Higher-ranked Exception Types

Ruud Koot

March 4, 2015

1 The λ^{\cup} -calculus

Types

$$au\in \mathbf{Ty}$$
 ::= \mathcal{P} (base type)
$$\mid \ \ \tau_1 \to \tau_2$$
 (function type)

Terms

$$t \in \mathbf{Tm} \hspace{1cm} ::= \hspace{1cm} x, y, ... \hspace{1cm} \text{(variable)}$$

$$\mid \hspace{1cm} \lambda x : \tau.t \hspace{1cm} \text{(abstraction)}$$

$$\mid \hspace{1cm} t_1 \hspace{1cm} t_2 \hspace{1cm} \text{(application)}$$

$$\mid \hspace{1cm} \emptyset \hspace{1cm} \text{(empty)}$$

$$\mid \hspace{1cm} \{c\} \hspace{1cm} \text{(singleton)}$$

$$\mid \hspace{1cm} t_1 \cup t_2 \hspace{1cm} \text{(union)}$$

Values Values v are terms of the form

$$\lambda x_1: \tau_1 \cdots \lambda x_i: \tau_i \cdot \{c_1\} \cup (\cdots \cup (\{c_i\} \cup (x_1 \ v_{11} \cdots v_{1m} \cup (\cdots \cup x_k \ v_{k1} \cdots v_{kn}))))$$

Environments

$$\Gamma \in \mathbf{Env} ::= \cdot \mid \Gamma, x : \tau$$

1.1 Typing relation

$$\frac{\Gamma, x: \tau_1 \vdash t: \tau_2}{\Gamma, x: \tau \vdash x: \tau} \text{ [T-Var]} \quad \frac{\Gamma, x: \tau_1 \vdash t: \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x: \tau_1.t: \tau_1 \to \tau_2} \text{ [T-Abs]} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1: \tau_1 \to \tau_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2: \tau_1}{\Gamma \vdash t_1: t_2: \tau_2} \text{ [T-App]}$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \varnothing : \mathcal{P}} \text{ [T-Empty]} \quad \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \{c\} : \mathcal{P}} \text{ [T-Con]} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash t_1 \cup t_2 : \tau} \text{ [T-Union]}$$

1.2 Semantics

1.3 Reduction relation

Definition 1. *Let* \prec *be a strict total order on* $\mathbf{Con} \cup \mathbf{Var}$, *with* $c \prec x$ *for all* $c \in \mathbf{Con}$ *and* $x \in \mathbf{Var}$.

$$(\lambda x : \tau . t_1) \ t_2 \longrightarrow [t_2/x] \ t_1 \qquad (\beta \text{-reduction})$$

$$(t_1 \cup t_2) \ t_3 \longrightarrow t_1 \ t_3 \cup t_2 \ t_3 \qquad (congruences)$$

$$(\lambda x : \tau . t_1) \cup (\lambda x : \tau . t_2) \longrightarrow \lambda x : \tau . (t_1 \cup t_2) \qquad (congruences)$$

$$x \ t_1 \cdots t_n \cup x' \ t'_1 \cdots t'_n \longrightarrow x \ (t_1 \cup t'_1) \cdots (t_n \cup t'_n) \qquad (associativity)$$

$$(t_1 \cup t_2) \cup t_3 \longrightarrow t_1 \cup (t_2 \cup t_3) \qquad (associativity)$$

