

## Compliance Report

### OWASP TOP 10 2021

#### Description

The primary aim of the OWASP Top 10 is to educate developers, designers, architects, managers, and organizations about the consequences of the most important web application security weaknesses. The Top 10 provides basic techniques to protect against these high risk problem areas - and also provides guidance on where to go from here.

#### Disclaimer

This document or any of its content cannot account for, or be included in any form of legal advice. The outcome of a vulnerability scan (or security evaluation) should be utilized to ensure that diligent measures are taken to lower the risk of potential exploits carried out to compromise data.

Legal advice must be supplied according to its legal context. All laws and the environments in which they are applied, are constantly changed and revised. Therefore no information provided in this document may ever be used as an alternative to a qualified legal body or representative.

A portion of this report is taken from OWASP's Top Ten 2021 Project document, that can be found at <http://www.owasp.org>.

### Scan Detail

Target	<a href="https://localhost:7056">https://localhost:7056</a>
Scan Type	Full Scan
Start Time	Jun 1, 2022, 10:46:27 PM GMT-7
Scan Duration	15 minutes
Requests	37955
Average Response Time	1ms
Maximum Response Time	8374ms

# Compliance at a Glance

---

CATEGORY

---

- 2

 A01 Broken Access Control
- 5

 A02 Cryptographic Failures
- 0

 A03 Injection
- 2

 A04 Insecure Design
- 6

 A05 Security Misconfiguration
- 5

 A06 Vulnerable and Outdated Components
- 0

 A07 Identification and Authentication Failures
- 0

 A08 Software and Data Integrity Failures
- 0

 A09 Security Logging and Monitoring Failures
- 0

 A10 Server-Side Request Forgery

# Detailed Compliance Report by Category

This section is a detailed report that explains each vulnerability found according to individual compliance categories.

## A01 Broken Access Control

Access control enforces policy such that users cannot act outside of their intended permissions. Failures typically lead to unauthorized information disclosure, modification, or destruction of all data or performing a business function outside the user's limits.

### Clickjacking: X-Frame-Options header

Clickjacking (User Interface redress attack, UI redress attack, UI redressing) is a malicious technique of tricking a Web user into clicking on something different from what the user perceives they are clicking on, thus potentially revealing confidential information or taking control of their computer while clicking on seemingly innocuous web pages.

The server did not return an **X-Frame-Options** header with the value DENY or SAMEORIGIN, which means that this website could be at risk of a clickjacking attack. The X-Frame-Options HTTP response header can be used to indicate whether or not a browser should be allowed to render a page inside a frame or iframe. Sites can use this to avoid clickjacking attacks, by ensuring that their content is not embedded into untrusted sites.

#### CWE

CWE-1021

#### CVSS2

AV:N/AC:M/Au:N/C:N/I:P/A:N

Access Vector	Network
Access Complexity	Medium
Authentication	None
Confidentiality	None
Integrity Impact	Partial
Availability Impact	None

#### CVSS3

CVSS:3.1/AV:N/AC:L/PR:N/UI:N/S:C/C:N/I:L/A:N

Base Score	5.8
Attack Vector	Network
Attack Complexity	Low
Privileges Required	None
User Interaction	None
Scope	Changed
Confidentiality	None
Integrity Impact	Low
Availability Impact	None

### Impact

The impact depends on the affected web application.

---

## <https://localhost:7056/>

Paths without secure XFO header:

- <https://localhost:7056/swagger/index.html>

### Request

---

```
GET /swagger/index.html HTTP/1.1
Referer: https://localhost:7056/swagger/
Accept: text/html,application/xhtml+xml,application/xml;q=0.9,*/*;q=0.8
Accept-Encoding: gzip,deflate,br
User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 10.0; Win64; x64) AppleWebKit/537.36 (KHTML, like Gecko)
Chrome/92.0.4512.0 Safari/537.36
Host: localhost:7056
Connection: Keep-alive
```

### Recommendation

---

Configure your web server to include an X-Frame-Options header and a CSP header with frame-ancestors directive. Consult Web references for more information about the possible values for this header.

### References

---

#### [The X-Frame-Options response header](https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/HTTP/Headers/X-Frame-Options)

<https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/HTTP/Headers/X-Frame-Options>

#### [Clickjacking](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Clickjacking)

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Clickjacking>

#### [OWASP Clickjacking](https://cheatsheetseries.owasp.org/cheatsheets/Clickjacking_Defense_Cheat_Sheet.html)

[https://cheatsheetseries.owasp.org/cheatsheets/Clickjacking\\_Defense\\_Cheat\\_Sheet.html](https://cheatsheetseries.owasp.org/cheatsheets/Clickjacking_Defense_Cheat_Sheet.html)

#### [Frame Buster Buster](https://stackoverflow.com/questions/958997/frame-buster-buster-buster-code-needed)

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/958997/frame-buster-buster-buster-code-needed>

### Sensitive pages could be cached

---

One or more pages contain possible sensitive information (e.g. a password parameter) and could be potentially cached. Even in secure SSL channels sensitive data could be stored by intermediary proxies and SSL terminators. To prevent this, a Cache-Control header should be specified.

#### CWE

CWE-200

CVSS2

AV:N/AC:L/Au:N/C:P/I:N/A:N

Access Vector	Network
Access Complexity	Low
Authentication	None
Confidentiality	Partial
Integrity Impact	None
Availability Impact	None

CVSS3

CVSS:3.1/AV:N/AC:L/PR:N/UI:N/S:U/C:L/I:N/A:N

Base Score	5.3
Attack Vector	Network
Attack Complexity	Low
Privileges Required	None
User Interaction	None
Scope	Unchanged
Confidentiality	Low
Integrity Impact	None
Availability Impact	None

Impact

Possible sensitive information disclosure.

https://localhost:7056/

List of pages that could be cached:

- https://localhost:7056/swagger/index.html?password=g00dPa\$\$w0rD&username=KfnqDuxw
- https://localhost:7056/swagger/v1/swagger.json?password=g00dPa\$\$w0rD&username=KfnqDuxw

Request

```
GET /swagger/index.html?password=g00dPa%24%24w0rD&username=KfnqDuxw HTTP/1.1
Referer: https://localhost:7056/swagger/index.html
Accept: text/html,application/xhtml+xml,application/xml;q=0.9,*/*;q=0.8
Accept-Encoding: gzip,deflate,br
User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 10.0; Win64; x64) AppleWebKit/537.36 (KHTML, like Gecko)
Chrome/92.0.4512.0 Safari/537.36
Host: localhost:7056
Connection: Keep-alive
```

Recommendation

Prevent caching by adding "Cache Control: No-store" and "Pragma: no-cache" to the HTTP response header.

A02 Cryptographic Failures

The first thing is to determine the protection needs of data in transit and at rest. For example, passwords, credit card numbers, health records, personal information, and business secrets require extra protection, mainly if that data falls under privacy laws, e.g., EU's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), or regulations, e.g., financial data protection such as PCI Data Security Standard (PCI DSS).

