

Due: Thursday December 1, 2022

MATH 6800: Problem Set 8

1. For each of the following statements, prove that it is true or give an example to show it is false. Throughout,  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$  unless otherwise indicated, and "ew" stands for eigenvalue.

- (a) If  $\lambda$  is an ew of  $A$  and  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ , then  $\lambda - \mu$  is an ew of  $A - \mu I$

Let  $x \in \mathbb{C}^m$  be an eigen vector of  $A$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} Ax &= \lambda x \\ Ax - \mu x &= \lambda x - \mu x \\ (A - \mu I)x &= (\lambda - \mu)x \end{aligned}$$

Hence this claim is true.

- (b) If  $A$  is real and  $\lambda$  is an ew of  $A$ , then so is  $-\lambda$

This is a false claim. Example,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

The eigenvalues are  $\lambda = 1, 2$  and  $-1, -2$  are not eigenvalues of  $A$ .

- (c) If  $A$  is real and  $\lambda$  is an ew of  $A$ , then so is  $\bar{\lambda}$

Let  $x \in \mathbb{C}^m$  be an eigen vector of  $A$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} Ax &= \lambda x \\ (Ax)^* &= (\lambda x)^* \\ Ax^* &= \bar{\lambda} x^* \end{aligned}$$

Since,  $A = A^*, A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ . Therefore this claim is true.

- (d) If  $\lambda$  is an ew of  $A$  and  $A$  is nonsingular, then  $(\lambda)^{-1}$  is an eigenvalue of  $(A)^{-1}$ .

Let  $x \in \mathbb{C}^m$  be an eigen vector of  $A$ .

$$\begin{aligned} Ax &= \lambda x \\ (A)^{-1} Ax &= \lambda (A)^{-1} x \\ \frac{1}{\lambda} x &= (A)^{-1} x \end{aligned}$$

Therefore this claim is true.

- (e) If ew's of  $A$  are 0, then  $A = 0$ .

Let,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

The eigenvalues of this matrix are 0, but  $A$  is non-zero. Hence, that claim is false.

- (f) If  $A$  is hermitian and  $\lambda$  is an ew of  $A$ , then  $|\lambda|$  is a singular value of  $A$ .

The square-root of the eigen values of  $A^*A$  are the singular values of  $A$ . Let  $x \in \mathbb{C}^m$  be an eigen vector of  $A$ .

$$\begin{aligned} Ax &= \lambda x \\ A^*Ax &= \lambda A^*x \\ (A^*A)x &= \lambda^2 x \end{aligned}$$

Singular values of  $A$ ,  $\sigma = \sqrt{\lambda^2} = |\lambda|$ . Hence this is true.

- (g) If  $A$  is diagonalizable and all its ew's are equal, then  $A$  is diagonal.  
 $A = U\Lambda U^{-1} \implies A = \lambda I$ . hence,  $A$  is a diagonal matrix. This is true.

2. Let,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \varepsilon & \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon & 0 & \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon & \varepsilon & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

with  $\varepsilon$  a small perturbation, with  $\varepsilon \leq 10^{-3}$ .

- (a) Estimate the locations of the eigenvalues of  $A + B$  by using Gershgorin's theorem.

$$A + B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \varepsilon & \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon & 2 & \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon & \varepsilon & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

From Gershgorin's theorem the three eigenvalues of this Matrix will lie within these three discs:

Disk	Center	Radius
$D_1$	1	$ 2\varepsilon $
$D_2$	2	$ 2\varepsilon $
$D_3$	3	$ 2\varepsilon $

- (b) Improve the estimate for  $\lambda \approx 1$  by judicious choice of diagonal similarity transformation of the form

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & d \end{bmatrix}$$

for some  $d > 0$ .

