



**QUANTITATIVE MANAGEMENT MODELLING FINAL
EXAMINATION – OPTIMIZATION MODEL**

A PROJECT REPORT

Submitted by

GANESH KAMASANI

RUTHVICK BULAGAKULA

BHARGAVI KUNDRAPU

MASTER OF SCIENCE

In

BUSINESS ANALYTICS

KENT STATE UNIVERSITY,

KENT - 44240

DECEMBER 2023

INDEX

Sno.	CONTENT	PAGE.NO
1	ABSTRACT	3
2	GOAL OF THE PROJECT	4
3	DATA AND VARIABLES	4
4	DATA COLLECTION PROCESS	5
5	MODEL BUILDING	6
6	APPROACH TO SOLVE LP MODEL	8
5	FINDINGS	10
6	CONCLUSION	11
7	REFERENCE	12
8	R CODE	13

ABSTRACT

In order to increase the likelihood of a class project succeeding, the mathematical optimization of group formation is the main focus of this assignment. In this scenario, fifteen students are divided into five groups of three people each. Three project success factors—Accuracy, Analysis, and Presentation—are identified to improve the model's realism. Data for these factors are generated using R's randomization function, and the gathered information is noted for additional examination.

A non-negativity component, constraints, and an objective function are included in the formulation of the mathematical model. Taking into account the given parameters, the objective function seeks to maximize the groups' overall success. In addition to the non-negativity components preventing decision variable values from being negative, constraints guarantee that each group consists of exactly three people.

R is then used to solve the model, and the output contains decision variables and the values of the objective function. The conclusions drawn from the findings offer important details about the ideal group makeup for project success. This research advances our knowledge of mathematical modeling in the context of real-world situations and provides educators and organizations with useful information to improve group dynamics and project outcomes.

GOAL OF THE PROJECT

The main objective of the project is to maximize each group's chance of success by optimizing the formation of student groups for a class project. This entails developing a mathematical model that considers pertinent elements like presentation, analysis, and accuracy. The main goal is to create a methodical, data-driven approach to group formation that improves communication, makes use of each student's unique strengths, and ultimately helps the class project succeed. The project aims to give educators and organizations that engage in team-based activities insights into the optimal group composition through the application of mathematical optimization techniques, thereby enabling informed decision-making.

DATA AND VARIABLES

The following are the crucial elements, which were chosen by doing survey:

Accuracy

- Accuracy scores are given to students; they range from 0 to 100.

Reason for Choosing Accuracy:

- Ensures correctness of information.
- Avoids errors and misinformation.
- Establishes reliability and trustworthiness.

Analysis

- Analysis ratings to students range from 0 to 100.

Reason for Choosing Analysis:

- Facilitates informed decision-making.
- Adds depth and insight to the project.

- Demonstrates critical thinking and expertise.

Presentation

- Presentation scores are assigned to students, and they range from 0 to 100.

Reason for Choosing Presentation:

- Enhances communication of ideas.
- Improves readability and accessibility.
- Reflects professionalism and credibility.

DATA COLLECTION PROCESS

We have determined that accuracy, analysis, and presentation are the three main factors that have a big impact on how well groups complete the project. To get the best results possible on the class project, each of these elements is essential.

defining the decision variables that stand in for the students' group assignments. Establish binary variables (0 or 1) for every student that represent their affiliation with a particular group.

We used the randomization functions provided by R to create realistic data for the identified factors. We used the following randomization procedures for every student:

Accuracy: Random Accuracy scores representing the student's performance on tasks related to accuracy were generated, ranging from 33 to 98.

Analysis: Based on a random assignment of ratings ranging from 35 to 95, each student's work experience was rated according to how relevant it was to the project.

Presentation: Presentation scores ranging from 22 to 85 were assigned at random, signifying the participants commitment to the project and their attendance.

The created dataset gives a thorough picture of each student's abilities and contributions to the project by including data on their accuracy, analysis, and presentation scores. Our mathematical optimization model is built upon this dataset.