## Sensitive pages could be cached

One or more pages contain possible sensitive information (e.g. a password parameter) and could be potentially cached. Even in secure SSL channels sensitive data could be stored by intermediary proxies and SSL terminators. To prevent this, a Cache-Control header should be specified.

### CWE

CWE-200

### CVSS2

AV:N/AC:L/Au:N/C:P/I:N/A:N

Access Vector	Network
Access Complexity	Low
Authentication	None
Confidentiality	Partial
Integrity Impact	None
Availability Impact	None

### CVSS3

CVSS:3.1/AV:N/AC:L/PR:N/UI:N/S:U/C:L/I:N/A:N

Base Score	5.3
Attack Vector	Network
Attack Complexity	Low
Privileges Required	None
User Interaction	None
Scope	Unchanged
Confidentiality	Low
Integrity Impact	None
Availability Impact	None

## Impact

Possible sensitive information disclosure.

## <https://localhost:7056/>

List of pages that could be cached:

- [https://localhost:7056/swagger/index.html?password=g00dPa\\$\\$w0rD&username=KfnqDuxw](https://localhost:7056/swagger/index.html?password=g00dPa$$w0rD&username=KfnqDuxw)
- [https://localhost:7056/swagger/v1/swagger.json?password=g00dPa\\$\\$w0rD&username=KfnqDuxw](https://localhost:7056/swagger/v1/swagger.json?password=g00dPa$$w0rD&username=KfnqDuxw)

## Request

```
GET /swagger/index.html?password=g00dPa%24%24w0rD&username=KfnqDuxw HTTP/1.1
Referer: https://localhost:7056/swagger/index.html
Accept: text/html,application/xhtml+xml,application/xml;q=0.9,*/*;q=0.8
Accept-Encoding: gzip,deflate,br
User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 10.0; Win64; x64) AppleWebKit/537.36 (KHTML, like Gecko)
```

## Recommendation

Prevent caching by adding "Cache Control: No-store" and "Pragma: no-cache" to the HTTP response header.

## TLS/SSL Sweet32 attack

The Sweet32 attack is a SSL/TLS vulnerability that allows attackers to compromise HTTPS connections using 64-bit block ciphers.

### CWE

CWE-310

### CVSS2

AV:N/AC:M/Au:N/C:P/I:N/A:N

Access Vector	Network
Access Complexity	Medium
Authentication	None
Confidentiality	Partial
Integrity Impact	None
Availability Impact	None

### CVSS3

CVSS:3.0/AV:N/AC:L/PR:N/UI:N/S:U/C:H/I:N/A:N

Base Score	7.5
Attack Vector	Network
Attack Complexity	Low
Privileges Required	None
User Interaction	None
Scope	Unchanged
Confidentiality	High
Integrity Impact	None
Availability Impact	None

## Impact

An attacker may intercept HTTPS connections between vulnerable clients and servers.

### <https://localhost:7056/>

Cipher suites susceptible to Sweet32 attack (TLS1.0 on port 7056):

- TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_3DES\_EDE\_CBC\_SHA

Cipher suites susceptible to Sweet32 attack (TLS1.1 on port 7056):

- TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_3DES\_EDE\_CBC\_SHA

Cipher suites susceptible to Sweet32 attack (TLS1.2 on port 7056):

- TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_3DES\_EDE\_CBC\_SHA

## Recommendation

Reconfigure the affected SSL/TLS server to disable support for obsolete 64-bit block ciphers.

## References

[Sweet32: Birthday attacks on 64-bit block ciphers in TLS and OpenVPN](https://sweet32.info/)  
<https://sweet32.info/>

## TLS/SSL Weak Cipher Suites

The remote host supports TLS/SSL cipher suites with weak or insecure properties.

### CWE

CWE-310

### CVSS2

AV:L/AC:M/Au:N/C:P/I:P/A:N

Access Vector	Local
Access Complexity	Medium
Authentication	None
Confidentiality	Partial
Integrity Impact	Partial
Availability Impact	None

### CVSS3

CVSS:3.0/AV:N/AC:L/PR:N/UI:N/S:U/C:L/I:L/A:N

Base Score	6.5
Attack Vector	Network
Attack Complexity	Low
Privileges Required	None
User Interaction	None
Scope	Unchanged
Confidentiality	Low
Integrity Impact	Low
Availability Impact	None

## Impact

<https://localhost:7056/>

Weak TLS/SSL Cipher Suites: (offered via TLS1.0 on port 7056):



- TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_3DES\_EDE\_CBC\_SHA (Medium strength encryption algorithm (3DES).)

Weak TLS/SSL Cipher Suites: (offered via TLS1.1 on port 7056):

- TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_3DES\_EDE\_CBC\_SHA (Medium strength encryption algorithm (3DES).)

Weak TLS/SSL Cipher Suites: (offered via TLS1.2 on port 7056):

- TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_3DES\_EDE\_CBC\_SHA (Medium strength encryption algorithm (3DES).)

## Recommendation

---

Reconfigure the affected application to avoid use of weak cipher suites.

## References

---

[OWASP: TLS Cipher String Cheat Sheet](https://cheatsheetseries.owasp.org/cheatsheets/TLS_Cipher_String_Cheat_Sheet.html)

[https://cheatsheetseries.owasp.org/cheatsheets/TLS\\_Cipher\\_String\\_Cheat\\_Sheet.html](https://cheatsheetseries.owasp.org/cheatsheets/TLS_Cipher_String_Cheat_Sheet.html)

[OWASP: Transport Layer Protection Cheat Sheet](https://cheatsheetseries.owasp.org/cheatsheets/Transport_Layer_Protection_Cheat_Sheet.html)

[https://cheatsheetseries.owasp.org/cheatsheets/Transport\\_Layer\\_Protection\\_Cheat\\_Sheet.html](https://cheatsheetseries.owasp.org/cheatsheets/Transport_Layer_Protection_Cheat_Sheet.html)

[Mozilla: TLS Cipher Suite Recommendations](https://wiki.mozilla.org/Security/Server_Side_TLS)

[https://wiki.mozilla.org/Security/Server\\_Side\\_TLS](https://wiki.mozilla.org/Security/Server_Side_TLS)

[SSLabs: SSL and TLS Deployment Best Practices](https://github.com/ssllabs/research/wiki/SSL-and-TLS-Deployment-Best-Practices)

<https://github.com/ssllabs/research/wiki/SSL-and-TLS-Deployment-Best-Practices>

[RFC 9155: Deprecating MD5 and SHA-1 Signature Hashes in TLS 1.2 and DTLS 1.2](https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc9155)

<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc9155>

## TLS 1.0 enabled

---

The web server supports encryption through TLS 1.0, which was formally deprecated in March 2021 as a result of inherent security issues. In addition, TLS 1.0 is not considered to be "strong cryptography" as defined and required by the PCI Data Security Standard 3.2(.1) when used to protect sensitive information transferred to or from web sites. According to PCI, "30 June 2018 is the deadline for disabling SSL/early TLS and implementing a more secure encryption protocol – TLS 1.1 or higher (TLS v1.2 is strongly encouraged) in order to meet the PCI Data Security Standard (PCI DSS) for safeguarding payment data.

