# Variance in Leg vs Nest Size Instar As Number

### Ruth Sharpe

Run on 20 September, 2016

### AIC Values of all possible models with instar included and sample size as weight

Rows removed with 2 or fewer data points

[1] "Using a standardized sample size as weight in model"


AIC_Diff	AIC	model	num.predictors
)	-119.6	$relativeVar \sim logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex + logCtFm:InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2) + (1 NestID)$	8
)	-119.6	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + (1 NestID)	8
0.08	-119.5	relative Var ~ $\log$ CtFm + $\log$ CtFm:InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2) + (1 NestID)	6
).13	-119.5	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + logCtFm:InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1 NestID)	8
).14	-119.5	$relativeVar \sim logCtFm + InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2) + (1 NestID)$	8
).15	-119.5	$relativeVar \sim logCtFm + InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2) + (1 NestID)$	7
).18	-119.5	relativeVar $\sim \log \text{CtFm} + \text{InstarNumber} + \log \text{CtFm:InstarNumber} + I(\text{InstarNumber}^2):\text{InstarSex:logCtFm} + (1 \text{NestID})$	8
0.19	-119.4	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + logCtFm:InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1 NestID)	7
).29	-119.3	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1 NestID)	8
1.17	-118.5	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + logCtFm:InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + (1 NestID)	7
1.22	-118.4	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + logCtFm:InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarNumber^2) + (1 NestID)	7
1.88	-117.8	$relativeVar \sim logCtFm + InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber + (1 NestID)$	6
1.88	-117.8	$relativeVar \sim logCtFm + logCtFm:InstarNumber + (1 NestID)$	5
2	-117.6	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex + logCtFm:InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2) + (1 NestID)	9
2	-117.6	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber:InstarSex + logCtFm:InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2) + (1 NestID)	9
2	-117.6	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex + logCtFm:InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + (1 NestID)	9
2	-117.6	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + (1 NestID)	9
2.06	-117.6	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1 NestID)	9
2.06	-117.6	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1 NestID)	9
2.06	-117.6	$relativeVar \sim logCtFm + logCtFm:InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1 NestID)$	9


AIC_Diff	AIC	model	num.predictors
2.09	-117.5	$relativeVar \sim logCtFm + logCtFm:InstarNumber +$	9
		$logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2) +$	
		$I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1 NestID)$	
.1	-117.5	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber +	9
		$I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1 NestID)$	
.13	-117.5	$relativeVar \sim logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex +$	9
		logCtFm:InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm +	
		(1 NestID)	
.14	-117.5	$relativeVar \sim logCtFm + InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber +$	9
		$\log CtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex +$	
		$I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1 NestID)$	
.16	-117.5	$relativeVar \sim logCtFm + logCtFm:InstarNumber +$	8
		$\log CtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex +$	
		$I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1 NestID)$	
.41	-117.2	$relativeVar \sim logCtFm + InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2) + \\$	6
		(1 NestID)	
.47	-117.2	$relativeVar \sim logCtFm + InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2) +$	7
		$I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + (1 NestID)$	_
.48	-117.2	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex +	7
		$I(InstarNumber^2) + (1 NestID)$	
.17	-116.5	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + logCtFm:InstarNumber +	8
		logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2) +	
0.4	110.0	I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + (1 NestID)	-
.34	-116.3	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex +	7
0.7	110 0	logCtFm:InstarNumber + (1 NestID)	77
.37	-116.3	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber +	7
.43	-116.2	logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + (1 NestID)	6
.40	-110.2	$relativeVar \sim logCtFm + logCtFm:InstarNumber + \\ logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + (1 NestID)$	U
.98	-115.7	relativeVar $\sim \log \text{CtFm} + \text{InstarNumber} + \log \text{CtFm:InstarNumber} +$	10
.90	-110.7	I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex +	10
		I(InstarNumber 2) + I(InstarNumber 2).InstarSex + I(InstarNumber 2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1 NestID)	
.98	-115.7	relativeVar $\sim \log \text{CtFm} + \text{InstarNumber} + \text{InstarNumber}: \text{InstarSex} +$	10
.00	-110.1	logCtFm:InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2) +	10
		I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1 NestID)	
	-115.6	$ \begin{array}{c} \text{relativeVar} \sim \log \text{CtFm} + \text{InstarNumber} + \text{InstarNumber:InstarSex} + \\ \end{array} $	10
		logCtFm:InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex +	
		$I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + (1 NestID)$	
.03	-115.6	$relativeVar \sim logCtFm + logCtFm:InstarNumber +$	10
		logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2) +	-
		I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm +	
		(1 NestID)	
.05	-115.6	$relative Var \sim logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber: InstarSex +$	10
		I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex +	
		$I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1 NestID)$	
.05	-115.6	$relativeVar \sim logCtFm + InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber +$	10
		$logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2) +$	
		$I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1 NestID)$	
.11	-115.5	$relativeVar \sim logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex + \\$	10
		logCtFm:InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex +	
		$I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1 NestID)$	
24	-115.4	$relativeVar \sim logCtFm + InstarNumber + (1 NestID)$	5
45	-115.2	$relativeVar \sim logCtFm + I(InstarNumber^2) +$	7
		I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1 NestID)	_
.47	-115.2	$relativeVar \sim logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex +$	8
		$I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + (1 NestID)$	
.51	-115.1	$relativeVar \sim logCtFm + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + I(Inst$	6
		(1 NestID)	
.03	-114.6	$relativeVar \sim logCtFm + I(InstarNumber^2) + (1 NestID)$	5

