# Higher-ranked Exception Types

### Ruud Koot

February 27, 2015

### 1 The $\lambda^{\cup}$ -calculus

**Types** 

$$au \in \mathbf{Ty}$$
 ::=  $\mathcal{P}$  (base type) 
$$\mid \ \ \tau_1 \to \tau_2$$
 (function type)

**Terms** 

$$t \in \mathbf{Tm} \hspace{1cm} ::= \hspace{1cm} x, y, ... \hspace{1cm} \text{(variable)}$$
 
$$\mid \hspace{1cm} \lambda x : \tau.t \hspace{1cm} \text{(abstraction)}$$
 
$$\mid \hspace{1cm} t_1 \hspace{1cm} t_2 \hspace{1cm} \text{(application)}$$
 
$$\mid \hspace{1cm} \emptyset \hspace{1cm} \text{(empty)}$$
 
$$\mid \hspace{1cm} \{c\} \hspace{1cm} \text{(singleton)}$$
 
$$\mid \hspace{1cm} t_1 \cup t_2 \hspace{1cm} \text{(union)}$$

**Values** Values v are terms of the form

$$\lambda x_1 : \tau_1 \cdots \lambda x_i : \tau_i \cdot \{c_1\} \cup (\cdots \cup (\{c_i\} \cup (x_1 \ v_{11} \cdots v_{1m} \cup (\cdots \cup x_k \ v_{k1} \cdots v_{kn}))))$$

**Environments** 

$$\Gamma \in \mathbf{Env} ::= \cdot \mid \Gamma, x : \tau$$

### 1.1 Typing relation

$$\frac{\Gamma, x: \tau_1 \vdash t: \tau_2}{\Gamma, x: \tau \vdash x: \tau} \text{ [T-Var]} \quad \frac{\Gamma, x: \tau_1 \vdash t: \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x: \tau_1.t: \tau_1 \to \tau_2} \text{ [T-Abs]} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1: \tau_1 \to \tau_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2: \tau_1}{\Gamma \vdash t_1: t_2: \tau_2} \text{ [T-App]}$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \varnothing : \mathcal{P}} \text{ [T-Empty]} \quad \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \{c\} : \mathcal{P}} \text{ [T-Con]} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash t_1 \cup t_2 : \tau} \text{ [T-Union]}$$

#### 1.2 Semantics

### 1.3 Reduction relation

**Definition 1.** *Let*  $\prec$  *be a strict total order on*  $\mathbf{Con} \cup \mathbf{Var}$ , *with*  $c \prec x$  *for all*  $c \in \mathbf{Con}$  *and*  $x \in \mathbf{Var}$ .

$$(\lambda x : \tau . t_1) \ t_2 \longrightarrow [t_2/x] \ t_1 \qquad (\beta \text{-reduction})$$

$$(t_1 \cup t_2) \ t_3 \longrightarrow t_1 \ t_3 \cup t_2 \ t_3 \qquad (congruences)$$

$$(\lambda x : \tau . t_1) \cup (\lambda x : \tau . t_2) \longrightarrow \lambda x : \tau . (t_1 \cup t_2) \qquad (congruences)$$

$$x \ t_1 \cdots t_n \cup x' \ t'_1 \cdots t'_n \longrightarrow x \ (t_1 \cup t'_1) \cdots (t_n \cup t'_n) \qquad (associativity)$$

$$(t_1 \cup t_2) \cup t_3 \longrightarrow t_1 \cup (t_2 \cup t_3) \qquad (associativity)$$

