

Type-based Program Analysis

IPA Spring Days 2013

Ruud Koot
Utrecht University
`r.koot@uu.nl`

May 13, 2012

Definitions

Syntax

$$\begin{aligned}
 e \quad ::= & \quad x \quad | \quad \lambda x. e \quad | \quad e_1 e_2 \\
 & \quad | \quad (e_1, e_2) \quad | \quad \mathbf{true} \quad | \quad \mathbf{false} \\
 & \quad | \quad \mathbf{if} \ e_1 \ \mathbf{then} \ e_2 \ \mathbf{else} \ e_3
 \end{aligned}$$

Types

$$\tau \quad ::= \quad \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \quad | \quad \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \quad | \quad \mathbf{bool}$$

Typing rules

$$\frac{}{\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash x : \tau} \text{ [T-Var]}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash e : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. e : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2} \text{ [T-Abs]}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \ e_2 : \tau_1} \text{ [T-App]}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash (e_1, e_2) : \tau_1 \times \tau_2} \text{ [T-Pair]}$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{true} : \mathbf{bool}} \text{ [T-True]}$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{false} : \mathbf{bool}} \text{ [T-False]}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \mathbf{bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e_3 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{if} \ e_1 \ \mathbf{then} \ e_2 \ \mathbf{else} \ e_3 : \tau} \text{ [T-If]}$$

Typing derivation

Example

$$(\lambda x_1. \lambda x_2. x_1 \ x_2) (\lambda x_3. x_3) \text{ true}$$

Derivation

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{x_1 : \text{bool} \rightarrow \text{bool}, \dots \vdash x_1 : \text{bool} \rightarrow \text{bool} \quad \dots, x_2 : \text{bool} \vdash x_2 : \text{bool}}{x_1 : \text{bool} \rightarrow \text{bool}, x_2 : \text{bool} \vdash x_1 \ x_2 : \text{bool}} \\
 \frac{x_1 : \text{bool} \rightarrow \text{bool} \vdash \lambda x_2. x_1 \ x_2 : \text{bool} \rightarrow \text{bool}}{\vdash \lambda x_1. \lambda x_2. x_1 \ x_2 : (\text{bool} \rightarrow \text{bool}) \rightarrow \text{bool} \rightarrow \text{bool}} \quad \frac{x_3 : \text{bool} \vdash x_3 : \text{bool}}{\vdash \lambda x_3. x_3 : \text{bool} \rightarrow \text{bool}} \\
 \hline
 \vdash (\lambda x_1. \lambda x_2. x_1 \ x_2) (\lambda x_3. x_3) : \text{bool} \rightarrow \text{bool} \quad \vdash \text{true} : \text{bool} \\
 \hline
 \vdash (\lambda x_1. \lambda x_2. x_1 \ x_2) (\lambda x_3. x_3) \text{ true} : \text{bool}
 \end{array}$$

Inference Algorithm W (Damas–Hindley–Milner)

Control-flow analysis

Problem Software analysis tools often require access to a *control flow graph*:

- For *first-order languages* it can be extracted syntactically.
- *Higher-order languages* require semantic methods.

Analysis Solve the *dynamic dispatch problem*. I.e., for a given program e , determine for all variables x_i in e to which abstractions they can be bound at run-time.

Example 1

Example

$(\lambda x_1. \lambda x_2. x_1 \ x_2) (\lambda x_3. x_3) \text{ true}$

Solution

$x_1 \mapsto \{x_3\}$

$x_2 \mapsto \emptyset$

$x_3 \mapsto \emptyset$

Example 2

Example

$$(\lambda x_1. \lambda x_2. x_1 \ x_2) \ (\lambda x_3. x_3) \ (\lambda x_4. x_4)$$

Solution

$$x_1 \mapsto \{x_3\}$$
$$x_2 \mapsto \{x_4\}$$
$$x_3 \mapsto \{x_4\}$$
$$x_4 \mapsto \emptyset$$

Annotated types

Annotations

$$\varphi \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{Var})$$

Types

$$\hat{\tau} ::= \hat{\tau}_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi} \hat{\tau}_2 \quad | \quad \hat{\tau}_1 \times \hat{\tau}_2 \quad | \quad \mathbf{bool}$$