### CWE

CWE-326

### CVSS2

AV:N/AC:M/Au:N/C:P/I:P/A:N

### CVSS3

CVSS:3.1/AV:N/AC:H/PR:N/UI:N/S:C/C:L/I:L/A:N

Access Vector	Network
Access Complexity	Medium
Authentication	None
Confidentiality	Partial
Integrity Impact	Partial
Availability Impact	None

Base Score	5.4
Attack Vector	Network
Attack Complexity	High
Privileges Required	None
User Interaction	None
Scope	Changed
Confidentiality	Low
Integrity Impact	Low
Availability Impact	None

## Impact

An attacker may be able to exploit this problem to conduct man-in-the-middle attacks and decrypt communications between the affected service and clients.

**<https://localhost:7056/>**

Confidence: 100%

The SSL server (port: 7056) encrypts traffic using TLSv1.0.

## Recommendation

It is recommended to disable TLS 1.0 and replace it with TLS 1.2 or higher.

## References

[RFC 8996: Deprecating TLS 1.0 and TLS 1.1](https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc8996)

<https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc8996>

[Are You Ready for 30 June 2018? Saying Goodbye to SSL/early TLS](https://blog.pcisecuritystandards.org/are-you-ready-for-30-june-2018-sayin-goodbye-to-ssl-early-tls)

<https://blog.pcisecuritystandards.org/are-you-ready-for-30-june-2018-sayin-goodbye-to-ssl-early-tls>

[PCI 3.1 and TLS 1.2 \(Cloudflare Support\)](https://support.cloudflare.com/hc/en-us/articles/205043158-PCI-3-1-and-TLS-1-2)

<https://support.cloudflare.com/hc/en-us/articles/205043158-PCI-3-1-and-TLS-1-2>

## TLS 1.1 enabled

The web server supports encryption through TLS 1.1, which was formally deprecated in March 2021 as a result of inherent security issues. When aiming for Payment Card Industry (PCI) Data Security Standard (DSS) compliance, it is recommended to use TLS 1.2 or higher instead. According to PCI, "30 June 2018 is the deadline for disabling SSL/early TLS and implementing a more secure encryption protocol – TLS 1.1 or higher (TLS v1.2 is strongly encouraged) in order to meet the PCI Data Security Standard (PCI DSS) for safeguarding payment data.

CWE  
CWE-326

### CVSS2

AV:N/AC:M/Au:N/C:P/I:P/A:N

Access Vector	Network
Access Complexity	Medium
Authentication	None
Confidentiality	Partial
Integrity Impact	Partial
Availability Impact	None

### CVSS3

CVSS:3.1/AV:N/AC:H/PR:N/UI:N/S:C/C:L/I:L/A:N

Base Score	5.4
Attack Vector	Network
Attack Complexity	High
Privileges Required	None
User Interaction	None
Scope	Changed
Confidentiality	Low
Integrity Impact	Low
Availability Impact	None

## Impact

An attacker may be able to exploit this problem to conduct man-in-the-middle attacks and decrypt communications between the affected service and clients.

**<https://localhost:7056/>**

Confidence: 100%

The SSL server (port: 7056) encrypts traffic using TLSv1.1.

## Recommendation

It is recommended to disable TLS 1.1 and replace it with TLS 1.2 or higher.

## References

**[RFC 8996: Deprecating TLS 1.0 and TLS 1.1](https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc8996)**

<https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc8996>

**[Are You Ready for 30 June 2018? Saying Goodbye to SSL/early TLS](https://blog.pcisecuritystandards.org/are-you-ready-for-30-june-2018-sayin-goodbye-to-ssl-early-tls)**

<https://blog.pcisecuritystandards.org/are-you-ready-for-30-june-2018-sayin-goodbye-to-ssl-early-tls>

**[PCI 3.1 and TLS 1.2 \(Cloudflare Support\)](https://support.cloudflare.com/hc/en-us/articles/205043158-PCI-3-1-and-TLS-1-2)**

<https://support.cloudflare.com/hc/en-us/articles/205043158-PCI-3-1-and-TLS-1-2>

## A03 Injection

Injection flaws, such as SQL, NoSQL, OS, and LDAP injection, occur when untrusted data is sent an interpreter as part of a command or query. The attacker's hostile data can trick the interpreter into executing unintended commands or accessing data without proper authorization.

No alerts in this category

## A04 Insecure Design

Insecure design is a broad category representing different weaknesses, expressed as "missing or ineffective control design." Insecure design is not the source for all other Top 10 risk categories. There is a difference between insecure design and insecure implementation. We differentiate between design flaws and implementation defects for a reason, they have different root causes and remediation. A secure design can still have implementation defects leading to vulnerabilities that may be exploited. An insecure design cannot be fixed by a perfect implementation as by definition, needed security controls were never created to defend against specific attacks. One of the factors that contribute to insecure design is the lack of business risk profiling inherent in the software or system being developed, and thus the failure to determine what level of security design is required.

### Clickjacking: X-Frame-Options header

Clickjacking (User Interface redress attack, UI redress attack, UI redressing) is a malicious technique of tricking a Web user into clicking on something different from what the user perceives they are clicking on, thus potentially revealing confidential information or taking control of their computer while clicking on seemingly innocuous web pages.

The server did not return an **X-Frame-Options** header with the value DENY or SAMEORIGIN, which means that this website could be at risk of a clickjacking attack. The X-Frame-Options HTTP response header can be used to indicate whether or not a browser should be allowed to render a page inside a frame or iframe. Sites can use this to avoid clickjacking attacks, by ensuring that their content is not embedded into untrusted sites.

#### CWE

CWE-1021

#### CVSS2

AV:N/AC:M/Au:N/C:N/I:P/A:N

Access Vector	Network
Access Complexity	Medium
Authentication	None
Confidentiality	None
Integrity Impact	Partial

#### CVSS3

CVSS:3.1/AV:N/AC:L/PR:N/UI:N/S:C/C:N/I:L/A:N

Base Score	5.8
Attack Vector	Network
Attack Complexity	Low
Privileges Required	None
User Interaction	None

Availability Impact	None
---------------------	------

Scope	Changed
Confidentiality	None
Integrity Impact	Low
Availability Impact	None

## Impact

The impact depends on the affected web application.

