Variance in Leg vs Nest Size Instar As Number

Ruth Sharpe

Run on 12 September, 2016

AIC Values of all possible models with instar included and sample size as weight

Rows removed with 2 or fewer data points

[1] "Using a standardized sample size as weight in model"


AIC_Diff	AIC	model	num.predictors
)	-119.6 relative Var ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSe logCtFm:InstarNumber + I (InstarNumber^2) + (1 NestID)		8
	-119.6	$relativeVar \sim logCtFm + InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + (1 NestID)$	8
.08	-119.5	relative Var ~ \log CtFm + \log CtFm:InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2) + (1 NestID)	6
.13	-119.5	$relativeVar \sim logCtFm + logCtFm:InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1 NestID)$	8
.14	-119.5	$relativeVar \sim logCtFm + InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2) + (1 NestID)$	8
.15	-119.5	$relativeVar \sim logCtFm + InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber + \\ I(InstarNumber^2) + (1 NestID)$	7
.18	-119.5	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1 NestID)	8
.19	-119.4	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + logCtFm:InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1 NestID)	7
.29	-119.3	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1 NestID)	8
17	-118.5	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + logCtFm:InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + (1 NestID)	7
.22	-118.4	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + logCtFm:InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2) + (1 NestID)	7
.88	-117.8	relativeVar $\sim \log CtFm + InstarNumber + \log CtFm:InstarNumber + (1 NestID)$	6
.88	-117.8	relativeVar $\sim \log CtFm + \log CtFm:InstarNumber + (1 NestID)$	5
}	-117.6	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex + logCtFm:InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2) + (1 NestID)	9
2	-117.6	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber:InstarSex + 9 logCtFm:InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2) + (1 NestID)	
2	-117.6	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex + logCtFm:InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + (1 NestID)	9
	-117.6	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + (1 NestID)	9
2.06	-117.6	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1 NestID)	9
2.06	-117.6	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1 NestID)	9
2.06	-117.6	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + logCtFm:InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1 NestID)	9


AIC_Diff	AIC	model	num.predictors
.09	-117.5	$relativeVar \sim logCtFm + logCtFm:InstarNumber +$	9
		$logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2) +$	
		$I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1 NestID)$	
1	-117.5	$relativeVar \sim logCtFm + InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber +$	9
		I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1 NestID)	
13	-117.5	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex +	9
		logCtFm:InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm +	
		(1 NestID)	
.14	-117.5	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber +	9
		logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex +	
1.0	1177	I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1 NestID)	0
.16	-117.5	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + logCtFm:InstarNumber +	8
		logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex +	
41	117.0	I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1 NestID)	C
41	-117.2	relativeVar $\sim \log \text{CtFm} + \text{InstarNumber} + \text{I}(\text{InstarNumber}^2) + (1 \text{NextID})$	6
47	117.0	(1 NestID)	7
.47	-117.2	relativeVar $\sim \log \text{CtFm} + \text{InstarNumber} + \text{I}(\text{InstarNumber}^2) + \text{I}(\text{InstarNumber}^2)$	7
10	117.0	I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + (1 NestID)	7
48	-117.2	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex + I(InstarNumbor^2) + (1 NestID)	7
17	-116.5	I(InstarNumber^2) + (1 NestID)	Q
11	-110.0	$relativeVar \sim logCtFm + logCtFm:InstarNumber + \\ logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2) + \\$	8
		I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + (I NestID)	
.34	-116.3	relativeVar $\sim \log CtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex +$	7
94	-110.5	logCtFm:InstarNumber + (1 NestID)	•
37	-116.3	$relativeVar \sim logCtFm + InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber +$	7
01	-110.5	logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + (1 NestID)	•
43	-116.2	relativeVar $\sim \log \text{CtFm} + \log \text{CtFm}: \text{InstarNumber} +$	6
10	-110.2	logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + (1 NestID)	O .
98	-115.7	relativeVar $\sim \log \text{CtFm} + \text{InstarNumber} + \log \text{CtFm:InstarNumber} +$	10
.00	110.1	I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex +	10
		I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1 NestID)	
.98	-115.7	relativeVar $\sim \log CtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex +$	10
	110	logCtFm:InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2) +	10
		I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1 NestID)	
	-115.6	$relativeVar \sim logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex +$	10
		logCtFm:InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex +	-
		$I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + (1 NestID)$	
03	-115.6	$relativeVar \sim logCtFm + logCtFm:InstarNumber +$	10
		logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2) +	
		I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm +	
		(1 NestID)	
05	-115.6	$relative Var \sim logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber: InstarSex +$	10
		$I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex +$	
		$I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1 NestID)$	
05	-115.6	$relativeVar \sim logCtFm + InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber +$	10
		$logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2) +$	
		$I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1 NestID)$	
11	-115.5	$relativeVar \sim logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex +$	10
		logCtFm:InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex +	
2.4	. موري	I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1 NestID)	_
24	-115.4	relativeVar $\sim \log \text{CtFm} + \text{InstarNumber} + (1 \text{NestID})$	5
45	-115.2	relativeVar $\sim \log \text{CtFm} + I(\text{InstarNumber}^2) + I(\text{InstarNumber}^2)$	7
4=		I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1 NestID)	
47	-115.2	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex +	8
F-1	4454	I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + (1 NestID)	C.
51	-115.1	$relativeVar \sim logCtFm + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + I(Inst$	6
0.0	4410	(1 NestID)	-
03	-114.6	$relativeVar \sim logCtFm + I(InstarNumber^2) + (1 NestID)$	5