Student	Accuracy	Analysis	Presentation	Total
1	88	70	35	64
2	58	58	38	51
3	85	92	25	67
4	63	89	28	60
5	43	57	24	41
6	91	61	26	59
7	98	35	44	59
8	58	95	22	58
9	33	75	43	50
10	74	91	48	71
11	90	72	27	63
12	45	68	49	54
13	60	65	80	68
14	55	80	85	73
15	75	95	69	80

MODEL BUILDING

Model building in the context of the assignment is developing a mathematical optimization model that aids in group formation to increase each group's likelihood of success on a class project. Let's divide the process of creating a model into its constituent parts:

1. Decision variables:

S_{ij} for student and g_{ij} for group.

2. Objective Function:

Increase each group's total probability of success. The three factors that have been identified (Accuracy, Analysis, Performance) can be used to formulate the objective function. These factors will be referred to as F1, F2, and F3.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{15} \sum_{j=1}^5 (F_{1i} + F_{2i} + F_{3i}) / 3 * S_{ij}, \text{ where } i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots, 15 \text{ and } j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$$

3. Constraints:

- Every student has a specific group assigned to them.

$$\sum_{j=1}^5 s_{ij} = 1, \forall_i$$

- There should be exactly three people in each group.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{15} g_{ij} = 3, \forall_j$$

- Constraints on decision variables that are not negativity:

$$s_{ij} \geq 0, \forall_i, j$$

These constraints guarantee that there is only one group for each student, that group sizes are three, and that the choice variables are binary.

- Factor 1:

Accuracy

$$\sum_{i=1}^{15} Accuracy_i * Avg(Accuracy), \text{ where } i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots, 15 \text{ and } j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \forall j, i$$

- Factor 2:

Analysis

$$\sum_{i=1}^{15} Analysis_i * Avg(Analysis), \text{ where } i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots, 15 \text{ and } j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \forall j, i$$

- Factor 3:

Performance

$$\sum_{i=1}^{15} Performance_i * Avg(Performance), \text{ where } i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots, 15 \text{ and } j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \forall j, i$$

APPROACH TO SOLVE LP MODEL

1. Select an Optimization Solver:

In R, select the suitable optimization solver. In addition to making sure the selected solver can handle binary variables and linear programming, we have included well-known libraries like lpSolve.

2. Define Decision Variables and Objective Function:

Defining the decision variables in R and creating the objective function according to the factors (accuracy, analysis, performance) that have been found to affect group success. combining these factors in a linear fashion to express the objective function.

3. Formulate Constraints:

converting the specifications into mathematical limitations. ensuring that there is only one group for each student, that group consists of three people, and that the decision variables are binary.

4. Implement the Model:

Using the selected solver, we have written the R code to implement the optimization model. establishing the decision variables, objective function, and constraints by using the solver's functions.

5. Solve the Model:

To determine the best option that maximizes the objective function, use the solver. To get the best result, the solver will modify how students are assigned to groups.

6. Interpret the Results:

Obtaining and interpreting the solver's results; determining which students are placed in each group; and evaluating each group's overall success score in light of the objective function.

7. Sensitivity Analysis:

Sensitivity analysis is a useful tool for determining how modifications to the constraints or input data will impact the solution. This stage sheds light on how reliable the solution is.

FINDINGS

FINDINGS:

Assigning students to different groups based on the constraints.

Specifically:

Students 13, 14, and 15 are in Group 1.

Students 1, 4, and 10 are in Group 2.

Students 3, 6, and 11 are in Group 3.

Students 2, 8, and 12 are in Group 4.

Students 5, 7, and 9 are in Group 5.

Accuracy

Group 1 190

Group 2 225

Group 3 266

Group 4 161

Group 5 174

Group 3 appears to have the highest percentage, while Groups 2, 1, 5, and 4 are in the rear.

In conclusion, based on their corresponding percentages of accuracy, Group 3 contributes the most, Group 1 the least, and the other groups fall in between.

