Machine Learning Foundations HW 3

R09946006 | 何青儒 | HO, Ching-Ru | Nov 18th, 2020

1. $[\mathbf{b}] N = 30$

- Substitute $\sigma=0.1$ and d=11 into $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[E_{in}(\mathbf{w}_{lin})]=\sigma^2\left(1-rac{d+1}{N}
 ight)$.
- We can get $0.06 \geq (0.1)^2 imes \left(1 rac{11+1}{N}\right)$, making the smallest N = 30.
- 2. [a] There exists at least one solution for the normal equation.
 - If X^TX is invertible, which means that $(X^TX)^{-1}$ exists, we can get an unique solution easily.
 - If X^TX is singular, which means that $(X^TX)^{-1}$ doesn't exists, we can only solve this problem by using psuedo-inverse term. There can exist many solution (non-unique), but we can usually find "one" solution by some mathematic tools or programming.
- 3. [c] multiplying each of the n-th row of X by $\frac{1}{n}$ (which is equivalent to scaling the n-th example by $\frac{1}{n}$)
 - Consider 3 conditions:
 - (1) multiply a matrix A on the left side of X (means AX).
 - (2) multiply a matrix B on the right side of X (means XB).
 - (3) multiply a scalar c on the left side of X (means cX).
 - (1) if new X become AX, we can get new $H^* = AX((AX)^T AX)^{-1}(AX)^T$, changed.

$$= \mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}\mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{A}^T$$

$$= \mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^{-1} \mathbf{A}^{-1} (\mathbf{A}^T)^{-1} (\mathbf{X}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{A}^T$$

$$\neq \mathbf{H}$$

• (2) if new X become XB, we can get new $H^* = XB((XB)^TXB)^{-1}(XB)^T$

$$= XB(B^{T}X^{T}XB)^{-1}B^{T}X^{T}$$

$$= X(BB^{-1})X^{-1}(X^{T})^{-1}((B^{T})^{-1}B^{T})X^{T}$$

$$= XX^{-1}(X^{T})^{-1}X^{T}$$

$$= X(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}$$

$$= H$$

unchanged.

• (3) if new X become cX, we can get new $H^* = cX((cX)^T cX)^{-1}(cX)^T$, unchanged.

$$\begin{split} &= cX(cX^TcX)^{-1}cX^T \\ &= c^2X(c^2X^TX)^{-1}X^T \\ &= c^2\frac{1}{c^2}X(X^TX)^{-1}X^T \\ &= X(X^TX)^{-1}X^T \\ &= H \end{split}$$

- [a] equivalent to the third condition cX where c = 2, making $H^* = H$, so H is unchanged.
- $\bullet \ \ [\mathbf{b}] \ \text{equivalent to the second condition XB where } \mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \cdots & & 0 \\ & 2 & & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & & \cdots & & i \end{bmatrix}, \ \text{making } \mathbf{H}^* = \mathbf{H},$
 - so H is unchanged.
- $[\mathbf{c}]$ equivalent to the second condition AX where $\mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ 2 & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & i \end{bmatrix}$, making $\mathbf{H}^* \neq \mathbf{H}$, so \mathbf{H} is changed.

• [d] equivalent to the second condition XB where $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ & 2 & & \\ & & & \\ 1 & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & & \cdots & i \end{bmatrix}$, the first column

n-th row becomes 1 when random chosen n-th one, making $H^* = H$, so H is unchanged.

- 4. [**e**] 4
 - $f(y) = \begin{cases} \theta, & y_n = 1 \\ 1 \theta, & y_n = 0 \end{cases}$, we can see $\nu = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N y_n$ as E_{out} due to ν is the expected value of sample space, and see θ as E_{in} due to $E_{in}(\theta) = \mathbb{P}(\text{Head}) \times 1 + \mathbb{P}(\text{Tail}) \times 0 = \theta$ theoretically.
 - The first statement is true due to Hoeffding's inequality we've seen before.
 - The second statement is true due to the definition of likelihood method.
 - The third statement is true due to the definition of E_{in} we've seen before.
 - The forth statement is true because we can get the gradient $(-\nabla E_{in} = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} y_n = 2\nu)$ by the third statement.

