Recall

- Lagrange
- Fermat: $a^{p-1} \equiv i \pmod{p}$ for (a,p) = 1 $\alpha^{p} \equiv a \pmod{p}$ for all a
- · Wilson
- "n passes base a test" if $a^n \equiv a \pmod{n}$
- · passing base 2 test: pseudoprime.
- e.g. n = 341 is a pseudoprime.

11.31

341 passes base 2 test. What about base 3 test?

•
$$3^{341} = (3^{10})^{34}$$
 · $3 = 3 \pmod{11}$ $3^{8} = 144 = 20 = -11$ $3^{4} = 9^{2} = 19 = -12$

•
$$3^{341} \equiv (3^{30})^{11} \quad 3^{11} \equiv 3^{11} \equiv 3^{11} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 3^{8} = 3 \cdot 9 \cdot (-11) \equiv 13 \pmod{31}$$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 3 $\stackrel{341}{\neq}$ 3 (mod 341)

=) 341 fails base 3 test.

Question: Is there any composite number which passes base a test, i.e. $a^n \equiv a \pmod{n}$ for all a?

Answer: Yes, and they are called Carmichael numbers.

3:11.17

Example: 561 is a Carmichael number

- $a = (a^2)^{280}$ $a = a \pmod{3}$ when (a,3) = 1, $a^{561} = 0 = a \pmod{3}$ if $(a,3) \neq 1$.
- $a^{561} \equiv (a^{10})^{56}$ $a \equiv a \pmod{11}$ when (a, 11) = 1 $a^{561} \equiv 0 \equiv a \pmod{11}$ if $(a, 11) \neq 1$
- mod 17 is similar: $a^{561} = (a^{16})^{35}$ a
- $\Rightarrow a^{561} \equiv a \pmod{561}$ by CRT.

Exercise: Suppose $n = p_1 p_2 \dots p_k$ is a product of distinct primes such that $p_i - 1 \mid n - 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, then n is a Carmichael number.

• Same idea with the example. The converse is also true, but we'll not prove now.

```
Congruences modulo pk polynomial
We now focus on f(x) \equiv O \pmod{p^k}
We can solve f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, using the solution
we'll find we can next solve f(x) = O (mod p2),
and then f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^3}, ... until mod pk.
Example: x^3 - x^2 - x + 4 = 0 \pmod{27}
Step 1: x^3 - x^2 - x + 4 = 0 \pmod{3}
\Rightarrow x \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{3}
\Rightarrow x = 3k + 1 or x = 3k + 2
Step 2.1 : x = 3k + 1
(3k+1)^3 - (3k+1)^2 - (3k+1) + 4 \equiv 0 \pmod{9}
\Rightarrow 27k^3 + 27k^2 + 9k + 1 - 9k^2 - 6k - 1 - 3k - 1 + 4 = 0 \pmod{9}
\Rightarrow -9k + 3 \equiv 0 \pmod{9}
\Rightarrow 3 \equiv 0 (mod 9), no solution.
Step 2.2 : x = 3k + 2
(3k+2)^3 - (3k+2)^2 - (3k+2) + 4 \equiv 0 \pmod{9}
```

$$\Rightarrow 27k^{3} + 54k^{2} + 36k + 8 - 9k^{2} - 12k - 4 - 3k - 2 + 4 = 0 \pmod{9}$$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 -15 k + 6 \equiv 0 (mod 9)

$$\Rightarrow$$
 -5k + 2 = 0 (mod 3)

$$\Rightarrow$$
 k + 2 = 0 (mod 3)

$$\Rightarrow$$
 k= 1 (mod 3)

$$\Rightarrow$$
 x = 3k + 2 = 3 · (3 l + 1) + 2 = 9 l + 5.

$$(9l+5)^3 - (9l+5)^2 - (9l+5) + 4 \equiv 0 \pmod{27}$$

$$\Rightarrow 9^{3}\ell^{3} + 3 \cdot 9^{2} \cdot \ell^{2} \cdot 5 + 3 \cdot 9 \cdot \ell \cdot 5^{2} + 125 - 8 \cdot \ell^{2} - 90\ell - 25 - 9\ell - 5 + 4$$

$$\equiv 0 \pmod{27}$$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 $\ell + 2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$

$$x = 9l + 5 = 9 \cdot (3m + 1) + 5 = 27m + 14$$

Hensel's Lemma: If $f(a) \equiv O \pmod{p^3}$ and $f'(a) \not\equiv O \pmod{p}$, then there is a unique $0 \leqslant t \leqslant p-1$ such that $f(a+t\cdot p^3) \equiv O \pmod{p^{3+1}}$