$$\emptyset \cup t \longrightarrow t \qquad (unit)$$

$$t \cup \emptyset \longrightarrow t \qquad (unit)$$

$$x \cup x \longrightarrow x$$

$$x \cup (x \cup t) \longrightarrow x \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$\{c\} \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$\{c\} \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$\{c\} \cup \{c\} \cup t \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$x \ t_1 \cdots t_n \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$x \ t_1 \cdots t_n \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$x \ t_1 \cdots t_n \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$x \ t_1 \cdots t_n \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$x \ t_1 \cdots t_n \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$x \ t_1 \cdots t_n \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$x \ t_1 \cdots t_n \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$x \ t_1 \cdots t_n \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$x \ t_1 \cdots t_n \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$x \ t_1 \cdots t_n \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$x \ t_1 \cdots t_n \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$x \ t_1 \cdots t_n \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$x \ t_1 \cdots t_n \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$x \ t_1 \cdots t_n \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$x \ t_1 \cdots t_n \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$x \ t_1 \cdots t_n \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$x \ t_1 \cdots t_n \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$x \ t_1 \cdots t_n \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$x \ t_1 \cdots t_n \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$x \ t_1 \cdots t_n \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$x \ t_1 \cdots t_n \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$x \ t_1 \cdots t_n \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$x \ t_1 \cdots t_n \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup \{c\} \cup \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$x \ t_1 \cdots t_n \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup \{$$

**Conjecture 1.** *The reduction relation*  $\longrightarrow$  *preserves meaning.* 

**Conjecture 2.** The reduction relation  $\longrightarrow$  is strongly normalizing.

**Conjecture 3.** *The reduction relation*  $\longrightarrow$  *is locally confluent.* 

**Corollary 1.** *The reduction relation*  $\longrightarrow$  *is confluent.* 

Proof. Follows from SN, LC and Newman's Lemma.

**Corollary 2.** The  $\lambda^{\cup}$ -calculus has unique normal forms.

**Corollary 3.** Equality of  $\lambda^{\cup}$ -terms can be decided by normalization.

## 2 Completion

$$\kappa \in \mathbf{Kind}$$
 ::= E (exception)   
  $\mid \kappa_1 \Rightarrow \kappa_2$  (exception operator)

$$\varphi \in \mathbf{Exn} \qquad ::= e \qquad \qquad \text{(exception variables)}$$
 
$$\mid \lambda e : \kappa. \varphi \qquad \qquad \text{(exception abstraction)}$$
 
$$\widehat{\tau} \in \mathbf{ExnTy} \qquad ::= \forall e :: \kappa. \widehat{\tau} \qquad \text{(exception quantification)}$$
 
$$\mid b\widehat{\text{pool}} \qquad \qquad \text{(boolean type)}$$
 
$$\mid [\widehat{\tau}\langle \varphi \rangle] \qquad \qquad \text{(list type)}$$
 
$$\mid \widehat{\tau}_1 \langle \varphi_1 \rangle \to \widehat{\tau}_2 \langle \varphi_2 \rangle \qquad \text{(function type)}$$

The completion procedure as a set of inference rules:

$$\frac{\overline{e_i :: \kappa_i} \vdash \mathbf{bool} : b\widehat{ool} \& e \ \overline{e_i} \triangleright e :: \overline{\kappa_i} \Longrightarrow_{\mathbf{E}} \ [\text{C-Bool}]}{\frac{\overline{e_i :: \kappa_i} \vdash \tau : \widehat{\tau} \& \varphi \triangleright \overline{e_j :: \kappa_j}}{\overline{e_i :: \kappa_i} \vdash [\tau] : [\widehat{\tau} \langle \varphi \rangle] \& e \ \overline{e_i} \triangleright e :: \overline{\kappa_i} \Longrightarrow_{\mathbf{E}}, \overline{e_j} :: \kappa_j}} \ [\text{C-List}]$$

$$\frac{\vdash \tau_1 : \widehat{\tau}_1 \& \varphi_1 \triangleright \overline{e_j :: \kappa_j}}{\overline{e_i :: \kappa_i}} \ \overline{e_i :: \kappa_i}, \overline{e_j :: \kappa_j} \vdash \tau_2 : \widehat{\tau}_2 \& \varphi_2 \triangleright \overline{e_j :: \kappa_j}}{\overline{e_i :: \kappa_j}} \ [\text{C-Arr}]$$

$$\frac{\vdash \tau_1 : \widehat{\tau}_1 \& \varphi_1 \triangleright \overline{e_j :: \kappa_j}}{\overline{e_i :: \kappa_i} \vdash \tau_1 \to \tau_2 : \forall \overline{e_j :: \kappa_j}} (\widehat{\tau}_1 \langle \varphi_1 \rangle \to \widehat{\tau}_2 \langle \varphi_2 \rangle) \& e \ \overline{e_i} \triangleright e :: \overline{\kappa_j} \Longrightarrow_{\mathbf{E}}, \overline{e_k :: \kappa_k}} \ [\text{C-Arr}]$$