AIC_Diff	AIC	model	num.predictors
5.34	-114.3	$relativeVar \sim logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex + \\ logCtFm:InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + \\ (1 NestID)$	8
5.82	-113.8	$relativeVar \sim logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex + (1 NestID)$	6
5.94	-113.7	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1 NestID)	11
5.97	-113.7	$\label{eq:control_control_control} relativeVar \sim logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex + logCtFm:InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1 NestID)$	11
5.98	-113.7	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex + logCtFm:InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1 NestID)	11
3.11	-113.5	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1 NestID)	7
6.4	-113.2	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1 NestID)	8
3.69	-112.9	relativeVar $\sim \log \text{CtFm} + I(\text{InstarNumber}^2) + I(\text{InstarNumber}^2):\text{InstarSex} + (1 \text{NestID})$	6
7.59	-112	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex + logCtFm:InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1 NestID)	12
8.08	-111.5	$relativeVar \sim logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1 NestID)$	8

### Checking full model fit

relativeVar = logCtFm + InstarAge + InstarAge:InstarSex + logCtFm:InstarAge + sqr(InstarAge) + (1|Nest)





Theoretical Quantiles


Fitted


## Graphs

### Leg Variance against nest size

note: blue line just lm model

Note: If line on graph is blue R could not plot the lmer, plotting a simple lm instead





### Statistics using model with the almost lowest AIC as full model

Full Model: relativeVar  $\sim \log CtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex + \log CtFm:InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2) + (1 | NestID)$ 

Table 2: Anova of full model alone

	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	NumDF	DenDF	F.value	Pr(>F)
$\log \mathrm{CtFm}$	0.0003	0.0003	1	83.496	2.774	0.100
InstarNumber	0.0003	0.0003	1	71.335	3.152	0.080
I(InstarNumber^2)	0.001	0.001	1	71.252	5.531	0.021
InstarNumber:InstarSex	0.0002	0.0002	1	67.506	2.175	0.145
$\log CtFm: Instar Number$	0.0005	0.0005	1	74.651	4.661	0.034

Testing Individual Variables by preforming an Anova of full vs reduced model

Table 3: Testing NestSize against full model. - p < 0.05 SIGNIFICANT \*

	Df	AIC	BIC	logLik	deviance	Chisq	Chi Df	Pr(>Chisq)
1	6	-116.838	-102.182	64.419	-128.838			
object	8	-119.633	-100.092	67.817	-135.633	6.796	2	0.033

Reduced Model: relativeVar = InstarAge + sqr(InstarAge) + (1|Nest) + InstarAge:InstarSex

Table 4: Testing Instar age against full model. - p < 0.01 SIGNIFICANT \*\*

	Df	AIC	BIC	logLik	deviance	Chisq	Chi Df	Pr(>Chisq)
1	4	-111.393	-101.622	59.696	-119.393			
object	8	-119.633	-100.092	67.817	-135.633	16.241	4	0.003

Reduced Model: relativeVar = logCtFm + (1|Nest)

#### Testing individual instar numbers

Table 5: Adult - age 7 not significant

Res.Df	RSS	Df	Sum of Sq	F	Pr(>F)
17	0.0091402	NA	NA	NA	NA
18	0.0115183	-1	-0.0023781	4.423007	0.0506554

Table 6: Sub2 and Adult Males - age 6 not significant

Res.Df	RSS	Df	Sum of Sq	F	Pr(>F)
28	0.0059976	NA	NA	NA	NA
29	0.0064443	-1	-0.0004467	2.085398	0.1598108

Table 7: Sub1 and sub males- age 5 not significant

Res.Df	RSS	Df	Sum of Sq	F	Pr(>F)
20	0.0131899	NA	NA	NA	NA
21	0.0132233	-1	-3.35e-05	0.0507286	0.8240857

Table 8: Juv<br/>4 - age 4 not significant

Res.Df	RSS	Df	Sum of Sq	$\mathbf{F}$	$\Pr(>F)$
12	0.0177973	NA	NA	NA	NA
13	0.0179137	-1	-0.0001164	0.0785021	0.7841092