Analysis

Group 1 240

Group 2 250

Group 3 225

Group 4 221

Group 5 167

Group 2 appears to have the highest percentage, while Groups 1, 3, and 5 are in the rear.

In conclusion, based on their respective percentages of analysis, Group 2 contributes the most, Group 5 the least, and the other groups fall in between.

Performance

Group 1 234

Group 2 111

Group 3 78

Group 4 109

Group 5 111

Group 1 appears to have the highest percentage, while Groups 2, 5, 4, and 3 are in the rear.

In conclusion, based on their respective performance percentages, Group 1 contributes the most, Group 3 the least, and the other groups fall in between.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, a thorough examination of critical metrics, including accuracy, analysis, and percentage, reveals Group 1 as an outstanding performer across all dimensions. Group 1 has a well-balanced and exemplary profile, boasting the highest accuracy rates, superior analysis outcomes, and leading percentages. Group 5, on the other hand, consistently lags behind in these metrics, with the fewest meeting hours, less experience, and a lower GPA.

While Group 1 stands out as a standout performer on its own, it is critical to recognize that the overall success of the project is dependent on a holistic evaluation of diverse skills and capabilities within each group. Effective teamwork, adaptability to project requirements, and a collaborative approach will be critical for all groups' collective success. The strategic assignment of students to different groups, based on their previously identified strengths and capabilities, aims to maximize the chances of success for each group involved in the class project.

REFERENCE

<https://scholarspace.manoa.hawaii.edu/items/589d21cf-4da4-4e28-8535-f7e01d9fa1ee>

Final Project

Setting default values to get a clean output

```
knitr::opts_chunk$set(message = FALSE)
knitr::opts_chunk$set(warning = FALSE)
```

Loading the required packages

```
library(lpSolve)
library(lpSolveAPI)
library(knitr)
library(dplyr)
```

Reason for Choosing Accuracy, Analysis, Presentation

Accuracy:

Ensures correctness of information.

Avoids errors and misinformation.

Establishes reliability and trustworthiness.

Analysis:

Facilitates informed decision-making.

Adds depth and insight to the project.

Demonstrates critical thinking and expertise.

Presentation:

Enhances communication of ideas.

Improves readability and accessibility.

Reflects professionalism and credibility.

Data generation process:

Conducted a survey among 15 students to assess three key factors influencing group success—communication skills, time management, and leadership qualities.

Findings revealed insights into the perceived impact of Accuracy, Analysis, Presentation factors on group success, contributing to a comprehensive report with potential recommendations for enhancing group collaboration and effectiveness.

All three plays important role in total

$$Total = (Accuracy + Analysis + Presentation)/3$$

Loading the table of students along with the factors and their values

```
stu_table <- matrix(c(1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,
                      88,58,85,63,43,91,98,58,33,74,90,45,60,55,75,
                      70,58,92,89,57,61,35,95,75,91,72,68,65,80,95,
                      35,38,25,28,24,26,44,22,43,48,27,49,80,85,69,
                      64,51,67,60,41,59,59,58,50,71,63,54,68,73,80),ncol = 5,byrow = F)

colnames(stu_table) <-
c("Student","Accuracy","Analysis","Presentation","Total")

print(stu_table)
```

##	Student	Accuracy	Analysis	Presentation	Total	
##	[1,]	1	88	70	35	64
##	[2,]	2	58	58	38	51
##	[3,]	3	85	92	25	67
##	[4,]	4	63	89	28	60
##	[5,]	5	43	57	24	41
##	[6,]	6	91	61	26	59
##	[7,]	7	98	35	44	59
##	[8,]	8	58	95	22	58
##	[9,]	9	33	75	43	50
##	[10,]	10	74	91	48	71
##	[11,]	11	90	72	27	63
##	[12,]	12	45	68	49	54
##	[13,]	13	60	65	80	68
##	[14,]	14	55	80	85	73
##	[15,]	15	75	95	69	80

Objective Function:

Constraints:

We can see that there are a total of 15 Students in this Class Group, who are going to work on a class project by combining into a group of 3 each.

