_q(\theta) \leq \log p(v|\theta)$ from Gibbs' inequality, with equality if and only if $q(z, m|\phi) = p(z, m|v, \theta)$. We use the mean field approximation:

$$q(z, m) = q(z)q(m^{(0)})q(m^{(1)})$$

then we have:

$$\mathcal{L}_q(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{q(z)q(m^{(0)})q(m^{(1)})} [\log p(z, m, v | \theta) - \log q(z) - \log q(m^{(0)}) - \log q(m^{(1)})]$$

We alternate between updating 1) $q(m^{(0)}), q(m^{(1)})$; 2) $q(z)$; 3) θ .

2.1 $q(m^{(k)})$

Optimal coordinate ascent for $q(m^{(k)})$: $q^*(m^{(k)}) \propto \exp(\mathbb{E}_{q(z)q(m^{(1-k)})}[\log p(z, m, v|\theta)])$.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_{q(z)q(m^{(1-k)})}[\log p(z, m, v|\theta)] &= \mathbb{E}_{q(z)q(m^{(1-k)})}[\log p(m_1^{(k)}|\theta)] + \sum_{t=2}^n \log p(m_t^{(k)}|m_{t-1}^{(k)}, \theta) \\ &\quad + \sum_{t=1}^n \log p(v_t|m_t^{(k)}, z_t = k, \theta)^{\mathbb{1}\{z_t=k\}} + \text{const} \\ &= \phi(m_1^{(k)}) + \sum_{t=2}^n \phi(m_{t-1}^{(k)}, m_t^{(k)}) + \sum_{t=1}^n \phi(m_t^{(k)}, v_t) + \text{const}\end{aligned}$$

where we introduce the potentials

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(m_1^{(k)}) &= \log p(m_1^{(k)}|\theta) \\ \phi(m_{t-1}^{(k)}, m_t^{(k)}) &= \log p(m_t^{(k)}|m_{t-1}^{(k)}, \theta) \\ \phi(m_t^{(k)}, v_t) &= \mathbb{E}_{q(z)}[\log p(v_t|m_t^{(k)}, z_t = k, \theta)^{\mathbb{1}\{z_t=k\}}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{q(z)}[\mathbb{1}\{z_t = k\} \log p(v_t|m_t^{(k)}, z_t = k, \theta)] \\ &= h_t^{(k)} \log p(v_t|m_t^{(k)}, z_t = k, \theta), \text{ where } h_t^{(k)} := \mathbb{E}_{q(z)}(\mathbb{1}\{z_t = k\})\end{aligned}$$

and the normalizing constant $Z_{m^{(k)}}(\theta)$ such that:

$$q(m^{(k)}) = \frac{1}{Z_{m^{(k)}}(\theta)} \exp(\phi(m_1^{(k)}) + \sum_{t=2}^n \phi(m_{t-1}^{(k)}, m_t^{(k)}) + \sum_{t=1}^n \phi(m_t^{(k)}, v_t))$$

we can calculate $q(m_t^{(k)})$, $q(m_{t-1}^{(k)}, m_t^{(k)})$ using Kalman filter [2] and RTS smoother [4] algorithms with observation noise covariance matrix divided by $h_t^{(k)}$ [1].

Below we show the calculation of $Z_m(\theta)$. We omit (k) and write the boundary term $\phi(m_1)$ as $\phi(m_0, m_1)$ for simplicity. We define $f_{t|t}(m_t)$ as:

$$f_{t|t}(m_t) := \int dm_1 \int dm_2 \dots \int dm_{t-1} \exp(\sum_{t=1}^n \phi(m_{t-1}, m_t) + \sum_{t=1}^n \phi(m_t, v_t))$$

Terms in the exponent are all quadratic functions in our setting, so $f_{t|t}(m_t)$ is proportional to a Gaussian density function and can be written as

$$f_{t|t}(m_t) = \mathcal{N}(m_t|\mu_{t|t}, \sigma_{t|t}I) \cdot Z_t, \text{ where } Z_t := \int dm_t f_{t|t}(m_t)$$

We can see that $Z_m(\theta) = \int dm_n f_{n|n}(m_n) = Z_n$. The calculation can be done recursively. To calculate Z_{t+1} from Z_t at a general time step t , we define $f_{t+1|t}(m_{t+1})$ as:

$$f_{t+1|t}(m_{t+1}) := \int dm_t f_{t|t}(m_t) \exp(\phi(m_t, m_{t+1})) = Z_t \int dm_t \mathcal{N}(m_t|\mu_{t|t}, \sigma_{t|t}I) p(m_{t+1}|m_t, \theta)$$

$p(m_{t+1}|m_t, \theta)$ is a Gaussian density function, so the marginal distribution $\int dm_t \mathcal{N}(m_t|\mu_{t|t}, \sigma_{t|t}I) p(m_t|m_{t-1}, \theta)$ is also Gaussian, we write it as $\mathcal{N}(m_{t+1}|\mu_{t+1|t}, \sigma_{t+1|t}I)$, then:

$$f_{t+1|t}(m_{t+1}) = Z_t \cdot \mathcal{N}(m_{t+1}|\mu_{t+1|t}, \sigma_{t+1|t}I)$$