$$\emptyset \cup t \longrightarrow t \qquad (unit)$$

$$t \cup \emptyset \longrightarrow t \qquad (unit)$$

$$x \cup x \longrightarrow x$$

$$x \cup (x \cup t) \longrightarrow x \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$\{c\} \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$\{c\} \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$\{c\} \cup \{c\} \cup t \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$x \ t_1 \cdots t_n \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$x \ t_1 \cdots t_n \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$x \ t_1 \cdots t_n \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$x \ t_1 \cdots t_n \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$x \ t_1 \cdots t_n \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$x \ t_1 \cdots t_n \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$x \ t_1 \cdots t_n \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$x \ t_1 \cdots t_n \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$x \ t_1 \cdots t_n \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$x \ t_1 \cdots t_n \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$x \ t_1 \cdots t_n \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$x \ t_1 \cdots t_n \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$x \ t_1 \cdots t_n \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$x \ t_1 \cdots t_n \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$x \ t_1 \cdots t_n \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$x \ t_1 \cdots t_n \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$x \ t_1 \cdots t_n \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$x \ t_1 \cdots t_n \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$x \ t_1 \cdots t_n \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$x \ t_1 \cdots t_n \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$x \ t_1 \cdots t_n \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$x \ t_1 \cdots t_n \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$x \ t_1 \cdots t_n \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup \{c\} \cup \{c\} \cup t \qquad (idempotence)$$

$$x \ t_1 \cdots t_n \cup \{c\} \longrightarrow \{c\} \cup \{$$

Conjecture 1. *The reduction relation* \longrightarrow *preserves meaning.*

Conjecture 2. The reduction relation \longrightarrow is strongly normalizing.

Conjecture 3. *The reduction relation* \longrightarrow *is locally confluent.*

Corollary 1. *The reduction relation* \longrightarrow *is confluent.*

Proof. Follows from SN, LC and Newman's Lemma.

Corollary 2. The λ^{\cup} -calculus has unique normal forms.

Corollary 3. Equality of λ^{\cup} -terms can be decided by normalization.

2 Completion

$$\kappa \in \mathbf{Kind}$$
 ::= E (exception)
 $\mid \kappa_1 \Rightarrow \kappa_2$ (exception operator)

$$\varphi \in \mathbf{Exn} \qquad ::= e \qquad \qquad \text{(exception variables)}$$

$$\mid \lambda e : \kappa. \varphi \qquad \qquad \text{(exception abstraction)}$$

$$\widehat{\tau} \in \mathbf{ExnTy} \qquad ::= \forall e :: \kappa. \widehat{\tau} \qquad \text{(exception quantification)}$$

$$\mid b\widehat{\text{pool}} \qquad \qquad \text{(boolean type)}$$

$$\mid [\widehat{\tau}\langle \varphi \rangle] \qquad \qquad \text{(list type)}$$

$$\mid \widehat{\tau}_1 \langle \varphi_1 \rangle \to \widehat{\tau}_2 \langle \varphi_2 \rangle \qquad \text{(function type)}$$

The completion procedure as a set of inference rules:

$$\frac{\overline{e_i :: \kappa_i} \vdash \mathbf{bool} : b\widehat{ool} \& e \ \overline{e_i} \triangleright e :: \overline{\kappa_i} \Longrightarrow_{\mathbf{E}} \ [\text{C-Bool}]}{\frac{\overline{e_i :: \kappa_i} \vdash \tau : \widehat{\tau} \& \varphi \triangleright \overline{e_j :: \kappa_j}}{\overline{e_i :: \kappa_i} \vdash [\tau] : [\widehat{\tau} \langle \varphi \rangle] \& e \ \overline{e_i} \triangleright e :: \overline{\kappa_i} \Longrightarrow_{\mathbf{E}}, \overline{e_j} :: \kappa_j}} \ [\text{C-List}]$$

$$\frac{\vdash \tau_1 : \widehat{\tau}_1 \& \varphi_1 \triangleright \overline{e_j :: \kappa_j}}{\overline{e_i :: \kappa_i}} \ \overline{e_i :: \kappa_i}, \overline{e_j :: \kappa_j} \vdash \tau_2 : \widehat{\tau}_2 \& \varphi_2 \triangleright \overline{e_j :: \kappa_j}}{\overline{e_i :: \kappa_j}} \ [\text{C-Arr}]$$

$$\frac{\vdash \tau_1 : \widehat{\tau}_1 \& \varphi_1 \triangleright \overline{e_j :: \kappa_j}}{\overline{e_i :: \kappa_i} \vdash \tau_1 \to \tau_2 : \forall \overline{e_j :: \kappa_j}} (\widehat{\tau}_1 \langle \varphi_1 \rangle \to \widehat{\tau}_2 \langle \varphi_2 \rangle) \& e \ \overline{e_i} \triangleright e :: \overline{\kappa_j} \Longrightarrow_{\mathbf{E}}, \overline{e_k :: \kappa_k}} \ [\text{C-Arr}]$$