## <https://localhost:7056/>

Paths without secure XFO header:

- <https://localhost:7056/swagger/index.html>

## Request

```
GET /swagger/index.html HTTP/1.1
Referer: https://localhost:7056/swagger/
Accept: text/html,application/xhtml+xml,application/xml;q=0.9,*/*;q=0.8
Accept-Encoding: gzip,deflate,br
User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 10.0; Win64; x64) AppleWebKit/537.36 (KHTML, like Gecko)
Chrome/92.0.4512.0 Safari/537.36
Host: localhost:7056
Connection: Keep-alive
```

## Recommendation

Configure your web server to include an X-Frame-Options header and a CSP header with frame-ancestors directive. Consult Web references for more information about the possible values for this header.

## References

### [The X-Frame-Options response header](https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/HTTP/Headers/X-Frame-Options)

<https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/HTTP/Headers/X-Frame-Options>

### [Clickjacking](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Clickjacking)

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Clickjacking>

### [OWASP Clickjacking](https://cheatsheetseries.owasp.org/cheatsheets/Clickjacking_Defense_Cheat_Sheet.html)

[https://cheatsheetseries.owasp.org/cheatsheets/Clickjacking\\_Defense\\_Cheat\\_Sheet.html](https://cheatsheetseries.owasp.org/cheatsheets/Clickjacking_Defense_Cheat_Sheet.html)

### [Frame Buster Buster](https://stackoverflow.com/questions/958997/frame-buster-buster-buster-code-needed)

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/958997/frame-buster-buster-buster-code-needed>

## Content Security Policy (CSP) not implemented

Content Security Policy (CSP) is an added layer of security that helps to detect and mitigate certain types of attacks, including Cross Site Scripting (XSS) and data injection attacks.

Content Security Policy (CSP) can be implemented by adding a **Content-Security-Policy** header. The value of this header is a string containing the policy directives describing your Content Security Policy. To implement CSP, you should define lists of allowed origins for the all of the types of resources that your site utilizes. For example, if you have a simple site that needs to load scripts, stylesheets, and images hosted locally, as well as from the jQuery library from their CDN, the CSP header could look like the following:

```
Content-Security-Policy:
default-src 'self';
script-src 'self' https://code.jquery.com;
```

It was detected that your web application doesn't implement Content Security Policy (CSP) as the CSP header is missing from the response. It's recommended to implement Content Security Policy (CSP) into your web application.

### CWE

CWE-1021

### CVSS2

AV:N/AC:L/Au:N/C:N/I:N/A:N

Access Vector	Network
Access Complexity	Low
Authentication	None
Confidentiality	None
Integrity Impact	None
Availability Impact	None

### CVSS3

CVSS:3.1/AV:N/AC:L/PR:N/UI:R/S:C/C:N/I:N/A:N

Base Score	0.0
Attack Vector	Network
Attack Complexity	Low
Privileges Required	None
User Interaction	Required
Scope	Changed
Confidentiality	None
Integrity Impact	None
Availability Impact	None

## Impact

CSP can be used to prevent and/or mitigate attacks that involve content/code injection, such as cross-site scripting/XSS attacks, attacks that require embedding a malicious resource, attacks that involve malicious use of iframes, such as clickjacking attacks, and others.

<https://localhost:7056/>

Paths without CSP header:

- <https://localhost:7056/swagger/index.html>

## Request

---

```
GET /swagger/index.html HTTP/1.1
Referer: https://localhost:7056/swagger/
Accept: text/html,application/xhtml+xml,application/xml;q=0.9,*/*;q=0.8
Accept-Encoding: gzip,deflate,br
User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 10.0; Win64; x64) AppleWebKit/537.36 (KHTML, like Gecko)
Chrome/92.0.4512.0 Safari/537.36
Host: localhost:7056
Connection: Keep-alive
```

## Recommendation

---

It's recommended to implement Content Security Policy (CSP) into your web application. Configuring Content Security Policy involves adding the **Content-Security-Policy** HTTP header to a web page and giving it values to control resources the user agent is allowed to load for that page.

## References

---

[Content Security Policy \(CSP\)](https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/HTTP/CSP)

<https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/HTTP/CSP>

[Implementing Content Security Policy](https://hacks.mozilla.org/2016/02/implementing-content-security-policy/)

<https://hacks.mozilla.org/2016/02/implementing-content-security-policy/>

# A05 Security Misconfiguration

---

Security misconfiguration is commonly a result of insecure default configurations, incomplete or ad hoc configurations, open cloud storage, misconfigured HTTP headers, and verbose error messages containing sensitive information. Not only must all operating systems, frameworks, libraries, and applications be securely configured, but they must be patched and upgraded in a timely fashion.

## TLS 1.0 enabled

---

The web server supports encryption through TLS 1.0, which was formally deprecated in March 2021 as a result of inherent security issues. In addition, TLS 1.0 is not considered to be "strong cryptography" as defined and required by the PCI Data Security Standard 3.2(.1) when used to protect sensitive information transferred to or from web sites. According to PCI, "30 June 2018 is the deadline for disabling SSL/early

TLS and implementing a more secure encryption protocol – TLS 1.1 or higher (TLS v1.2 is strongly encouraged) in order to meet the PCI Data Security Standard (PCI DSS) for safeguarding payment data.

## CWE

CWE-326

## CVSS2

AV:N/AC:M/Au:N/C:P/I:P/A:N

Access Vector	Network
Access Complexity	Medium
Authentication	None
Confidentiality	Partial
Integrity Impact	Partial
Availability Impact	None

## CVSS3

CVSS:3.1/AV:N/AC:H/PR:N/UI:N/S:C/C:L/I:L/A:N

Base Score	5.4
Attack Vector	Network
Attack Complexity	High
Privileges Required	None
User Interaction	None
Scope	Changed
Confidentiality	Low
Integrity Impact	Low
Availability Impact	None

## Impact

An attacker may be able to exploit this problem to conduct man-in-the-middle attacks and decrypt communications between the affected service and clients.

<https://localhost:7056/>

Confidence: 100%

The SSL server (port: 7056) encrypts traffic using TLSv1.0.

## Recommendation

It is recommended to disable TLS 1.0 and replace it with TLS 1.2 or higher.

## References

[RFC 8996: Deprecating TLS 1.0 and TLS 1.1](#)

<https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc8996>

[Are You Ready for 30 June 2018? Saying Goodbye to SSL/early TLS](#)

<https://blog.pcisecuritystandards.org/are-you-ready-for-30-june-2018-sayin-goodbye-to-ssl-early-tls>

[PCI 3.1 and TLS 1.2 \(Cloudflare Support\)](#)

<https://support.cloudflare.com/hc/en-us/articles/205043158-PCI-3-1-and-TLS-1-2>



# TLS 1.1 enabled

The web server supports encryption through TLS 1.1, which was formally deprecated in March 2021 as a result of inherent security issues. When aiming for Payment Card Industry (PCI) Data Security Standard (DSS) compliance, it is recommended to use TLS 1.2 or higher instead. According to PCI, "30 June 2018 is the deadline for disabling SSL/early TLS and implementing a more secure encryption protocol – TLS 1.1 or higher (TLS v1.2 is strongly encouraged) in order to meet the PCI Data Security Standard (PCI DSS) for safeguarding payment data.

