Type-based Program Analysis IPA Spring Days 2013

Ruud Koot Utrecht University r.koot@uu.nl

May 13, 2012

Type systems

Definitions

Syntax

$$e ::= x \mid \lambda x. \ e \mid e_1 \ e_2 \ \mid (e_1, e_2) \mid \text{true} \mid \text{false} \ \mid \text{if } e_1 \text{ then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3$$

Types

$$\tau ::= \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \mid \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \mid \mathbf{bool}$$

Typing rules

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash e : \tau_2}{\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash x : \tau} \left[\text{T-Var} \right] \qquad \frac{\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash e : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.e : \tau_1 \to \tau_2} \left[\text{T-Abs} \right]$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_2 \to \tau_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \ e_2 : \tau_1} \left[\text{T-App} \right]$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash (e_1, e_2) : \tau_1 \times \tau_2} \left[\text{T-Pair} \right]$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \text{true} : \textbf{bool}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{true} : \textbf{bool}} \left[\text{T-True} \right] \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \textbf{e}_1 : \textbf{bool}}{\Gamma \vdash \textbf{e}_1 : \textbf{bool}} \left[\text{T-False} \right]$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \textbf{bool}}{\Gamma \vdash \textbf{e}_1 : \textbf{bool}} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e_3 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \textbf{if} \ e_1 \ \textbf{then} \ e_2 \ \textbf{else} \ e_3 : \tau} \left[\text{T-If} \right]$$

Typing derivation

Example

$$(\lambda x_1.\lambda x_2.x_1 x_2) (\lambda x_3.x_3)$$
 true

Derivation

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{x_1:bool\rightarrow bool,...\vdash x_1:bool\rightarrow bool}{x_1:bool\rightarrow bool,x_2:bool\vdash x_2:bool} & \\ \frac{x_1:bool\rightarrow bool,x_2:bool\vdash x_1}{x_1:bool\rightarrow bool,x_2:bool\rightarrow bool} & \\ \frac{x_1:bool\rightarrow bool,x_2:bool\rightarrow bool}{x_1:bool\rightarrow bool\rightarrow bool\rightarrow bool} & \frac{x_3:bool\vdash x_3:bool}{x_3:bool\rightarrow bool} \\ \frac{\vdash (\lambda x_1.\lambda x_2.x_1 \ x_2) \ (\lambda x_3.x_3):bool\rightarrow bool}{\vdash (\lambda x_1.\lambda x_2.x_1 \ x_2) \ (\lambda x_3.x_3):bool\rightarrow bool} & \vdash true:bool \\ \end{array}$$

Inference Algorithm W (Damas-Hindley-Milner)

Control-flow analysis

- Problem Software analysis tools often require access to a control flow graph:
 - For first-order languages it can be extracted syntactically.
 - Higher-order languages require semantic methods.
- Analysis Solve the *dynamic dispatch problem*. I.e., for a given program e, determine for all variables x_i in e to which abstractions they can be bound at run-time.

Example 1

Example

$$(\lambda x_1.\lambda x_2.x_1 \ x_2) \ (\lambda x_3.x_3)$$
 true

Solution

$$x_1 \mapsto \{x_3\}$$
 $x_2 \mapsto \emptyset$
 $x_3 \mapsto \emptyset$

Example 2

Example

$$(\lambda x_1.\lambda x_2.x_1 x_2) (\lambda x_3.x_3) (\lambda x_4.x_4)$$

Solution

$$x_1 \mapsto \{x_3\}$$

$$x_2 \mapsto \{x_4\}$$

$$x_3 \mapsto \{x_4\}$$

$$x_4 \mapsto \emptyset$$

Annotated types

Annotations

$$\varphi \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{Var})$$

Types

$$\widehat{\tau} ::= \widehat{ au}_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi} \widehat{ au}_2 \mid \widehat{ au}_1 imes \widehat{ au}_2 \mid \mathbf{bool}$$

Type system (1st attempt)

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : \widehat{\tau} \vdash x : \widehat{\tau}}{\Gamma, x : \widehat{\tau} \vdash x : \widehat{\tau}} \ [\mathsf{CF-Var}] \qquad \qquad \frac{\Gamma, x : \widehat{\tau}_1 \vdash e : \widehat{\tau}_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.e : \widehat{\tau}_1 \xrightarrow{\{x\}} \widehat{\tau}_2} \ [\mathsf{CF-Abs}]$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \widehat{\tau}_2 \xrightarrow{\varphi} \widehat{\tau}_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \widehat{\tau}_2}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \ e_2 : \widehat{\tau}_1} \ [\mathsf{CF-App}]$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \widehat{\tau}_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \widehat{\tau}_2}{\Gamma \vdash (e_1, e_2) : \widehat{\tau}_1 \times \widehat{\tau}_2} \ [\mathsf{CF-Pair}]$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{true} : \mathbf{bool}} \ [\mathsf{CF-True}] \qquad \qquad \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{false} : \mathbf{bool}} \ [\mathsf{CF-False}]$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \mathbf{bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \widehat{\tau} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_3 : \widehat{\tau}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{if} \ e_1 \ \mathbf{then} \ e_2 \ \mathbf{else} \ e_3 : \widehat{\tau}} \ [\mathsf{CF-lf}]$$

