1 Syntax

An $All\text{-}Or\text{-}Nothing\text{-}Transform\ AONT\ specifies\ two\ algorithms\ (AONT\ Transform\ AONT\ Inverse),}$ and a block length AONT.bl. Then, we can associate with AONT a domain and range, AONT.Dom, AONT.Rng \subset $\{\{0,1\}^{\text{AONT.bl}}\}^*$ (the set of strings having length that is a multiple of AONT.bl). We call the domain the "message sequences" and the range the "pseudo-message sequences". Then, we have that AONT.Transform: AONT.Dom \to AONT.Rng, a randomized algorithm, and AONT.Inverse: AONT.Rng \to AONT.Dom, a deterministic algorithm.

2 Correctness

The correctness condition for AONT is

$$\Pr\left[\mathsf{AONT}.\mathsf{Inverse}(\mathsf{AONT}.\mathsf{Transform}((m_1,m_2\dots m_s)) = (m_1,m_2,\dots m_s))\right] = 1$$

where the probability is taken over all possible message sequences $(m_1, m_2 ... m_s)$ and all possible randomness of the AONT. Transform function. We also assume that (assumed but not explicitly stated in all the papers):

```
\Pr\left[M,N\in\mathsf{AONT}.\mathsf{Dom},|M|=|N|,X\leftarrow \$\,\mathsf{AONT}.\mathsf{Transform}(M),Y\leftarrow \$\,\mathsf{AONT}.\mathsf{Transform}(N):|X|=|Y|\right]=1
```

3 Rivest (1997)

```
\mathbf{G}_{\mathsf{AONT}}^{\mathrm{ind}}(A)
      b \leftarrow s \{0, 1\}
     b' \leftarrow *A^{\operatorname{LR}}
     return (b = b')
LR(M, N, i)
      if |M| \neq |N| then
       oxed{return} ot
      end
      if b = 0 then
          (m_1, m_2, \dots m_{s'}) \leftarrow AONT.Transform(M)
          (m_1, m_2, \dots m_{s'}) \leftarrow $ AONT.Transform(N)
      end
      if i > s' then
      \perp return \perp
      end
      m_i \leftarrow \epsilon
      return (m_1, m_2, \dots m_{s'})
```

Then we say that the indistinguishability adversary A has AONT-IND advantage:

$$\mathbf{Adv}_{\mathsf{AONT}}^{\mathsf{aont\text{-}ind}}(A) = 2 \cdot \Pr \left[\left. \mathbf{G}_{\mathsf{AONT}}^{\mathsf{ind}}(A) \right. \right] - 1$$

4 Boyko (1999)/ Canetti et. al (2000)

```
\overline{\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{leak}}_{\mathsf{AONT},l}(A)}
     b \leftarrow s \{0, 1\}
     b' \leftarrow \$ A^{\mathrm{LR}}
     return (b = b')
LR(M, N, S)
     if |M| \neq |N| then
      \perp return \perp
     end
     if b = 0 then
      y \leftarrow AONT.Transform(M)
          y \leftarrow s AONT.Transform(N)
     if (|S| \neq |y|) \vee (\mathsf{Hamm}(S) > (|y| - l)) then
      \perp return \perp
     end
     y \leftarrow y \ \& \ S
     return y
```

Note that |M| is the length of the string M in bits, & is a bitwise AND and $\operatorname{Hamm}(M)$ takes the hamming weight of M

Then we say that the leakage adversary A has l-AONT-LEAK advantage:

$$\mathbf{Adv}^{\mathrm{aont\text{-}leak}}_{\mathsf{AONT},l}(A) = 2 \cdot \Pr \left[\left. \mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{leak}}_{\mathsf{AONT},l}(A) \right. \right] - 1$$