Figure 1: Type completion $(\Gamma \vdash \tau : \hat{\tau} \& \varphi \triangleright \Gamma')$

The completion procedure as an algorithm:

complete ::
$$\mathbf{Env} \times \mathbf{Ty} \to \mathbf{ExnTy} \times \mathbf{Exn} \times \mathbf{Env}$$

complete $\overline{e_i :: \kappa_i} \ \mathbf{bool} =$
let e be fresh
in $\langle \widehat{\mathbf{bool}}; e \ \overline{e_i}; e :: \overline{\kappa_i} \Rightarrow \mathbf{E} \rangle$

3 Type system

3.1 Terms

$$t \in \mathbf{Tm} \qquad ::= \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{(term variable)}$$

$$\mid \quad c_{\tau} \qquad \qquad \text{(term constant)}$$

$$\mid \quad \lambda x : \tau.t \qquad \qquad \text{(term abstraction)}$$

$$\mid \quad t_1 \ t_2 \qquad \qquad \text{(term application)}$$

$$\mid \quad t_1 \oplus t_2 \qquad \qquad \text{(operator)}$$

$$\mid \quad \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 \qquad \qquad \text{(conditional)}$$

$$\mid \quad t_1 \ \text{seq } t_2 \qquad \qquad \text{(forcing)}$$

$$\mid \quad t_1 \ \text{seq } t_2 \qquad \qquad \text{(forcing)}$$

$$\mid \quad t_1 \ \text{tix } t \qquad \qquad \text{(anonymous fixpoint)}$$

$$\mid \quad t_1 \ :: t_2 \qquad \qquad \text{(cons constructor)}$$

$$\mid \quad t_1 \ :: t_2 \qquad \qquad \text{(cons constructor)}$$

$$\mid \quad \text{case } t_1 \ \text{ of } \{[] \mapsto t_2; x_1 \ :: x_2 \mapsto t_3\} \qquad \text{(list eliminator)}$$

3.2 Underlying type system

$$\begin{array}{ll} \overline{\Gamma,x:\tau\vdash x:\tau} \ \ \overline{\Gamma}\text{-Var} \end{array} & \overline{\Gamma\vdash c_{\tau}:\tau} \ \ \overline{\Gamma}\text{-Con} \end{array} & \overline{\Gamma\vdash t_{\tau}^{\ell}:\tau} \ \ \overline{\Gamma}\text{-Crash} \\ \hline \overline{\Gamma,x:\tau_1\vdash t:\tau_2} \ \overline{\Gamma\vdash t_1:\tau_2\to\tau} \ \ \overline{\Gamma\vdash t_1:\tau_2\to\tau} \ \ \overline{\Gamma\vdash t_1:t_2:\tau_2} \ \ \overline{\Gamma\vdash t_1:\tau_2:\tau} \end{array} & \overline{\Gamma\vdash T\text{-App}} \\ \hline & \frac{\Gamma\vdash t:\tau\to\tau}{\Gamma\vdash t_1:\tau_1\to\tau_2} \ \ \overline{\Gamma\vdash t_1:\tau_2\to\tau} \ \ \overline{\Gamma\vdash t_1:\tau_2:\tau} \ \ \overline{\Gamma\vdash T\text{-Pix}} \\ \hline \hline \frac{\Gamma\vdash t_1:\inf \quad \Gamma\vdash t_2:\inf \quad \overline{\Gamma\vdash t_2:\tau_2}}{\Gamma\vdash t_1\oplus t_2:bool} \ \ \overline{\Gamma\vdash T\vdash t_1:\tau_1\quad \Gamma\vdash t_2:\tau_2} \ \ \overline{\Gamma\vdash T\text{-SeQ}} \\ \hline & \frac{\Gamma\vdash t_1:bool \quad \Gamma\vdash t_2:\tau}{\Gamma\vdash if \ t_1 \ then \ t_2 \ else \ t_3:\tau} \ \ \overline{\Gamma\vdash T\text{-Ip}} \\ \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \Gamma\vdash \overline{\Gamma} \ \ \overline{\Gamma\vdash T\text{-Nil}} \ \ \frac{\Gamma\vdash t_1:\tau}{\Gamma\vdash t_1:\tau_2:\tau} \ \ \overline{\Gamma\vdash T\text{-Cons}} \\ \hline \hline \hline \hline \Gamma\vdash t_1:\overline{\tau_1} \ \ \Gamma\vdash t_2:\tau} \ \ \overline{\Gamma\vdash T\text{-Case}} \\ \hline \hline \hline \hline \Gamma\vdash t_1:\overline{\tau_1} \ \ \Gamma\vdash t_2:\tau} \ \ \overline{\Gamma\vdash T\text{-Case}} \\ \hline \hline \hline \hline \Gamma\vdash case \ t_1 \ of \ \{[]\mapsto t_2;\tau_1:\tau_2\mapsto t_3\}:\tau} \ \ [\text{T-Case}] \end{array}$$