So for Z_{t+1} :

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{t+1} &= \int dm_{t+1} f_{t+1|t+1}(m_{t+1}) \\
&= \int dm_{t+1} f_{t+1|t}(m_{t+1}) \cdot \exp(\phi(m_{t+1}, v_{t+1})) \\
&= Z_t \int dm_{t+1} \mathcal{N}(m_{t+1}|\mu_{t+1|t}, \sigma_{t+1|t}I) \cdot \mathcal{N}(v_{t+1}|a_v v_t + (1-a_v)m_{t+1}, \sigma_v I)^{h_{t+1}}
\end{aligned}$$

We can see that $Z_{t+1} = Z_t$ if $h_{t+1} = 0$.

For a 2-d Gaussian density function to the power of h ($h \neq 0$):

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{N}(v|\mu_v, \sigma_v I)^h &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_v}\right)^h \exp\left(-\frac{h}{2\sigma_v}(v - \mu_v)^T(v - \mu_v)\right) \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_v}\right)^h \frac{2\pi\sigma_v}{h} \frac{h}{2\pi\sigma_v} \exp\left(-\frac{h}{2\sigma_v}(v - \mu_v)^T(v - \mu_v)\right) \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_v}\right)^h \frac{2\pi\sigma_v}{h} \mathcal{N}(v|\mu_v, \frac{\sigma_v}{h}I)
\end{aligned}$$

So for Z_{t+1} if $h_{t+1} \neq 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{t+1} &= Z_t \int dm_{t+1} \mathcal{N}(m_{t+1}|\mu_{t+1|t}, \sigma_{t+1|t}I) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_v}\right)^{h_{t+1}} \frac{2\pi\sigma_v}{h_{t+1}} \mathcal{N}(v_{t+1}|a_v v_t + (1-a_v)m_{t+1}, \frac{\sigma_v}{h_{t+1}}I) \\
&= Z_t \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_v}\right)^{h_{t+1}} \frac{2\pi\sigma_v}{h_{t+1}} \int dm_{t+1} \mathcal{N}(m_{t+1}|\mu_{t+1|t}, \sigma_{t+1|t}I) \mathcal{N}(v_{t+1}|a_v v_t + (1-a_v)m_{t+1}, \frac{\sigma_v}{h_{t+1}}I) \\
&= Z_t \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_v}\right)^{h_{t+1}} \frac{2\pi\sigma_v}{h_{t+1}} \mathcal{N}(v_{t+1}|a_v v_t + (1-a_v)\mu_{t+1|t}, (1-a_v)^2\sigma_{t+1|t}I + \frac{\sigma_v}{h_{t+1}}I) \\
&= Z_t \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_v}\right)^{h_{t+1}} \frac{2\pi\sigma_v}{h_{t+1}} \cdot \frac{h_{t+1}}{2\pi(h_{t+1}(1-a_v)^2\sigma_{t+1|t} + \sigma_v)} \\
&\quad \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{h_{t+1}}{2(h_{t+1}(1-a_v)^2\sigma_{t+1|t} + \sigma_v)}\|v_{t+1} - a_v v_t - (1-a_v)\mu_{t+1|t}\|^2\right) \\
&= Z_t \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_v}\right)^{h_{t+1}} \frac{\sigma_v}{h_{t+1}(1-a_v)^2\sigma_{t+1|t} + \sigma_v} \\
&\quad \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{h_{t+1}}{2(h_{t+1}(1-a_v)^2\sigma_{t+1|t} + \sigma_v)}\|v_{t+1} - a_v v_t - (1-a_v)\mu_{t+1|t}\|^2\right)
\end{aligned}$$

The above equation holds true for $h_{t+1} = 0$ as well. Thus for the normalizing constant:

$$Z_n = \prod_{t=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_v}\right)^{h_t} \frac{\sigma_v}{h_t(1-a_v)^2\sigma_{t|t-1} + \sigma_v} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{h_t}{2(h_t(1-a_v)^2\sigma_{t|t-1} + \sigma_v)}\|v_t - a_v v_{t-1} - (1-a_v)\mu_{t|t-1}\|^2\right)$$

2.2 $q(z)$

Optimal coordinate ascent for $q(z)$: $q^*(z) \propto \exp(\mathbb{E}_{q(m)}[\log p(z, m, v|\theta)])$.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_{q(m)}[\log p(z, m, v|\theta)] &= \mathbb{E}_{q(m)}[\log p(z_1|\theta) + \sum_{t=2}^n \log p(z_t|z_{t-1}, o_t, \theta) + \sum_{t=1}^n \log p(v_t|m_t^{(0)}, m_t^{(1)}, z_t, \theta)] + \text{const} \\ &= \phi(z_1) + \sum_{t=2}^n \phi(z_{t-1}, z_t) + \sum_{t=1}^n \phi(z_t, v_t) + \text{const}\end{aligned}$$