Figure 1: Type completion  $(\Gamma \vdash \tau : \hat{\tau} \& \varphi \triangleright \Gamma')$ 

The completion procedure as an algorithm:

complete :: 
$$\mathbf{Env} \times \mathbf{Ty} \to \mathbf{ExnTy} \times \mathbf{Exn} \times \mathbf{Env}$$
  
complete  $\overline{e_i :: \kappa_i} \ \mathbf{bool} =$   
**let**  $e$  be fresh  
**in**  $\langle \widehat{\mathbf{bool}}; e \ \overline{e_i}; e :: \overline{\kappa_i} \Rightarrow \mathbf{E} \rangle$ 

### 3 Type system

### 3.1 Terms

$$t \in \mathbf{Tm} \qquad ::= \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{(term variable)}$$

$$\mid \quad c_{\tau} \qquad \qquad \text{(term constant)}$$

$$\mid \quad \lambda x : \tau.t \qquad \qquad \text{(term abstraction)}$$

$$\mid \quad t_1 \ t_2 \qquad \qquad \text{(term application)}$$

$$\mid \quad t_1 \oplus t_2 \qquad \qquad \text{(operator)}$$

$$\mid \quad \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 \qquad \qquad \text{(conditional)}$$

$$\mid \quad t_1 \ \text{seq } t_2 \qquad \qquad \text{(forcing)}$$

$$\mid \quad t_1 \ \text{seq } t_2 \qquad \qquad \text{(forcing)}$$

$$\mid \quad t_1 \ \text{tix } t \qquad \qquad \text{(anonymous fixpoint)}$$

$$\mid \quad t_1 \ :: t_2 \qquad \qquad \text{(cons constructor)}$$

$$\mid \quad t_1 \ :: t_2 \qquad \qquad \text{(cons constructor)}$$

$$\mid \quad \text{case } t_1 \ \text{ of } \{[] \mapsto t_2; x_1 \ :: x_2 \mapsto t_3\} \qquad \text{(list eliminator)}$$

