Thoughts about Generative Models in Control Systems



Prof. Raghvendra V. Cowlagi

Aerospace Engineering Department,
Worcester Polytechnic Institute, Worcester, MA.
rvcowlagi@wpi.edu wpi.edu/~rvcowlagi

HL 247

July 5, 2024



Fair Use Disclaimer: This document may contain copyrighted material, such as photographs and diagrams, the use of which may not always have been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. The use of copyrighted material in this document is in accordance with the "fair use doctrine" as incorporated in Title 17 USC §107 of the United States Copyright Act of 1976.

Introduction



► Control and estimation methods usually start with a dynamical system model of the form

$$x(k+1) = f(x(k), u(k), w(k)),$$

where

- \triangleright x is what we call the state
- \triangleright u is what we call the *control*
- \blacktriangleright w is what we call the process noise
- ► Usual assumptions include:
 - \blacktriangleright $x(k) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $u(k) \in \mathbb{R}^m$, where n, m are "small" ~ 10
 - ightharpoonup f is known, e.g., from physics
 - w is a white noise process, i.e., $w(k) \sim N(0, Q)$ and $w(k), w(\ell)$ are uncorrelated

Prof. R. V. Cowlagi

Nominal Model



 Control and estimation methods usually start with a dynamical system model of the form

$$x(k+1) = f(x(k), u(k), w(k)),$$

where

- \triangleright x is what we call the state
- \triangleright u is what we call the control
- ► *w* is what we call the process noise
- ► Let us call this the *nominal model* (to distinguish from other "models" that come up)

Prof. R. V. Cowlagi 2/8

Nominal v/s Real



- ► The real system evolves *close to*, but not *exactly* according to the nominal model. Examples:
- \blacktriangleright f is slightly different, e.g., has some extra terms due to simplified physics
- \triangleright w is not white noise
- ► There are extra (hidden) states, e.g., actuator dynamics

Prof. R. V. Cowlagi 3 / 8

The Uncertainty Propagation Problem

- ▶ We are usually interested in the conditional distribution p(x(k+1) | x(k), u(k)) for designing estimators or controllers (esp. RL-based controllers)
 - ► Estimators like EKF / UKF need the mean and variance
 - ► Particle filter, RL methods need samples
- ▶ Option 1 (most common practice): Find this distribution from the nominal model
 - ightharpoonup Even this approach involves approximations when f is nonlinear: e.g., linearization or unscented transform
 - ► Essentially, we entirely neglect the differences between reality and nominal model and hope that the controller / estimator is robust enough
- ▶ *Option 2* (the adaptive control approach): Append a "structured uncertainty" term Φ to f and learn its parameters through open- and closed-loop training
 - $ightharpoonup \Phi$ often resembles a single-layer NN, e.g., weighted sum of RBFs
 - Sometimes used in estimation as well

Prof. R. V. Cowlagi

Data



- ▶ We have some *real trajectories*, i.e., time series $\xi(k) = (x(k), u(k))$ recorded during operation of the real system
 - ► These are scarce
- ▶ We have some *nominal trajectories*, time series $\xi(k) = (x(k), u(k))$ resulting from simulation of the nominal model

► These are abundant

Prof. R. V. Cowlagi 5/

Rationale



- ▶ The unscented transform is motivated by the idea that it is better to approximate the *distribution* propagated through f, than to approximate f itself (e.g., by linearization)
- ▶ Which suggests that Options 1 and 2 may be outperformed by ...
- ▶ *Option 3:* Train a conditioned generative model on both real and nominal trajectories to produce samples of x(k + 1) for given x(k), u(k)

Prof. R. V. Cowlagi 6/

Case Study 1



► Nominal model is linear:

$$x(k+1) = Ax(k) + Bu(k)$$

- ▶ Suppose $x(k) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $u(k) \in \mathbb{R}$ and A is Hurwitz: Re(both eigenvalues) < 0
- ► Real system does not have process noise, but has unmodeled terms:

$$x(k+1) = Ax(k) + Bu(k) + \tilde{f}(x(k))$$

Prof. R. V. Cowlagi 7/8

Generative Model



► Consider a "variational propagator"

$$\xi
ightarrow p(z|\xi) \ z \sim p(z|\xi)
ightarrow D(z)$$

►
$$L = ||D(z) - x(k+1)|| + KL$$