The Three Factors that are used to assess a individual student's performance are "Accuracy" (1), "Analysis" (2), "Presentation" (3).

Accuracy, Analysis, and Presentation were weighed between 1 and 100 based on Survey.

Total is defined as weights of each of the factors for an individual student,

F_{ij} where i = Students ranging from 1 – 15 and j the Factors ranging from 1 – 3

Accuracy Constraints

There are total five constraints for Accuracy

$$F1Group_1 = 88s_1g_1 + 58s_2g_1 + 85s_3g_1 + 63s_4g_1 + 43s_5g_1 + 91s_6g_1 + 98s_7g_1 + 58s_8g_1 + 33s_9g_1 + 74s_{10}g_1 + 90s_{11}g_1 + 45s_{12}g_1 + 60s_{13}g_1 + 55s_{14}g_1 + 75s_{15}g_1 \geq 68$$

$$F1Group_2 = 88s_1g_2 + 58s_2g_2 + 85s_3g_2 + 63s_4g_2 + 43s_5g_2 + 91s_6g_2 + 98s_7g_2 + 58s_8g_2 + 33s_9g_2 + 74s_{10}g_2 + 90s_{11}g_2 + 45s_{12}g_2 + 60s_{13}g_2 + 55s_{14}g_2 + 75s_{15}g_2 \geq 68$$

$$F1Group_3 = 88s_1g_3 + 58s_2g_3 + 85s_3g_3 + 63s_4g_3 + 43s_5g_3 + 91s_6g_3 + 98s_7g_3 + 58s_8g_3 + 33s_9g_3 + 74s_{10}g_3 + 90s_{11}g_3 + 45s_{12}g_3 + 60s_{13}g_3 + 55s_{14}g_3 + 75s_{15}g_3 \geq 68$$

$$F1Group_4 = 88s_1g_4 + 58s_2g_4 + 85s_3g_4 + 63s_4g_4 + 43s_5g_4 + 91s_6g_4 + 98s_7g_4 + 58s_8g_4 + 33s_9g_4 + 74s_{10}g_4 + 90s_{11}g_4 + 45s_{12}g_4 + 60s_{13}g_4 + 55s_{14}g_4 + 75s_{15}g_4 \geq 68$$

$$F1Group_5 = 88s_1g_5 + 58s_2g_5 + 85s_3g_5 + 63s_4g_5 + 43s_5g_5 + 91s_6g_5 + 98s_7g_5 + 58s_8g_5 + 33s_9g_5 + 74s_{10}g_5 + 90s_{11}g_5 + 45s_{12}g_5 + 60s_{13}g_5 + 55s_{14}g_5 + 75s_{15}g_5 \geq 68$$

Analysis Constraints

There are total five constraints for Analysis

$$F2Group_1 = 70s_1g_1 + 58s_2g_1 + 92s_3g_1 + 89s_4g_1 + 57s_5g_1 + 61s_6g_1 + 35s_7g_1 + 95s_8g_1 + 75s_9g_1 + 91s_{10}g_1 + 72s_{11}g_1 + 68s_{12}g_1 + 65s_{13}g_1 + 80s_{14}g_1 + 95s_{15}g_1 \geq 74$$

$$F2Group_2 = 70s_1g_2 + 58s_2g_2 + 92s_3g_2 + 89s_4g_2 + 57s_5g_2 + 61s_6g_2 + 35s_7g_2 + 95s_8g_2 + 75s_9g_2 + 91s_{10}g_2 + 72s_{11}g_2 + 68s_{12}g_2 + 65s_{13}g_2 + 80s_{14}g_2 + 95s_{15}g_2 \geq 74$$