### 3.2 Underlying type system

$$\begin{array}{ll} \overline{\Gamma,x:\tau\vdash x:\tau} \ \ \overline{\Gamma}\text{-Var} \end{array} & \overline{\Gamma\vdash c_{\tau}:\tau} \ \ \overline{\Gamma}\text{-Con} \end{array} & \overline{\Gamma\vdash t_{\tau}^{\ell}:\tau} \ \ \overline{\Gamma}\text{-Crash} \\ \hline \overline{\Gamma,x:\tau_1\vdash t:\tau_2} \ \overline{\Gamma\vdash t_1:\tau_2\to\tau} \ \ \overline{\Gamma\vdash t_1:\tau_2\to\tau} \ \ \overline{\Gamma\vdash t_1:t_2:\tau_2} \ \ \overline{\Gamma\vdash t_1:\tau_2:\tau} \end{array} & \overline{\Gamma\vdash T\text{-App}} \\ \hline & \frac{\Gamma\vdash t:\tau\to\tau}{\Gamma\vdash t_1:\tau_1\to\tau_2} \ \ \overline{\Gamma\vdash t_1:\tau_2\to\tau} \ \ \overline{\Gamma\vdash t_1:\tau_2:\tau} \ \ \overline{\Gamma\vdash T\text{-Pix}} \\ \hline \hline \frac{\Gamma\vdash t_1:\inf \quad \Gamma\vdash t_2:\inf \quad \overline{\Gamma\vdash t_2:\tau_2}}{\Gamma\vdash t_1\oplus t_2:bool} \ \ \overline{\Gamma\vdash T\vdash t_1:\tau_1\quad \Gamma\vdash t_2:\tau_2} \ \ \overline{\Gamma\vdash T\text{-SeQ}} \\ \hline & \frac{\Gamma\vdash t_1:bool \quad \Gamma\vdash t_2:\tau}{\Gamma\vdash if \ t_1 \ then \ t_2 \ else \ t_3:\tau} \ \ \overline{\Gamma\vdash T\text{-Ip}} \\ \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \Gamma\vdash \overline{\Gamma} \ \ \overline{\Gamma\vdash T\text{-Nil}} \ \ \frac{\Gamma\vdash t_1:\tau}{\Gamma\vdash t_1:\tau_2:\tau} \ \ \overline{\Gamma\vdash T\text{-Cons}} \\ \hline \hline \hline \hline \Gamma\vdash t_1:\overline{\tau_1} \ \ \Gamma\vdash t_2:\tau} \ \ \overline{\Gamma\vdash T\text{-Case}} \\ \hline \hline \hline \hline \Gamma\vdash t_1:\overline{\tau_1} \ \ \Gamma\vdash t_2:\tau} \ \ \overline{\Gamma\vdash T\text{-Case}} \\ \hline \hline \hline \hline \Gamma\vdash case \ t_1 \ of \ \{[]\mapsto t_2;\tau_1:\tau_2\mapsto t_3\}:\tau} \ \ [\text{T-Case}] \end{array}$$

Figure 2: Underlying type system ( $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$ )

### 3.3 Declarative exception type system

- In T-Abs and T-AnnAbs, should the term-level term-abstraction also have an explicit effect annotation?
- In T-AnnAbs, might need a side condition stating that e is not free in  $\Delta$ .
- In T-App, note the double occurrence of  $\varphi$  when typing  $t_1$ . Is subeffecting sufficient here? Also note that we do *not* expect an exception variable in the left-hand side annotation of the function space constructor.
- In T-AnnApp, note the substitution. We will need a substitution lemma for annotations.
- In T-Fix, the might be some universal quantifiers in our way. Do annotation applications in *t* take care of this, already? Perhaps we do need to change fix *t* into a binding construct to resolve this? Also, there is some implicit subeffecting going on between the annotations and effect.
- In T-Case, note the use of explicit subeffecting. Can this be done using implicit subeffecting?
- For T-Sub, should we introduce a term-level coercion, as in Dussart–Henglein–Mossin? We now do shape-conformant subtyping, is subeffecting sufficient?
- Do we need additional kinding judgements in some of the rules? Can we merge the kinding judgement with the subtyping and/or -effecting judgement? Kind-preserving substitutions.

#### 3.4 Type elaboration system

• For T-Fix: how would a binding fixpoint construct work?