Typing derivation

Example

$$(\lambda x_1.\lambda x_2.x_1 x_2) (\lambda x_3.x_3) (\lambda x_4.x_4)$$

Derivation

$$\frac{\vdots}{x_{1}:...\xrightarrow{x_{3}}...,x_{2}:\widehat{\tau}\xrightarrow{x_{4}}\widehat{\tau}\vdash x_{1}\xrightarrow{x_{2}:\widehat{\tau}}}}{x_{1}:...\xrightarrow{x_{3}}...\vdash\lambda x_{2}.x_{1}\xrightarrow{x_{2}:\widehat{\tau}}\widehat{\tau}\xrightarrow{x_{4}}\widehat{\tau}\xrightarrow{\tau}\xrightarrow{x_{4}}\widehat{\tau}}\frac{x_{4}}{x_{3}:\widehat{\tau}\xrightarrow{x_{4}}\widehat{\tau}\vdash x_{3}:\widehat{\tau}\xrightarrow{x_{4}}\widehat{\tau}}}{+\lambda x_{1}.\lambda x_{2}.x_{1}\xrightarrow{x_{2}:...}\widehat{\tau}\xrightarrow{x_{1}}...}\frac{x_{1}}{\vdash\lambda x_{2}.x_{3}:\widehat{\tau}\xrightarrow{x_{4}}\widehat{\tau}\vdash x_{3}:\widehat{\tau}\xrightarrow{x_{4}}\widehat{\tau}}}$$

$$\frac{\vdash (\lambda x_{1}.\lambda x_{2}.x_{1}\xrightarrow{x_{2}:...}\widehat{\tau}\xrightarrow{x_{1}})...}{\vdash\lambda x_{3}.x_{3}:(\widehat{\tau}\xrightarrow{x_{4}}\widehat{\tau})\xrightarrow{x_{3}}\widehat{\tau}\xrightarrow{x_{4}}\widehat{\tau}}}\frac{x_{4}:\widehat{\tau}\vdash x_{4}:\widehat{\tau}}{\vdash\lambda x_{4}:\widehat{\tau}\xrightarrow{x_{4}}\widehat{\tau}}}$$

$$\vdash (\lambda x_{1}.\lambda x_{2}.x_{1}\xrightarrow{x_{2}:..}(\lambda x_{3}.x_{3}):(\widehat{\tau}\xrightarrow{x_{4}}\widehat{\tau})\xrightarrow{x_{3}}\widehat{\tau}\xrightarrow{x_{4}}\widehat{\tau}}$$

$$\vdash (\lambda x_{1}.\lambda x_{2}.x_{1}\xrightarrow{x_{2}:..}(\lambda x_{3}.x_{3}):(\widehat{\tau}\xrightarrow{x_{4}}\widehat{\tau})\xrightarrow{x_{3}}\widehat{\tau}\xrightarrow{x_{4}}\widehat{\tau}}$$

Inference

- Algorithm W with unification modulo UCAI
- Two-phase constraint-based type inference



Conservative extension

• This system fails to be a *conservative extension*:

if true then
$$(\lambda x_1.x_1)$$
 else $(\lambda x_2.x_2)$

Conservative extension

• This system fails to be a conservative extension:

if true then
$$(\lambda x_1.x_1)$$
 else $(\lambda x_2.x_2)$

• Introduce subeffecting:

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : \widehat{\tau}_1 \vdash e : \widehat{\tau}_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.e : \widehat{\tau}_1} \underbrace{\{x\} \cup \varphi}_{\{x\} \cup \varphi} \widehat{\tau}_2} [\mathsf{CF-Abs}]$$

Poisoning

Subeffecting can cause poisoning:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{let} & & \textit{id} = \lambda x_1.x_1 \\ &\text{in} & & \text{(if true then } \textit{id else } (\lambda x_2.x_2)\,, \\ & & & \text{if true then } \textit{id else } (\lambda x_3.x_3)\,\,) \end{aligned}$$

Need to assign to id the type:

$$id: \widehat{\tau} \xrightarrow{\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}} \widehat{\tau}$$

