

Neural Network + RISE Control Design

December 17, 2021

1 Problem Statement

System:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 &= x_2 \\ M_w(x_1)\dot{x}_2 &= f_{1w}(x) + x_3 + d_{1w}(x, t), \\ \dot{x}_3 &= x_4 \\ J_w\dot{x}_4 &= f_{2w}(x) + u + d_{2w}(t) \end{cases}, \quad (1)$$

where $x = [x_1^\top \ x_2^\top \ x_3^\top \ x_4^\top]^\top \in \mathbb{R}^8$ is the system state, functions $f_{iw}(x) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $M_w(x_1) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2} > 0$ represent unknown dynamics with continuously differentiable nominal models $\bar{f}_{iw}(x)$ and $\bar{M}_w(x_1)$, respectively, $J_w \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$ is an unknown constant parameter, $d_{iw} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ are continuous transformed time-varying disturbance such that $\|d_{1w}(x, t)\|_1 \leq \rho_0$ and $(d_{2w}(t), \dot{d}_{2w}(t)) \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$ with some known constant ρ_0 .

The control objective is to design a controller $u(t)$ to ensure a practically stable tracking of $x_1(t)$ to some known trajectory $x_{1d}(t)$ satisfying $(x_{1d}, \dot{x}_{1d}, \ddot{x}_{1d}) \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$.

2 Control Formulation

We apply the Backstepping Method as follows.

Step 1:

Define the tracking error

$$e_1(t) = x_1(t) - x_{1d}(t), \quad (2)$$

and set

$$s = \dot{e}_1 + \sigma_1 e_1, \quad (3)$$

we have

$$M_w(x_1)\dot{s} = f_{1w}(x) + x_3 + d_{1w}(x, t) + M_w(x_1)(\sigma_1 \dot{e}_1 - \ddot{x}_{1d}). \quad (4)$$

Define

$$z_1(t) = x_3(t) - p(t), \quad (5)$$

where $p(t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is the output of the following 3-rd order filter

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\xi} &= A\xi + BC\alpha \\ p &= C\xi \end{cases}, \quad (6)$$

where $\xi(t) = [\xi_1^\top(t) \ \xi_2^\top(t) \ \xi_3^\top(t)]^\top \in \mathbb{R}^6$ is the filter state, $\alpha(t) = [\alpha_1^\top(t) \ \dot{\alpha}_1^\top(t) \ \ddot{\alpha}_1^\top(t)]^\top \in \mathbb{R}^6$ with $\alpha_1(t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ being the virtual control to be designed, the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{2 \times 2} & I_{2 \times 2} & \mathbf{0}_{2 \times 2} \\ \mathbf{0}_{2 \times 2} & \mathbf{0}_{2 \times 2} & I_{2 \times 2} \\ \mathbf{a}_1 & \mathbf{a}_2 & \mathbf{a}_3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{2 \times 2} \\ \mathbf{0}_{2 \times 2} \\ -\mathbf{a}_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C = [\ I_{2 \times 2} \quad \mathbf{0}_{2 \times 2} \quad \mathbf{0}_{2 \times 2} \] \quad (7)$$

with $\mathbf{a}_i = a_i I_{2 \times 2}$ and $a_i < 0$ such that A is Hurwitz.

Define

$$y(t) = \xi(t) - \alpha(t), \quad (8)$$

we have

$$\dot{y} = Ay + (A + BC)\alpha - \dot{\alpha}. \quad (9)$$

Using (5)-(8), we know that

$$x_3 = z_1 + Cy + \alpha_1. \quad (10)$$

Define $\underline{\bullet} = \bullet - \bar{\bullet}$ as the uncertain dynamics and substitute (10) into (4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M_w(x_1)\dot{s} &= \bar{F}_1(x, s, t) + z_1 + Cy + \alpha_1 + D_1(x, s, t) + d_{1w}(x, t) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}\dot{\bar{M}}_w(x_1)s - \frac{1}{2}\dot{\underline{M}}_w(x_1)s, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \bar{F}_1(x, s, t) &= \bar{f}_{1w}(x) + \bar{M}_w(x_1)(\sigma_1 \dot{e}_1 - \ddot{x}_{1d}) + \frac{1}{2}\dot{\bar{M}}_w(x_1)s \\ D_1(x, s, t) &= \underline{f}_{1w}(x) + \underline{M}_w(x_1)(\sigma_1 \dot{e}_1 - \ddot{x}_{1d}) + \frac{1}{2}\dot{\underline{M}}_w(x_1)s, \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

