Leg Length vs Nest Size with sex and instar as numeric

Ruth Sharpe

12 September, 2016

## Contents

AIC Values of all possible models with instar always included

AIC_Diff	AIC	model	num.predictors
0	-5232	logLeg ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + (1|NestID)	7
1.6	-5230	logLeg ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex + logCtFm:InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + (1|NestID)	8
3.05	-5229	logLeg ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber:InstarSex + logCtFm:InstarNumber + (1|NestID)	7
3.05	-5229	logLeg ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex + logCtFm:InstarNumber + (1|NestID)	7
23.88	-5208	logLeg ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex + (1|NestID)	6
28.4	-5203	logLeg ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber + (1|NestID)	6
49.57	-5182	logLeg ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + (1|NestID)	5
1072	-4159	logLeg ~ logCtFm + logCtFm:InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + (1|NestID)	6
1082	-4150	logLeg ~ logCtFm + logCtFm:InstarNumber + (1|NestID)	5

Checking full model fit

[1] "logLeg ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + (1 | NestID)"


Graph with lowest AIC model superimposed

```
Model:
logLeg ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + (1 | NestID)
```

Note: If line on graph is blue R could not plot the lmer, plotting a simple lm instead

Statistics

Note: There is no point testing instar number against leg length as it will vary of course, same with instar size

```
Warning in summary.merMod(model, ddf = "lme4"): additional arguments
ignored
```

Full Model: logLeg ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + (1 | NestID)

Anova of full model alone

	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	NumDF	DenDF	F.value	Pr(>F)
logCtFm	0.007	0.007	1	282.208	7.319	0.007
InstarNumber	1.559	1.559	1	1,228.259	1,712.068	0
logCtFm:InstarNumber	0.024	0.024	1	1,245.657	26.666	0.00000
logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex	0.028	0.028	1	1,255.232	30.765	0.00000

Testing Individual Variables by preforming an Anova of full vs reduced model

Three way interaction against full model. - p < 0.001 SIGNIFICANT ***

	Df	AIC	BIC	logLik	deviance	Chisq	Chi Df	Pr(>Chisq)
..1	6	-5,203.186	-5,172.306	2,607.593	-5,215.186			
object	7	-5,231.582	-5,195.554	2,622.791	-5,245.582	30.395	1	0.00000

Reduced Model: logLeg logCtFm InstarNumber 1 NestID logCtFm InstarNumber

Nest size x Instar Number against full model. - p < 0.001 SIGNIFICANT ***

	Df	AIC	BIC	logLik	deviance	Chisq	Chi Df	Pr(>Chisq)
..1	5	-5,182.014	-5,156.280	2,596.007	-5,192.014			
object	7	-5,231.582	-5,195.554	2,622.791	-5,245.582	53.568	2	0

Reduced Model: logLeg logCtFm InstarNumber 1 NestID

Instar age against full model. - p < 0.001 SIGNIFICANT ***

	Df	AIC	BIC	logLik	deviance	Chisq	Chi Df	Pr(>Chisq)
..1	4	-894.656	-874.069	451.328	-902.656			
object	7	-5,231.582	-5,195.554	2,622.791	-5,245.582	4,342.926	3	0

Reduced Model: logLeg logCtFm 1 NestID

NestSize against full model. - p < 0.001 SIGNIFICANT ***

	Df	AIC	BIC	logLik	deviance	Chisq	Chi Df	Pr(>Chisq)
..1	5	-5,197.949	-5,172.215	2,603.974	-5,207.949			
object	7	-5,231.582	-5,195.554	2,622.791	-5,245.582	37.633	2	0

Reduced Model: logLeg InstarNumber 1 NestID logCtFm InstarNumber

Testing Individual Instars

As the three way interaction is significant testing instar individually

note: pops up saying 'refitting model(s) with ML (instead of REML)' but if make anova refit = FALSE results don't make sense

Adult * SIGNIFICANT *

	Df	AIC	BIC	logLik	deviance	Chisq	Chi Df	Pr(>Chisq)
..1	3	-1496.660	-1484.952	751.3299	-1502.660	NA	NA	NA
object	4	-1503.201	-1487.591	755.6007	-1511.201	8.541558	1	0.0034713

Sub2 * SIGNIFICANT *

	Df	AIC	BIC	logLik	deviance	Chisq	Chi Df	Pr(>Chisq)
..1	3	-1228.262	-1217.709	617.1308	-1234.262	NA	NA	NA
object	4	-1236.919	-1222.849	622.4595	-1244.919	10.65751	1	0.0010962

Sub1 not significant

	Df	AIC	BIC	logLik	deviance	Chisq	Chi Df	Pr(>Chisq)
..1	3	-1112.989	-1102.042	559.4943	-1118.989	NA	NA	NA
object	4	-1111.281	-1096.685	559.6407	-1119.281	0.2927162	1	0.5884852

Juv4 not significant

	Df	AIC	BIC	logLik	deviance	Chisq	Chi Df	Pr(>Chisq)
..1	3	-922.1183	-911.7652	464.0592	-928.1183	NA	NA	NA
object	4	-921.5977	-907.7935	464.7988	-929.5977	1.47934	1	0.2238779

AdMale * SIGNIFICANT *

	Df	AIC	BIC	logLik	deviance	Chisq	Chi Df	Pr(>Chisq)
..1	3	-547.1792	-539.1889	276.5896	-553.1792	NA	NA	NA
object	4	-556.9807	-546.3269	282.4903	-564.9807	11.80143	1	0.0005919

SubMale * SIGNIFICANT *

	Df	AIC	BIC	logLik	deviance	Chisq	Chi Df	Pr(>Chisq)
..1	3	-125.0124	-120.6152	65.50620	-131.0124	NA	NA	NA
object	4	-129.7154	-123.8524	68.85769	-137.7154	6.702965	1	0.0096253