5.
$$\left[\mathbf{a}\right] \left(\frac{1}{\hat{\theta}}\right)^N$$

- $Y \sim \mathrm{Uni}(0, heta)$, the probability dense function (pdf) is $f(y| heta) = \left\{egin{array}{l} rac{1}{ heta}, & y \in [0, heta] \ 0, & \mathrm{otherwise} \end{array}
 ight.$
- $\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \prod_y f(y|\theta) = (\frac{1}{\theta})(\frac{1}{\theta})\cdots(\frac{1}{\theta}) = \left(\frac{1}{\theta}\right)^N$, since $\hat{\theta} \ge \max(y_1,y_2,\cdots,y_N)$, ensure $\hat{\theta}$ is the biggest θ . Thus, the likelihood of an uniform distribution is $\left(\frac{1}{\hat{\theta}}\right)^N$.
- 6. [b] $\operatorname{err}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}, y) = \max(0, -y\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x})$
 - Consider when $y_n = 1$:
 - When $\operatorname{sign}(\mathbf{w}_t^T \mathbf{x}_n) = 1$ (classification), then $(y_n \mathbf{w}_t^T \mathbf{x}_n) > 1$, $\operatorname{err}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}, y) = 0$
 - When $\operatorname{sign}(\mathbf{w}_t^T \mathbf{x}_n) = -1$ (misclassification), then $(y_n \mathbf{w}_t^T \mathbf{x}_n) < 1$, $\operatorname{err}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}, y) = -y \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}$
 - Consider when $y_n = -1$:
 - When $sign(\mathbf{w}_t^T \mathbf{x}_n) = 1$ (misclassification), then $(y_n \mathbf{w}_t^T \mathbf{x}_n) < 1$, $err(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}, y) = -y \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}$
 - When sign($\mathbf{w}_t^T \mathbf{x}_n$) = -1 (classification), then $(y_n \mathbf{w}_t^T \mathbf{x}_n) > 1$, err($\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}, y$) = 0
 - Thus, $\operatorname{err}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}, y) = \max(0, -y\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x})$
- 7. $[\mathbf{a}] + y_n \mathbf{x}_n \exp(-y_n \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_n)$
 - From $\nabla \text{err}_{exp}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}, y) = \left(\frac{\partial \text{err}_{exp}}{\partial \mathbf{w}}\right) = \left(\frac{\partial \exp(-y_n \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_n)}{\partial \mathbf{w}}\right) = -y_n \mathbf{x}_n \exp(-y_n \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_n)$
 - We can get $-\nabla \text{err}_{exp}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}, y) = y_n \mathbf{x}_n \exp(-y_n \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_n)$
- 8. $[\mathbf{b}] (\mathbf{A}_E(\mathbf{u}))^{-1} \mathbf{b}_E(\mathbf{u})$

$$E(\mathbf{w}) \simeq E(\mathbf{u}) + \mathbf{b}_E(\mathbf{u})^T(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{u}) + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{u})^T \mathbf{A}_E(\mathbf{u})(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{u})$$

$$= E(\mathbf{u}) + \mathbf{b}_E(\mathbf{u})^T(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{u}) + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{A}_E(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{w} - 2\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{A}_E(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{A}_E(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{u})$$

- Try to minimized: $\frac{\partial E(\mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}} = 0 + \mathbf{b}_E(\mathbf{u}) + \frac{2}{2}\mathbf{A}_E(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{w} \frac{2}{2}\mathbf{A}_E(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{u} = 0$
- We can get $\mathbf{b}_E(\mathbf{u}) + \mathbf{A}_E(\mathbf{u})(\mathbf{w} \mathbf{u}) = 0$, $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{u} (\mathbf{A}_E(\mathbf{u}))^{-1}\mathbf{b}_E(\mathbf{u})$, and finally get $\mathbf{v} = -(\mathbf{A}_E(\mathbf{u}))^{-1}\mathbf{b}_E(\mathbf{u})$.
- 9. $[\mathbf{b}] \frac{2}{N} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}$
 - From linear regression's $E_{in}(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{N} ||\mathbf{X}\mathbf{w} \mathbf{y}||^2 = \frac{1}{N} (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{w} 2 \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{y})$
 - Since $\mathbf{b}_E(\mathbf{w}) = \nabla E_{in}(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{2}{N} (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{w} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y})$
 - Thus $A_E(\mathbf{w}) = \nabla^2 E_{in}(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{\partial \mathbf{b}_E(\mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}} = \frac{2}{N} (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})$
 - For any given $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}_t$, the result holds.
- 10. [b] $(h_k(\mathbf{x}) [y = k])x_i$