### 3.5 Type inference algorithm

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathcal{R}: \mathbf{Env} \times \mathbf{KiEnv} \times \mathbf{Tm} \to \mathbf{ExnTy} \times \mathbf{Exn} \\ \mathcal{R} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ x &= \Gamma_x \\ \mathcal{R} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ c_\tau &= \langle \bot_\tau; \varnothing \rangle \\ \mathcal{R} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \zeta_\tau^\tau &= \langle \bot_\tau; \{\ell\} \rangle \\ \mathcal{R} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \lambda x : \tau.t = \mathbf{let} \ \langle \widehat{\tau}_1; e_1; \overline{e_i} : \overline{\kappa_i} \rangle = \mathcal{C} \ \varnothing \ \tau \\ &\qquad \qquad \langle \widehat{\tau}_2; \varphi_2 \rangle &= \mathcal{R} \ \Gamma, \widehat{\tau}_1 \ \& \ e_1 \ \Delta, \overline{e_i} : \overline{\kappa_i} \ t \\ &\qquad \qquad \mathbf{in} \ \ \langle \forall \overline{e_i} : \overline{\kappa_i}. \widehat{\tau}_1 \langle e_1 \rangle \to \widehat{\tau}_2 \langle \varphi_2 \rangle; \varnothing \rangle \\ \mathcal{R} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ (t_1 \ t_2) &= \mathbf{let} \ \langle \widehat{\tau}_1; \varphi_1 \rangle &= \mathcal{R} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ t_1 \\ &\qquad \qquad \langle \widehat{\tau}_2; \varphi_2 \rangle &= \mathcal{R} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ t_2 \\ &\qquad \qquad \langle \widehat{\tau}_2' \langle e_2' \rangle \to \widehat{\tau}' \langle \varphi' \rangle; \overline{e_i} : \overline{\kappa_i} \rangle = \mathcal{I} \ \widehat{\tau}_1 \\ &\qquad \qquad \theta &= [e_2' \mapsto \varphi_2] \circ \mathcal{M} \ \varnothing \ \widehat{\tau}_2 \ \widehat{\tau}_2' \\ &\qquad \qquad \mathbf{in} \ \ \langle \lfloor \theta \widehat{\tau}' \rfloor_\Delta; \lfloor \theta \varphi' \cup \varphi_1 \rfloor_\Delta \rangle \end{array}$$

- Note that we do not construct the elaborated term, as it is not useful other than for metatheoretic purposes.
- Simplification does not exactly match the prototype (update the latter).
- Lemma: The algorithm maintains the invariant that exception types and exceptions are in normal form.

$$\overline{\Gamma, x : \widehat{\tau} \& \varphi; \Delta \vdash x : \widehat{\tau} \& \varphi} \text{ [T-Var]}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash c_\tau : \bot_\tau \& \varnothing} \text{ [T-Con]} \quad \overline{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \frac{\ell}{\tau} : \bot_\tau \& \{\ell\}} \text{ [T-Crash]}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash c_\tau : \bot_\tau \& \varphi} \text{ [T-Con]} \quad \overline{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \frac{\ell}{\tau} : \bot_\tau \& \{\ell\}} \text{ [T-Crash]}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \lambda x : \widehat{\tau}_1 \& \varphi_1, t : \widehat{\tau}_1 \langle \varphi_1 \rangle \rightarrow \widehat{\tau}_2 \langle \varphi_2 \rangle \& \varnothing} \text{ [T-Abs]}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \lambda x : \widehat{\tau}_1 \& \varphi_1, t : \widehat{\tau}_1 \langle \varphi_1 \rangle \rightarrow \widehat{\tau}_2 \langle \varphi_2 \rangle \& \varnothing} \text{ [T-AnnAbs]}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \lambda x : \widehat{\tau}_1 \& \varphi} \text{ [T-AnnAbs]}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 : \widehat{\tau}_2 \langle \varphi_2 \rangle \rightarrow \widehat{\tau} \langle \varphi \rangle \& \varphi} \text{ [T-AnnAbs]}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 : \widehat{\tau}_2 \langle \varphi_2 \rangle \rightarrow \widehat{\tau} \langle \varphi \rangle \& \varphi} \text{ [T-AnnApp]}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 : \widehat{\tau}_1 \langle \varphi_2 \rangle : [\varphi_2/e] \widehat{\tau} \& \varphi} \text{ [T-AnnApp]}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 : \widehat{\tau}_1 \langle \varphi_2 \rangle : [\varphi_2/e] \widehat{\tau} \& \varphi} \text{ [T-Fix]}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 : \widehat{\tau}_1 \& \varphi} \text{ [T, $\Delta \vdash t_2 : \widehat{\tau}_2 \& \varphi} \text{ [T-Fix]}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 : \widehat{\tau}_1 \& \varphi} \text{ [T, $\Delta \vdash t_2 : \widehat{\tau}_1 \& \varphi} \text{ [T-Op]}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 : \widehat{\tau}_1 \& \varphi} \text{ [T, $\Delta \vdash t_2 : \widehat{\tau}_2 \& \varphi} \text{ [T-Op]}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 : \widehat{\tau}_1 \& \varphi} \text{ [T, $\Delta \vdash t_2 : \widehat{\tau}_2 \& \varphi} \text{ [T-NiL]}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 : \widehat{\tau}_1 \& \varphi} \text{ [T, $\Delta \vdash t_2 : \widehat{\tau}_2 \& \varphi} \text{ [T-NiL]}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 : \widehat{\tau}_1 \& \varphi} \text{ [T, $\Delta \vdash t_2 : \widehat{\tau}_2 \& \varphi} \text{ [T-NiL]}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 : \widehat{\tau}_1 \& \varphi} \text{ [T, $\Delta \vdash t_2 : \widehat{\tau}_2 \& \varphi} \text{ [T-NiL]}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 : \widehat{\tau}_1 \& \varphi} \text{ [T, $\Delta \vdash t_2 : \widehat{\tau}_2 \& \varphi} \text{ [T-NiL]}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 : \widehat{\tau}_1 \& \varphi} \text{ [T, $\Delta \vdash t_2 : \widehat{\tau}_2 \& \varphi} \text{ [T-NiL]}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 : \widehat{\tau}_1 \& \varphi} \text{ [T, $\Delta \vdash t_2 : \widehat{\tau}_2 \& \varphi} \text{ [T-Cons]}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 : \widehat{\tau}_1 \& \varphi} \text{ [T, $\Delta \vdash t_2 : \widehat{\tau}_2 \& \varphi} \text{ [T-Cons]}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 : \widehat{\tau}_1 \& \varphi} \text{ [T-Case]}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 : \widehat{\tau}_1 \& \varphi} \text{ [T-Case]}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 : \widehat{\tau}_1 \& \varphi} \text{ [T-Case]}$$