and $\dot{M}_w(x_1) = \dot{\bar{M}}_w(x_1) + \dot{\underline{M}}_w(x_1) = \frac{\partial M_w(x_1)}{\partial x_1}x_2$. Note that $D_1(x, s, t)$ is the unknown dynamics without explicit t from any unknown time-varying functions, we are encouraged to apply RBFNN to approximate it by

$$D_1(x, s, t) = W^\top \Lambda(\bar{Z}) + \delta(\bar{Z}), \quad (13)$$

with $W \in \mathbb{R}^{l \times 2}$ being the ideal output layer weight and $\Lambda(\bar{Z}) = [\lambda_1(\bar{Z}) \ \lambda_2(\bar{Z}) \ \cdots \ \lambda_l(\bar{Z})]^\top$, where $l > 0$ is the neuro number of the hidden layer, and the NN input vector $\bar{Z} = [Z^\top \ 1]^\top$ with $Z = [x^\top \ \dot{e}_1^\top \ \ddot{x}_{1d}^\top \ s^\top]^\top \in \mathbb{R}^8$, each $\lambda_i(\bar{Z})$ is the Gaussian function

$$\lambda_i(\bar{Z}) = e^{-\frac{(\bar{Z}-c)^\top(\bar{Z}-c)}{2b^2}} \quad (14)$$

with $c \in \mathbb{R}^9$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^9$ being the center and the shape factor, respectively, $\delta(Z) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is the NN approximation error such that

$$\|\delta(Z)\|_2 \leq \bar{\delta} \quad (15)$$

with $\bar{\delta} > 0$ being some unknown constant.

Design the NN virtual control

$$\alpha_1 = -\bar{F}_1(x, s, t) - K_1 s - \hat{W}^\top(t)\Lambda(\bar{Z}) - \rho \tanh\left(\frac{s}{\epsilon}\right), \quad (16)$$

where $K_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2} > 0$, $\epsilon > 0$ and $\rho \geq \rho_0 + \bar{\delta}$ are control gains, $\hat{W}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{l \times 2}$ is the online estimate of the unknown NN weight W , and $\tanh(s/\epsilon) = [\tanh(s_1/\epsilon) \ \tanh(s_2/\epsilon)]^\top$. Substituting (16) into (11) gives

$$\begin{aligned} M_w(x_1)\dot{s} &= -K_1 s - \tilde{W}^\top(t)\Lambda(\bar{Z}) + \left(\delta(Z) + d_{1w}(x, t) - \rho \tanh\left(\frac{s}{\epsilon}\right)\right) \\ &\quad + z_1 + Cy - \frac{1}{2}\dot{\bar{M}}_w(x_1)s - \frac{1}{2}\dot{\underline{M}}_w(x_1)s \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where $\tilde{W}(t) = \hat{W}(t) - W$ is the NN weight estimate error.

Define a positive definite function

$$V_1 = \frac{1}{2}s^\top M_w(x_1)s + \frac{1}{2\gamma}\text{tr}\left\{\tilde{W}^\top \Gamma^{-1} \tilde{W}\right\} + \frac{1}{2}z_1^\top z_1 + y^\top Py, \quad (18)$$

where $\gamma > 0$ and $\Gamma = \Gamma^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{l \times l} > 0$ are control gains, and $P = P^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{6 \times 6} > 0$ is some constant matrix. Taking time derivative of (18) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}_1 &= -s^\top K_1 s + s^\top \left(\delta(Z) + d_{1w}(x, t) - \rho \tanh\left(\frac{s}{\epsilon}\right)\right) + s^\top (z_1 + Cy) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\gamma}\text{tr}\left\{\tilde{W}^\top \left(\Gamma^{-1} \dot{\tilde{W}} - \gamma \Lambda(\bar{Z}) s^\top\right)\right\} + z_1^\top \dot{z}_1 + \dot{y}^\top Py + y^\top P\dot{y}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