$$F2Group_3 = 70s_1g_3 + 58s_2g_3 + 92s_3g_3 + 89s_4g_3 + 57s_5g_3 + 61s_6g_3 + 35s_7g_3 + 95s_8g_3 + 75s_9g_3 + 91s_{10}g_3 + 72s_{11}g_3 + 68s_{12}g_3 + 65s_{13}g_3 + 80s_{14}g_3 + 95s_{15}g_3 \geq 74$$

$$F2Group_4 = 70s_1g_4 + 58s_2g_4 + 92s_3g_4 + 89s_4g_4 + 57s_5g_4 + 61s_6g_4 + 35s_7g_4 + 95s_8g_4 + 75s_9g_4 + 91s_{10}g_4 + 72s_{11}g_4 + 68s_{12}g_4 + 65s_{13}g_4 + 80s_{14}g_4 + 95s_{15}g_4 \geq 74$$

$$F2Group_5 = 70s_1g_5 + 58s_2g_5 + 92s_3g_5 + 89s_4g_5 + 57s_5g_5 + 61s_6g_5 + 35s_7g_5 + 95s_8g_5 + 75s_9g_5 + 91s_{10}g_5 + 72s_{11}g_5 + 68s_{12}g_5 + 65s_{13}g_5 + 80s_{14}g_5 + 95s_{15}g_5 \geq 74$$

Presentation Constraints

There are total five constraints for Performance

$$F3Group_1 = 35s_1g_1 + 38s_2g_1 + 25s_3g_1 + 28s_4g_1 + 24s_5g_1 + 26s_6g_1 + 44s_7g_1 + 22s_8g_1 + 43s_9g_1 + 48s_{10}g_1 + 27s_{11}g_1 + 49s_{12}g_1 + 80s_{13}g_1 + 85s_{14}g_1 + 69s_{15}g_1 \geq 43$$

$$F3Group_2 = 35s_1g_2 + 38s_2g_2 + 25s_3g_2 + 28s_4g_2 + 24s_5g_2 + 26s_6g_2 + 44s_7g_2 + 22s_8g_2 + 43s_9g_2 + 48s_{10}g_2 + 27s_{11}g_2 + 49s_{12}g_2 + 80s_{13}g_2 + 85s_{14}g_2 + 69s_{15}g_2 \geq 43$$

$$F3Group_3 = 35s_1g_3 + 38s_2g_3 + 25s_3g_3 + 28s_4g_3 + 24s_5g_3 + 26s_6g_3 + 44s_7g_3 + 22s_8g_3 + 43s_9g_3 + 48s_{10}g_3 + 27s_{11}g_3 + 49s_{12}g_3 + 80s_{13}g_3 + 85s_{14}g_3 + 69s_{15}g_3 \geq 43$$

$$F3Group_4 = 35s_1g_4 + 38s_2g_4 + 25s_3g_4 + 28s_4g_4 + 24s_5g_4 + 26s_6g_4 + 44s_7g_4 + 22s_8g_4 + 43s_9g_4 + 48s_{10}g_4 + 27s_{11}g_4 + 49s_{12}g_4 + 80s_{13}g_4 + 85s_{14}g_4 + 69s_{15}g_4 \geq 43$$

$$F3Group_5 = 35s_1g_5 + 38s_2g_5 + 25s_3g_5 + 28s_4g_5 + 24s_5g_5 + 26s_6g_5 + 44s_7g_5 + 22s_8g_5 + 43s_9g_5 + 48s_{10}g_5 + 27s_{11}g_5 + 49s_{12}g_5 + 80s_{13}g_5 + 85s_{14}g_5 + 69s_{15}g_5 \geq 43$$

Student Constraints:

There are total twelve constraints for Students

$$Student_1: s_1g_1 + s_1g_2 + s_1g_3 + s_1g_4 + s_1g_5 = 1$$

$$Student_2: s_2g_1 + s_2g_2 + s_2g_3 + s_2g_4 + s_2g_5 = 1$$

$$Student_3: s_3g_1 + s_3g_2 + s_3g_3 + s_3g_4 + s_3g_5 = 1$$

$$Student_4: s_4g_1 + s_4g_2 + s_4g_3 + s_4g_4 + s_4g_5 = 1$$

$$Student_5: s_5g_1 + s_5g_2 + s_5g_3 + s_5g_4 + s_5g_5 = 1$$

$$Student_6: s_6g_1 + s_6g_2 + s_6g_3 + s_6g_4 + s_6g_5 = 1$$

$$Student_7: s_7g_1 + s_7g_2 + s_7g_3 + s_7g_4 + s_7g_5 = 1$$

$$Student_8: s_8g_1 + s_8g_2 + s_8g_3 + s_8g_4 + s_8g_5 = 1$$

$$Student_9: s_9g_1 + s_9g_2 + s_9g_3 + s_9g_4 + s_9g_5 = 1$$

$$Student_{10}: s_{10}g_1 + s_{10}g_2 + s_{10}g_3 + s_{10}g_4 + s_{10}g_5 = 1$$

$$Student_{11}: s_{11}g_1 + s_{11}g_2 + s_{11}g_3 + s_{11}g_4 + s_{11}g_5 = 1$$

$$Student_{12}: s_{12}g_1 + s_{12}g_2 + s_{12}g_3 + s_{12}g_4 + s_{12}g_5 = 1$$

$$Student_{13}: s_{13}g_1 + s_{13}g_2 + s_{13}g_3 + s_{13}g_4 + s_{13}g_5 = 1$$

$$Student_{14}: s_{14}g_1 + s_{14}g_2 + s_{14}g_3 + s_{14}g_4 + s_{14}g_5 = 1$$

$$Student_{15}: s_{15}g_1 + s_{15}g_2 + s_{15}g_3 + s_{15}g_4 + s_{15}g_5 = 1$$

Group Constraints

There are total five constraints for Group

$$\text{Group}_1: g_1s_1 + g_1s_2 + g_1s_3 + g_1s_4 + g_1s_5 + g_1s_6 + g_1s_7 + g_1s_8 + g_1s_9 + g_1s_{10} + g_1s_{11} \\ + g_1s_{12} + g_1s_{13} + g_1s_{14} + g_1s_{15} = 3$$

$$\text{Group}_2: g_2s_1 + g_2s_2 + g_2s_3 + g_2s_4 + g_2s_5 + g_2s_6 + g_2s_7 + g_2s_8 + g_2s_9 + g_2s_{10} + g_2s_{11} \\ + g_2s_{12} + g_2s_{13} + g_2s_{14} + g_2s_{15} = 3$$

$$\text{Group}_3: g_3s_1 + g_3s_2 + g_3s_3 + g_3s_4 + g_3s_5 + g_3s_6 + g_3s_7 + g_3s_8 + g_3s_9 + g_3s_{10} + g_3s_{11} \\ + g_3s_{12} + g_3s_{13} + g_3s_{14} + g_3s_{15} = 3$$

$$\text{Group}_4: g_4s_1 + g_4s_2 + g_4s_3 + g_4s_4 + g_4s_5 + g_4s_6 + g_4s_7 + g_4s_8 + g_4s_9 + g_4s_{10} + g_4s_{11} \\ + g_4s_{12} + g_4s_{13} + g_4s_{14} + g_4s_{15} = 3$$

$$\text{Group}_5: g_5s_1 + g_5s_2 + g_5s_3 + g_5s_4 + g_5s_5 + g_5s_6 + g_5s_7 + g_5s_8 + g_5s_9 + g_5s_{10} + g_5s_{11} \\ + g_5s_{12} + g_5s_{13} + g_5s_{14} + g_5s_{15} = 3$$

Non Negative Constraints

$$\sum_{i=1}^{15} s_i \sum_{j=1}^5 g_j \geq 0, \quad i = 1 - 15, \quad j = 1 - 5$$

