Higher-Ranked Exception Types

Ruud Koot

Department of Computing and Information Sciences, Utrecht University

September 2014

Exception Types

- In Haskell "types do not lie":
- ► Functions behave as mathematical function on the domain and range given by their type
- Side-effects are made explicit by monadic types
- Exceptions that may be raised are *not* captured in the type
 - ▶ We would like them to be during program verification
- Adding exception types in Haskell are more complicated than in a strict first-order language

Exception Types in Haskell

- Exception types in Haskell can get complicated because:
 - Higher-order functions Exceptions raised by higher-order functions depend on the exceptions raised by functional arguments.
- Non-strict evaluation Exceptions are not a form of control flow, but are values that can be embedded inside other values.
- An exception-annotated type for map would be:

$$map : \forall \alpha \beta e_1 e_2 e_3 e_4.$$

$$(\alpha^{e_1} \xrightarrow{e_3} \beta^{(e_1 \cup e_2)}) \xrightarrow{\varnothing} [\alpha^{e_1}]^{e_4} \xrightarrow{\varnothing} [\beta^{(e_1 \cup e_2 \cup e_3)}]^{e_4}$$

$$map = \lambda f. \lambda xs. \mathbf{case} \ xs \mathbf{of}$$

$$[] \mapsto []$$

$$(y: ys) \mapsto f \ y: map \ f \ ys$$

Precise Exception Types

The exception type above is not a precise as we would like

map id
$$: [\alpha^{e_1}]^{e_4} \to [\alpha^{e_1}]^{e_4}$$

map $(const \perp_{\mathbf{E}}): [\alpha^{e_1}]^{e_4} \to [\beta^{(e_1 \cup \{\mathbf{E}\})}]^{e_4}$

• A more appropriate type for map ($const \perp_E$) would be:

$$map\ (const\ \bot_{\mathbf{E}}): [\alpha^{e_1}]^{e_4} \to [\beta^{\{\mathbf{E}\}}]^{e_4}$$

Exceptional elements in the input list cannot be propagated to the output.

Higher-Ranked Exception Types

- The problem is that we have already committed the first argument of map to be of type $\alpha^{e_1} \xrightarrow{e_3} \beta^{(e_1 \cup e_2)}$
- ▶ It always propagates exceptional values from the input to the output
- The solution is to move from Hindley–Milner to System F_{ω} , introducing higher-ranked exception types and exception operators

map
$$: \forall e_{2} e_{3}.(\forall e_{1}.\alpha^{e_{1}} \xrightarrow{e_{3}} \beta^{(e_{2} e_{1})})$$

 $\rightarrow (\forall e_{4} e_{5}.[\alpha^{e_{4}}]^{e_{5}} \rightarrow [\beta^{(e_{2} e_{4} \cup e_{3})}]^{e_{5}})$
 $id : \forall e.\alpha^{e} \xrightarrow{\varnothing} \alpha^{e}$
 $const \perp_{\mathbf{E}} : \forall e.\alpha^{e} \xrightarrow{\varnothing} \beta^{\{\mathbf{E}\}}$

This gives us the desired exception types:

map id
$$: \forall e_4 \ e_5 . [\alpha^{e_4}]^{e_5} \to [\alpha^{e_4}]^{e_5}$$

map $(const \perp_{\mathbf{E}}) : \forall e_4 \ e_5 . [\alpha^{e_4}]^{e_5} \to [\beta^{\{\mathbf{E}\}}]^{e_5}$

Exception Type Inference

- ► Higher-ranked exception types are syntactically heavy; we need type inference
- ► Type inference is undecidable in System F_{ω} , but exception types piggyback on an underlying type
- ► Holdermans and Hage (2010) show that type inference is decidable for a similar higher-ranked annotated type system with type operators

Work-in-Progress

Imprecise exception semantics Haskell has an imprecise exception semantics

- Needed for soundness of various program transformations in an optimizing compiler
- Not adequately captured by ACI1 constraints; attempt to unification in Boolean rings

Metatheory Is the combined rewrite system of STLC and BR still confluent and normalizing?

- Needed for decidable exception type equality
- Hope to use a general result by Breazu-Tannen