It is known that

$$s^\top \left(\delta(Z) + d_{1w}(x, t) - \rho \tanh\left(\frac{s}{\epsilon}\right) \right) \leq \rho \sum_{i=1}^2 \left(|s_i| - s_i \tanh\left(\frac{s_i}{\epsilon}\right) \right) \leq 2\rho c_0 \epsilon \quad (20)$$

with $c_0 \approx 0.2875$, and by Young's Inequality, we also have

$$s^\top (z_1 + Cy) \leq \left(\frac{1}{4\epsilon_1^2} + \frac{1}{4\epsilon_2^2} \right) s^\top s + \epsilon_1^2 z_1^\top z_1 + \epsilon_2^2 y^\top C^\top Cy, \quad (21)$$

where ϵ_i are any real numbers. Based on (9), we also have the following relation

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{y}^\top Py + y^\top P\dot{y} &= [y^\top A^\top + \alpha^\top (A^\top + C^\top B^\top) - \dot{\alpha}^\top] Py \\ &\quad + y^\top P[Ay + (A + BC)\alpha - \dot{\alpha}] \\ &= y^\top (A^\top P + PA)y + [\alpha^\top (A^\top + C^\top B^\top) Py + y^\top P(A + BC)\alpha] \\ &\quad - (\dot{\alpha}^\top Py + y^\top P\dot{\alpha}), \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

To find a proper positive definite matrix P , we require that

$$\dot{y}^\top Py + y^\top P\dot{y} + \epsilon_2^2 y^\top C^\top Cy \leq -y^\top Qy, \quad (23)$$

where $Q = Q^\top \in \mathbb{B}^6 > 0$ is any positive definite matrix. To make (23) more feasible, we instead require that

$$\dot{y}^\top Py + y^\top P\dot{y} + \epsilon_2^2 y^\top C^\top Cy \leq -y^\top Qy + \kappa_1 \alpha^\top \alpha + \kappa_2 \dot{\alpha}^\top \dot{\alpha} \quad (24)$$

with $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 > 0$. Therefore, we rewrite (22) as

$$\dot{y}^\top Py + y^\top P\dot{y} + y^\top (\epsilon_2^2 C^\top C + Q)y - \kappa_1 \alpha^\top \alpha - \kappa_2 \dot{\alpha}^\top \dot{\alpha} = Y^\top \Phi Y \leq 0, \quad (25)$$

where $Y = [y^\top \quad \alpha^\top \quad \dot{\alpha}^\top]^\top$, and

$$\Phi = \begin{bmatrix} A^\top P + PA + \epsilon_2^2 C^\top C + Q & P(A + BC) & -P \\ \star & -\kappa_1 I_{6 \times 6} & \mathbf{0}_{6 \times 6} \\ \star & \star & -\kappa_2 I_{6 \times 6} \end{bmatrix} \leq 0. \quad (26)$$

Solving the LMI (26) along with $P > 0$, $Q > 0$ and some constant ϵ_2 is capable of providing us with the required matrix P . By (20), (21) and (24), we now can rewrite (19) as

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}_1 &\leq -s^\top \left(K_1 - \left(\frac{1}{4\epsilon_1^2} + \frac{1}{4\epsilon_2^2} \right) I \right) s + z_1^\top (\dot{z}_1 + \epsilon_1^2 z_1) - y^\top Qy + 2\rho c_0 \epsilon \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\gamma} \text{tr} \left\{ \tilde{W}^\top \left(\Gamma^{-1} \dot{\tilde{W}} - \gamma \Lambda (\bar{Z}) s^\top \right) \right\} + \kappa_1 \alpha^\top \alpha + \kappa_2 \dot{\alpha}^\top \dot{\alpha}. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Step 2:

A RISE-typed controller is designed at this step. First we define two new filtered errors

$$z_2 = \dot{z}_1 + \sigma_2 z_1 \quad (28)$$

and

$$r = \dot{z}_2 + \sigma_3 z_2 + z_1, \quad (29)$$

where $\sigma_i > 0$ are control gains. According to (28)-(29), it is clear that

$$\dot{z}_1 = z_2 - \sigma_2 z_1 \quad (30)$$

and

$$\dot{z}_2 = r - \sigma_3 z_2 - z_1, \quad (31)$$

We use the last equation of (1) and (29) to derive that the open-loop equation of $r(t)$ is

$$J_w r = u + f_{2w}(x) + d_{2w}(t) + J_w (\sigma_2 \dot{z}_1 + \sigma_3 z_2 + z_1 - \xi_3). \quad (32)$$

Note that the derivation of (32) uses the facts that $\dot{z}_1 = x_4 - \xi_2$ based on (5) and $\ddot{z}_1 = \dot{x}_4 - \dot{\xi}_3$. Similar to Step 1, we separate the unknown dynamics in (32) by the nominal models and the uncertain ones as follows:

$$\begin{cases} f_{2w}(x) &= \bar{f}_{2w}(x) + \underline{f}_{2w}(x) \\ J_w &= \bar{J}_w + \underline{J}_w \end{cases} \quad (33)$$

Therefore, we rewrite (32) as

$$J_w r = u_r + S(x, \xi, t) + \underline{f}_{2w}(x_{1d}, \dot{x}_{1d}, \xi_1, \xi_2) - \underline{J}_w \xi_3 + d_{2w}(t), \quad (34)$$

where $u_r = u - \bar{f}_{2w}(x) - \bar{J}_w (\sigma_2 \dot{z}_1 + \sigma_3 z_2 + z_1 - \xi_3)$, and the function

$$S(x, \xi, t) = \underline{f}_{2w}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) - \underline{f}_{2w}(x_{1d}, \dot{x}_{1d}, \xi_1, \xi_2) + \underline{J}_w (\sigma_2 \dot{z}_1 + \sigma_3 z_2 + z_1). \quad (35)$$

We develop the dynamics of $r(t)$ according to (34) by

$$J_w \dot{r} = \dot{u}_r + \tilde{N}(x, \xi, t) - z_2 + N_d(\xi, \alpha_1, t), \quad (36)$$

where

$$\tilde{N}(x, \xi, t) = \dot{S}(x, \xi, t) + z_2, \quad (37)$$

and

$$N_d(\xi, \alpha_1, t) = \dot{\underline{f}}_{2w}(x_{1d}, \dot{x}_{1d}, \xi_1, \xi_2) - \underline{J}_w \dot{\xi}_3 + \dot{d}_{2w}(t), \quad (38)$$

and design the RISE-typed controller

$$\begin{cases} u_r &= -K_s z_2 + K_s z_2(0) - \int_0^t K_s (\sigma_3 z_2(\tau) + z_1(\tau)) + \beta \operatorname{sgn}(z_2(\tau)) d\tau \\ u &= u_r + \bar{f}_{2w}(x) + \bar{J}_w (\sigma_2 \dot{z}_1 + \sigma_3 z_2 + z_1 - \xi_3) \end{cases} \quad (39)$$

Substituting (39) into (36) hence gives

$$J_w \dot{r} = -K_s r + \tilde{N}(x, \xi, t) - z_2 + (N_d(\xi, \alpha_1, t) - \beta \operatorname{sgn}(z_2)). \quad (40)$$

Now, we define a new positive definite function

$$V = V_1 + \frac{1}{2} z_2^\top z_2 + \frac{1}{2} r^\top J_w r + H(t). \quad (41)$$

where

$$H(t) = \xi_b - \int_0^t N_d(\tau) - \beta \operatorname{sgn}(z_2(\tau)) d\tau \geq 0 \quad (42)$$

with $\xi_b = \sum_{i=1}^2 (\beta |z_{2i}(0)| - z_{2i}(0) N_{di}(0))$ and the parameter $\beta \geq \|N_d\|_\infty + \frac{1}{\sigma_3} \|\dot{N}_d\|_\infty$. Time derivative of (41) along (40) with (2) and (30)-(31) simply yields