AIC_Diff	AIC	model	num.predictors
5.34	-114.3	$relativeVar \sim logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex + \\ logCtFm:InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + \\ (1 NestID)$	8
5.82	-113.8	relative Var $\sim \log \text{CtFm} + \text{InstarNumber} + \text{InstarNumber} \cdot \text{InstarSex} + (1 \text{NestID})$	6
5.94	-113.7	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1 NestID)	11
5.97	-113.7	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex + logCtFm:InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1 NestID)	11
5.98	-113.7	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex + logCtFm:InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1 NestID)	11
3.11	-113.5	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1 NestID)	7
5.4	-113.2	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1 NestID)	8
6.69	-112.9	relativeVar $\sim \log CtFm + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + (1 NestID)$	6
7.59	-112	relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex + logCtFm:InstarNumber + logCtFm:InstarNumber:InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2) + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1 NestID)	12
8.08	-111.5	$relativeVar \sim logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex + I(InstarNumber^2):InstarSex:logCtFm + (1 NestID)$	8

Checking full model fit

[1] "relativeVar ~ logCtFm + InstarNumber + InstarNumber:InstarSex + logCtFm:InstarNumber + I(InstarNumber^2) +






Theoretical Quantiles

Fitted

Graph

note: blue line just lm model

Note: If line on graph is blue R could not plot the lmer, plotting a simple lm instead



Statistics using model with the almost lowest AIC as full model

 $Full\ Model:\ relative Var \sim log CtFm + Instar Number + Instar Number: Instar Sex + log CtFm: Instar Number + I(Instar Number^2) + (1 \mid NestID)$

Table 2: Anova of full model alone

	$\operatorname{Sum}\operatorname{Sq}$	Mean Sq	NumDF	DenDF	F.value	Pr(>F)
$\log \mathrm{CtFm}$	0.0003	0.0003	1	83.496	2.774	0.100
InstarNumber	0.0003	0.0003	1	71.335	3.152	0.080
I(InstarNumber^2)	0.001	0.001	1	71.252	5.531	0.021
InstarNumber:InstarSex	0.0002	0.0002	1	67.506	2.175	0.145
$\log CtFm: Instar Number$	0.0005	0.0005	1	74.651	4.661	0.034

Testing Individual Variables by preforming an Anova of full vs reduced model

Table 3: Testing NestSize against full model. - p < 0.05 SIGNIFICANT *

	Df	AIC	BIC	logLik	deviance	Chisq	Chi Df	Pr(>Chisq)
1	6	-116.838	-102.182	64.419	-128.838			
object	8	-119.633	-100.092	67.817	-135.633	6.796	2	0.033

Reduced Model: relative Var Instar
Number I Instar Number 21 NestID Instar Number Instar
Sex

Graph of leg variance against instar