The Objective function is defined as

Max: $W_{ij} \cdot X_{ij}$ where W_{ij} is the weight of the factors to each student where $i = 1 - 15$ and $j = 1 - 3$ and X_{ij} is the assignment of each student to a group where $i = \text{Students}$ ranging from 1 – 15 and j is the Groups ranging from 1 – 4

$$\begin{aligned} \text{MaxZ} \\ = & 64s_1g_1 + 51s_2g_1 + 67s_3g_1 + 60s_4g_1 + 41s_5g_1 + 59s_6g_1 + 59s_7g_1 + 58s_8g_1 + 50s_9g_1 \\ & + 71s_{10}g_1 + 63s_{11}g_1 + 54s_{12}g_1 + 68s_{13}g_1 + 73s_{14}g_1 + 80s_{15}g_1 + 64s_1g_2 + 51s_2g_2 \\ & + 67s_3g_2 + 60s_4g_2 + 41s_5g_2 + 59s_6g_2 + 59s_7g_2 + 58s_8g_2 + 50s_9g_2 + 71s_{10}g_2 + 63s_{11}g_2 \\ & + 54s_{12}g_2 + 68s_{13}g_2 + 73s_{14}g_2 + 80s_{15}g_2 + 64s_1g_3 + 51s_2g_3 + 67s_3g_3 + 60s_4g_3 \\ & + 41s_5g_3 + 59s_6g_3 + 59s_7g_3 + 58s_8g_3 + 50s_9g_3 + 71s_{10}g_3 + 63s_{11}g_3 + 54s_{12}g_3 \\ & + 68s_{13}g_3 + 73s_{14}g_3 + 80s_{15}g_3 + 64s_1g_4 + 51s_2g_4 + 67s_3g_4 + 60s_4g_4 + 41s_5g_4 + 59s_6g_4 \\ & + 59s_7g_4 + 58s_8g_4 + 50s_9g_4 + 71s_{10}g_4 + 63s_{11}g_4 + 54s_{12}g_4 + 68s_{13}g_4 + 73s_{14}g_4 \\ & + 80s_{15}g_4 + 64s_1g_5 + 51s_2g_5 + 67s_3g_5 + 60s_4g_5 + 41s_5g_5 + 59s_6g_5 + 59s_7g_5 + 58s_8g_5 \\ & + 50s_9g_5 + 71s_{10}g_5 + 63s_{11}g_5 + 54s_{12}g_5 + 68s_{13}g_5 + 73s_{14}g_5 + 80s_{15}g_5 \end{aligned}$$

Solve Problem R Code

Loading required libraries

```
library(lpSolve)
```

```
library(lpSolveAPI)
```

Solving the LP File

```
solveAP<-make.lp(35,75)
```

```
solveAP
```

```
## Model name:
```

```
## a linear program with 75 decision variables and 35 constraints
```

Since the solution function resulted as 0, this indicates that there exists a solution for this LP Formulation.

Getting the resultant objective function value

```
set.objfn(solveAP, c(64,51,67,60,41,59,59,58,50,71,63,54,68,73,80,  
64,51,67,60,41,59,59,58,50,71,63,54,68,73,80,  
64,51,67,60,41,59,59,58,50,71,63,54,68,73,80,  
64,51,67,60,41,59,59,58,50,71,63,54,68,73,80,  
64,51,67,60,41,59,59,58,50,71,63,54,68,73,80))
```

```
lp.control(solveAP, sense = 'max')
```

```
## $anti.degen
```

```
## [1] "none"
```

```
##
```

```
## $basis.crash
```

```
## [1] "none"
```

```
##
```

```
## $bb.depthlimit
```

```
## [1] -50
```

```
##
```

```
## $bb.floorfirst
```

```
## [1] "automatic"
```

```
##
```

```
## $bb.rule
```

```
## [1] "pseudononint" "greedy" "dynamic" "rcostfixing"
```

```
##
```

```
## $break.at.first
```

```
## [1] FALSE
```

```
##
```

```
## $break.at.value
```

```
## [1] 1e+30
```

```
##
```

```
## $epsilon
```

```
##      epsb      epsd      epsel      epsint  epsperturb  epspivot  
##      1e-10      1e-09      1e-12      1e-07      1e-05      2e-07
```

```
##
```

```
## $improve
```

```
## [1] "dualfeas" "thetagap"
```

```
##
```

```
## $infinite
```

```
## [1] 1e+30
```

```
##
```



```
set.row(solveAP, 15, c(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,  
0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,  
70,58,92,89,57,61,35,95,75,91,72,68,65,80,95)) # Factor 5 as Accuracy
```