Through similarity transformation,  $A + B$  has the same eigenvalues as  $D^{-1}(A + B)D$ . I choose  $D$  to be

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} d/\varepsilon & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & d/\varepsilon \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
D^{-1}(A+B)D &= \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon/d & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \varepsilon/d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \varepsilon & \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon & 2 & \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon & \varepsilon & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d/\varepsilon & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & d/\varepsilon \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon/d & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \varepsilon/d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d/\varepsilon & 2\varepsilon & d \\ d & 4 & d \\ d & 2\varepsilon & 3d/\varepsilon \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2\varepsilon^2/d & \varepsilon \\ d/2 & 2 & d/2 \\ \varepsilon & 2\varepsilon^2/d & 3 \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

For  $\lambda \approx 1$ , we have now a better estimate of what the eigenvalue would be as it is:

$$\mathcal{D}_1 : |1 - z| \leq |2\varepsilon^2/d + \varepsilon| = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2)$$

3. (NLA 26.3) One of the best known results of eigenvalue perturbation theory is the *Bauer-Fike theorem*. Suppose  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$  is diagonalizable with  $A = V\Lambda V^{-1}$ , and let  $\delta A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$  be arbitrary. The every eigenvalue of  $A + \delta A$  lies in at least one of the  $m$  circular disks in the complex plane of radius  $\kappa(V) \|\delta A\|_2$  centered at the eigenvalues of  $A$ , where  $\kappa$  is the 2-norm condition number.

- (a) Prove the Bauer-Fike theorem by using the equivalence of conditions (i) and (iv) in Exercise 26.1.

Using (i) and (ii) conditions of 26.1,  $\|\delta A\|_2 \leq \varepsilon$  (i),  $\left\| \left( \tilde{\lambda}_j I - A \right)^{-1} \right\|_2 \geq \varepsilon^{-1}$  (ii). From

(ii) we have  $\varepsilon \left\| \left( \tilde{\lambda}_j I - A \right) \right\|_2 \geq 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\delta A\|_2 \left\| \left( \tilde{\lambda}_j I - A \right)^{-1} \right\|_2 &\leq \varepsilon \left\| \left( \tilde{\lambda}_j I - A \right)^{-1} \right\|_2 \\
\implies \|\delta A\|_2 \left\| \left( \tilde{\lambda}_j I - A \right) \right\|_2 &\geq 1
\end{aligned}$$

Now,  $A = V\Lambda V^{-1}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\delta A\|_2 \left\| \left( \tilde{\lambda}_j I - V\Lambda V^{-1} \right)^{-1} \right\|_2 &\geq 1 \\
\|\delta A\|_2 \left\| \left( V \left( \tilde{\lambda}_j I - \Lambda \right) V^{-1} \right)^{-1} \right\|_2 &\geq 1 \\
\|\delta A\|_2 \|V^{-1}\|_2 \left\| \left( \tilde{\lambda}_j I - \Lambda \right)^{-1} \right\|_2 \|V\|_2 &\geq 1 \\
\|\delta A\|_2 \kappa(V) \left\| \left( \tilde{\lambda}_j I - \Lambda \right)^{-1} \right\|_2 &\geq 1
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Here, } \left\| \left( \tilde{\lambda}_j I - \Lambda \right)^{-1} \right\|_2 = \max_{\|x\|_2 \neq 0} \frac{\left\| \left( \tilde{\lambda}_j I - \Lambda \right)^{-1} x \right\|_2}{\|x\|_2} = \frac{1}{\min_{\tilde{\lambda}_j \in \Lambda(A)} |\tilde{\lambda}_j - \lambda_j|}.$$

$$|\tilde{\lambda}_j - \lambda_j| \leq \|\delta A\|_2 \kappa(V)$$

- (b) Suppose that  $A$  is normal. Show that for each eigenvalue  $\tilde{\lambda}_j$  of  $A + \delta A$ , there is an eigenvalue  $\lambda_j$  of  $A$  such that

$$\left| \tilde{\lambda}_j - \lambda_j \right| \leq \|\delta A\|_2$$

In this case, if  $A$  is normal,  $V$  is unitary in which case  $\kappa(V) = 1$ . Therefore,

$$\left| \tilde{\lambda}_j - \lambda_j \right| \leq \|\delta A\|_2$$

4. Write a Matlab code **[W,H] = hessenberg(A)** to transform an  $m \times m$  matrix  $A$  to upper Hessenberg form,  $H$ , by similarity transformations using Householder reflectors,