Figure 3: Declarative type system  $(\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t : \hat{\tau} \& \varphi)$ 

$$\overline{\Gamma, x: \widehat{\tau} \& \varphi; \Delta \vdash x \leadsto x: \widehat{\tau} \& \varphi} \text{ [T-VAR]}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash c_{\tau} \leadsto c_{\tau}: \tau \& \varnothing} \text{ [T-CON]} \qquad \overline{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \frac{\ell}{\ell}_{\tau} \leadsto \frac{\ell}{\ell}_{\tau}^{\ell}: \bot_{\tau} \& \{\ell\}} \text{ [T-CRASH]}$$

$$\Delta, \overline{e_{i}: \kappa_{i}} \vdash \widehat{\tau}_{1} \trianglerighteq \tau_{1} \quad \Delta, \overline{e_{i}: \kappa_{i}} \vdash \varphi_{1}: E$$

$$\Gamma, x: \widehat{\tau}_{1} \& \varphi_{1}; \Delta, \overline{e_{i}: \kappa_{i}} \vdash t \leadsto t': \widehat{\tau}_{2} \& \varphi_{2}$$

$$\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \lambda x: \tau_{1}.t \leadsto \lambda x: \widehat{\tau}_{1} \& \varphi_{1}.t': \forall \overline{e_{i}: \kappa_{i}}.\widehat{\tau}_{1} \langle \varphi_{1} \rangle \rightarrow \widehat{\tau}_{2} \langle \varphi_{2} \rangle \& \varnothing} \text{ [T-ABS]}$$

$$\Delta \vdash \widehat{\tau}_{2} \leqslant [\overline{\varphi_{i}}/\overline{e_{i}}] \widehat{\tau} \quad \Delta \vdash \varphi_{2} \leqslant [\overline{\varphi_{i}}/\overline{e_{i}}] \varphi \quad \overline{\Delta} \vdash \varphi_{i}: \kappa_{i}}$$