Type system (1st attempt)

$$\overline{\Gamma, x : \hat{\tau} \vdash x : \hat{\tau}} \text{ [CF-Var]} \qquad \frac{\Gamma, x : \hat{\tau}_1 \vdash e : \hat{\tau}_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. e : \hat{\tau}_1 \xrightarrow{\{x\}} \hat{\tau}_2} \text{ [CF-Abs]}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \hat{\tau}_2 \xrightarrow{\varphi} \hat{\tau}_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \hat{\tau}_2}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \ e_2 : \hat{\tau}_1} \text{ [CF-App]}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \hat{\tau}_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \hat{\tau}_2}{\Gamma \vdash (e_1, e_2) : \hat{\tau}_1 \times \hat{\tau}_2} \text{ [CF-Pair]}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{true} : \mathbf{bool}} \text{ [CF-True]}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{false} : \mathbf{bool}} \text{ [CF-False]}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \mathbf{bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \hat{\tau} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_3 : \hat{\tau}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{if} \ e_1 \ \mathbf{then} \ e_2 \ \mathbf{else} \ e_3 : \hat{\tau}} \text{ [CF-If]}$$

Typing derivation

Example

$$(\lambda x_1. \lambda x_2. x_1 \ x_2) \ (\lambda x_3. x_3) \ (\lambda x_4. x_4)$$

Derivation

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \vdots \\
 \hline
 x_1 : \left(\hat{\tau} \xrightarrow{x_4} \hat{\tau} \right) \xrightarrow{x_3} \hat{\tau} \xrightarrow{x_4} \hat{\tau}, x_2 : \hat{\tau} \xrightarrow{x_4} \hat{\tau} \vdash x_1 \ x_2 : \hat{\tau} \\
 \hline
 x_1 : \left(\hat{\tau} \xrightarrow{x_4} \hat{\tau} \right) \xrightarrow{x_3} \hat{\tau} \xrightarrow{x_4} \hat{\tau} \vdash \lambda x_2. x_1 \ x_2 : \hat{\tau} \rightarrow \hat{\tau} \\
 \hline
 \vdash \lambda x_1. \lambda x_2. x_1 \ x_2 : \dots
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{c}
 \hline
 x_3 : \hat{\tau} \xrightarrow{x_4} \hat{\tau} \vdash x_3 : \hat{\tau} \xrightarrow{x_4} \hat{\tau} \\
 \hline
 \vdash \lambda x_3. x_3 : \left(\hat{\tau} \xrightarrow{x_4} \hat{\tau} \right) \xrightarrow{x_3} \hat{\tau} \xrightarrow{x_4} \hat{\tau}
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{c}
 \hline
 x_4 : \hat{\tau} \vdash x_4 : \hat{\tau} \\
 \hline
 \vdash \lambda x_4. x_4 : \hat{\tau} \xrightarrow{x_4} \hat{\tau}
 \end{array}$$

$$\hline
 \vdash (\lambda x_1. \lambda x_2. x_1 \ x_2) \ (\lambda x_3. x_3) : \left(\hat{\tau} \xrightarrow{x_4} \hat{\tau} \right) \xrightarrow{x_3} \hat{\tau} \xrightarrow{x_4} \hat{\tau}$$

$$\hline
 \vdash (\lambda x_1. \lambda x_2. x_1 \ x_2) \ (\lambda x_3. x_3) \ (\lambda x_4. x_4) : \hat{\tau} \xrightarrow{x_4} \hat{\tau}$$

Inference

- Algorithm W with unification modulo UCAI
- Two-phase constraint-based type inference

Conservative extension

- This system fails to be a *conservative extension*:

if true then $(\lambda x_1.x_1)$ else $(\lambda x_2.x_2)$

Conservative extension

- This system fails to be a *conservative extension*:

if true then $(\lambda x_1.x_1)$ **else** $(\lambda x_2.x_2)$

- Introduce *subeffecting*:

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : \hat{\tau}_1 \vdash e : \hat{\tau}_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.e : \hat{\tau}_1 \xrightarrow{\{x\} \cup \varphi} \hat{\tau}_2} \text{ [CF-Abs]}$$

Poisoning

- Subeffecting can cause *poisoning*:

```

let     $id = \lambda x_1. x_1$ 
in    ( if true then  $id$  else  $(\lambda x_2. x_2)$ ,
         if true then  $id$  else  $(\lambda x_3. x_3)$  )
  
