Taking minutes

**Agenda Meeting Week 7**

Location: Drebbelweg PC Hall 1

Datum: 28/03/2023

Time: 16:45 - 17:30

Attendees: Diego Becerra Merodio, Gerrit Croes, Teun Klaassens, Stoyan Markov, Yuliia

Shkekina, Maria Tudor

Chair: Yuliia Shkekina

Minute Taker: Maria Tudor

**Agenda-items**

[16:45 - 16:47]

**Opening by chair**

[16:47 - 16:49]

**Check-in:**

*How is everyone doing?* - Everyone is pretty stressed but motivated to work more.

[16:49 - 16:51]

**TA:**

*Anything to announce before we continue? Any important remarks?*

Some of us might receive concernment emails if we had a small code contribution. If that is the case, we should text Mirella and ask for tips on how to improve our performance.

Next week we have a shorter meeting, and it is expected to take place sometime in the first two time slots. Mirella will send us the exact time we should be there.

[16:51 - 16:55]

**Announcements:**

*Passed deadlines:* Draft of Heuristic Usability Evaluation - has been handed-in on time

*Future deadlines*: [Teamwork Self Reflection (Draft)](https://se.ewi.tudelft.nl/oopp/assignments/teamwork/)

[Product Pitch (Draft)](https://se.ewi.tudelft.nl/oopp/assignments/product-pitch/)

[16:55 - 16:57]

**Approval of the agenda:**

*Does anyone have any additions to the agenda?* - Everyone agreed.

[16:57 - 17:00]

**Heuristic Usability Evaluation (Draft) feedback summary:**

*What does everyone think about the draft of Heuristic Usability Evaluation?*

The work was not split equally, so next time we will try to make it so that everyone writes a paragraph. Moreover, the whole process was really rushed. We could have planned better and done a better job.

[17:00 - 17:03]

**Heuristic Usability Evaluation (Draft)** **TA’s verbal feedback:**

We should have written a deal more in the *improvements* paragraph, as it is a very important part of the HUA. Furthermore, it is also necessary that we add some pictures with the improved UI (which can be done in Figma, it does not have to be our actual application at this point in time).

The final grade will be assessed using the formative feedback rubric.

[17:03 - 17:08]

**Current state of the application:**

Not great, we still have a lot to do. Some of the urgent parts we need to work on are:

* fix bugs in the code (Stoyan Markov, Diego Becerra Merodio)
* drag and drop (Gerrit Croes)
* CSS stylesheets (Yuliia Shkekina)
* edit task button (Maria Tudor)
* delete task button (Teun Klaassens)

[17:08 - 17:10]

**TA feedback:**

*Any comments/feedback about this meeting so far?*

1. We should split the code equally from now on.
2. We should make sure that everyone has contributions on both the frontend and the backend.
3. We should plan and work more efficiently this week as we are very behind on our original schedule, and we still need to finish the basic requirements.

[17:10- 17:20]

[**Teamwork Self Reflection**](https://se.ewi.tudelft.nl/oopp/assignments/teamwork/) **(Draft),** [**Product Pitch (Draft)**](https://se.ewi.tudelft.nl/oopp/assignments/product-pitch/) **discussion:**

For the *Product Pitch* we can:

* have a script
* use Zoom so that we all appear in the video while we present the application
* code more so that we have more to present in the video

[17:20 - 17:22]

**Summary** **action points:**

*Who will be the next meeting chair and minute taker?*

Next chair: Maria Tudor

Next minute taker: Diego Becerra Merodio

[17:22 - 17:25]

**Feedback round:**

*What went well and what can be improved next time?*

We should meet more often and code together.

We all agreed that we should plan better and stick to the respective plans.

Basic requirements still need to be done.

Code contributions should be equal.