

Higher-Ranked Exception Types

Ruud Koot

Utrecht University

May 13, 2014

Motivation

- ▶ Types should not lie; we would like to have *checked exceptions* in Haskell:

$$\text{map} :: (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow [\alpha] \rightarrow [\beta] \text{ throws } e$$

- ▶ What should be the correct value of e ?

Motivation

Assigning accurate exception types is complicated by:

Higher-order functions Exceptions raised by higher-order functions depend on the exceptions raised by functional arguments.

$$\text{map} :: (\alpha \rightarrow \beta \text{ throws } e_1) \rightarrow [\alpha] \rightarrow [\beta] \text{ throws } (e_1 \cup e_2)$$

Non-strict evaluation Exceptions are embedded inside values.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{map} :: & (\alpha \text{ throws } e_1 \rightarrow \beta) \text{ throws } e_2 \\ & \rightarrow [\alpha \text{ throws } e_3] \text{ throws } e_4 \rightarrow [\beta \text{ throws } e_5] \text{ throws } e_6 \end{aligned}$$

Motivation

- ▶ Instead of τ **throws** e , write τ^e for a type τ that can evaluate to \perp_χ for some $\chi \in e$.
- ▶ The fully annotated exception type for *map* would be:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{map} &:: (\alpha^{e_1} \rightarrow \beta^{(e_1 \cup e_2)})^{e_3} \rightarrow [\alpha^{e_1}]^{e_4} \rightarrow [\beta^{(e_1 \cup e_2 \cup e_3)}]^{e_4} \\ \text{map} &= \lambda f. \lambda xs. \mathbf{case} \ xs \ \mathbf{of} \\ &\quad [] \quad \quad \mapsto [] \\ &\quad (y : ys) \mapsto f \ y : \text{map} \ f \ ys \end{aligned}$$

Motivation

- ▶ Instead of τ **throws** e , write τ^e for a type τ that can evaluate to \perp_χ for some $\chi \in e$.
- ▶ The fully annotated exception type for *map* would be:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{map} &:: (\alpha^{e_1} \rightarrow \beta^{(e_1 \cup e_2)})^{e_3} \rightarrow [\alpha^{e_1}]^{e_4} \rightarrow [\beta^{(e_1 \cup e_2 \cup e_3)}]^{e_4} \\ \text{map} &= \lambda f. \lambda xs. \mathbf{case} \ xs \ \mathbf{of} \\ &\quad [] \quad \quad \mapsto [] \\ &\quad (y : ys) \mapsto f \ y : \text{map} \ f \ ys \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ If you want to be pedantic:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{map} &:: \forall \alpha \ \beta \ e_1 \ e_2 \ e_3 \ e_4. \\ &\quad ((\alpha^{e_1} \rightarrow \beta^{(e_1 \cup e_2)})^{e_3} \rightarrow ([\alpha^{e_1}]^{e_4} \rightarrow [\beta^{(e_1 \cup e_2 \cup e_3)}]^{e_4})^\emptyset)^\emptyset \end{aligned}$$

Motivation

- ▶ Instead of τ **throws** e , write τ^e for a type τ that can evaluate to \perp_χ for some $\chi \in e$.
- ▶ The fully annotated exception type for *map* would be:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{map} &:: (\alpha^{e_1} \xrightarrow{e_3} \beta^{(e_1 \cup e_2)}) \rightarrow [\alpha^{e_1}]^{e_4} \rightarrow [\beta^{(e_1 \cup e_2 \cup e_3)}]^{e_4} \\ \text{map} &= \lambda f. \lambda xs. \mathbf{case} \ xs \ \mathbf{of} \\ &\quad [] \quad \quad \mapsto [] \\ &\quad (y : ys) \mapsto f \ y : \text{map} \ f \ ys \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ If you want to be pedantic:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{map} &:: \forall \alpha \ \beta \ e_1 \ e_2 \ e_3 \ e_4. \\ &(\alpha^{e_1} \xrightarrow{e_3} \beta^{e_1 \cup e_2}) \xrightarrow{\cdot} .) ([\alpha^{e_1}]^{e_4} \xrightarrow{\emptyset} [\beta^{(e_1 \cup e_2 \cup e_3)}]^{e_4}) \emptyset \end{aligned}$$

Motivation

- ▶ The exception type

$$\text{map} :: (\alpha^{e_1} \xrightarrow{e_3} \beta^{(e_1 \cup e_2)}) \rightarrow [\alpha^{e_1}]^{e_4} \rightarrow [\beta^{(e_1 \cup e_2 \cup e_3)}]^{e_4}$$

is not as accurate as we would like.