Figure 2: Underlying type system ($\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$)

3.3 Declarative exception type system

- In T-Abs and T-AnnAbs, should the term-level term-abstraction also have an explicit effect annotation?
- In T-AnnAbs, might need a side condition stating that e is not free in Δ .
- In T-App, note the double occurrence of φ when typing t_1 . Is subeffecting sufficient here? Also note that we do *not* expect an exception variable in the left-hand side annotation of the function space constructor.
- In T-AnnApp, note the substitution. We will need a substitution lemma for annotations.
- In T-Fix, the might be some universal quantifiers in our way. Do annotation applications in *t* take care of this, already? Perhaps we do need to change **fix** *t* into a binding construct to resolve this? Also, there is some implicit subeffecting going on between the annotations and effect.
- In T-Case, note the use of explicit subeffecting. Can this be done using implicit subeffecting?
- For T-Sub, should we introduce a term-level coercion, as in Dussart–Henglein–Mossin? We now do shape-conformant subtyping, is subeffecting sufficient?
- Do we need additional kinding judgements in some of the rules? Can we merge the kinding judgement with the subtyping and/or -effecting judgement? Kind-preserving substitutions.

3.4 Type elaboration system

• For T-Fix: how would a binding fixpoint construct work?

3.5 Type inference algorithm

- In R-App and R-Fix: check that the fresh variables generated by \mathcal{I} are substituted away by the substitution θ created by \mathcal{M} .
- In R-Fix we could get rid of the auxiliary underlying type function if the fixpoint construct was replaced with a binding variant with an explicit type annotation.
- For R-Fix, make sure the way we handle fixpoints of exceptional value in a manner that is sound w.r.t. to the operational semantics we are going to give to this.
- Note that we do not construct the elaborated term, as it is not useful other than for metatheoretic purposes.
- Simplification does not exactly match the prototype (update the latter).
- Lemma: The algorithm maintains the invariant that exception types and exceptions are in normal form.

