

# 1 Syntax

An *All-Or-Nothing-Transform* AONT specifies two algorithms (AONT.Transform, AONT.Inverse), and a block length AONT.bl. We have that  $\text{AONT.Transform} : \{\{0, 1\}^{\text{AONT.bl}}\}^* \rightarrow \{\{0, 1\}^{\text{AONT.bl}}\}^*$ . We call the domain of this function “message sequences” and the range “pseudo-message sequences”. Then AONT.Inverse is the inverse of this function, meaning that  $\text{AONT.Inverse} : \{\{0, 1\}^{\text{AONT.bl}}\}^* \rightarrow \{\{0, 1\}^{\text{AONT.bl}}\}^*$ , a mapping that only needs to be defined on pseudo-message sequences that can be generated by AONT.Transform. AONT.Transform can (and should) be randomized, while AONT.Inverse is not randomized.

The correctness condition for AONT is

$$\Pr[\text{AONT.Inverse}(\text{AONT.Transform}((m_1, m_2 \dots m_s)) = (m_1, m_2, \dots m_s))] = 1$$

where the probability is taken over all possible message sequences  $(m_1, m_2 \dots m_s)$  and all possible randomness of the AONT.Transform function.

# 2 Rivest (1997)

$\mathbf{G}_{\text{AONT}}^{\text{ind}}(A)$

$b \leftarrow_{\$} \{0, 1\}$

$b' \leftarrow_{\$} A^{\text{LR}}$

**return**  $(b = b')$

$\text{LR}(M, N, i)$

**if**  $|M| \neq |N|$  **then**

**return**  $\perp$

**end**

$(m_1, m_2 \dots m_s) \leftarrow M$

$(n_1, n_2 \dots n_s) \leftarrow N$

$n_i \leftarrow \epsilon$

$m_i \leftarrow \epsilon$

**if**  $b = 0$  **then**

$y \leftarrow_{\$} \text{AONT.Transform}(m_1, m_2 \dots m_s)$

**else**

$y \leftarrow_{\$} \text{AONT.Transform}(n_1, n_2 \dots n_s)$

**end**

**return**  $y$

Then we say that the indistinguishability adversary  $A$  has  $l$ -AONT-IND advantage:

$$\text{Adv}_{\text{AONT}}^{\text{aont-ind}}(A) = 2 \cdot \Pr[\mathbf{G}_{\text{AONT}}^{\text{ind}}(A)] - 1$$

### 3 Boyko (1999)/ Canetti et. al (2000)

$\underline{\mathbf{G}_{\text{AONT},l}^{\text{leak}}(A)}$ $b \leftarrow_{\$} \{0, 1\}$ $b' \leftarrow_{\$} A^{\text{LR}}$ $\mathbf{return} (b = b')$ $\underline{\text{LR}(M, N, S)}$ $\mathbf{if} \  M  \neq  N  \ \mathbf{then}$ $\quad   \ \mathbf{return} \ \perp$ $\mathbf{end}$ $(m_1, m_2 \dots m_s) \leftarrow M$ $(n_1, n_2 \dots n_s) \leftarrow N$ $\mathbf{if} \ b = 0 \ \mathbf{then}$ $\quad   \ y \leftarrow_{\$} \text{AONT.Transform}(m_1, m_2 \dots m_s)$ $\mathbf{else}$ $\quad   \ y \leftarrow_{\$} \text{AONT.Transform}(n_1, n_2 \dots n_s)$ $\mathbf{end}$ $\mathbf{if} \ ( S  \neq  y ) \vee (\text{Hamm}(S) > ( y  - l)) \ \mathbf{then}$ $\quad   \ \mathbf{return} \ \perp$ $\mathbf{else}$ $\quad   \ y \leftarrow y \ \& \ S$ $\mathbf{end}$ $\mathbf{return} \ y$
---

*Note that  $|M|$  is the length of the string  $M$  in bits,  $\&$  is a bitwise AND and  $\text{Hamm}(M)$  takes the hamming weight of  $M$*

Then we say that the leakage adversary  $A$  has  $l$ -AONT-LEAK advantage:

$$\mathbf{Adv}_{\text{AONT},l}^{\text{aont-leak}}(A) = 2 \cdot \Pr \left[ \mathbf{G}_{\text{AONT},l}^{\text{leak}}(A) \right] - 1$$

## 4 Leakage Resilience Model

$\mathbf{G}_{\text{AONT},m}^{\text{lr}}(A)$ $b \leftarrow_{\$} \{0, 1\}$ $b' \leftarrow_{\$} A^{\text{LR}}$ $\text{return } (b = b')$ <hr/> $\text{LR}(M, N, C)$ $\text{if }  M  \neq  N  \text{ then}$ $\quad   \text{return } \perp$ $\text{end}$ $(m_1, m_2 \dots m_s) \leftarrow M$ $(n_1, n_2 \dots n_s) \leftarrow N$ $\text{if } b = 0 \text{ then}$ $\quad   y \leftarrow_{\$} \text{AONT.Transform}(m_1, m_2 \dots m_s)$ $\text{else}$ $\quad   y \leftarrow_{\$} \text{AONT.Transform}(n_1, n_2 \dots n_s)$ $\text{end}$ $\text{if } (C \notin \mathcal{C}_{ y , ( y -m)}) \text{ then}$ $\quad   \text{return } \perp$ $\text{else}$ $\quad   \text{return } C(y)$ $\text{end}$
--

Note that  $\mathcal{C}_{n,m}$  is the set of boolean circuits taking  $n$  inputs and  $m$  outputs, expressed in a string in some reasonable encoding. Then, for  $C \in \mathcal{C}_{n,m}$ , when we run  $C(S)$  for some binary string  $S$  of length  $n$ ,  $C$  will take as input the bits of  $S$  and return a  $m$  bit long string.

Then we say that the leakage resilience adversary  $A$  has  $m$ -AONT-LR advantage:

$$\text{Adv}_{\text{AONT},m}^{\text{aont-lr}}(A) = 2 \cdot \Pr \left[ \mathbf{G}_{\text{AONT},m}^{\text{lr}}(A) \right] - 1$$

## 5 Relationship between Notions

### 5.1 AONT.bl-AONT-L $\implies$ AONT-IND

**Theorem 5.1** For any AONT-IND adversary  $A$ , we can construct AONT.bl-AONT-L adversary  $B$  such that

$$\text{Adv}_{\text{AONT},m}^{\text{aont-ind}}(A) \leq \text{Adv}_{\text{AONT},m}^{\text{aont-ind}}(B)$$

Here is the adversary (the full proof is omitted for now):

$\underline{B^{\text{LR}}}$ $b \leftarrow_{\$} A^{\text{SIMLR}}$ <b>return</b> $b$ $\underline{\text{SIMLR}(M, N, i)}$ $mask \leftarrow \epsilon$ $s \leftarrow \lceil \frac{ M }{\text{AONT.bl}} \rceil$ <b>for</b> $j = 1, 2, \dots s$ <b>do</b>   <b>if</b> $j \neq i$ <b>then</b>       $mask \leftarrow mask    1^{\text{AONT.bl}}$   <b>else</b>       $mask \leftarrow mask    0^{\text{AONT.bl}}$   <b>end</b> <b>end</b> <b>return</b> $\text{LR}(M, N, mask)$
--

## 6 To dos

- Prove that the package transform with OAEP/ OWFs work (explicitly) for the Rivest definition/ strong-Rivest definition
- What is AONT used for and what kind of security do we need for that
- What is the application I was thinking of and what kind of security do we need for that?