$$\bullet \frac{\frac{\partial \operatorname{err}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{x}, y)}{\partial \mathbf{W}_{ik}} = \frac{\partial (-\ln h_{y}(\mathbf{x}))}{\partial \mathbf{W}_{ik}} = \frac{\partial \left(-\sum_{k=1}^{K} [y=k] \ln h_{k}(\mathbf{x})\right)}{\partial \mathbf{W}_{ik}} = \frac{-[y=k] \cdot \left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} \partial \ln h_{k}(\mathbf{x})\right)}{\partial \mathbf{W}_{ik}}$$

$$= \frac{-[y=k] \cdot \left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} \partial (\ln \exp(\mathbf{w}_{y}^{T}\mathbf{x}) - \ln \sum_{i=1}^{N} \exp(\mathbf{w}_{i}^{T}\mathbf{x})\right)}{\partial \mathbf{W}_{ik}} = \frac{-[y=k] \cdot \left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} \partial (\ln \exp(\mathbf{w}_{y}^{T}\mathbf{x}) - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \ln \exp(\mathbf{w}_{i}^{T}\mathbf{x})\right)}{\partial \mathbf{W}_{ik}}$$

$$= -\left(\left[y=k\right] - \left(\frac{\exp(\mathbf{w}_{y}^{T}\mathbf{x})}{\sum_{i=1}^{K} \exp(\mathbf{w}_{i}^{T}\mathbf{x})}\right)\right) x_{i} = -\left(\left[y=k\right] - h_{k}(\mathbf{x})\right) x_{i} = (h_{k}(\mathbf{x}) - [y=k])x_{i}$$

$$11. \ [\mathbf{e}] \ \mathbf{w}_{2}^{*} - \mathbf{w}_{1}^{*}$$

- Since K=2, there are only two classes, turning the problem become binary classification, $y\in\{0,1\}$. Noticed that $\theta(s)=\frac{1}{1+\exp(-s)}$ is sigmoid function in below.
- $\begin{aligned} & \text{ While } y_n = 1, y_n^{'} = 2y_n 3 = -1, \text{ making} \\ & h_1(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{P}(\text{classification} = -1 | \, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) = \frac{\exp(\mathbf{w}_y^T \mathbf{x})}{\sum_{i=1}^K \exp(\mathbf{w}_i^T \mathbf{x})} \\ & \frac{K=2}{\exp(\mathbf{w}_1^{*T} \mathbf{x}) + \exp(\mathbf{w}_1^{*T} \mathbf{x})} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp((\mathbf{w}_2^{*T} \mathbf{w}_1^{*T}) \cdot \mathbf{x})} = \theta(-\mathbf{w}_y^T \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{w}_y^T \mathbf{x})} \end{aligned}$
- $\begin{aligned} & \text{o While } y_n = 2, y_n^{'} = 2y_n 3 = 1, \text{making} \\ & h_2(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{P}(\text{classification} = 1 | \, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) = \frac{\exp(\mathbf{w}_y^T \mathbf{x})}{\sum_{i=1}^K \exp(\mathbf{w}_i^T \mathbf{x})} \\ & \stackrel{K=2}{=\!=\!=\!=} \frac{\exp(\mathbf{w}_2^{*T} \mathbf{x})}{\exp(\mathbf{w}_1^{*T} \mathbf{x}) + \exp(\mathbf{w}_2^{*T} \mathbf{x})} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp((\mathbf{w}_1^{*T} \mathbf{w}_2^{*T}) \cdot \mathbf{x})} = \theta(\mathbf{w}_y^T \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\mathbf{w}_y^T \mathbf{x})} \end{aligned}$
- Finally, check the summation of probability, where $\mathbb{P}(\text{classification} = -1) + \mathbb{P}(\text{classification} = 1) = h_1(\mathbf{x}) + h_2(\mathbf{x}) = \theta(-\mathbf{w}_y^T\mathbf{x}) + \theta(\mathbf{w}_y^T\mathbf{x}) = 1.$
- Due to the exponential term in the denominator, $\mathbf{w}_y^T = \mathbf{w}_2^* \mathbf{w}_1^*$.
- 12. [e] [-7, 0, 0, 2, -2, 3]
 - Find the answer by the code below:

```
import numpy as np
# xi = [x1, x2, yi]
x1 = [0, 1, -1]
x2 = [1, -0.5, -1]
x3 = [-1, 0, -1]
x4 = [-1, 2, +1]
x5 = [2, 0, +1]
x6 = [1, -1.5, 1]
x7 = [0, -2, 1]
X = [x1, x2, x3, x4, x5, x6, x7]
a = [-9, -1, 0, 2, -2, 3]
b = [-5, -1, 2, 3, -7, 2]
c = [9, -1, 4, 2, -2, 3]
d = [2, 1, -4, -2, 7, -4]
e = [-7, 0, 0, 2, -2, 3]
choice = [a, b, c, d, e]
choiceName = ["a", "b", "c", "d", "e"]
def phi(x):
return [1, x[0], x[1], x[0]*x[0], x[0]*x[1], x[1]*x[1]]
print("Notaion: predict | reality | result")
print("======"")
for j in range(len(choice)):
    print("For choice", choiceName[j], ":", choice[j])
   ct = 0
    for i in range(len(X)):
        if np.sign(np.dot(chosen[j], phi(X[i]))) == X[i][2]:
            print(int(np.sign(np.dot(chosen[j], phi(X[i])))),"|", X[i][2], "| \bigcirc")
```

```
ct = ct + 1
    else:
        print( int(np.sign(np.dot(chosen[j], phi(X[i])))),"|", X[i][2],"| x")
print("============= find ", ct , "/ 7 correct in choice", choiceName[j])
```