where we introduce the potentials

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(z_1) &= \log p(z_1|\theta) \\ \phi(z_{t-1}, z_t) &= \log p(z_t|z_{t-1}, o_t, \theta) \\ \phi(z_t, v_t) &= \mathbb{E}_{q(m)}[\log p(v_t|m_t, z_t, \theta)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{q(m)}[\log \prod_{k=0}^1 p(v_t|m_t, z_t = k, \theta)^{\mathbb{1}\{z_t=k\}}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{q(m)}[\sum_{k=0}^1 \mathbb{1}\{z_t = k\} \log p(v_t|m_t, z_t = k, \theta)] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^1 \mathbb{1}\{z_t = k\} \mathbb{E}_{q(m)}[\log p(v_t|m_t^{(k)}, z_t = k, \theta)] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^1 \mathbb{1}\{z_t = k\} \log q_t^{(k)}, \text{ where } \log q_t^{(k)} := \mathbb{E}_{q(m^{(k)})}[\log p(v_t|m_t^{(k)}, z_t = k, \theta)]\end{aligned}$$

and the normalizing constant $Z_z(\theta)$ such that

$$q(z) = \frac{1}{Z_z(\theta)} \exp(\phi(z_1) + \sum_{t=2}^n \phi(z_{t-1}, z_t) + \sum_{t=1}^n \phi(z_t, v_t))$$

We can calculate $q(z_t)$, $q(z_{t-1}, z_t)$, $Z_z(\theta)$ using forward backward algorithm with observation weights $q_t^{(k)}$.

3 algorithm

(code implemented based on [3])

Initialization:

- initialize θ :
 $a_m^{(k)}, b_m^{(k)}, \sigma_m^{(k)}, a_v^{(k)}, \sigma_v^{(k)}, \sigma_1^{(1)}$ are initialized to be 0.5; $\mu_1^{(0)} = \mathbf{0}, \sigma_1^{(0)} = 0$;
 $\mu_1^{(1)} \sim \text{Uniform}((-0.5, 0.5), (-0.5, 0.5)), p_{0 \rightarrow 0} \sim \text{Uniform}(0.1, 0.9), p_{1 \rightarrow 1} \sim \text{Uniform}(0.1, 0.9)$
- initialize $q(m^{(k)})$: $h_t^{(0)} = 1 - o_t, h_t^{(1)} = o_t$

Iteration:

- calculate $q(m_t^{(k)}), q(m_{t-1}^{(k)}, m_t^{(k)})$ for $t = 1, \dots, n$; calculate $Z_{m^{(k)}}(\theta)$

- update $q(z)$: $q_t^{(k)} = \exp \mathbb{E}_{q(m^{(k)})} [\log p(v_t | m_t^{(k)}, z_t = k, \theta)]$
- calculate $q(z_t), q(z_{t-1}, z_t)$ for $t = 1, \dots, n$; calculate $Z_z(\theta)$
- compute current ELBO to check convergence:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_q &= \mathbb{E}_{q(z)q(m^{(0)})q(m^{(1)})} [\log p(z, m, v | \theta) - \log q(z) - \log q(m^{(0)}) - \log q(m^{(1)})] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_{q(z)q(m^{(0)})q(m^{(1)})} \left[\sum_{t=1}^n \sum_{k=0}^1 \mathbb{1}\{z_t = k\} \log p(v_t | m_t^{(k)}, z_t = k, \theta) - \sum_{t=1}^n \sum_{k=0}^1 \mathbb{1}\{z_t = k\} \log q_t^{(k)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \log(Z_z(\theta)) - \sum_{t=1}^n \sum_{k=0}^1 h_t^{(k)} \log p(v_t | m_t^{(k)}, z_t = k, \theta) + \sum_{k=0}^1 \log(Z_{m^{(k)}}(\theta)) \right] \\
&= - \sum_{t=1}^n \sum_{k=0}^1 h_t^{(k)} \log q_t^{(k)} + \log(Z_z(\theta)) + \sum_{k=0}^1 \log(Z_{m^{(k)}}(\theta))
\end{aligned}$$

- optimize θ with sufficient statistics calculated by $q(m^{(k)} | \theta_{\text{old}}), q(z | \theta_{\text{old}})$

$$\theta_{\text{new}} = \arg \max_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{q(z, m | \theta_{\text{old}})} [\log p(z, m, v | \theta)]$$

- update $q(m)$: $h_t^{(k)} = \mathbb{E}_{q(z)} (\mathbb{1}\{z_t = k\})$

References

- [1] Zoubin Ghahramani and Geoffrey E Hinton. “Variational learning for switching state-space models”. In: *Neural computation* 12.4 (2000), pp. 831–864.
- [2] Rudolph Emil Kalman. “A new approach to linear filtering and prediction problems”. In: *Journal of Basic Engineering* 82.1 (1960), pp. 35–45.
- [3] Scott Linderman et al. “SSM: Bayesian learning and inference for state space models”. In: *github* (2020).
- [4] Herbert E Rauch, F Tung, and Charlotte T Striebel. “Maximum likelihood estimates of linear dynamic systems”. In: *AIAA journal* 3.8 (1965), pp. 1445–1450.