## CWE

CWE-326

## CVSS2

AV:N/AC:M/Au:N/C:P/I:P/A:N

Access Vector	Network
Access Complexity	Medium
Authentication	None
Confidentiality	Partial
Integrity Impact	Partial
Availability Impact	None

## CVSS3

CVSS:3.1/AV:N/AC:H/PR:N/UI:N/S:C/C:L/I:L/A:N

Base Score	5.4
Attack Vector	Network
Attack Complexity	High
Privileges Required	None
User Interaction	None
Scope	Changed
Confidentiality	Low
Integrity Impact	Low
Availability Impact	None

## Impact

An attacker may be able to exploit this problem to conduct man-in-the-middle attacks and decrypt communications between the affected service and clients.

<https://localhost:7056/> Confidence: 100%

The SSL server (port: 7056) encrypts traffic using TLSv1.1.

## Recommendation

It is recommended to disable TLS 1.1 and replace it with TLS 1.2 or higher.

## References

[RFC 8996: Deprecating TLS 1.0 and TLS 1.1](https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc8996)  
<https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc8996>

[Are You Ready for 30 June 2018? Saying Goodbye to SSL/early TLS](https://blog.pcisecuritystandards.org/are-you-ready-for-30-june-2018-sayin-goodbye-to-ssl-early-tls)

<https://blog.pcisecuritystandards.org/are-you-ready-for-30-june-2018-sayin-goodbye-to-ssl-early-tls>

[PCI 3.1 and TLS 1.2 \(Cloudflare Support\)](https://support.cloudflare.com/hc/en-us/articles/205043158-PCI-3-1-and-TLS-1-2)

<https://support.cloudflare.com/hc/en-us/articles/205043158-PCI-3-1-and-TLS-1-2>

## TLS/SSL Sweet32 attack

---

The Sweet32 attack is a SSL/TLS vulnerability that allows attackers to compromise HTTPS connections using 64-bit block ciphers.

### CWE

CWE-310

### CVSS2

AV:N/AC:M/Au:N/C:P/I:N/A:N

Access Vector	Network
Access Complexity	Medium
Authentication	None
Confidentiality	Partial
Integrity Impact	None
Availability Impact	None

### CVSS3

CVSS:3.0/AV:N/AC:L/PR:N/UI:N/S:U/C:H/I:N/A:N

Base Score	7.5
Attack Vector	Network
Attack Complexity	Low
Privileges Required	None
User Interaction	None
Scope	Unchanged
Confidentiality	High
Integrity Impact	None
Availability Impact	None

## Impact

---

An attacker may intercept HTTPS connections between vulnerable clients and servers.

### <https://localhost:7056/>

Cipher suites susceptible to Sweet32 attack (TLS1.0 on port 7056):

- TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_3DES\_EDE\_CBC\_SHA

Cipher suites susceptible to Sweet32 attack (TLS1.1 on port 7056):

- TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_3DES\_EDE\_CBC\_SHA

Cipher suites susceptible to Sweet32 attack (TLS1.2 on port 7056):

- TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_3DES\_EDE\_CBC\_SHA

### Recommendation

Reconfigure the affected SSL/TLS server to disable support for obsolete 64-bit block ciphers.

### References

[Sweet32: Birthday attacks on 64-bit block ciphers in TLS and OpenVPN](https://sweet32.info/)  
<https://sweet32.info/>

## TLS/SSL Weak Cipher Suites

The remote host supports TLS/SSL cipher suites with weak or insecure properties.

#### CWE

CWE-310

#### CVSS2

AV:L/AC:M/Au:N/C:P/I:P/A:N

Access Vector	Local
Access Complexity	Medium
Authentication	None
Confidentiality	Partial
Integrity Impact	Partial
Availability Impact	None

#### CVSS3

CVSS:3.0/AV:N/AC:L/PR:N/UI:N/S:U/C:L/I:L/A:N

Base Score	6.5
Attack Vector	Network
Attack Complexity	Low
Privileges Required	None
User Interaction	None
Scope	Unchanged
Confidentiality	Low
Integrity Impact	Low
Availability Impact	None

### Impact

#### <https://localhost:7056/>

Weak TLS/SSL Cipher Suites: (offered via TLS1.0 on port 7056):

- TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_3DES\_EDE\_CBC\_SHA (Medium strength encryption algorithm (3DES).)

Weak TLS/SSL Cipher Suites: (offered via TLS1.1 on port 7056):

- TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_3DES\_EDE\_CBC\_SHA (Medium strength encryption algorithm (3DES).)

Weak TLS/SSL Cipher Suites: (offered via TLS1.2 on port 7056):

- TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_3DES\_EDE\_CBC\_SHA (Medium strength encryption algorithm (3DES).)

## Recommendation

---

Reconfigure the affected application to avoid use of weak cipher suites.

## References

---

[OWASP: TLS Cipher String Cheat Sheet](https://cheatsheetseries.owasp.org/cheatsheets/TLS_Cipher_String_Cheat_Sheet.html)

[https://cheatsheetseries.owasp.org/cheatsheets/TLS\\_Cipher\\_String\\_Cheat\\_Sheet.html](https://cheatsheetseries.owasp.org/cheatsheets/TLS_Cipher_String_Cheat_Sheet.html)

[OWASP: Transport Layer Protection Cheat Sheet](https://cheatsheetseries.owasp.org/cheatsheets/Transport_Layer_Protection_Cheat_Sheet.html)

[https://cheatsheetseries.owasp.org/cheatsheets/Transport\\_Layer\\_Protection\\_Cheat\\_Sheet.html](https://cheatsheetseries.owasp.org/cheatsheets/Transport_Layer_Protection_Cheat_Sheet.html)

[Mozilla: TLS Cipher Suite Recommendations](https://wiki.mozilla.org/Security/Server_Side_TLS)

[https://wiki.mozilla.org/Security/Server\\_Side\\_TLS](https://wiki.mozilla.org/Security/Server_Side_TLS)

[SSLabs: SSL and TLS Deployment Best Practices](https://github.com/ssllabs/research/wiki/SSL-and-TLS-Deployment-Best-Practices)

<https://github.com/ssllabs/research/wiki/SSL-and-TLS-Deployment-Best-Practices>

[RFC 9155: Deprecating MD5 and SHA-1 Signature Hashes in TLS 1.2 and DTLS 1.2](https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc9155)

<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc9155>

## Content Security Policy (CSP) not implemented

---

Content Security Policy (CSP) is an added layer of security that helps to detect and mitigate certain types of attacks, including Cross Site Scripting (XSS) and data injection attacks.

