

We continue with a few more examples on counting the solutions to polynomial congruences modulo  $p^k$  using Hensel's lemma.

$$\textcircled{2} \quad f(x) = x^2 + x + 7 \quad f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{27}$$

$$f(0) = 7 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3} \quad f(1) = 9 \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \quad f(2) = 13 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$$

$$f'(x) = 2x + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad f'(1) = 3 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$$

In mod 9 either

- 1, 4, 7 are all solutions, or
- none of them is a solution.

$$f(1) = 9 \equiv 0 \pmod{9} \Rightarrow 1 \text{ is a solution mod } 9 \\ \Rightarrow 1, 4, 7 \text{ are solutions mod } 9.$$

$$f(1) = 9 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{27} \Rightarrow 1, 10, 19 \text{ are not solutions} \\ \text{in mod } 27.$$

$$f(4) = 27 \equiv 0 \pmod{27} \Rightarrow 4, 13, 22 \text{ are solutions mod } 27.$$

$$f(7) = 63 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{27} \Rightarrow 7, 16, 25 \text{ are not solutions} \\ \text{in mod } 27.$$

$$\Rightarrow x \equiv 4, 13, 22 \pmod{27}$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad f(x) = x^3 + 4x^2 + 19x + 1 \quad f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{25}$$

$$f(0) \equiv 1, \quad f(1) \equiv 0, \quad f(2) \equiv 3, \quad f(3) \equiv 1, \quad f(4) \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$$

$$f'(x) = 3x^2 + 8x + 19 \quad f'(1) \equiv 0 \pmod{5}, \quad f'(4) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}$$

- 4 can be lifted uniquely to mod 25.
- $f(1) = 25 \equiv 0 \pmod{25}$

$\Rightarrow 1, 6, 11, 16, 21$  are solutions mod 25

So,  $1 + 5 = 6$  solutions in mod 25.

Recall Fermat's Theorem

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \quad \text{when } (a, p) = 1$$

Is it true when  $p$  is replaced with a composite number?

Not in general. For example,

$$3^3 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$$

$$5^5 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{6}$$

Goal: To modify the proof of Fermat's Theorem to have a result mod  $n$ .

Is this true:  $(a, n) = 1$ .  $\{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$  and

$\{a, 2a, \dots, (n-1) \cdot a\}$  are the same mod  $n$ ?

• For example  $\{1, 2, 3\} \equiv \{3, 6, 9\} \pmod{4}$ .

• This will be true, but let's not prove it.

$$\Rightarrow 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot \dots \cdot (n-1) \equiv a \cdot 2a \cdot 3a \cdot \dots \cdot (n-1)a \pmod{n}$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot \dots \cdot (n-1) \equiv a^{n-1} \cdot 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot \dots \cdot (n-1) \pmod{n}$$

However, we cannot do the cancellations here because

$$ux \equiv uy \pmod{n} \Rightarrow x \equiv y \pmod{\frac{n}{(n,u)}}$$

To have the analog of Fermat's Theorem, we should work with

$$\{u : 1 \leq u \leq n-1 \text{ and } (n, u) = 1\}$$

instead of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ .

Definition: We'll say  $u$  is a unit modulo  $n$  if it has an inverse (or equivalently  $(u, n) = 1$ ).

$$\rightarrow u^{-1} \pmod{n} : uu^{-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

• Definition doesn't depend on the representative  $u$  of a congruence class.

e.g.  $5 \pmod{6}$  ,  $11 \pmod{6}$  ,  $17 \pmod{6}$

Units of  $\mathbb{Z}_8$  :  $1, 3, 5, 7$

Units of  $\mathbb{Z}_9$  :  $1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8$

Units of  $\mathbb{Z}_{10}$  :  $1, 3, 7, 9$

Units of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  :  $1, 2, 3, \dots, p-1$  .

Theorem: Let  $u$  and  $v$  be units in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  .

Then ,

- $u^{-1}, v^{-1}$

- $-u, -v$

- $uv$

are also units in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  .

Proof: •  $u^{-1}$  and  $v^{-1}$  are units by definition

$$\bullet (-u) \cdot (-u^{-1}) \equiv 1 \equiv (-v) \cdot (-v^{-1}) \pmod{n}$$

$\Rightarrow -u$  and  $-v$  are units

$$\bullet (uv) \cdot (u^{-1}v^{-1}) = uu^{-1} \cdot vv^{-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

$\Rightarrow uv$  is a unit.