```

- Need to assign to id the type:

$$id : \hat{\tau} \xrightarrow{\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}} \hat{\tau}$$

- Analyzed type of the whole program is:

$$\left(\hat{\tau} \xrightarrow{\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}} \hat{\tau} \right) \times \left(\hat{\tau} \xrightarrow{\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}} \hat{\tau} \right)$$

instead of:

$$\left(\hat{\tau} \xrightarrow{\{x_1, x_2\}} \hat{\tau} \right) \times \left(\hat{\tau} \xrightarrow{\{x_1, x_3\}} \hat{\tau} \right)$$

Context-sensitivity

- Introduce *subtyping*:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \mathbf{bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \hat{\tau}_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_3 : \hat{\tau}_3 \quad \hat{\tau}_2 \leq \hat{\tau} \quad \hat{\tau}_3 \leq \hat{\tau}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{if} \ e_1 \ \mathbf{then} \ e_2 \ \mathbf{else} \ e_3 : \hat{\tau}} \quad [\text{CF-If}]$$

$$\frac{\hat{\tau}'_1 \leq \hat{\tau}_1 \quad \hat{\tau}_2 \leq \hat{\tau}'_2 \quad \varphi \subseteq \varphi'}{\hat{\tau}_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi} \hat{\tau}_2 \leq \hat{\tau}'_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi'} \hat{\tau}'_2} \quad [\text{S-Arrow}]$$

Context-sensitivity

- Introduce *subtyping*:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \mathbf{bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \hat{\tau}_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_3 : \hat{\tau}_3 \quad \hat{\tau}_2 \leq \hat{\tau} \quad \hat{\tau}_3 \leq \hat{\tau}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{if} \ e_1 \ \mathbf{then} \ e_2 \ \mathbf{else} \ e_3 : \hat{\tau}} \quad [\text{CF-If}]$$

$$\frac{\hat{\tau}'_1 \leq \hat{\tau}_1 \quad \hat{\tau}_2 \leq \hat{\tau}'_2 \quad \varphi \subseteq \varphi'}{\hat{\tau}_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi} \hat{\tau}_2 \leq \hat{\tau}'_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi'} \hat{\tau}'_2} \quad [\text{S-Arrow}]$$

- Introduce *polyvariance*:

$$id : \forall \varphi : \hat{\tau} \xrightarrow{\{x_1\} \cup \varphi} \hat{\tau}$$

Exception analysis

- Accurately approximate exceptions that may be thrown at run-time
- In particular: pattern-match failures
 - Requires analyzing data flow

Example

Program

```
risers : Ord a => [a] -> [[a]]
risers []                = []
risers [x]               = [[x]]
risers (x_1 :: x_2 :: xs) = if x_1 <= x_2
                             then (x_1 :: y) :: ys
                             else [x_1] :: (y :: ys)
    where (y :: ys) = risers (x_2 :: xs)
```

REPL

```
risers [1,4,7,3,6,2]
> [[1,4,7],[3,6],[2]]
```

Type system (informally)

- The three branches can be assigned the types:

$$risers_1 : \forall \alpha. Ord \ \alpha \Rightarrow [\alpha]^N \rightarrow [[\alpha]^{N \sqcup C}]^N$$

$$risers_2 : \forall \alpha. Ord \ \alpha \Rightarrow [\alpha]^C \rightarrow [[\alpha]^{N \sqcup C}]^C$$

$$risers_3 : \forall \alpha. Ord \ \alpha \Rightarrow [\alpha]^C \rightarrow [[\alpha]^{N \sqcup C}]^C$$

- The whole function then gets type:

$$risers : \forall \alpha. Ord \ \alpha \Rightarrow [\alpha]^{N \sqcup C} \rightarrow [[\alpha]^{N \sqcup C}]^{N \sqcup C}$$

- This is not what we want!

Polyvariant recursion

- It seems polyvariance might save us:

$$risers : \forall \alpha \beta. Ord \ \alpha \Rightarrow [\alpha]^\beta \rightarrow [[\alpha]^{\mathbf{N} \sqcup \mathbf{C}}]^\beta$$

- But in Hindley–Milner **fix** is always monomorphic
- We need Milner–Mycroft's polymorphic **fix**

Aap

Aap