- ▶ Consider the instantiations:

$$\text{map } id \quad :: [\alpha^{e_1}]^{e_4} \rightarrow [\alpha^{e_1}]^{e_4}$$

$$\text{map } (\text{const } \perp_{\mathbf{E}}) :: [\alpha^{e_1}]^{e_4} \rightarrow [\beta^{(e_1 \cup \{\mathbf{E}\})}]^{e_4}$$

- ▶ A more appropriate type for $\text{map } (\text{const } \perp_{\mathbf{E}})$ would be

$$\text{map } (\text{const } \perp_{\mathbf{E}}) :: [\alpha^{e_1}]^{e_4} \rightarrow [\beta^{\{\mathbf{E}\}}]^{e_4}$$

as it cannot propagate exceptional elements inside the input list to the output list.

Motivation

- ▶ The problem is that we have already committed the first argument of *map* to be of type

$$\alpha^{e_1} \xrightarrow{e_3} \beta^{(e_1 \cup e_2)},$$

i.e. it propagates exceptional values from the its input to the output while possibly adding additional exceptional values.

- ▶ This is a worst-case scenario: it is sound but inaccurate.

Motivation

- ▶ The solution is to move from Hindley–Milner to F_ω , introducing *higher-ranked types* and *type operators*.
 - ▶ Recall that System F_ω replicates the *simply typed λ -calculus* on the type level.
- ▶ This gives us the expressiveness to state the exception type of *map* as:

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall e_2\ e_3. (\forall e_1. \alpha^{e_1} \xrightarrow{e_3} \beta^{(e_2\ e_1)}) \\ & \rightarrow (\forall e_4\ e_5. [\alpha^{e_4}]^{e_5} \rightarrow [\beta^{(e_2\ e_4 \cup e_3)}]^{e_5}) \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Note that e_2 is an *exception operator* of kind $\text{EXN} \rightarrow \text{EXN}$.

Motivation

- ▶ Given the following functions:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{map} \quad &:: \forall e_2 \ e_3. (\forall e_1. \alpha^{e_1} \xrightarrow{e_3} \beta^{(e_2 \ e_1)}) \\ &\rightarrow (\forall e_4 \ e_5. [\alpha^{e_4}]^{e_5} \rightarrow [\beta^{(e_2 \ e_4 \cup e_3)}]^{e_5}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{id} \quad :: \forall e. \alpha^e \xrightarrow{\emptyset} \alpha^e$$

$$\text{const } \perp_{\mathbf{E}} :: \forall e. \alpha^e \xrightarrow{\emptyset} \beta^{\{\mathbf{E}\}}$$

- ▶ Applying *id* or *const* $\perp_{\mathbf{E}}$ to *map* will give rise the the instantiations $e_2 \mapsto \lambda e. e$, respectively $e_2 \mapsto \lambda e. \{\mathbf{E}\}$.
- ▶ This gives us the exception types:

$$\text{map id} \quad :: \forall e_4 \ e_5. [\alpha^{e_4}]^{e_5} \rightarrow [\alpha^{e_4}]^{e_5}$$

$$\text{map } (\text{const } \perp_{\mathbf{E}}) :: \forall e_4 \ e_5. [\alpha^{e_4}]^{e_5} \rightarrow [\beta^{\{\mathbf{E}\}}]^{e_5}$$

as desired.

Technicalities

- ▶ Due to their syntactic weight, higher-ranked exception type only seem useful if they can be inferred automatically.
- ▶ Unlike for HM type inference is undecidable in F_ω .
- ▶ However, the exception types are annotations piggybacking on top of an underlying type system.
- ▶ Holdermans and Hage [HH10] showed type inference is decidable for a higher-ranked annotated type system with type operators performing control-flow analysis.

Technicalities



Stefan Holdermans and Jurriaan Hage, *Polyvariant flow analysis with higher-ranked polymorphic types and higher-order effect operators*, Proceedings of the 15th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Functional Programming (New York, NY, USA), ICFP '10, ACM, 2010, pp. 63–74.