- What does it mean? If a (mod p) is a solution, then a can be lifted uniquely to mod p^2 , and to mod p^3 ,, to mod p^k when $f'(a) \neq 0$ (mod p)
- $f(x) = x^3 x^2 x + 4$ f(2) = O(mod 3) $f'(x) = 3x^2 - 2x - 1$ $f'(2) \neq O(mod 3)$ $\Rightarrow 2 \pmod{3} \Rightarrow 14 \pmod{27}$

However, $f'(1) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and we couldn't lift 1 mod 3 to mod 27.

Before proving Hensel's Lemma,

Binomial Theorem:

$$(x+y)^{n} = x + n \cdot x + (x+y)^{n-1} + (x+y)^{n-2} + (x+y)^{n-1} + (x+$$

Proof of Hensel: f(x)=cd x + cd-1 x + ... + c, x + co. We have $f(a) \equiv O \pmod{p^J}$ $-f(a+t\cdot p^{j}) = c_{d} \cdot (a+t\cdot p^{j})^{d} + c_{d-1} \cdot (a+t\cdot p^{j})^{d-1}$ $+ \dots + c_2 \cdot (a + t \cdot p^j)^2 + c_1 \cdot (a + t \cdot p^j) + c_0$ • With Binomial Theorem $(a+tp^{j})^{d} \equiv a^{d}+d \cdot a^{d-1}+p^{j}+ something divisible by$ $\equiv a^{d} + d \cdot a^{d-1} + p^{j} \pmod{p^{j+1}}$ $\Rightarrow f(a+tp^{j}) = c_{1} \cdot (a^{d}+da^{d-1}tp^{j}) + c_{d-1}(a^{d-1}(d-1)a^{d-2}tp^{j})$ $+ \dots + c_{3} \cdot (a^{2} + 2at_{p}^{3}) + c_{1} \cdot (a+t_{p}^{3}) + c_{0}$ $= (c_{d} a^{d} + c_{d-1} a^{d-1} + + c_{n} a^{2} + c_{n} a + c_{n})$ $+ t p^{\frac{1}{3}} (c_1 \cdot d \cdot a^{d-1} + c_{1-1} (d-1) a^{d-2} + ... + c_1 \cdot 2 \cdot a + c_1)$ $\equiv f(a) + t p^{j} \cdot f'(a) \pmod{p^{J+1}}$ $f(a+t \cdot p^{j}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{j+1}}$ means $f(a) + t \cdot p^{3} \cdot f'(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{3+1}}$

Write $f(a) = p^{j} \cdot k$

 $p^{j} \cdot k + t \cdot p^{j} \cdot f'(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{j+1}}$

 $k + t \cdot f'(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

We proved $f(a+t\cdot p^{j}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{j+1}}$ if and only if $k+t\cdot f'(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$

If $f'(a) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then there is a unique solution t.

Actually, we proved something more.

Hensel's Lemma (continued): Let $f(a) \equiv O \pmod{p^3}$

and $f'(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$

Case 1: $\frac{f(a)}{p^{\frac{1}{3}}} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \Rightarrow a$ cannot be lifted to mod $p^{\frac{1}{3}+1}$.

Case 2: $\frac{f(a)}{p^{j}} \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \Rightarrow f(a+tp^{j}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for all t=0,1,...,p-1, i.e. a can be lifted to p solutions in mod p^{j+1} . Remark: When $f'(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, either every lift is a solution or none of them is a solution.

Counting solutions with Hensel

(1)
$$x^3 - x^2 + 4x + 1 = 0 \pmod{125}$$

In mod 5, $f(1) = f(4) = 0 \pmod{5}$

$$f'(x) = 3x^2 - 2x + 4$$
.

$$f'(1) = 0 \pmod{5}$$
 $f'(4) \neq 0 \pmod{5}$

no solution unique solution in \mathbb{Z}_{125} $\frac{f(1)}{5} \neq 0 \pmod{5}$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 One solution in \mathbb{Z}_{125} .

We'll continue with more examples on Wednesday,