

Back to the equation $ax + by = c$.

- (a, b) divides both a and b

$\Rightarrow (a, b)$ divides $ax + by$

No solution unless $(a, b) \mid c$.

What about $c = (a, b)$?

Theorem: There are integers x, y such that

$$ax + by = (a, b)$$

Proof: We'll give an algorithm that finds (a, b) and integers x, y such that $ax + by = (a, b)$ (Euclid's algorithm)

By Division algorithm, we can write

- $a = k_0 \cdot b + r_0$, $0 \leq r_0 < |b|$

Lemma:
 $(a, b) = (b, r_0)$.

Then, continue using Division algorithm to find (b, r_0) (will prove later)

- $b = k_1 \cdot r_0 + r_1$, $0 \leq r_1 < r_0 \rightarrow (b, r_0) = (r_0, r_1)$

- $r_0 = k_2 \cdot r_1 + r_2$, $0 \leq r_2 < r_1 < r_0 \rightarrow (r_0, r_1) = (r_1, r_2)$

\vdots

- $r_{n-2} = k_n \cdot r_{n-1} + \overset{0}{\underset{\parallel}{r_n}}$ because r_0, r_1, r_2, \dots decreasing.

$$\Rightarrow (a, b) = (b, r_0) = (r_0, r_1) = \dots = (r_{n-2}, r_{n-1}) = (r_{n-1}, \overset{0}{r_n}) \\ = r_{n-1}.$$

Tracing back our steps, we can find x and y such that $ax + by = r_{n-1}$

- Begin with the equation (2^{nd} from last)

$$r_{n-3} = k_{n-1} \cdot r_{n-2} + r_{n-1} \Rightarrow r_{n-1} = r_{n-3} - k_{n-1} \cdot r_{n-2}$$

- Replace r_{n-2} on RHS using the equation

$$r_{n-4} = k_{n-2} \cdot r_{n-3} + r_{n-2} \Rightarrow r_{n-2} = r_{n-4} - k_{n-2} \cdot r_{n-3}$$

$$\text{Now, } r_{n-1} = r_{n-3} - k_{n-1} \cdot (r_{n-4} - k_{n-2} \cdot r_{n-3})$$

- Replace r_{n-3} similarly ...

\vdots

Moving upward, we eventually get $ax + by = r_{n-1}$.

Lemma: $a = kb + r$, then $(a, b) = (b, r)$

Proof: $c|a$ and $c|b \Rightarrow c|a - kb = r$

$$\Rightarrow c|b \text{ and } c|r$$

$$d|b \text{ and } d|r \Rightarrow d|kb + r$$

$$\Rightarrow d|a \text{ and } d|b$$

Same common divisors mean same \gcd .

Example: $a = 600$ and $b = 136$

$$600 = 4 \cdot 136 + 56$$

$$136 = 2 \cdot 56 + 24$$

$$56 = 2 \cdot 24 + 8$$

$$24 = 3 \cdot 8 + 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (600, 136) = 8$$

$$8 = 56 - 2 \cdot 24 = 56 - 2 \cdot (136 - 2 \cdot 56)$$

$$= 5 \cdot 56 - 2 \cdot 136$$

$$= 5 \cdot (600 - 4 \cdot 136) - 2 \cdot 136$$

$$= 5 \cdot 600 - 22 \cdot 136.$$

Corollary: $ax + by = c$ has solution if and only if $(a, b) \mid c$.

$$ax + by = (a, b) \Rightarrow a \cdot kx + b \cdot ky = k \cdot (a, b)$$

An alternative definition for $\gcd: (a, b)$ is the smallest positive integer that can be written $ax + by$.

(Very useful to prove some properties)

- a, b not all zero.

$$a = 24 \quad b = 30$$

$$(a, b) = 6$$

$$c|a \text{ and } c|b \Leftrightarrow c|(a, b) \quad \text{common divisors}$$

$$\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 6$$

Common divisors are divisors of greatest common divisor

Proof: (\Leftarrow) : obvious.

$$c|(a, b) \mid a \text{ and } c|(a, b) \mid b$$

$$(\Rightarrow): c|a \text{ and } c|b \Rightarrow c|ax + by$$

"
 (a, b)

- a, b not both zero

$$(a, b, c) = ((a, b), c)$$

$$\underbrace{x|a, x|b, x|c} \Rightarrow x|(a, b) \text{ and } x|c$$

$$y|(a, b) \text{ and } y|c \Rightarrow \underbrace{y|a \text{ and } y|b}, y|c$$

Same common divisors, same gcd.

$$m > 0$$

- $(ma, mb) = m \cdot (a, b)$

$$\begin{aligned} (ma, mb) &= \text{smallest pos. int. } max + mby \\ &= \text{smallest pos. int. } m(ax + by) \end{aligned}$$

= m. smallest pos. int. $ax + by$

= m. (a, b)