5 Leakage Resilience Model

```
\begin{aligned} &\frac{\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{lr}}_{\mathsf{AONT},m}(A)}{b \leftarrow^{\mathrm{s}} \{0,1\}} \\ &b' \leftarrow^{\mathrm{s}} A^{\mathrm{LR}} \\ &\mathbf{return} \ (b=b') \end{aligned} \\ &\frac{\mathsf{LR}(M,N,C)}{\mathbf{if} \ |M| \neq |N| \ \mathbf{then}} \\ & \mid \ \mathbf{return} \perp \\ &\mathbf{end} \\ &\mathbf{if} \ b = 0 \ \mathbf{then} \\ & \mid \ y \leftarrow^{\mathrm{s}} \mathsf{AONT}.\mathsf{Transform}(M) \end{aligned} &\mathbf{else} \\ & \mid \ y \leftarrow^{\mathrm{s}} \mathsf{AONT}.\mathsf{Transform}(N) \\ &\mathbf{end} \\ &\mathbf{if} \ (C \notin \mathcal{C}_{|y|,(|y|-m)}) \ \mathbf{then} \\ & \mid \ \mathbf{return} \perp \\ &\mathbf{end} \\ &\mathbf{return} \perp \\ &\mathbf{end} \\ &\mathbf{return} \ C(y) \end{aligned}
```

Note that $C_{n,m}$ is the set of boolean circuits taking n inputs and m outputs, expressed in a string in some reasonable encoding. Then, for $C \in C_{n,m}$, when we run C(S) for some binary string S of length n, C will take as input the bits of S and return a m bit long string.

Then we say that the leakage resilience adversary A has m-AONT-LR advantage:

$$\mathbf{Adv}^{\text{aont-lr}}_{\mathsf{AONT},m}(A) = 2 \cdot \Pr \left[\left. \mathbf{G}^{\text{lr}}_{\mathsf{AONT},m}(A) \right. \right] - 1$$

6 Relationship between Notions

$$6.1$$
 AONT.bl $-$ AONT $-$ LEAK \implies AONT $-$ IND

Theorem 6.1 For any AONT – IND adversary A, we can construct AONT.bl – AONT – LEAK adversary B, running in the same time and making the same number of queries, such that

$$\boldsymbol{Adv_{\mathsf{AONT}}^{aont\text{-}ind}(A)} \leq \boldsymbol{Adv_{\mathsf{AONT},\mathsf{AONT},\mathsf{bl}}^{aont\text{-}leak}(B)$$

Here is the adversary:

```
 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline B^{\mathrm{LR}} \\ \hline b \leftarrow & A^{\mathrm{SIMLR}} \\ \hline \mathbf{return} \ b \\ \hline \underline{SIMLR}(M,N,i) \\ \hline mask \leftarrow \epsilon \\ s \leftarrow \lceil \frac{|M|}{\mathsf{AONT.bl}} \rceil \\ \mathbf{for} \ j = 1,2,\dots s \ \mathbf{do} \\ & | \ \mathbf{if} \ j \neq i \ \mathbf{then} \\ & | \ mask \leftarrow mask || 1^{\mathsf{AONT.bl}} \\ & \mathbf{else} \\ & | \ mask \leftarrow mask || 0^{\mathsf{AONT.bl}} \\ & \mathbf{end} \\ \hline \mathbf{end} \\ \hline \mathbf{return} \ \mathrm{LR}(M,N,mask) \\ \hline \end{array}
```

6.2 l-AONT – LR $\implies l$ -AONT – LEAK

Theorem 6.2 For any l-AONT – LEAK adversary A, we can construct l-AONT – LR adversary B, running in the same time and making the same number of queries, such that

$$Adv_{\mathsf{AONT},l}^{aont-leak}(A) \leq Adv_{\mathsf{AONT},l}^{aont-lr}(B)$$

Here is the adversary:

```
\begin{array}{c} \underline{B^{\mathrm{LR}}} \\ b \leftarrow & A^{\mathrm{SIMLR}} \\ \mathbf{return} \ b \\ \underline{\mathrm{SIMLR}(M,N,mask)} \\ \mathbf{return} \ \mathrm{LR}(M,N,C_{mask}) \\ \underline{C_{mask}(X)} \\ \mathbf{return} \ mask \ \& \ X \end{array}
```

6.3 AONT – IND \Rightarrow AONT.bl – AONT – LEAK

Consider the following AONT scheme, Checksum, which is defined for all choices of Checksum.bl. It has Checksum.Dom = $\{0,1\}^{\text{Checksum.bl}}$ and Checksum.Rng = $\{0,1\}^{\text{Checksum.bl}^2}$:

```
 \begin{array}{lll} & & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & \\ & & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ &
```

First, let's show that Checksum is Checksum.bl – AONT – IND secure. Since the pseudo-message blocks are such that $m = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\mathsf{Checksum.bl}} m_i'$, and Checksum.bl – 1 blocks were chosen at random, independent of m, the loss of any one block will render the distribution of the remaining blocks completely independent of m. Therefore, (much like in the one-time pad), $\mathbf{Adv}_{\mathsf{Checksum}}^{\mathsf{aont-ind}}(A) = 0$ for all A.