$$\overline{\Gamma, x : \widehat{\tau} \& \varphi; \Delta \vdash x : \widehat{\tau} \& \varphi} \text{ [T-VAR]}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash c_\tau : \bot_\tau \& \varnothing} \text{ [T-Con]} \quad \overline{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash f_\tau^\ell : \bot_\tau \& \{\ell\}} \text{ [T-Crash]}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : \widehat{\tau}_1 \& \varphi_1; \Delta \vdash t : \widehat{\tau}_2 \& \varphi_2}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \lambda x : \widehat{\tau}_1 \& \varphi_1; t : \widehat{\tau}_1 \langle \varphi_1 \rangle \to \widehat{\tau}_2 \langle \varphi_2 \rangle \& \varnothing} \text{ [T-Abs]}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta, e : \kappa \vdash t : \widehat{\tau} \& \varphi}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \lambda e : \kappa. t : \forall e : \kappa. \widehat{\tau} \& \varphi} \text{ [T-AnnAbs]}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 : \widehat{\tau}_2 \langle \varphi_2 \rangle \to \widehat{\tau} \langle \varphi \rangle \& \varphi}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 : \widehat{\tau}_2 : \widehat{\tau}_2 \& \varphi} \text{ [T-App]}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 : \widehat{\tau}_2 \langle \varphi_2 \rangle \to \widehat{\tau} \langle \varphi \rangle \& \varphi}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 : \langle \varphi_2 \rangle : [\varphi_2 / e] \widehat{\tau} \& \varphi} \text{ [T-AnnApp]}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 : \widehat{\tau}_1 \Leftrightarrow \varphi}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 : \langle \varphi_2 \rangle : [\varphi_2 / e] \widehat{\tau} \& \varphi} \text{ [T-Fix]}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 : \widehat{\tau}_1 \& \varphi}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 : \widehat{\tau}_1 \& \varphi} \text{ [T-Fix]}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 : \widehat{\tau}_1 \& \varphi}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 : \widehat{\tau}_1 \& \varphi} \text{ [T-Op]}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 : \widehat{\tau}_1 \& \varphi}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_2 : \widehat{\tau}_2 \& \varphi} \text{ [T-Nix]}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 : \widehat{\tau}_1 \& \varphi}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 : \widehat{\tau}_1 \& \varphi} \text{ [T-Nix]}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 : \widehat{\tau}_1 \& \varphi}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 : \widehat{\tau}_2 : \widehat{\tau}_2 \& \varphi} \text{ [T-Nix]}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 : \widehat{\tau}_1 \& \varphi}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 : \widehat{\tau}_1 \& \varphi} \text{ [T-Nix]}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 : \widehat{\tau}_1 \& \varphi}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 : \widehat{\tau}_1 \& \varphi} \text{ [T-Nix]}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 : \widehat{\tau}_1 \& \varphi}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 : \widehat{\tau}_2 : \widehat{\tau}_2 \& \varphi} \text{ [T-Nix]}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 : \widehat{\tau}_1 \& \varphi}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 : \widehat{\tau}_2 : \widehat{\tau}_1 \& \varphi} \text{ [T-Nix]}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 : \widehat{\tau}_1 \& \varphi}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 : \widehat{\tau}_1 \& \varphi} \text{ [T-Nix]}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 : \widehat{\tau}_1 \& \varphi}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_2 : \widehat{\tau}_1 \& \varphi} \text{ [T-Nix]}$$

Figure 3: Declarative type system $(\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t : \hat{\tau} \& \varphi)$

$$\overline{\Gamma, x: \widehat{\tau} \& \varphi; \Delta \vdash x \leadsto x: \widehat{\tau} \& \varphi} \text{ [T-VAR]}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash c_{\tau} \leadsto c_{\tau}: \tau \& \varnothing} \text{ [T-CON]} \qquad \overline{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \frac{\ell}{\ell}_{\tau} \leadsto \frac{\ell}{\ell}_{\tau}^{\ell}: \bot_{\tau} \& \{\ell\}} \text{ [T-CRASH]}$$

$$\Delta, \overline{e_{i}: \kappa_{i}} \vdash \widehat{\tau}_{1} \trianglerighteq \tau_{1} \quad \Delta, \overline{e_{i}: \kappa_{i}} \vdash \varphi_{1}: E$$

$$\Gamma, x: \widehat{\tau}_{1} \& \varphi_{1}; \Delta, \overline{e_{i}: \kappa_{i}} \vdash t \leadsto t': \widehat{\tau}_{2} \& \varphi_{2}$$

$$\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \lambda x: \tau_{1}.t \leadsto \lambda x: \widehat{\tau}_{1} \& \varphi_{1}.t': \forall \overline{e_{i}: \kappa_{i}}.\widehat{\tau}_{1} \langle \varphi_{1} \rangle \rightarrow \widehat{\tau}_{2} \langle \varphi_{2} \rangle \& \varnothing} \text{ [T-ABS]}$$