[illegible]

```
set.row(solveAP,17,C(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,35,38,25,28,24,26,44,22,43  
 ,48,27,49,80,85,69,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,  
 0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0)) # Factor 2 as Presentation
```

```
set.row(solveAP, 18, c(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,  
0,0,35,38,25,28,24,26,44,22,43,48,27,49,80,85,69,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,  
0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0)) # Factor 3 as Presentation
```

[illegible]

```
set.row(solveAP, 20, c(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0,  
0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0,  
35, 38, 25, 28, 24, 26, 44, 22, 43, 48, 27, 49, 80, 85, 69)) # Factor 5 as Presentation
```

```
set.row(solveAP, 21, c(1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,  
0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,  
0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0)) # Student 1
```

```
set.row(solveAP, 22, c(0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0,  
0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0,  
0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)) # Student 2
```

```
set.row(solveAP, 23, c(0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,  
0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,  
0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0)) # Student 3
```

```
set.row(solveAP,24,c(0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,  
0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0  
,0,0,0,0,0,0,0)) # Student 4
```

[illegible][illegible]

```
set.row(solveAP, 27, c(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0,
```

```
0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1
,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0)) # Student 7
```

```
set.row(solveAP,28,c(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,  
0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,  
1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0)) # Student 8
```

```
set.row(solveAP, 29, c(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,  
0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,  
0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0)) # Student 9
```

[illegible]

```
set.row(solveAP,31,c(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,  
0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,  
0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0)) # Student 11
```

[illegible][illegible][illegible]

```
set.row(solveAP, 35, c(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,  
0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,  
0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1)) # Student 15
```

Setting the RHS values for the constraints in LP problem.

```
rhs<-  
c(3,3,3,3,3,68,68,68,68,68,74,74,74,74,74,43,43,43,43,43,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,  
1,1,1,1,1)
```

```
set.rhs(solveAP,rhs)
```

Setting the directions(Types) and bounds to the LP problem.

```
set.constr.type(solveAP,c("=", "=", "=", "=", "=", ">=", ">=", ">=", ">=", ">=",  
">=", ">=", ">=", ">=", ">=", ">=", ">=", ">=", ">=",  
">=", "=", "=", "=", "=", "=", "=", "=", "=", "=", "=", "=", "=", "  
set.bounds(solveAP,lower = rep(0,75))
```

Convert the results into Integer

```
set.type(solveAP, 1:75 ,type = c("integer"))
```

```
solveAP
```

```
## Model name:
```

```
## a linear program with 75 decision variables and 35 constraints
```

Solving the Lp problem using the constraints and objective function.

```
solve(solveAP)
```

```
## [1] 0
```

Getting the value of objective function.

```
get.objective(solveAP)
```

```
## [1] 918
```

Getting the values of decision variables.

```
var<- get.variables(solveAP)
```

```
var
```

```
## [1] 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0  
1 0 0  
## [39] 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0  
0 0
```

Getting the values of constraints.

```
get.constraints(solveAP)
```

```
## [1] 3 3 3 3 3 190 225 266 161 174 240 250 225 221 167 234 111  
78 109  
## [20] 111 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
```

FINDINGS

Assigning students to different groups based on the constraints.

Students 13, 14, and 15 are in Group 1.

Students 1, 4, and 10 are in Group 2.

Students 3, 6, and 11 are in Group 3.

Students 2, 8, and 12 are in Group 4.

Students 5, 7, and 9 are in Group 15.