$$\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_{1} \leadsto t'_{1}: \forall \overline{e_{i}: \kappa_{i}}.\widehat{\tau}_{1} \langle \varphi_{1} \rangle \rightarrow \widehat{\tau}_{1} \langle \varphi) \& \varphi' \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_{2} \leadsto t'_{2}: \widehat{\tau}_{2} \& \varphi_{2}$$

$$\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_{1} t_{2} \leadsto t'_{1} \langle \overline{\varphi_{i}} \rangle t'_{2}: [\overline{\varphi_{i}}/\overline{e_{i}}] \widehat{\tau} \& [\overline{\varphi_{i}}/\overline{e_{i}}] \varphi \cup \varphi'} \text{ [T-APP]}$$

$$\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t \bowtie t': \forall \overline{e_{i}: \kappa_{i}}.\widehat{\tau}_{1} \langle \varphi \rangle \rightarrow \widehat{\tau}_{1}' \langle \varphi' \rangle \& \varphi''$$

$$\Delta \vdash [\overline{\varphi_{i}}/\overline{e_{i}}] \widehat{\tau}' \leqslant [\overline{\varphi_{i}}/\overline{e_{i}}] \widehat{\tau} \quad \Delta \vdash [\overline{\varphi_{i}}/\overline{e_{i}}] \varphi' \leqslant [\overline{\varphi_{i}}/\overline{e_{i}}] \varphi \cup \varphi'} \text{ [T-Fix]}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_{1} \leadsto t'_{1}: \inf k} \& \varphi_{1} \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_{2} \leadsto t'_{2}: \inf k \varphi_{2} \quad [\text{T-OP}]$$

$$\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_{1} \leadsto t'_{1}: \widehat{\tau}_{1} \& \varphi_{1} \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_{2} \leadsto t'_{2}: \widehat{\tau}_{2} \& \varphi_{2} \quad [\text{T-OP}]$$

$$\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_{1} \leadsto t'_{1}: \widehat{\tau}_{1} \& \varphi_{1} \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_{2} \leadsto t'_{2}: \widehat{\tau}_{2} \& \varphi_{2} \quad [\text{T-SEQ}]$$

$$\overline{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_{1} \leadsto t'_{1}: \widehat{\tau}_{1} \& \varphi_{1}} \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_{2} \leadsto t'_{2}: \widehat{\tau}_{2} \& \varphi_{2} \quad [\text{T-SEQ}]$$

$$\overline{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_{1} \leadsto t'_{1}: \widehat{\tau}_{1} \& \varphi_{1}} \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_{2} \leadsto t'_{2}: \widehat{\tau}_{2} \& \varphi_{2} \quad [\text{T-NIL}]$$

$$\overline{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_{1} \leadsto t'_{1}: \widehat{\tau}_{1}} \& \varphi_{1} \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_{2} \leadsto t'_{2}: \widehat{\tau}_{1} \& \varphi_{2} \quad [\text{T-CONS}]$$

$$\overline{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_{1} \leadsto t'_{1}: \widehat{\tau}_{1} \& \varphi_{1}} \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_{2} \leadsto t'_{2}: \widehat{\tau}_{2} \& \varphi_{2} \quad [\text{T-CONS}]$$

$$\overline{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_{1} \leadsto t'_{1}: \widehat{\tau}_{1}} \& \varphi_{1}, x_{2}: [\tau_{1}(\varphi_{1})] \& \varphi'_{1}; \Delta \vdash t_{3} \leadsto t'_{3}: \widehat{\tau}_{3} \& \varphi_{3}} \quad [\text{T-CASE}]$$

$$\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_{1} \leadsto t'_{1}: \widehat{\tau}_{1} \& \varphi_{1}, x_{2}: [\tau_{1}(\varphi_{1})] \& \varphi'_{1}; \Delta \vdash t_{3} \leadsto t'_{3}: \widehat{\tau}_{3} \& \varphi'_{1} \cup \varphi_{2} \cup \varphi_{3}} \quad [\text{T-CASE}]$$

Figure 4: Syntax-directed type elaboration system  $(\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t \leadsto t' : \hat{\tau} \& \varphi)$