$$\Delta \vdash \widehat{\tau}_{2} \leqslant [\overline{\varphi_{i}}/\overline{e_{i}}] \widehat{\tau} \quad \Delta \vdash \varphi_{2} \leqslant [\overline{\varphi_{i}}/\overline{e_{i}}] \varphi \quad \overline{\Delta} \vdash \varphi_{i}: \kappa_{i}}$$

$$\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_{1} \leadsto t'_{1}: \forall \overline{e_{i}: \kappa_{i}}.\widehat{\tau}_{1} \langle \varphi_{1} \rangle \rightarrow \widehat{\tau}_{1} \langle \varphi) \& \varphi' \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_{2} \leadsto t'_{2}: \widehat{\tau}_{2} \& \varphi_{2}$$

$$\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_{1} t_{2} \leadsto t'_{1} \langle \overline{\varphi_{i}} \rangle t'_{2}: [\overline{\varphi_{i}}/\overline{e_{i}}] \widehat{\tau} \& [\overline{\varphi_{i}}/\overline{e_{i}}] \varphi \cup \varphi'$$

$$\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t \iff t': \forall \overline{e_{i}: \kappa_{i}}.\widehat{\tau}_{1} \langle \varphi \rangle \rightarrow \widehat{\tau}_{1}' \langle \varphi' \rangle \& \varphi''$$

$$\Delta \vdash [\overline{\varphi_{i}}/\overline{e_{i}}] \widehat{\tau}' \leqslant [\overline{\varphi_{i}}/\overline{e_{i}}] \widehat{\tau} \quad \Delta \vdash [\overline{\varphi_{i}}/\overline{e_{i}}] \varphi' \leqslant [\overline{\varphi_{i}}/\overline{e_{i}}] \varphi \cup \varphi''} \text{ [T-Fix]}$$

$$\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t \iff t': \forall \overline{e_{i}: \kappa_{i}}.\widehat{\tau}_{1} \langle \varphi \rangle \rightarrow \widehat{\tau}_{1}' \langle \varphi' \rangle \& \varphi''$$

$$\Delta \vdash [\overline{\varphi_{i}}/\overline{e_{i}}] \widehat{\tau}' \leqslant [\overline{\varphi_{i}}/\overline{e_{i}}] \widehat{\tau} \quad \Delta \vdash [\overline{\varphi_{i}}/\overline{e_{i}}] \varphi' \leqslant [\overline{\varphi_{i}}/\overline{e_{i}}] \varphi \cup \varphi''} \text{ [T-Fix]}$$

$$\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_{1} \iff t'_{1}: \inf \& \varphi_{1} \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_{2} \iff t'_{2}: \inf \& \varphi_{2} \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash \varphi_{i}: \kappa_{i}}$$

$$\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_{1} \iff t'_{1}: \widehat{\tau}_{1} \& \varphi_{1} \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_{2} \iff t'_{2}: \widehat{\tau}_{1} \& \varphi_{2} \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_{3} \iff t'_{3}: \widehat{\tau}_{3} \& \varphi_{3}$$

$$\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_{1} \iff t'_{1}: \widehat{\tau}_{1} \& \varphi_{1} \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_{2} \iff t'_{2}: \widehat{\tau}_{2} \& \varphi_{2} \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_{3} \iff t'_{3}: \widehat{\tau}_{3} \& \varphi_{3}$$

$$\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_{1} \iff t'_{1}: \widehat{\tau}_{1} \& \varphi_{1} \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_{2} \iff t'_{2}: \widehat{\tau}_{1}^{2} \& \varphi_{2} \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_{3} \iff \varphi_{2} \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_{1} \iff t'_{1}: \widehat{\tau}_{1} \& \varphi_{1} \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_{2} \iff t'_{2}: \widehat{\tau}_{1}^{2} \& \varphi_{2} \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_{3} \iff \varphi_{2} \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_{1} \iff t'_{1}: \widehat{\tau}_{1} \& \varphi_{1} \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_{2} \iff t'_{2}: \widehat{\tau}_{1}^{2} \& \varphi_{2} \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_{3} \iff \varphi_{2} \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_{1} \iff t'_{1}: \widehat{\tau}_{1} \& \varphi_{1} \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_{2} \iff t'_{2}: \widehat{\tau}_{1}^{2} \& \varphi_{2} \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_{1} \iff t'_{1}: \widehat{\tau}_{1} \& \varphi_{1} \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_{2} \iff t'_{2}: \widehat{\tau}_{1}^{2} \& \varphi_{2} \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_{2$$