• Output:

```
Notaion: predict | reality | result
_____
For choice a : [-9, -1, 0, 2, -2, 3]
-1 | -1 | \bigcirc
-1 | -1 | \bigcirc
-1 | -1 | \bigcirc
1 | 1 | 0
-1 | 1 | ×
1 | 1 | 0
1 | 1 | 0
======== find 6 / 7 correct in choice a
For choice b : [-5, -1, 2, 3, -7, 2]
-1 | -1 | \bigcirc
0 | -1 | ×
-1 | -1 | \bigcirc
1 | 1 | 0
1 | 1 | 0
1 | 1 | 0
-1 | 1 | ×
========= find 5 / 7 correct in choice b
For choice c : [9, -1, 4, 2, -2, 3]
1 | -1 | ×
1 | -1 | ×
1 | -1 | ×
1 | 1 | 0
1 | 1 | 0
1 | 1 | 0
1 | 1 | 0
=========== find 4 / 7 correct in choice c
For choice d : [2, 1, -4, -2, 7, -4]
-1 | -1 | \bigcirc
-1 | -1 | \bigcirc
-1 | -1 | \bigcirc
-1 | 1 | ×
-1 | 1 | ×
-1 | 1 | ×
-1 | 1 | ×
========== find 3 / 7 correct in choice d
For choice e : [-7, 0, 0, 2, -2, 3]
-1 | -1 | \bigcirc
-1 | -1 | \bigcirc
-1 | -1 | \bigcirc
1 | 1 | 0
1 | 1 | 0
1 | 1 | 0
1 | 1 | 0
========== find 7 / 7 correct in choice e
```

```
13. [b] 2(\log_2 d + 1)
```

- For any $\Phi_{(k)}(\mathbf{x}) = (1, x_k)$ is equivalent to the decision stump where $m_{\mathcal{H}}(N) = 2N$.
- If there have d transform function (here, meaning $\Phi_{(k)}(\mathbf{x})=(1,x_k)$), $m_{\mathcal{H}}(N)=2Nd$.
- ullet From the definition of d_{vc} ,

$$2^N \leq 2Nd$$

$$\Rightarrow rac{2^N}{2} \leq Nd$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \Rightarrow 2^{N-1} \leq Nd \\ \Rightarrow N-1 \leq \log_2 N + \log_2 d < \frac{N}{2} + \log_2 d \text{ (by hints, } \log_2 a < \frac{a}{2} \text{ for } N) \end{array}$$

$$\Rightarrow 2N-2 < N+2\log_2 d$$

$$\Rightarrow N < 2\log_2 d + 2 = 2\left(\log_2 d + 1\right)$$

- 14. $[\mathbf{d}]$ 0.60
- 15. [**c**] 1800
- 16. [c] 0.56
- 17. $[\mathbf{b}]$ 0.50
- 18. [a] 0.32
- 19. [**b**] 0.36
- 20. [d] 0.44