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V} &\leq -s^\top \left(K_1 - \left(\frac{1}{4\epsilon_1^2} + \frac{1}{4\epsilon_2^2} \right) I \right) s - (\sigma_2 - \epsilon_1^2) z_1^\top z_1 - \sigma_3 z_2^\top z_2 + 2\rho c_0 \epsilon \\ &\quad - y^\top Q y - r^\top K_s r + r^\top \tilde{N} + \frac{1}{\gamma} \operatorname{tr} \left\{ \tilde{W}^\top \left(\Gamma^{-1} \dot{\tilde{W}} - \gamma \Lambda(\bar{Z}) s^\top \right) \right\} \\ &\quad + \kappa_1 \alpha^\top \alpha + \kappa_2 \dot{\alpha}^\top \dot{\alpha}. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

Since \tilde{N} in (37) is continuously differentiable, we can show that

$$r^\top \tilde{N} \leq \|r\| \phi(\|\iota\|) \|\iota\| \leq \phi(\|\iota\|) \|\iota\|^2, \quad (44)$$

where $\iota = \begin{bmatrix} s^\top & z_1^\top & z_2^\top & y^\top & \|\tilde{W}\| & r^\top \end{bmatrix}^\top$, and $\phi(\bullet)$ is some unknown positive definite function. We also design the following adaptive law for the online NN weight adaptation:

$$\dot{\hat{W}} = \gamma \Gamma \left[\Lambda(\bar{Z}) s^\top - \beta \hat{W} \right], \quad (45)$$

where $\beta > 0$ is the adaptation gain. Now we apply the Young's Inequality

$$-\beta \tilde{W} \hat{W} \leq -\beta \left(1 - \frac{1}{4\varepsilon^2} \right) \tilde{W}^\top \tilde{W} + \beta \varepsilon^2 W^\top W \quad (46)$$

with $\varepsilon > 0$ being some constant, then substitute (44)-(45) into (43) and finally obtain

$$\dot{V} \leq -(a_1 - \phi(\|\iota\|)) \|\iota\|^2 + \kappa_1 \alpha^\top \alpha + \kappa_2 \dot{\alpha}^\top \dot{\alpha} + 2\rho c_0 \epsilon + \beta \varepsilon^2 W^\top W, \quad (47)$$

where $a_1 = \min \left\{ K_1 - \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon \epsilon_1^2} + \frac{1}{4\epsilon_2^2} \right) I, \sigma_2 - \epsilon_1^2, \sigma_3, \lambda_{\min}(Q), \beta \left(1 - \frac{1}{4\varepsilon^2} \right), \lambda_{\min}(K_s) \right\}$ with $\lambda_{\min}(\bullet)$ representing the minimum eigenvalue of the matrix \bullet . Using standard boundedness analysis in [1], we know that $\|\iota\|$ is semi-globally uniformly bounded by

$$\|\iota\| \leq \sqrt{\frac{D}{a_1 - \phi(\|\iota\|)}}, \quad (48)$$

where D is a constant for the boundedness of $\kappa_1 \alpha^\top \alpha + \kappa_2 \dot{\alpha}^\top \dot{\alpha} + 2\rho c_0 \epsilon + \beta \varepsilon^2 W^\top W$ under the semi-global conditions. Note that the control parameter is capable of picking a_1 arbitrarily large. As a result, the boundedness of $\|\iota\|$ can be arbitrarily small. Therefore, the boundedness of $\|s\|$ can be established by

$$\|s\| \leq \sqrt{\frac{D}{a_1 - \phi(\|\iota\|)}} \quad (49)$$

and can be arbitrarily small by proper control gain schedule. Finally, according to (3), we can conclude that both $e(t)$ and $\dot{e}(t)$ are bounded. More specifically, we have

$$\|e(t)\| \leq e^{-\sigma_1 t} e(0) + \frac{1}{\sigma_1} \sqrt{\frac{D}{a_1 - \phi(\|\iota\|)}} (1 - e^{-\sigma_1 t}). \quad (50)$$

References

- [1] Swaroop, D., Hedrick, J., Yip, P., and Gerdes, J., 2000. "Dynamic surface control for a class of nonlinear systems". *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, **45**(10), pp. 1893–1899.