Content Security Policy (CSP) can be implemented by adding a **Content-Security-Policy** header. The value of this header is a string containing the policy directives describing your Content Security Policy. To implement CSP, you should define lists of allowed origins for the all of the types of resources that your site utilizes. For example, if you have a simple site that needs to load scripts, stylesheets, and images hosted locally, as well as from the jQuery library from their CDN, the CSP header could look like the following:

```
Content-Security-Policy:
default-src 'self';
script-src 'self' https://code.jquery.com;
```

It was detected that your web application doesn't implement Content Security Policy (CSP) as the CSP header is missing from the response. It's recommended to implement Content Security Policy (CSP) into your web application.

## CWE

CWE-1021

## CVSS2

AV:N/AC:L/Au:N/C:N/I:N/A:N

Access Vector	Network
Access Complexity	Low
Authentication	None
Confidentiality	None
Integrity Impact	None
Availability Impact	None

## CVSS3

CVSS:3.1/AV:N/AC:L/PR:N/UI:R/S:C/C:N/I:N/A:N

Base Score	0.0
Attack Vector	Network
Attack Complexity	Low
Privileges Required	None
User Interaction	Required
Scope	Changed
Confidentiality	None
Integrity Impact	None
Availability Impact	None

## Impact

CSP can be used to prevent and/or mitigate attacks that involve content/code injection, such as cross-site scripting/XSS attacks, attacks that require embedding a malicious resource, attacks that involve malicious use of iframes, such as clickjacking attacks, and others.

## <https://localhost:7056/>

Paths without CSP header:

- <https://localhost:7056/swagger/index.html>

## Request

```
GET /swagger/index.html HTTP/1.1
Referer: https://localhost:7056/swagger/
Accept: text/html,application/xhtml+xml,application/xml;q=0.9,*/*;q=0.8
Accept-Encoding: gzip,deflate,br
User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 10.0; Win64; x64) AppleWebKit/537.36 (KHTML, like Gecko)
Chrome/92.0.4512.0 Safari/537.36
Host: localhost:7056
Connection: Keep-alive
```

## Recommendation

It's recommended to implement Content Security Policy (CSP) into your web application. Configuring Content Security Policy involves adding the **Content-Security-Policy** HTTP header to a web page and giving it values to control resources the user agent is allowed to load for that page.

## References

[Content Security Policy \(CSP\)](https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/HTTP/CSP)

<https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/HTTP/CSP>

[Implementing Content Security Policy](https://hacks.mozilla.org/2016/02/implementing-content-security-policy/)

<https://hacks.mozilla.org/2016/02/implementing-content-security-policy/>

## HTTP Strict Transport Security (HSTS) not implemented

HTTP Strict Transport Security (HSTS) tells a browser that a web site is only accessible using HTTPS. It was detected that your web application doesn't implement HTTP Strict Transport Security (HSTS) as the Strict Transport Security header is missing from the response.

### CWE

CWE-16

### CVSS2

AV:N/AC:L/Au:N/C:N/I:N/A:N

Access Vector	Network
Access Complexity	Low
Authentication	None
Confidentiality	None
Integrity Impact	None
Availability Impact	None

### CVSS3

CVSS:3.1/AV:N/AC:L/PR:N/UI:R/S:C/C:N/I:N/A:N

Base Score	0.0
Attack Vector	Network
Attack Complexity	Low
Privileges Required	None
User Interaction	Required
Scope	Changed
Confidentiality	None
Integrity Impact	None
Availability Impact	None

## Impact

HSTS can be used to prevent and/or mitigate some types of man-in-the-middle (MitM) attacks

**<https://localhost:7056/>**

URLs where HSTS is not enabled:

- <https://localhost:7056/swagger/index.html>

## Request

---

```
GET /swagger/index.html HTTP/1.1
Referer: https://localhost:7056/swagger/
Accept: text/html,application/xhtml+xml,application/xml;q=0.9,*/*;q=0.8
Accept-Encoding: gzip,deflate,br
User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 10.0; Win64; x64) AppleWebKit/537.36 (KHTML, like Gecko)
Chrome/92.0.4512.0 Safari/537.36
Host: localhost:7056
Connection: Keep-alive
```

## Recommendation

---

It's recommended to implement HTTP Strict Transport Security (HSTS) into your web application. Consult web references for more information

## References

---

[hstspreload.org](https://hstspreload.org)

<https://hstspreload.org/>

[Strict-Transport-Security](https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/HTTP/Headers/Strict-Transport-Security)

<https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/HTTP/Headers/Strict-Transport-Security>

# A06 Vulnerable and Outdated Components

---

Components, such as libraries, frameworks, and other software modules, almost always run with full privileges. If a vulnerable component is exploited, such an attack can facilitate serious data loss or server takeover. Applications using components with known vulnerabilities may undermine application defenses and enable a range of possible attacks and impacts.

## TLS 1.0 enabled

---

The web server supports encryption through TLS 1.0, which was formally deprecated in March 2021 as a result of inherent security issues. In addition, TLS 1.0 is not considered to be "strong cryptography" as defined and required by the PCI Data Security Standard 3.2(.1) when used to protect sensitive information transferred to or from web sites. According to PCI, "30 June 2018 is the deadline for disabling SSL/early TLS and implementing a more secure encryption protocol – TLS 1.1 or higher (TLS v1.2 is strongly encouraged) in order to meet the PCI Data Security Standard (PCI DSS) for safeguarding payment data.

### CWE

CWE-326

CVSS2

AV:N/AC:M/Au:N/C:P/I:P/A:N

Access Vector	Network
Access Complexity	Medium
Authentication	None
Confidentiality	Partial
Integrity Impact	Partial
Availability Impact	None

CVSS3

CVSS:3.1/AV:N/AC:H/PR:N/UI:N/S:C/C:L/I:L/A:N

Base Score	5.4
Attack Vector	Network
Attack Complexity	High
Privileges Required	None
User Interaction	None
Scope	Changed
Confidentiality	Low
Integrity Impact	Low
Availability Impact	None

Impact

An attacker may be able to exploit this problem to conduct man-in-the-middle attacks and decrypt communications between the affected service and clients.

<https://localhost:7056/> Confidence: 100%

The SSL server (port: 7056) encrypts traffic using TLSv1.0.

Recommendation

It is recommended to disable TLS 1.0 and replace it with TLS 1.2 or higher.

References

[RFC 8996: Deprecating TLS 1.0 and TLS 1.1](#)

<https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc8996>

[Are You Ready for 30 June 2018? Saying Goodbye to SSL/early TLS](#)

<https://blog.pcisecuritystandards.org/are-you-ready-for-30-june-2018-sayin-goodbye-to-ssl-early-tls>

[PCI 3.1 and TLS 1.2 \(Cloudflare Support\)](#)

<https://support.cloudflare.com/hc/en-us/articles/205043158-PCI-3-1-and-TLS-1-2>

TLS 1.1 enabled

The web server supports encryption through TLS 1.1, which was formally deprecated in March 2021 as a result of inherent security issues. When aiming for Payment Card Industry (PCI) Data Security Standard (DSS) compliance, it is recommended to use TLS 1.2 or higher instead. According to PCI, "30 June 2018 is



the deadline for disabling SSL/early TLS and implementing a more secure encryption protocol – TLS 1.1 or higher (TLS v1.2 is strongly encouraged) in order to meet the PCI Data Security Standard (PCI DSS) for safeguarding payment data.

