# Isotope analytical uncertainty propagation

Ruan van Mazijk

Here I (1) state the uncertainty propagation rules plainly, (2) apply them to our mass-balance model equation and calculate the results, and finally (3) I explore the the derivation of Genereux's (1998) isotopic tracer component uncertainty equations and how they, sadly, differ to my arithmetic propagation in section (2).

#### Uncertainty propagation rules 1

Our uncertainty rules are as follows. Let q be some quantity, and  $u_q$  be its uncertainty, where q is a function of variables x, y and z.

For constants

$$q = Bx (1.1)$$

$$\frac{u_q}{q} = \frac{u_x}{x} \tag{1}$$

For sums

$$q = x \pm y \pm z \tag{1.2}$$

$$u_q = \sqrt{u_x^2 + u_y^2 + u_z^2} \tag{2}$$

And for **products/quotients** 

$$q = xyz (1.3)$$

$$q = xyz$$

$$\frac{u_q}{|q|} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{u_x}{x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{u_y}{y}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{u_z}{z}\right)^2}$$
(3)

#### $\mathbf{2}$ Ruan's propagation

Let  $p_E$  be the proportion of streamflow derived from rainfall according to an isotope E, where

$$p_E = \frac{E_{streamflow} - E_{baseflow}}{E_{rain} - E_{baseflow}}$$
(2.1)

As such, for our analysis, we propagate the uncertainty as follows, relying on our known uncertainty in measuring isotope values  $u_E$ 

$$p_E = \frac{E_{streamflow} - E_{baseflow}}{E_{rain} - E_{baseflow}} \tag{4}$$

$$p_{E} = \frac{E_{streamflow} - E_{baseflow}}{E_{rain} - E_{baseflow}}$$

$$\therefore u_{p_{E}} = |p_{E}| \sqrt{\left(\frac{u_{[E_{streamflow} - E_{baseflow}]}}{E_{streamflow} - E_{baseflow}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{u_{[E_{rain} - E_{baseflow}]}}{E_{rain} - E_{baseflow}}\right)^{2}}$$
(5)

where

$$u_{[E_{streamflow} - E_{baseflow}]} = u_{[E_{rain} - E_{baseflow}]} = \sqrt{u_E^2 + u_E^2}$$

$$(6)$$

such that

$$u_{p_E} = |p_E| \sqrt{\left(\frac{\sqrt{u_E^2 + u_E^2}}{E_{streamflow} - E_{baseflow}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\sqrt{u_E^2 + u_E^2}}{E_{rain} - E_{baseflow}}\right)^2}$$
 (2.2)

We then average  $p_{\delta^2 H}$  and  $p_{\delta^{18}O}$ , each with their own uncertainty derived above, as follows

$$p = \frac{p_{\delta^{18}O} + p_{\delta^2 H}}{2} \tag{2.3}$$

$$p = \frac{p_{\delta^{18}O} + p_{\delta^{2}H}}{2}$$

$$\therefore u_{p} = |p| \frac{\sqrt{u_{p_{\delta^{18}O}} + u_{p_{\delta^{2}H}}}}{p_{\delta^{18}O} + p_{\delta^{2}H}}$$
(2.3)

For our study, we combined long term analytical precision and accuracy using (1.2)

$$u_{\delta^{18}O} = \sqrt{0.07\ddot{G}^2 + 0.13\ddot{G}^2} \tag{8}$$

$$= 0.1476482\ddot{G}$$
 (9)

$$u_{\delta^2 H} = \sqrt{0.2\ddot{G}^2 + 1.5\ddot{G}^2} \tag{10}$$

$$= 1.5132746\ddot{G}$$
 (11)

As such, we calculate our  $p_E$  values using (2.1)

$$p_{\delta^{18}O} = \frac{-4.7860375\ddot{G} - -2.2142798\ddot{G}}{-4.794164\ddot{G} - -2.2142798\ddot{G}}$$
(12)

$$=0.99685$$
 (13)

$$p_{\delta^2 H} = \frac{-20.4562927 \ddot{\mathbf{G}} - -6.0803734 \ddot{\mathbf{G}}}{-20.092425 \ddot{\mathbf{G}} - -6.0803734 \ddot{\mathbf{G}}}$$
(14)

$$= 1.0259682 \tag{15}$$

and their uncertainties (using (2.2))

$$u_{p_{\delta^{18}O}} = |0.99685| \sqrt{\left(\frac{\sqrt{0.1476482\breve{G}^2 + 0.1476482\breve{G}^2}}{-4.7860375 - -2.2142798}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\sqrt{0.1476482\breve{G}^2 + 0.1476482\breve{G}^2}}{-4.794164 - -2.2142798}\right)^2}$$
(16)

$$= 0.114281 \text{ G}$$
 (17)

$$u_{p_{\delta^2 H}} = |1.0259682| \sqrt{\left(\frac{\sqrt{1.5132746\ddot{G}^2 + 1.5132746\ddot{G}^2}}{-20.4562927 - -6.0803734}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\sqrt{1.5132746\ddot{G}^2 + 1.5132746\ddot{G}^2}}{-20.092425 - -6.0803734}\right)^2}$$
(18)  
= 0.2188186 $\ddot{G}$ 

We then use (2.3) to derive p proper

$$p = \frac{0.99685 + 1.0259682}{2} \tag{20}$$

$$= 1.0114091 \tag{21}$$

$$\therefore u_p = |1.0114091| \frac{\sqrt{0.114281\ddot{G} + 0.2188186\ddot{G}}}{0.99685 + 1.0259682}$$
(22)

$$= 0.1234319 \tag{23}$$

Thus, we can conclude that, following this method, the Liesbeek River streamflow during our storm constituted  $101\% \pm 12.3\%$  rain-water.

## 3 Genereux's propagation

Knowing Equations (1.1)–(1.3), applying them to (2.1) Genereux got

$$u_{p_{E}} = \sqrt{\left(u_{E_{baseflow}} \frac{E_{rain} - E_{streamflow}}{\left(E_{rain} - E_{baseflow}\right)^{2}}\right)^{2} + \left(u_{E_{rain}} \frac{E_{streamflow} - E_{baseflow}}{\left(E_{rain} - E_{baseflow}\right)^{2}}\right)^{2} + \left(u_{E_{streamflow}} \frac{-1}{E_{rain} - E_{baseflow}}\right)^{2}}$$

$$(3.1)$$

Since we know that, for our analyses, we have identical analytical uncertainty for any measurement of an isotope E, such that

$$u_{E_{streamflow}} = u_{E_{rain}} = u_{E_{baseflow}} = u_E \tag{24}$$

With this approach, I get  $p_{\delta^{18}O} = 0.99685 \pm 0.1594934$  and  $p_{\delta^2H} = 1.0259682 \pm 0.1413952$ , such that following (2.3)  $p = 1.0114091 \pm 0.1065724$ .

Problem:  $u_p$  from  $(2.2) \neq \text{that from } (3.2)$ 

### References

Genereux (1998) Water Resources Research 34(4):915–919