Individual Condition vs Nest Size with sex and instar as numeric value

Ruth Sharpe
12 September, 2016

Contents

AIC Values of all possible models with instar always included Checking full model fit	1
Graph with lowest AIC model superimposed	2
Statistics using model with lowest AIC Testing individual instar numbers	4
Graph of condition variance against instar	5


AIC Values of all possible models with instar always included


note: InstarNumber is numeric

AIC_Diff	AIC	model	num.predictors
0	-2087	$condResiduals \sim logCtFm + logCtFm:InstarNumber + (1 NestID)$	5
0.96	-2086	$condResiduals \sim logCtFm + InstarNumber + (1 NestID)$	5
1.53	-2085	$condResiduals \sim logCtFm + logCtFm:InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + (1 NestID)$	6
1.76	-2085	condResiduals ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber + (1 NestID)	6
2.84	-2084	condResiduals ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex + (1 NestID)	6
3.28	-2083	condResiduals ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + (1 NestID)	7
3.3	-2083	condResiduals ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex + logCtFm:InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + (1 NestID)	8
3.63	-2083	condResiduals $\sim \log CtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex + \log CtFm:InstarNumber + (1 NestID)$	7

Checking full model fit

[1] "condResiduals ~ logCtFm + logCtFm:InstarNumber + (1 | NestID)"






Graph with lowest AIC model superimposed

Model:

condResiduals ~ logCtFm + logCtFm:InstarNumber + (1 | NestID)

Note: If line on graph is blue R could not plot the lmer, plotting a simple lm instead



Statistics using model with lowest AIC

Full Model: condResiduals $\sim \log CtFm + \log CtFm:InstarNumber + (1 \mid NestID)$

Anova of full model alone

	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	NumDF	DenDF	F.value	Pr(>F)
$\log \mathrm{CtFm}$	0.013	0.013	1	28.436	1.165	0.289
$\log CtFm: Instar Number$	0.073	0.073	1	1,259.713	6.789	0.009

Testing Individual Variables by preforming an Anova of full vs reduced model

Testing Interaction Term nest size * instar against full model. - p < 0.01 SIGNIFICANT **

	Df	AIC	BIC	logLik	deviance	Chisq	Chi Df	Pr(>Chisq)
1	4	-2,081.925	-2,061.348	1,044.963	-2,089.925			
object	5	-2,086.689	-2,060.967	1,048.345	-2,096.689	6.764	1	0.009

Reduced Model: condResiduals logCtFm 1 NestID

Testing Nest Size plus nest size interactions against full model. - p < 0.01 SIGNIFICANT **

	Df	AIC	BIC	logLik	deviance	Chisq	Chi Df	Pr(>Chisq)
1	3	-2,079.566	-2,064.133	1,042.783	-2,085.566			
object	5	-2,086.689	-2,060.967	1,048.345	-2,096.689	11.123	2	0.004

Reduced Model: condResiduals 1 NestID

Testing individual instar numbers

note: pops up saying 'refitting model(s) with ML (instead of REML)' but if make anova refit = FALSE results don't make sense

Adult - age 7 * SIGNIFICANT *

	Df	AIC	BIC	logLik	deviance	Chisq	Chi Df	Pr(>Chisq)
1	3	-764.8555	-753.1558	385.4278	-770.8555	NA	NA	NA
object	4	-770.4985	-754.8989	389.2492	-778.4985	7.642948	1	0.0056995

 $\operatorname{Sub2}$ and Adult Males - age 6 not significant

	Df	AIC	BIC	logLik	deviance	Chisq	Chi Df	Pr(>Chisq)
1	3	-587.4919	-575.8840	296.746	-593.4919	NA	NA	NA
object	4	-585.5920	-570.1148	296.796	-593.5920	0.1001211	1	0.7516844

Sub1 and sub males- age 5 not significant

	Df	AIC	BIC	logLik	deviance	Chisq	Chi Df	Pr(>Chisq)
1	3	-464.8106	-453.5529	235.4053	-470.8106	NA	NA	NA
object	4	-462.9705	-447.9602	235.4853	-470.9705	0.1599328	1	0.6892184

Juv4 - age 4 not significant

	Df	AIC	BIC	logLik	deviance	Chisq	Chi Df	Pr(>Chisq)
1	3	-338.6935	-328.3403	172.3467	-344.6935	NA	NA	NA
object	4	-337.8281	-324.0239	172.9140	-345.8281	1.134603	1	0.2867956

Graph of condition variance against instar

Warning: Removed 3 rows containing non-finite values (stat_boxplot).