Next, we can provide a Checksum.bl - AONT - LEAK adversary A.

Then we have that $\mathbf{Adv}^{\text{aont-leak}}_{\mathsf{Checksum},\mathsf{Checksum},\mathsf{bl}}(A) = 1$, since the adversary is able to retrieve any $\mathsf{Checksum}.\mathsf{bl} - 1$ bits of the original message.

6.4 AONT.bl - AONT - LEAK \Rightarrow AONT.bl - AONT - LR

This is in the context of the RO model, specifically where a secure instance of OAEP is assumed. Consider the package transform proposed by Rivest, denoted Package. Note that Package.Dom = Package.Rng = $\{X \in \{0,1\}^* : |X| \text{ is a multiple of AONT.bl}\}$

```
Package.Transform(m_1, m_2, \dots m_s)
                                                                                             Package.Inverse(m'_1, m'_2 \dots m'_{s'})
                                                                                                   if (\exists i. |m'_i| \neq \mathsf{Package.bl}) \lor (s' \leq 2) then
      if \exists i. |m_i| \neq \mathsf{Package.bl} then
       \perp return \perp
                                                                                                    \perp return \perp
      end
                                                                                                    end
      K \leftarrow \$ \{0,1\}^{\mathsf{Package.bl}}
                                                                                                    K \leftarrow m'_{s'}
      K' \leftarrow \$ \{0,1\}^{\mathsf{Package.bl}}
                                                                                                    K' \leftarrow m'_{s'-1}
      m'_{s+1} \leftarrow K'
                                                                                                    s \leftarrow s' - 2
      for i = 1, 2 ... s do
                                                                                                    for (i = 1, 2, ...s) do
           m_i' \leftarrow m_i \oplus E(K', \langle i \rangle_{\mathsf{Package.bl}})
                                                                                                          h_i \leftarrow E(K, m_i' \oplus i)
           h_i \leftarrow E(K, m_i' \oplus \langle i \rangle_{\mathsf{Package.bl}})
                                                                                                          K' \leftarrow K' \oplus h_i
           m'_{s+1} \leftarrow m'_{s+1} \oplus h_i
                                                                                                    end
                                                                                                    for (i = 1, 2, ...s) do
                                                                                                    m_i \leftarrow E(K',i) \oplus m'_i
      return (m'_1, m'_2 \dots m'_s, m'_{s+1}, K)
                                                                                                    \mathbf{end}
                                                                                                    return (m_1, m_2 \dots m_s)
```

Then, from Boyko (1999) we know that when OAEP is used as E, we have that Package is

 ${\sf AONT.bl-AONT-IND-LEAK} \ is \ as \ secure \ as \ OAEP. \ We \ now \ present \ a \ AONT.bl-AONT-IND-LR \ adversary \ A.$

```
 \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline A^{\text{LR}} \\ \hline X || 0^{\text{AONT.bl}} \leftarrow \text{s LR}(0^{\text{AONT.bl}}, 1^{\text{AONT.bl}}, C) \\ \hline \textbf{if } X = 1^{\text{AONT.bl}} \textbf{ then} \\ & | \textbf{ return } 1 \\ \hline \textbf{else} \\ & | \textbf{ return } 0 \\ \hline \textbf{end} \\ \hline \hline \underline{C(X)} \\ \hline Y \leftarrow \text{Package.Inverse}(X) \\ \hline \textbf{return}(X || 0^{\text{AONT.bl}}) \\ \hline \end{array}
```

Then we have that $\mathbf{Adv}^{\text{aont-lr}}_{\mathsf{Package},\mathsf{Package.bl}}(A) = 1$, by the correctness condition of the AONT. One can note that the A runs in time proportional to the running time of $\mathsf{Package.Inverse}$, which should be PT in any usable AONT.