## CWE

CWE-326

## CVSS2

AV:N/AC:M/Au:N/C:P/I:P/A:N

Access Vector	Network
Access Complexity	Medium
Authentication	None
Confidentiality	Partial
Integrity Impact	Partial
Availability Impact	None

## CVSS3

CVSS:3.1/AV:N/AC:H/PR:N/UI:N/S:C/C:L/I:L/A:N

Base Score	5.4
Attack Vector	Network
Attack Complexity	High
Privileges Required	None
User Interaction	None
Scope	Changed
Confidentiality	Low
Integrity Impact	Low
Availability Impact	None

## Impact

An attacker may be able to exploit this problem to conduct man-in-the-middle attacks and decrypt communications between the affected service and clients.

**<https://localhost:7056/>**

Confidence: 100%

The SSL server (port: 7056) encrypts traffic using TLSv1.1.

## Recommendation

It is recommended to disable TLS 1.1 and replace it with TLS 1.2 or higher.

## References

**[RFC 8996: Deprecating TLS 1.0 and TLS 1.1](https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc8996)**

<https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc8996>

**[Are You Ready for 30 June 2018? Saying Goodbye to SSL/early TLS](https://blog.pcisecuritystandards.org/are-you-ready-for-30-june-2018-sayin-goodbye-to-ssl-early-tls)**

<https://blog.pcisecuritystandards.org/are-you-ready-for-30-june-2018-sayin-goodbye-to-ssl-early-tls>

**[PCI 3.1 and TLS 1.2 \(Cloudflare Support\)](https://support.cloudflare.com/hc/en-us/articles/205043158-PCI-3-1-and-TLS-1-2)**

<https://support.cloudflare.com/hc/en-us/articles/205043158-PCI-3-1-and-TLS-1-2>

# TLS/SSL Sweet32 attack

The Sweet32 attack is a SSL/TLS vulnerability that allows attackers to compromise HTTPS connections using 64-bit block ciphers.

## CWE

CWE-310

## CVSS2

AV:N/AC:M/Au:N/C:P/I:N/A:N

Access Vector	Network
Access Complexity	Medium
Authentication	None
Confidentiality	Partial
Integrity Impact	None
Availability Impact	None

## CVSS3

CVSS:3.0/AV:N/AC:L/PR:N/UI:N/S:U/C:H/I:N/A:N

Base Score	7.5
Attack Vector	Network
Attack Complexity	Low
Privileges Required	None
User Interaction	None
Scope	Unchanged
Confidentiality	High
Integrity Impact	None
Availability Impact	None

## Impact

An attacker may intercept HTTPS connections between vulnerable clients and servers.

### <https://localhost:7056/>

Cipher suites susceptible to Sweet32 attack (TLS1.0 on port 7056):

- TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_3DES\_EDE\_CBC\_SHA

Cipher suites susceptible to Sweet32 attack (TLS1.1 on port 7056):

- TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_3DES\_EDE\_CBC\_SHA

Cipher suites susceptible to Sweet32 attack (TLS1.2 on port 7056):

- TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_3DES\_EDE\_CBC\_SHA

## Recommendation

Reconfigure the affected SSL/TLS server to disable support for obsolete 64-bit block ciphers.

References

[Sweet32: Birthday attacks on 64-bit block ciphers in TLS and OpenVPN](https://sweet32.info/)  
<https://sweet32.info/>

TLS/SSL Weak Cipher Suites

The remote host supports TLS/SSL cipher suites with weak or insecure properties.

CWE

CWE-310

CVSS2

AV:L/AC:M/Au:N/C:P/I:P/A:N

Access Vector	Local
Access Complexity	Medium
Authentication	None
Confidentiality	Partial
Integrity Impact	Partial
Availability Impact	None

CVSS3

CVSS:3.0/AV:N/AC:L/PR:N/UI:N/S:U/C:L/I:L/A:N

Base Score	6.5
Attack Vector	Network
Attack Complexity	Low
Privileges Required	None
User Interaction	None
Scope	Unchanged
Confidentiality	Low
Integrity Impact	Low
Availability Impact	None

Impact

<https://localhost:7056/>

Weak TLS/SSL Cipher Suites: (offered via TLS1.0 on port 7056):

- TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_3DES\_EDE\_CBC\_SHA (Medium strength encryption algorithm (3DES).)

Weak TLS/SSL Cipher Suites: (offered via TLS1.1 on port 7056):

- TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_3DES\_EDE\_CBC\_SHA (Medium strength encryption algorithm (3DES).)

Weak TLS/SSL Cipher Suites: (offered via TLS1.2 on port 7056):

- TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_3DES\_EDE\_CBC\_SHA (Medium strength encryption algorithm (3DES).)

## Recommendation

---

Reconfigure the affected application to avoid use of weak cipher suites.

## References

---

[OWASP: TLS Cipher String Cheat Sheet](https://cheatsheetseries.owasp.org/cheatsheets/TLS_Cipher_String_Cheat_Sheet.html)

[https://cheatsheetseries.owasp.org/cheatsheets/TLS\\_Cipher\\_String\\_Cheat\\_Sheet.html](https://cheatsheetseries.owasp.org/cheatsheets/TLS_Cipher_String_Cheat_Sheet.html)

[OWASP: Transport Layer Protection Cheat Sheet](https://cheatsheetseries.owasp.org/cheatsheets/Transport_Layer_Protection_Cheat_Sheet.html)

[https://cheatsheetseries.owasp.org/cheatsheets/Transport\\_Layer\\_Protection\\_Cheat\\_Sheet.html](https://cheatsheetseries.owasp.org/cheatsheets/Transport_Layer_Protection_Cheat_Sheet.html)

[Mozilla: TLS Cipher Suite Recommendations](https://wiki.mozilla.org/Security/Server_Side_TLS)

[https://wiki.mozilla.org/Security/Server\\_Side\\_TLS](https://wiki.mozilla.org/Security/Server_Side_TLS)

[SSLabs: SSL and TLS Deployment Best Practices](https://github.com/ssllabs/research/wiki/SSL-and-TLS-Deployment-Best-Practices)

<https://github.com/ssllabs/research/wiki/SSL-and-TLS-Deployment-Best-Practices>

[RFC 9155: Deprecating MD5 and SHA-1 Signature Hashes in TLS 1.2 and DTLS 1.2](https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc9155)

<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc9155>

## Content Security Policy (CSP) not implemented

---

Content Security Policy (CSP) is an added layer of security that helps to detect and mitigate certain types of attacks, including Cross Site Scripting (XSS) and data injection attacks.