Figure 4: Syntax-directed type elaboration system $(\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t \leadsto t' : \hat{\tau} \& \varphi)$

```
\mathcal{R}: TyEnv × KiEnv × Tm \rightarrow ExnTy × Exn
\mathcal{R} \Gamma \Delta x
                                                               =\Gamma_x
\mathcal{R} \Gamma \Delta c_{\tau}
                                                               =\langle \perp_{\tau}; \emptyset \rangle
\mathcal{R} \Gamma \Delta \mathcal{L}_{\tau}^{\ell}
                                                               =\langle \perp_{\tau}; \{\ell\} \rangle
\mathcal{R} \Gamma \Delta (\lambda x : \tau . t) = \mathbf{let} \langle \widehat{\tau}_1 ; e_1 ; \overline{e_i : \kappa_i} \rangle = \mathcal{C} \varnothing \tau
                                                                                       \langle \widehat{\tau}_2; \varphi_2 \rangle = \mathcal{R} (\Gamma, x : \widehat{\tau}_1 \& e_1) (\Delta, \overline{e_i : \kappa_i}) t
                                                                         in \langle \forall \overline{e_i : \kappa_i}.\widehat{\tau}_1 \langle e_1 \rangle \rightarrow \widehat{\tau}_2 \langle \varphi_2 \rangle; \emptyset \rangle
\mathcal{R} \Gamma \Delta (t_1 t_2)
                                                               = let \langle \widehat{\tau}_1; \varphi_1 \rangle
                                                                                                                                                                                   = \mathcal{R} \Gamma \Delta t_1
                                                                                       \begin{array}{l} \langle \widehat{\tau}_{1}, \varphi_{1} \rangle & \text{if } \exists \ i_{1} \\ \langle \widehat{\tau}_{2}; \varphi_{2} \rangle & = \mathcal{R} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ t_{2} \\ \langle \widehat{\tau}_{2}' \langle e_{2}' \rangle \rightarrow \widehat{\tau}' \langle \varphi' \rangle; \overline{e_{i} : \kappa_{i}} \rangle = \mathcal{I} \ \widehat{\tau}_{1} \\ \theta & = [e_{2}' \mapsto \varphi_{2}] \circ \mathcal{M} \oslash \widehat{\tau}_{2} \ \widehat{\tau}_{2}' \\ \end{pmatrix}
                                                                         in \langle \|\theta \hat{\tau}'\|_{\Delta}; \|\theta \varphi' \cup \varphi_1\|_{\Delta} \rangle
\mathcal{R} \Gamma \Delta (\mathbf{fix} \ t)
                                                               = let \langle \hat{\tau}; \varphi \rangle
                                                                                                                                                                                      = \mathcal{R} \Gamma \Delta t
                                                                                       \langle \widehat{\tau}' \langle e' \rangle \rightarrow \widehat{\tau}'' \langle \varphi'' \rangle; \overline{e_i : \kappa_i} \rangle = \mathcal{I} \ \widehat{\tau}
                                                                         in \langle \widehat{\tau}_0; \varphi_0; i \rangle \leftarrow \langle \bot_{|\widehat{\tau}'|}; \emptyset; 0 \rangle
                                                                                                                                                     \leftarrow [e' \mapsto \varphi_i] \circ \mathcal{M} \varnothing \widehat{\tau}_i \widehat{\tau}'
                                                                                       do \theta
                                                                                                    \langle \widehat{\tau}_{i+1}; \varphi_{i+1}; i \rangle \leftarrow \langle \llbracket \theta \widehat{\tau}'' \rrbracket_{\Delta}; \llbracket \theta \varphi'' \rrbracket_{\Delta}; i+1 \rangle
                                                                                       \mathbf{until}\ \langle \widehat{\tau}_i; \varphi_i \rangle \equiv \langle \widehat{\tau}_{i-1}; \varphi_{i-1} \rangle
