Type-based Exception Analysis

for Non-strict Higher-order Functional Languages with Imprecise Exception Semantics

Ruud Koot Jurriaan Hage

Department of Information and Computing Sciences
Utrecht University

January 14, 2015

Motivation

- “Well-typed programs do not go wrong”

Motivation

- "Well-typed programs do not go wrong"
- Except:
  - $divideByZero\ x = x\ /\ 0$
  - $head\ (x :: xs) = x$
  - ...
- Practical programming languages allow functions to be *partial*.

Motivation

- Requiring all functions to be total may be undesirable.
  - Dependent types are heavy-weight.
  - Running everything in the *Maybe* monad does not solve the problem, only moves it.
  - Some partial functions are *benign*.
- We do want to warn the programmer something may go wrong at run-time.

Motivation

- Currently compilers do a local and syntactic analysis.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathit{head} : [\alpha] \to \alpha \\
&\mathit{head}\ xs = \mathbf{case}\ xs\ \mathbf{of}\ \{ (y :: ys) \to y \}
\end{aligned}
$$

Motivation

- Currently compilers do a local and syntactic analysis.

  $head : [\alpha] \to \alpha$
  $head\ xs = \mathbf{case}\ xs\ \mathbf{of}\ \{(y :: ys) \to y\}$

- "The problem is in *head* and *every* place you call it!"

  $main = head\ [1,2,3]$

- Worse are non-escaping local definitions.

Motivation

- The canonical example by Mitchell & Runciman (2008):

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathit{risers} :: \mathit{Ord}\ \alpha \Rightarrow [\alpha] \to [[\alpha]] \\
&\mathit{risers}\ [\,] \qquad\qquad\quad = [\,] \\
&\mathit{risers}\ [x] \qquad\qquad\quad = [[x]] \\
&\mathit{risers}\ (x_1 : x_2 : xs) = \\
&\quad \textbf{if}\ x_1 \leqslant x_2\ \textbf{then}\ (x_1 : y) : ys\ \textbf{else}\ [x_1] : (y : ys) \\
&\qquad \textbf{where}\ (y : ys) = \mathit{risers}\ (x_2 : xs)
\end{aligned}
$$