$$A = QHQ^*$$

Here  $Q$  is represented implicitly in terms of the Householder vectors  $v_k$  stored in  $W$ . Also write a Matlab function **[Q] = formQh(W)** that takes  $W$  and generates the matrix  $Q$ . Test your routine on the  $m \times m$  matrix  $A = [a_{ij}]$  with entries

$$a_{ij} = 9, \text{ for } i = j,$$

$$a_{ij} = \frac{1}{i+j}, \text{ for } i \neq j$$

and  $m = 5$ . Check that your routines are correct by confirming that  $H$  is upper Hessenberg,  $Q$  is unitary and  $A = QHQ^*$ . Output  $A, H, W, Q, \|Q^*Q - I\|_2$ , and  $\|A - QHQ^*\|_2$ .

The functions are in Listings 1 and 2. The script to generate  $A$  is in Listing 3 and the solutions are also attached.

```

1 function [W,H] = hessenberg(A)
2
3 m = size(A,1);
4 H = A;
5
6 for k=1:m-2
7     x = H(k+1:m,k);
8     vk = sign(x(1))*norm(x,2)*eye(m-k,1) + x;
9     vk = vk/norm(vk,2);
10
11     H(k+1:m,k:m) = H(k+1:m,k:m) - 2*vk*(vk'*H(k+1:m,k:m));
12     H(1:m,k+1:m) = H(1:m,k+1:m) - 2*(H(1:m,k+1:m)*vk)*vk';
13     W(k+1:m,k) = vk;
14 end
15
16 end

```

Listing 1: Hessenberg function

```

1 function Q = formQ(W)
2
3 [m,n] = size(W);
4 Q = eye(m);
5
6 if m >= n
7     % Algorithm 10.3 - implicit calculation of Q using ei
8     for i=1:m % perform on each column of I

```

```

9         for k=n:-1:1 % perform Q*ei to get back column of Q
10            vk = W(k:m,k);
11            Q(k:m,i) = Q(k:m,i) - 2*vk*(vk'*Q(k:m,i));
12        end
13    end
14 else
15     disp("Algorithm works only for m >= n");
16 end
17
18 end

```

Listing 2: formQ function

```

1 clc
2 clear
3 %% generate A
4 m = 5;
5 A = zeros(m);
6 for i=1:m
7     for j=1:m
8         if i==j
9             A(i,j) = 9;
10        else
11            A(i,j) = 1/(i+j);
12        end
13    end
14 end
15 %%
16 [W,H] = hessenberg(A);
17 Q = formQ(W);
18
19 disp('A formed through QHQ^* = ');
20 disp(Q*H*Q');
21
22 disp('Hessenberg matrix = ');
23 disp(H);
24
25 disp('W = ');
26 disp(W);
27
28 disp('Q = ');
29 disp(Q);
30
31 fprintf('|| Q^*Q - I || = %8.2e \n', norm(Q'*Q - eye(m)));
32 fprintf('|| A - QHQ^* || = %8.2e \n', norm(A - Q*H*Q'));

```

Listing 3: script for Q4

A formed through  $QHQ^*$  =

9.0000	0.3333	0.2500	0.2000	0.1667
0.3333	9.0000	0.2000	0.1667	0.1429
0.2500	0.2000	9.0000	0.1429	0.1250
0.2000	0.1667	0.1429	9.0000	0.1111
0.1667	0.1429	0.1250	0.1111	9.0000

Hessenberg matrix =

9.0000	-0.4913	0	0	0
-0.4913	9.4289	0.1080	-0.0000	0.0000
0	0.1080	8.8463	0.0400	0
0	0	0.0400	8.8507	-0.0208
0	0	0	-0.0208	8.8741

W =

0	0	0
0.9161	0	0
0.2777	-0.8281	0
0.2222	-0.3721	-0.7992
0.1851	-0.4194	-0.6010

Q =

1.0000	0	0	0	0
0	-0.6785	0.6754	-0.2850	-0.0479
0	-0.5088	-0.1666	0.7519	0.3847
0	-0.4071	-0.4524	0.0300	-0.7929
0	-0.3392	-0.5580	-0.5938	0.4701

$\| Q^*Q - I \| = 6.31e-16$

$\| A - QHQ^* \| = 7.87e-15$

>>