Variance in Condition vs Nest Size Instar As Number

Ruth Sharpe

11 September, 2016

AIC Values of all possible models with instar included and sample size as weight

Rows removed with 2 or fewer data points

[1] "Using a standardized sample size as weight in model"

AIC_Diff	AIC	model	num.predictors
0	-175	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + (1|NestID)	8
1.78	-173.2	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex + logCtFm:InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + (1|NestID)	9
3.09	-171.9	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + (1|NestID)	7
3.46	-171.5	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + logCtFm:InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1|NestID)	8
3.62	-171.4	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2) + (1|NestID)	7
3.73	-171.2	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex + logCtFm:InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + (1|NestID)	10
3.74	-171.2	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1|NestID)	10
4.45	-170.5	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2) + (1|NestID)	6
4.92	-170.1	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + (1|NestID)	8
5.12	-169.9	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1|NestID)	8
5.2	-169.8	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex + logCtFm:InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1|NestID)	11
5.2	-169.8	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber:InstarSex + logCtFm:InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1|NestID)	11
5.25	-169.7	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1|NestID)	10
5.27	-169.7	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + logCtFm:InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1|NestID)	7
5.46	-169.5	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + logCtFm:InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1|NestID)	9
5.46	-169.5	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex + logCtFm:InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2) + (1|NestID)	8
5.46	-169.5	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1|NestID)	9
5.5	-169.5	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2) + (1|NestID)	8

AIC_Diff	AIC	model	num.predictors
6.09	-168.9	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex + logCtFm:InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1|NestID)	12
6.26	-168.7	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2) + (1|NestID)	7
6.52	-168.4	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1|NestID)	11
6.68	-168.3	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + (1|NestID)	9
6.7	-168.3	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex + logCtFm:InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1|NestID)	11
6.79	-168.2	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1|NestID)	9
6.96	-168	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1|NestID)	9
7.08	-167.9	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1|NestID)	9
7.24	-167.7	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + logCtFm:InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1|NestID)	8
7.26	-167.7	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1|NestID)	8
7.38	-167.6	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + logCtFm:InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1|NestID)	10
7.44	-167.5	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex + logCtFm:InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1|NestID)	10
7.44	-167.5	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex + logCtFm:InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2) + (1|NestID)	9
8.39	-166.6	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1|NestID)	10
8.79	-166.2	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex + logCtFm:InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1|NestID)	10
8.95	-166	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex + logCtFm:InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1|NestID)	9
9.24	-165.7	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + logCtFm:InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1|NestID)	9
16.39	-158.6	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + I(InstarNumber^2) + (1|NestID)	5
17.35	-157.6	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + logCtFm:InstarNumber + (1|NestID)	5
17.38	-157.6	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + (1|NestID)	5
17.65	-157.3	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + logCtFm:InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2) + (1|NestID)	6
18.22	-156.8	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + (1|NestID)	6

| AIC_Diff	AIC	model	num.predictors
18.23	-156.8	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1|NestID)	6
19.28	-155.7	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + logCtFm:InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + (1|NestID)	6
19.32	-155.7	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex + (1|NestID)	6
19.32	-155.7	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1|NestID)	7
19.32	-155.7	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber + (1|NestID)	6
19.38	-155.6	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + logCtFm:InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + (1|NestID)	7
19.47	-155.5	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + logCtFm:InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2) + (1|NestID)	7
20.17	-154.8	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1|NestID)	7
20.97	-154	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1|NestID)	8
21.25	-153.7	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + (1|NestID)	7
21.26	-153.7	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + logCtFm:InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + (1|NestID)	8
21.27	-153.7	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex + logCtFm:InstarNumber + (1|NestID)	7
22.17	-152.8	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1|NestID)	8
23.22	-151.8	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex + logCtFm:InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + (1|NestID)	8

Checking full model fit

[1] "relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):Insta

3

Graph

note: blue line just lm model

`Note: If line on graph is blue R could not plot the lmer, plotting a simple lm instead`

Statistics using model with the almost lowest AIC as full model

Full Model: relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + (1 | NestID)

Table 2: Anova of full model alone

	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	NumDF	DenDF	F.value	Pr(>F)
logCtFm	0.0001	0.0001	1	22.581	1.797	0.193
InstarNumber	0.001	0.001	1	74.121	24.984	0.00000
I(InstarNumber^2)	0.001	0.001	1	73.464	26.418	0.00000
InstarNumber:InstarSex	0.0003	0.0003	1	70.481	5.256	0.025
I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex	0.0003	0.0003	1	70.527	5.829	0.018

Testing Individual Variables by preforming an Anova of full vs reduced model)

Table 3: Testing the interaction against full model. - p < 0.001 SIGNIFICANT ***

	Df	AIC	BIC	logLik	deviance	Chisq	Chi Df	Pr(>Chisq)
..1	4	-157.349	-147.579	82.675	-165.349			
object	8	-174.977	-155.436	95.489	-190.977	25.628	4	0.00004

Reduced Model: relativeVar = logCtFm + (1 | NestID)

Table 4: Testing NestSize against full model. - p < 0.001 SIGNIFICANT ***

	Df	AIC	BIC	logLik	deviance	Chisq	Chi Df	Pr(>Chisq)
..1	3	-158.578	-151.250	82.289	-164.578			
object	8	-174.977	-155.436	95.489	-190.977	26.400	5	0.0001

Reduced Model: relativeVar = (1 | NestID)

Graph of condition variance against instar