Analyzed type of the whole program is:

$$\left(\widehat{\tau} \xrightarrow{\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}} \widehat{\tau}\right) \times \left(\widehat{\tau} \xrightarrow{\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}} \widehat{\tau}\right)$$

instead of:

$$\left(\widehat{\tau} \xrightarrow{\{x_1, x_2\}} \widehat{\tau}\right) \times \left(\widehat{\tau} \xrightarrow{\{x_1, x_3\}} \widehat{\tau}\right)$$

Context-sensitivity

Introduce subtyping:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \textbf{bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \widehat{\tau}_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_3 : \widehat{\tau}_3 \quad \widehat{\tau}_2 \leq \widehat{\tau} \quad \widehat{\tau}_3 \leq \widehat{\tau}}{\Gamma \vdash \textbf{if} \ e_1 \ \textbf{then} \ e_2 \ \textbf{else} \ e_3 : \widehat{\tau}} \ \left[\mathsf{CF-If} \right]$$

$$\frac{\widehat{\tau}_1' \leq \widehat{\tau}_1 \quad \widehat{\tau}_2 \leq \widehat{\tau}_2' \quad \varphi \subseteq \varphi'}{\widehat{\tau}_1 \stackrel{\varphi}{\rightarrow} \widehat{\tau}_2 \leq \widehat{\tau}_1' \stackrel{\varphi'}{\rightarrow} \widehat{\tau}_2'} \text{ [S-Arrow]}$$

Context-sensitivity

Introduce subtyping:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \textbf{bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \widehat{\tau}_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_3 : \widehat{\tau}_3 \quad \widehat{\tau}_2 \leq \widehat{\tau} \quad \widehat{\tau}_3 \leq \widehat{\tau}}{\Gamma \vdash \textbf{if} \ e_1 \ \textbf{then} \ e_2 \ \textbf{else} \ e_3 : \widehat{\tau}} \ [\text{CF-If}]$$

$$\frac{\widehat{\tau}_1' \leq \widehat{\tau}_1 \quad \widehat{\tau}_2 \leq \widehat{\tau}_2' \quad \varphi \subseteq \varphi'}{\widehat{\tau}_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi} \widehat{\tau}_2 \leq \widehat{\tau}_1' \xrightarrow{\varphi'} \widehat{\tau}_2'} \text{ [S-Arrow]}$$

• Introduce polyvariance:

$$id: \forall \varphi: \widehat{\tau} \xrightarrow{\{x_1\} \cup \varphi} \widehat{\tau}$$

Exception analysis

- Accurately approximate exceptions that may be thrown at run-time
- In particular: pattern-match failures
 - Requires analyzing data flow

Example

```
Program
```

REPL

```
risers [1,4,7,3,6,2] > [[1,4,7],[3,6],[2]]
```

The three branches can be assigned the types:

risers₁:
$$\forall \alpha. Ord \ \alpha \Rightarrow [\alpha]^{\mathbf{N}} \rightarrow [[\alpha]^{\mathbf{N} \sqcup \mathbf{C}}]^{\mathbf{N}}$$

risers₂: $\forall \alpha. Ord \ \alpha \Rightarrow [\alpha]^{\mathbf{C}} \rightarrow [[\alpha]^{\mathbf{N} \sqcup \mathbf{C}}]^{\mathbf{C}}$
risers₃: $\forall \alpha. Ord \ \alpha \Rightarrow [\alpha]^{\mathbf{C}} \rightarrow [[\alpha]^{\mathbf{N} \sqcup \mathbf{C}}]^{\mathbf{C}}$

• The whole function then gets type:

risers :
$$\forall \alpha. Ord \ \alpha \Rightarrow [\alpha]^{\mathsf{N} \sqcup \mathsf{C}} \to [[\alpha]^{\mathsf{N} \sqcup \mathsf{C}}]^{\mathsf{N} \sqcup \mathsf{C}}$$

This is not what we want!

Polyvariant recursion

• It seems polyvariance might save us:

risers :
$$\forall \alpha \beta. Ord \ \alpha \Rightarrow [\alpha]^{\beta} \rightarrow [[\alpha]^{\mathbf{N} \sqcup \mathbf{C}}]^{\beta}$$

- But in Hindley–Milner fix is always monomorphic
- We need Milner–Mycroft's polymorphic fix

Conditional constraints

- Exception flow depends on data flow
- (And in case of an imprecise exception semantics data flow will depend on exception flow)
- Expressed using conditional constraints / types:

$$\mathbf{N} \sqsubseteq_{\mathsf{D}} \beta_1 \Longrightarrow \sharp_{\mathsf{PMF}} \sqsubseteq_{\mathsf{E}} \beta_2$$

- Algorithmic complications in combination with polyvariant recursion:
 - Need to solve the constraint entailment problem
 - Dussart, Henglein & Mossin's method does not seem applicable
 - But we can do an additional approximation

Intentionally left blank