Content Security Policy (CSP) can be implemented by adding a **Content-Security-Policy** header. The value of this header is a string containing the policy directives describing your Content Security Policy. To implement CSP, you should define lists of allowed origins for the all of the types of resources that your site utilizes. For example, if you have a simple site that needs to load scripts, stylesheets, and images hosted locally, as well as from the jQuery library from their CDN, the CSP header could look like the following:

```
Content-Security-Policy:
default-src 'self';
script-src 'self' https://code.jquery.com;
```

It was detected that your web application doesn't implement Content Security Policy (CSP) as the CSP header is missing from the response. It's recommended to implement Content Security Policy (CSP) into your web application.

CWE

**CVSS2**

AV:N/AC:L/Au:N/C:N/I:N/A:N

Access Vector	Network
Access Complexity	Low
Authentication	None
Confidentiality	None
Integrity Impact	None
Availability Impact	None

**CVSS3**

CVSS:3.1/AV:N/AC:L/PR:N/UI:R/S:C/C:N/I:N/A:N

Base Score	0.0
Attack Vector	Network
Attack Complexity	Low
Privileges Required	None
User Interaction	Required
Scope	Changed
Confidentiality	None
Integrity Impact	None
Availability Impact	None

## Impact

CSP can be used to prevent and/or mitigate attacks that involve content/code injection, such as cross-site scripting/XSS attacks, attacks that require embedding a malicious resource, attacks that involve malicious use of iframes, such as clickjacking attacks, and others.

## <https://localhost:7056/>

Paths without CSP header:

- <https://localhost:7056/swagger/index.html>

## Request

```
GET /swagger/index.html HTTP/1.1
Referer: https://localhost:7056/swagger/
Accept: text/html,application/xhtml+xml,application/xml;q=0.9,*/*;q=0.8
Accept-Encoding: gzip,deflate,br
User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 10.0; Win64; x64) AppleWebKit/537.36 (KHTML, like Gecko)
Chrome/92.0.4512.0 Safari/537.36
Host: localhost:7056
Connection: Keep-alive
```

## Recommendation

It's recommended to implement Content Security Policy (CSP) into your web application. Configuring Content Security Policy involves adding the **Content-Security-Policy** HTTP header to a web page and giving it values to control resources the user agent is allowed to load for that page.

## References

---

### [Content Security Policy \(CSP\)](https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/HTTP/CSP)

<https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/HTTP/CSP>

### [Implementing Content Security Policy](https://hacks.mozilla.org/2016/02/implementing-content-security-policy/)

<https://hacks.mozilla.org/2016/02/implementing-content-security-policy/>

## A07 Identification and Authentication Failures

---

Application functions related to authentication and session management are often implemented incorrectly, allowing attackers to compromise passwords, keys, or session tokens, or to exploit other implementation flaws to assume other users' identities.

No alerts in this category

## A08 Software and Data Integrity Failures

---

Software and data integrity failures relate to code and infrastructure that does not protect against integrity violations. An example of this is where an application relies upon plugins, libraries, or modules from untrusted sources, repositories, and content delivery networks (CDNs). An insecure CI/CD pipeline can introduce the potential for unauthorized access, malicious code, or system compromise. Lastly, many applications now include auto-update functionality, where updates are downloaded without sufficient integrity verification and applied to the previously trusted application. Attackers could potentially upload their own updates to be distributed and run on all installations. Another example is where objects or data are encoded or serialized into a structure that an attacker can see and modify is vulnerable to insecure deserialization.

No alerts in this category

## A09 Security Logging and Monitoring Failures

---

Insufficient logging and monitoring, coupled with missing or ineffective integration with incident response, allows attackers to further attack systems, maintain persistence, pivot to more systems, and tamper, extract, or destroy data. Most breach studies show time to detect a breach is over 200 days, typically detected by external parties rather than internal processes or monitoring.

No alerts in this category

# A10 Server-Side Request Forgery

---

SSRF flaws occur whenever a web application is fetching a remote resource without validating the user-supplied URL. It allows an attacker to coerce the application to send a crafted request to an unexpected destination, even when protected by a firewall, VPN, or another type of network access control list (ACL).

**No alerts in this category**

## Coverage

 https://localhost:7056

 \_framework

 aspnetcore-browser-refresh.js

 blazor-hotreload

 clear-browser-cache

 \_vs

 browserLink

 swagger

 v1

 Currencies

 fiat

 Notification

 bitpay

 Inputs

**POST** url, status, currency, invoiceTime, expirationTime, currentTime, id, transactionCurrency, exceptionStatus, paymentCodes.bch.biP72b, paymentCodes.bch.biP73, paymentCodes.btc.biP72b, paymentCodes.btc.biP73, paymentCodes.busd.eiP681b, paymentCodes.dai.eiP681b, paymentCodes.doge.biP72b, paymentCodes.doge.biP73, paymentCodes.eth.eiP681, paymentCodes.gusd.eiP681b, paymentCodes.pax.eiP681b, paymentCodes.usdc.eiP681b, paymentCodes.wbtc.eiP681b, paymentCodes.xrp.biP72b, paymentCodes.xrp.biP73, paymentCodes.xrp.riP681, paymentDisplayTotals.btc, paymentDisplayTotals.bch, paymentDisplayTotals.eth, paymentDisplayTotals.gusd, paymentDisplayTotals.pax, paymentDisplayTotals.busd, paymentDisplayTotals.usdc, paymentDisplayTotals.xrp, paymentDisplayTotals.doge, paymentDisplayTotals.dai, paymentDisplayTotals.wbtc

 coinbase

 Inputs

**POST** data.id, data.created\_at, data.expires\_at, data.addresses.bitcoin, data.addresses.ethereum, data.pricing.bitcoin.amount, data.pricing.bitcoin.currency, data.pricing.ethereum.amount, data.pricing.ethereum.currency, data.pricing.local.amount, data.pricing.local.currency, data.timeline.1.time, data.timeline.1.status, data.timeline.1.context

 coinpayments

 Inputs

**POST** txn\_id, amount1, amount2, currency1, currency2, status, status\_text

 coinqvest

 Inputs



**POST** eventType, data.checkout.id, data.checkout.state

 Payment

 Payment

 Inputs

**POST** amount, fiatCurrency, cryptoCurrency, transactionReference

 swagger.json

 Inputs

**GET** password, username

 swagger.yaml

 index.html

 #fragments

 javascript:domxssExecutionSink(1,javascript:domxssExecutionSink(1,""/"%3E%3Cxsstag%3E()hashxss")

 Inputs

**GET** password, username

 swagger-ui-bundle.js

 swagger-ui-standalone-preset.js

 swagger-ui.css