                                                                                       return \langle \widehat{\tau}_i ; [\![ \varphi \cup \varphi_i ]\!]_{\Delta} \rangle
\mathcal{R} \Gamma \Delta (t_1 \oplus t_2) = \mathbf{let} \langle \mathbf{i} \hat{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{t}; \varphi_1 \rangle = \mathcal{R} \Gamma \Delta t_1
                                                                                       \langle \mathbf{i} \widehat{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{t}; \varphi_2 \rangle = \mathcal{R} \Gamma \Delta t_2
                                                                         in \langle \mathbf{b}\widehat{\mathbf{ool}}; \|\varphi_1 \cup \varphi_2\|_{\Delta} \rangle
\mathcal{R} \Gamma \Delta (t_1 \operatorname{\mathbf{seq}} t_2)
                                                                 = let \langle \widehat{\tau}_1; \varphi_1 \rangle = \mathcal{R} \Gamma \Delta t_1
                                                                                       \langle \widehat{\tau}_2; \varphi_2 \rangle = \mathcal{R} \Gamma \Delta t_2
                                                                         in \langle \widehat{\tau}_2; \| \varphi_1 \cup \varphi_2 \|_{\Delta} \rangle
\mathcal{R} \Gamma \Delta (if t_1 then t_2 else t_3)
                                                                = let \langle \mathbf{b} \widehat{\mathbf{ool}}; \varphi_1 \rangle = \mathcal{R} \Gamma \Delta t_1
                                                                                       \langle \widehat{\tau}_2; \varphi_2 \rangle = \mathcal{R} \Gamma \Delta t_2
                                                                                       \langle \widehat{\tau}_3; \varphi_3 \rangle
                                                                                                                         = \mathcal{R} \Gamma \Delta t_3
                                                                         in \langle \|\widehat{\tau}_2 \sqcup \widehat{\tau}_3\|_{\Delta}; \|\varphi_1 \cup \varphi_2 \cup \varphi_3\|_{\Delta} \rangle
\mathcal{R} \Gamma \Delta []_{\tau}
                                                               =\langle [\perp_{\tau}\langle\emptyset\rangle];\emptyset\rangle
\mathcal{R} \Gamma \Delta (t_1 :: t_2) = \mathbf{let} \langle \widehat{\tau}_1; \varphi_1 \rangle
                                                                                                                                    = \mathcal{R} \Gamma \Delta t_1
                                                                                       \langle [\hat{\tau}_2 \langle \varphi_2' \rangle]; \varphi_2 \rangle = \mathcal{R} \Gamma \Delta t_2
                                                                         in \langle \|[(\widehat{\tau}_1 \sqcup \widehat{\tau}_2) \langle (\varphi_1 \cup \varphi_2') \rangle] \|_{\Delta}; \varphi_2 \rangle
\mathcal{R} \Gamma \Delta \text{ (case } t_1 \text{ of } \{[] \mapsto t_2; x_1 :: x_2 \mapsto t_3\})
                                                                = let \langle [\widehat{\tau}_1 \langle \varphi_1' \rangle] ; \varphi_1 \rangle
                                                                                                                                                                      = \mathcal{R} \Gamma \Delta t_1
                                                                                       \langle \widehat{\tau}_2; \varphi_2 \rangle = \mathcal{R} \left( \Gamma, x_1 : \widehat{\tau}_1 \& \varphi'_1, x_2 : \left[ \widehat{\tau}_1 \langle \varphi'_1 \rangle \right] \& \varphi_1 \right) \Delta t_2
                                                                                       \langle \widehat{\tau}_3; \varphi_3 \rangle = \mathcal{R} \Gamma \Delta t_3
                                                                         in \langle \|\widehat{\tau}_2 \cup \widehat{\tau}_3\|_{\Delta}; \|\varphi_1 \cup \varphi_2 \cup \varphi_3\|_{\Delta} \rangle
```

Figure 5: Type inference algorithm