# Task 5: Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA)

**Objective:** Extract insights using visual and statistical exploration.

**Tools:** Python (Pandas, Matplotlib, Seaborn)

#### **Observations for Each Visual:**

## 1. .describe(), .info(), .value\_counts()

# • .describe() gives statistical summary:

- o Score: The mean is high, indicating strong average performance.
- o Rank: Distributed from 1 to 23 (top participants).
- SubmissionCount: Ranges from 1 to 46, with a few participants submitting more frequently.

## .info() shows:

- No missing values.
- Score is a float; other numerical fields are integers.

## • SubmissionCount.value\_counts() shows:

- Most participants submitted only once.
- A few participants submitted 13, 21, or 46 times, showing high effort or experimentation.

## 2. Pairplot: sns.pairplot(df[['Rank', 'Score', 'SubmissionCount']])

### **Observation:**

- Rank vs Score: Clear negative correlation higher score results in a better (lower) rank.
- SubmissionCount does not show strong correlation with either Score or Rank.
- Confirms that more submissions do not guarantee better performance.

### 3. Heatmap: sns.heatmap(...corr())

### Observation:

• Rank and Score have a strong negative correlation (~ -0.99), meaning Rank improves with higher Score.

- SubmissionCount shows little to no correlation with Score or Rank.
- This reinforces the insight that performance outweighs persistence.

## 4. Scatter Plot: sns.scatterplot(x='Rank', y='Score')

#### Observation:

- Clear downward slope.
- Top-ranked teams achieved very high scores.
- Indicates that Score is the main driver for leaderboard Rank.

## 5. Boxplot (Score by Submission Count): sns.boxplot(x='SubmissionCount', y='Score')

#### Observation:

- Scores vary across different submission counts.
- Participants with few submissions can score just as high as those with many.
- No consistent upward trend in score with submission frequency.
- Some outliers present in low submission groups.

# 6. Histogram of Scores: df['Score'].hist()

### Observation:

- Distribution is left-skewed, with most participants scoring near the top end.
- Indicates close competition at the top.

### 7. Single Boxplot of Score: sns.boxplot(y='Score')

### Observation:

- Narrow interquartile range most scores are close together.
- A few low-score outliers.
- Confirms a tight scoring distribution, with top scores being competitive.

## **Summary of Findings:**

1. Score is the key determinant of Rank — high scores directly lead to better rankings.

- 2. SubmissionCount does not strongly influence Score quality of submission matters more than quantity.
- 3. Most teams score quite high, as shown in the score histogram and boxplot.
- 4. There is a strong correlation between Rank and Score (negative), and weak/no correlation between SubmissionCount and performance.
- 5. Efficient teams (fewer submissions, higher scores) perform equally well or better than high-frequency submitters.
- 6. Visual analysis confirms the competition is tight at the top, with only a few underperforming teams.